

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 

Cardiology Journal 2018, Vol. 25, No. 3, 301–307 DOI: 10.5603/CJ.a2018.0004 Copyright © 2018 Via Medica ISSN 1897–5593

# Valve in valve implantation of the CoreValve Evolut R in degenerated surgical aortic valves

Marius Schwerg, Karl Stangl, Michael Laule, Verena Stangl, Henryk Dreger

Department of Cardiology, Charité — Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Mitte, Berlin, Germany

### Abstract

**Background:** The new CoreValve Evolut R has an improved design to minimize paravalvular leakage and allows repositioning of the valve. For patients with degenerated bioprosthetic aortic valves, transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) represents a less invasive option. Herein reported are valve-in-valve (ViV) implantations of this new valve.

**Methods:** A total of 26 patients (mean age  $79.4 \pm 6.1$  years, 17 males and 9 females) were treated for severe prosthesis stenosis (n = 9), severe regurgitation (n = 8) or severe combination of stenosis and regurgitation (n = 9). All patients underwent transthoracic echocardiography before and after ViV implantation.

**Results:** Valve-in-valve implantation of a CoreValve Evolut R was performed successfully in all patients. The mean transaortic gradient for stenotic valves determined by transthoracic echocardiography was reduced significantly from  $37.5 \pm 15.3$  mmHg in patients with prosthesis stenosis to  $16.3 \pm 8.2$ mmHg (p < 0.001). In all cases with severe prosthesis regurgitation, regurgitation was reduced to none or mild. All-cause mortality after 30 days was 0%.

**Conclusions:** *It was concluded that CoreValve Evolut R is well suited for ViV implantation.* (Cardiol J 2018; 25, 3: 301–307)

Key words: aortic valve, transcatheter aortic valve implantation, valve-in-valve

# Introduction

For patients with a degenerated bioprosthetic heart valve, transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) is a less invasive treatment option. A reoperation of these mostly elderly patients with frequent comorbidities is associated with a higher perioperative mortality [1]. Some experience with valve-in-valve (ViV) implantation of the balloon-expandable Edwards Sapien XT valve and the self-expandable Medtronic CoreValve system has already been published [2, 3]. However, data on the new Medtronic CoreValve Evolut R valve is scarce [4, 5].

The new Medtronic CoreValve Evolut R has an improved design to minimize paravalvular leakage. In addition, its new delivery system allows for repositioning of the valve [6]. Herein are reported 26 cases of degenerated aortic bioprostheses managed with CoreValve Evolut R.

### Methods

Since 2009, more than 1700 patients underwent TAVI in the documented center. From April 2013 to October 2017, 26 patients were treated for a failing surgical aortic valve with a CoreVave Evolut R. All patients presented with severe comorbidities preferring an interventional approach as determined by an interdisciplinary heart team (Table 1). In all patients, transesophageal echocardiography was performed to determine valve pathology prior to the procedure. The aortic annulus diameter was measured in the mid-esophageal long-axis view and/or by computed tomography. In all cases a transfemoral access was used.

Address for correspondence: Marius Schwerg, MD, Klink für Kardiologie und Angiologie, Charite-Berlin, Berlin, Germany,<br/>tel: 004930450613236, fax: 004930450513092, e-mail: marius.schwerg@charite.deReceived: 28.08.2017Accepted: 01.01.2018

PatientAge bearsSxBMI (bound)EuroScoteS15Systolic PAP (mildig)MRTREF (mildig)Contributions193Male25.437.415.6691169Pacemater, chronic kidney failure, parimating273Female29.440.46.38811146Chronic kidney failure, parimating273Female29.440.46.388111146Chronic kidney failure, parimating382Male23.520.34.95511146Chronic kidney failure, parimating478Female27.043.05.36011120Chronic kidney failure, parimating573Female27.043.05.36011120Chronic kidney failure, parimating673Female27.043.05.130.495110207Female27.112.810.9861120Chronic kidney failure, parimating7Female27.112.810.930.40000087Female23.712.810.920CAD, chronic kidney failure, pailure77Female23.712.810.920CAD, chronic kidney failure87Female23.75.11120																				
Patient         Age (years)         Sax         BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )         EuroScore (%)         STS         Systolic PAP         MR         TR         Eff           1         93         Male         25.4         37.4         15.6         69         1         1         60           2         73         Female         23.5         20.3         4.9         55         1         1         40           3         82         Male         23.5         20.3         4.9         55         1         1         1         20           4         78         Female         23.5         20.3         4.9         55         1         1         1         40           5         73         Female         23.5         20.3         4.9         56         1         1         1         20           6         82         Male         23.5         21.5         5.1         36         1         1         1         60         36           7         76         Female         25.1         12.8         10.0         1         1         1         45           7         81         Male         25.2         25.2 </td <td>Pulmonary hypertension, pacemaker Pacemaker, coronary bypass surgery, severe paravalvular leakage</td> <td>Coronary bypass surgery, GI bleeding</td> <td>COPD, CKD, coronary bypass surgery</td> <td>Bechterews disease, restrictive lung disease</td> <td>CKD</td> <td>CRT-D, coronary bypass surgery, pulmonary hypertension</td> <td>Coronary bypass surgery, ulcerative colitis, previous GI-bleeding</td> <td>Pacemaker, coronary bypass surgery, CKD, after two times surgical aortic valve replacement</td> <td>CRT-D, CAD, chronic kindney failure</td> <td>After two thoracotomies, postoperative wound healing disorder, CAD</td> <td>Coronary artery bypass surgery, COPD with pulmonary hypertension</td> <td>Coronary artery bypass surgery</td> <td>Pacemaker, atrial fibrillation, GI-bleeding, COPD</td> <td>CAD, chronic kidney failure</td> <td>Pacemaker, coronary bypass surgery, replacement of ascending aorta, closure of ventricular septal defect</td> <td>Gastrointestinal bleeding</td> <td>Chronic kidney failure, MR III, pacemaker, coronary bypass surgery</td> <td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, TR III, MR III</td> <td>Pacemaker, chronic kidney failure, pulmonary hypertension, previous Gl-bleeding, permanent atrial fibrillation, previous penumonia</td> <td>Comorbidities</td>	Pulmonary hypertension, pacemaker Pacemaker, coronary bypass surgery, severe paravalvular leakage	Coronary bypass surgery, GI bleeding	COPD, CKD, coronary bypass surgery	Bechterews disease, restrictive lung disease	CKD	CRT-D, coronary bypass surgery, pulmonary hypertension	Coronary bypass surgery, ulcerative colitis, previous GI-bleeding	Pacemaker, coronary bypass surgery, CKD, after two times surgical aortic valve replacement	CRT-D, CAD, chronic kindney failure	After two thoracotomies, postoperative wound healing disorder, CAD	Coronary artery bypass surgery, COPD with pulmonary hypertension	Coronary artery bypass surgery	Pacemaker, atrial fibrillation, GI-bleeding, COPD	CAD, chronic kidney failure	Pacemaker, coronary bypass surgery, replacement of ascending aorta, closure of ventricular septal defect	Gastrointestinal bleeding	Chronic kidney failure, MR III, pacemaker, coronary bypass surgery	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, TR III, MR III	Pacemaker, chronic kidney failure, pulmonary hypertension, previous Gl-bleeding, permanent atrial fibrillation, previous penumonia	Comorbidities
Patient         Age (years)         Sex         BMI (rg/m <sup>2</sup> )         EuroScore (%)         Systolic PAP         MR         TR           1         33         Male         25.4         37.4         15.6         69         1         1         1           2         73         Female         29.4         40.4         6.3         88         11         1         1           3         82         Male         23.5         20.3         4.9         55.1         1         11         1           4         78         Female         27.0         43.0         5.1         36         1         1         1           5         73         Female         28.5         21.5         4.15         5.1         36         1         1         1           7         76         Female         28.3         10.9         88         1         1         1           7         76         84         4.5         4.1         1         1         1           7         76         11         5.3         5.4         30         1         1         1           71         71         5.3         5.3	42 55	40	40	48	60	45	60	36	45	60	45	60	60	35	20	20	45	40	60	EF [%]
Patient         Age (years)         Sex (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )         B/M (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )         EuroScore (%)         Systolic PA (%)         MRI (%)	:	=	-	0	0	=	=	≡	=	0	=	0	=	. 0		=	≡	≡	=	TR [grade]
Patient         Age [Years]         Sex         BMI (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )         EuroScore (%)         Systolic PAD (%)         Systolic PAD (%)         Systolic PAD (%)           1         93         Male         25.4         37.4         15.6         69           2         73         Female         29.4         40.4         6.3         88           3         82         Male         23.5         20.3         4.9         55           4         78         Female         23.5         20.3         4.9         55           5         73         Female         23.5         20.3         4.9         55           6         73         Female         23.5         21.5         5.1         36           7         76         Female         23.7         12.8         10.9         85           7         76         Female         23.7         12.8         10.9         85           8         8         Female         23.7         12.8         10.9         85           10         82         Male         25.2         26.2         4.0         80           11         77         Female         26.1         5.3	: — —	≡	_	-	_	=	=	=	=	0	-	0	=			=	-	≡	=	MR [grade]
Patient         Age (years)         Sex         BMI (kg/m²)         EuroScore         STS           1         93         Male         25.4         37.4         15.6           2         73         Female         29.4         40.4         6.3           3         82         Male         23.5         20.3         4.9           4         73         Female         27.0         43.0         5.1           4         73         Female         27.0         43.0         5.3           5         73         Female         27.0         4.30         5.3           6         82         Male         24.6         8.4         4.6           7         76         Female         23.7         12.8         10.9           7         76         Female         23.7         12.8         10.9           8         81         Female         23.7         12.8         10.9           11         77         Female         23.7         12.8         10.9           12         66         8.3         12.8         10.9         10.9           11         77         Female         25.2         26.2	31	NA	24	32	AN	66	30	30	30	32	80	NA	76	85	36	60	55	88	69	Systolic PAP [mmHg]
Patient         Age (years)         Sex (kg/m²)         B/II (kg/m²)         EuroScore (%)           1         93         Male         25.4         37.4           2         73         Female         29.4         40.4           3         82         Male         23.5         20.3           4         78         Female         29.4         40.4           5         73         Female         23.5         20.3           4         78         Female         23.5         20.3           5         73         Female         23.5         20.3           6         82         Male         26.5         21.5           7         76         Female         28.3         15.4           10         82         Male         26.5         21.5           11         77         Female         26.1         5.3           12         Male         25.2         26.2         7.9           13         71         Male         26.1         5.3           14         84         27.0         43.0         7.9           15         71         7.9         7.9           16 <td>4.9 4.6</td> <td>7.5</td> <td>9.6</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>5.6</td> <td>4.1</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>5.8</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>4.9</td> <td>9.3</td> <td>10.9</td> <td>л 5.1 л 5.1</td> <td>5.3</td> <td>4.9</td> <td>6.3</td> <td>15.6</td> <td>STS [%]</td>	4.9 4.6	7.5	9.6	3.2	3.5	5.6	4.1	4.5	4.5	5.8	4.0	4.9	9.3	10.9	л 5.1 л 5.1	5.3	4.9	6.3	15.6	STS [%]
Patient         Age         Sex         BMI           1         93         Male         25.4           1         93         Male         25.4           2         73         Female         29.4           3         82         Male         23.5           4         78         Female         23.5           5         73         Female         23.5           6         82         Male         23.5           7         76         Female         23.5           73         Female         23.5           9         81         Female         26.5           10         82         Male         26.5           11         77         Female         26.3           12         67         Male         26.3           13         71         Male         26.1           15         80         Male         26.1           15         80         Male         21.5           16         81         Male         21.5           16         81         Male         21.5           16         81         Male         21.5     <	14.3 16.9	14.5	13.4	1.9	13.2	17.7	7.9	3.2	12.9	5.3	26.2	15.4	28.0	12.8	21.5 8 4	43.0	20.3	40.4	37.4	EuroScore [%]
PatientAgeSex193Male273Female382Male478Female573Female682Male776Female881Female1082Male1177Female1371Male1484Male1580Male1681Female1771Male1881Male1980Male1980Male1980Male1980Male1980Male1980Male1080Male	27.8 33.6	31.2	23.9	24.8	30.1	30.9	21.5	25.6	38.0	26.1	25.2	26.3	28.3	23.7	26.5 24.6	27.0	23.5	29.4	25.4	BMI [kg/m <sup>2</sup> ]
Patient         Age           1         93           2         73           2         73           3         82           4         78           5         73           6         82           7         76           8         81           9         82           10         82           11         77           12         84           13         71           15         80           16         81           17         71           18         81           16         81           17         71           18         86           19         80	Female Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Male	Female	Male	Male	Female	Female	Female Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Sex
Patient 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	78 74	80	86	71	81	80	84	17	67	17	82	85	81	76	73 82	78	82	73	93	Age [years]
	20 21	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	1	10	6	8	2	പറ	4	ო	2	-	Patient

1

Table 1. Baseline parameters.

Table 1. (co	ont). Baseli	ne parame	sters.							
Patient	Age [years]	Sex	BMI [kg/m²]	EuroScore [%]	<b>STS</b> [%]	Systolic PAP [mmHg]	MR [grade]	TR [grade]	EF [%]	Comorbidities
22	88	Male	21.5	19.5	11.5	AA	=	_	40	Chronic kidney failure, CAD, insulin- -dependent diabetes, peripheral arterial disease
23	76	Male	36.0	10.5	3.4	36	-	_	20	Coronary bypass surgery, insulin-dependent diabetes
24	06	Female	24.7	12.6	6.7	29	_	_	60	Chronic kidney failure
25	81	Male	28.4	20.6	8.0	70	=	_	60	Atrial fibrillation, Insulin-dependent diabetes, peripheral arterial disease, status post lung cancer
26	75	Female	24.0	11.0	2.7	38	=	_	40	CAD, atrial fibrillation
BMI — body mi EF — ejection fi	ass index; CAD action; GI — g	) — coronary a astrointestinal;	irtery disease; C ; MR — mitral r	RT-D — cardiac re egurgitation; NA —	synchroniz - not applic	ation therapy with desaible; PAP — pulmo	efibrillator; C nary artery p	DPD — chron ressure: STS	ic obstructiv — Society o	s pulmonary disease; CKD — chronic kidney disease; Thoracic Surgeons; TR — tricuspid regurgitation

A clinical examination, an electrocardiography and a transthoracic echocardiography were performed before TAVI and before discharge.

TAVI was performed in a specially equipped hybrid suite under general anesthesia by an interdisciplinary heart team consisting of a cardiac surgeon, a cardiologist and an anesthesiologist. All relevant baseline, procedural, and follow-up data were collected retrospectively.

A total of 26 patients (mean age 79.4  $\pm$  6.1 years, 17 male and 9 female) were treated for degenerated aortic prosthesis following surgical aortic valve replacement. The exact type of surgical bioprosthesis are listed in Table 2. Severe aortic stenosis was defined as an effective aortic orifice area (EOA) < 1 cm<sup>2</sup>. 7 prostheses showed a high-grade stenosis, 7 prostheses had severe aortic regurgitation and 7 showed high grade stenosis combined with serve aortic regurgitation. The elevated surgical risk is underscored by the STS score for risk of mortality (6.2  $\pm$  3.0%) and EuroScore II (17.3  $\pm$  10.5%). All patients were analyzed for 30 day survival.

#### Statistical analysis

Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test for statistical significance (GraphPad Prism 6, La Jolla, CA, USA) was performed. A p-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. When appropriate, data are presented as box plots with the boundaries of the box as the 75<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> percentiles, and with a line in the box indicating the median. Whiskers above and below the box mark maximum and minimum values, respectively.

## **Compliance with ethical standards**

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

# Results

The ViV implantation of the CoreValve Evolut R was performed successfully in all patients. In 18 patients, an Evolut 23 mm was used, 5 patients received an Evolut 26 mm, 2 patients received an Evolut 29 mm and 1 patient received an Evolut 34 mm. The mean transaortic gradient determined by transthoracic echocardiography was reduced significantly from 37.5  $\pm$  15.3 mmHg in patients with prosthetic stenosis to 16.3  $\pm$  8.2 mmHg (p < 0.001)

304

Table 2. Baseline and post transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) characteristics

Cardiology Journal 2018, Vol. 25, No. 3

of enclosing         Term           of enclosing         Exertine         For the form           reference         Enclosing         Enclosing         For the form         For the for the form          For the form						Aortic valve cha	aracteristics					
Protection         EOA         Max. gradient         AR         EOA         Max. gradient         AR         FOM         Max. gradient         AR         Protection         Max. gradient         Max. grad	of surgic	a	Time since valve	CoreValve		Bas	seline			Post	t TAVI	
3         21         23         0.7         58         31         1         1.2         24         13           1         28         23         10         47         26         1         1.1         29         17           3         12         23         0.5         102         67         11         12         29         17           3         12         23         0.7         51         32         0.4         9         27         9         17         29         17           3         12         23         0.7         51         30         0         0         13         67         36         21           3         10         23         1.2         23         1.2         28         14         11         29         16         13         36	lostnes	Ś	replacement [years]		EOA [cm <sup>2</sup> ]	Max. gradient [mmHg]	Mean gradient [mmHg]	AR [grade]	EOA [cm <sup>2</sup> ]	Max. gradient [mmHg]	Mean gradient [mmHg]	AR [grade]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	icock II ;	23	21	23	0.7	58	31	-	1.2	24	13	-
3         4         23         13         32         19         1         15         29         14           33         12         23         0.5         102         67         11         12         29         14           39         12         23         0.5         102         67         11         12         36         21           30         10         23         0.4         92         74         0         24         17         34           31         3         23         112         28         14         11         28         15           31         1         7         23         15         41         26         11         27         36           33         11         7         23         109         88         46         11         12         37         20           33         11         23         0.9         43         26         11         12         27         16           34         12         23         0.9         46         11         12         28         16         17           35         16         23	oFlow 2	5	28	23	1.0	47	26	=	1.1	29	17	0
23         12         23         0.5         102         67         11         1.2         36         21           23         10         23         0.7         51         30         0         7         11         12         36         21           23         10         23         0.4         92         74         0         0.7         51         34           25         17         26         NA         NA         NA         NA         NA         11         22         28         17         11         24         16         24<	ncock 2	ო	4	23	1.3	32	19	=	1.5	29	14	_
	centier	23	12	23	0.5	102	67	≡	1.2	36	21	_
	oentier	19	12	23	0.7	51	30	0	0.7	51	34	_
21         10         23         1.2         28         14         11         12         28         15           a 25         17         26         NA         NA         NA         NA         110         52         0         13         67         35           a 25         17         26         NA         NA         NA         NA         11         12         28         67         35           21         7         23         0.9         88         46         11         1.7         37         67         35           23         11         23         0.9         88         48         11         12         28         15           23         11         23         0.9         43         25         11         12         27         20         27           23         16         23         0.9         57         33         1         1         1         23         15           24         25         16         23         1.6         1         1         1         23         17           25         16         23         1.6         1.6         1 <td>oentier</td> <td>23</td> <td>10</td> <td>23</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>92</td> <td>74</td> <td>0</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>17</td> <td>10</td> <td>_</td>	oentier	23	10	23	0.4	92	74	0	2.4	17	10	_
1         3         23         0.8         110         52         0         13         67         35           a25         17         26         NA         NA         NA         NA         10         13         67         35           21         7         26         NA         NA         NA         NA         11         1.9         13         67         35           21         7         23         1.5         41         25         11         1.2         37         20           23         11         23         0.9         43         25         11         1.2         28         15           23         12         23         0.9         57         33         1         1.4         23         12           23         16         23         1.5         38         NA         11         1.4         23         15           25         12         26         13         1         1.4         23         15           26         12         26         11         1.4         1.4         23         12         23           25         16         26 </td <td>-oFlow</td> <td>21</td> <td>10</td> <td>23</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>28</td> <td>14</td> <td>≡</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>28</td> <td>15</td> <td>0</td>	-oFlow	21	10	23	1.2	28	14	≡	1.2	28	15	0
a25         17         26         NA         NA         NA         II         19         13         6           21         7         23         0.9         88         46         II         1.7         37         20           21         7         23         1.5         41         25         II         1.2         51         25           23         11         23         0.9         43         25         II         1.2         28         16           23         12         23         0.9         57         33         1         1.4         23         15           23         16         23         15         38         NA         II         1.4         23         15           24         15         26         13         16         14         16         26         12           25         6         23         15         38         NA         II         1.4         23         15           26         16         26         18         16         16         16         16         26         16           25         6         16         16	bcor 2	5	က	23	0.8	110	52	0	1.3	67	35	0
23         9         23         0.9         88         46         II         1.7         37         20           21         7         23         1.5         41         25         II         1.2         51         25           23         11         23         0.9         43         25         II         1.2         51         25           23         12         23         0.9         43         25         II         1.2         28         15           23         12         23         0.9         57         33         1         1.4         23         15           23         16         23         0.9         57         33         1         1.4         23         15           25         16         23         1.5         38         NA         II         1.4         23         15           25         16         23         12         26         1         1.4         1.4         23         16           25         16         23         1         1.4         1.4         1.4         24         24         24           25         16 <td< td=""><td>er Prim</td><td>ia 25</td><td>17</td><td>26</td><td>٩N</td><td>NA</td><td>NA</td><td>≡</td><td>1.9</td><td>13</td><td>9</td><td>0</td></td<>	er Prim	ia 25	17	26	٩N	NA	NA	≡	1.9	13	9	0
21         7         23         1.5         41         25         11         1.2         51         26         15         26           23         11         23         0.9         43         25         11         1.2         51         25         15         28         15         28         15         28         16         22         28         15         28         16         22         28         15         28         16         27         28         16         16         23         0.9         43         25         1         14         28         15         28         16         28         16         23         0.9         64         46         1         14         16         23         16         28         16         28         16         28         16         28         16         28         16         16         28         16         28         16         28         17         28         12         28         12         28         12         28         12         28         12         28         12         28         12         28         12         28         12         12         1	ncock	23	6	23	0.9	88	46	≡	1.7	37	20	_
(23) $(1)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(23)$ $(16)$ $(22)$ $(12)$ $(23)$ $(15)$ $(23)$ $(15)$ $(23)$ $(15)$ $(23)$ $(15)$ $(23)$ $(15)$ $(23)$ $(16)$ $(23)$ $(16)$ $(23)$ $(16)$ $(25)$ $(16)$ $(25)$ $(16)$ $(26)$ $(16)$ $(26)$ $(16)$ $(26)$ $(16)$ $(26)$ $(16)$ $(26)$ $(16)$ $(26)$ $(16)$ $(26)$ $(16)$ $(26)$ $(16)$ $(26)$ $(16)$ $(26)$ $(16)$ $(26)$ $(16)$ $(26)$ <	-oFlow	, 21	7	23	1.5	41	25	≡	1.2	51	25	_
23         8         23         0.8         48         31         0         1.6         22         12           25         10         23         0.9         57         33         1         1.4         23         15           25         10         23         0.9         57         33         1         1.4         23         15           25         12         23         1.5         38         NA         11         1.4         23         15           25         15         26         1.8         12         6         1         1.3         44         23         12           0         16         29         0.6         1.8         12         6         1         1.9         7         4           0         16         29         0.5         71         45         1         1.4         23         1         23           26         7         26         1.3         36         1         1.4         23         1         2           27         8         34         2.6         1         1         1.4         2         1         4           <	icock II	23	11	23	0.9	43	25	≡	1.2	28	15	0
23         12         23         09         57         33         1         1.4         23         15           25         10         23         0.8         75         35         1         1.6         25         12           25         16         23         1.5         38         NA         11         1.6         25         12           25         15         26         1.8         12         6         29         NA         11         1.9         12         23           027         16         29         NA         NA         11         1.9         7         4           027         16         29         NA         NA         11         1.9         7         4           25         6         29         0.5         71         45         1         1.4         23         9           26         7         26         1.3         38         20         1         4         5         1         1           26         7         23         0.6         43         26         1         1         4         5         1         1         1	oFlow	23	80	23	0.8	48	31	0	1.6	22	12	_
12         10         23         0.8         75         35         1         1.6         25         12           12         16         23         1.5         38         NA         II         1.3         44         23           12         15         26         1.8         12         6         II         1.3         44         23           027         16         26         1.8         12         6         II         1.9         7         4           027         16         29         NA         NA         NA         II         1.4         1.4         23           25         6         29         0.5         71         45         1         1.4         1.4         9           26         7         26         1.3         38         20         1         1.4         30         19         10           23         12         23         0.6         43         25         1         1.4         37         16           23         13         23         0.6         43         26         1         1.4         37         10           23         13<	centie	r 23	12	23	0.9	57	33	_	1.4	23	15	0
723         16         23         1.5         38         NA         II         1.3         44         23           125         12         26         0.9         64         46         I         1.9         10         5           0         15         26         1.8         12         6         I         1.9         10         5           0         27         16         29         NA         NA         NA         II         1.4         1.4         5           0         25         6         29         NA         NA         NA         II         1.4         1.4         9           25         6         29         0.5         71         45         II         1.3         19         10           26         7         26         1.3         38         20         II         4.5         10         9           23         12         23         0.6         43         37         16         37         16           23         13         23         0.7         74         37         16         16           23         13         16         23	icock I	I 25	10	23	0.8	75	35	_	1.6	25	12	0
25       12       26       0.9       64       46       1       1.9       10       5         0 27       16       26       1.8       12       6       11       1.9       7       4         0 27       16       29       NA       NA       NA       NA       11       1.4       14       9         0 25       6       29       0.5       71       45       11       1.3       19       7       4         26       7       26       71       45       11       1.3       19       10       2         26       7       26       1.3       38       20       11       3.0       18       9       7         26       7       26       1.3       38       20       1       4.5       10       7       4         27       28       13       3.0       18       3.0       18       10	centier	23	16	23	1.5	38	NA	=	1.3	44	23	_
15         26         1.8         12         6         II         1.9         7         4           o27         16         29         NA         NA         NA         II         1.4         1.4         9           25         6         29         NA         NA         NA         II         1.4         1.4         9           25         6         29         0.5         71         45         II         1.3         19         10           26         7         26         1.3         38         20         1         4.5         10         9           26         7         26         1.3         38         20         1         4.5         10         7         9           23         12         26         1.3         38         20         1         4.5         10         6         5           23         13         23         0.6         43         32         20         1.4         37         15           23         6         23         1.0         7         9         6         5         5           23         1.0         5         <	mount	t 25	12	26	0.9	64	46	=	1.9	10	Ъ	-
0.27       16       29       NA       NA       NA       II       1.4       14       9         25       6       29       0.5       71       45       II       1.3       19       10         7       8       3.4       2.6       21       9       II       3.0       18       9         7       8       3.4       2.6       21       9       II       3.0       18       9         76       7       26       1.3       38       20       I       4.5       10       9         73       12       23       0.6       43       25       I       1.4       32       20         73       13       23       0.7       79       42       0       1.4       37       15         73       6       23       1.0       57       28       II       1.2       30       5         73       6       23       1.0       57       28       II       1.2       36       5         73       6       23       1.0       57       28       II       1.2       39       5         73       1.0 </td <td>Elan 25</td> <td>10</td> <td>15</td> <td>26</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>12</td> <td>9</td> <td>≡</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>7</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td>	Elan 25	10	15	26	1.8	12	9	≡	1.9	7	4	0
25       6       29       0.5       71       45       1       1.3       19       10         7       8       34       2.6       21       9       11       3.0       18       9         26       7       26       1.3       38       20       1       4.5       10       9         26       7       26       1.3       38       20       1       4.5       10       5         723       12       23       0.6       43       25       1       1.4       32       20         /23       13       23       0.7       79       42       0       1.4       37       15         /23       13       23       10       52       23       11       1.4       37       15         /23       6       23       1.0       52       28       1       1.2       39       5         /23       6       23       1.0       52       28       1       1.2       39       5	ərPrim	10 27	16	29	ΝA	NA	NA	≡	1.4	14	ი	—
7         8         34         2.6         21         9         II         3.0         18         9           26         7         26         1.3         38         20         1         4.5         10         5           73         12         23         0.6         43         25         1         1.4         32         20           73         13         23         0.7         79         42         0         1.4         32         20           123         6         23         NA         36         23         11         NA         9         5           23         1.0         52         28         11         NA         9         5           23         1.0         52         28         1         1.2         39         5	edom	25	9	29	0.5	71	45	=	1.3	19	10	0
26         7         26         1.3         38         20         II         4.5         10         5           r23         12         23         0.6         43         25         1         1.4         32         20           r23         13         23         0.7         79         42         0         1.4         37         15           r23         6         23         NA         36         23         II         NA         36         5           r23         6         23         10         52         23         11         15         20           r23         6         23         10         52         28         II         NA         9         5	Elan 27		80	34	2.6	21	6	=	3.0	18	6	_
r       23       12       23       0.6       43       25       1       1.4       32       20         r       23       13       23       0.7       79       42       0       1.4       37       15         123       6       23       NA       36       23       II       NA       9       5         r       23       1.0       52       28       II       1.2       39       5	oien 3	26	7	26	1.3	38	20	=	4.5	10	5	_
<ul> <li>/23 13 23 0.7 79 42 0 1.4 37 15</li> <li>123 6 23 NA 36 23 III NA 9 5</li> <li>/23 6 23 1.0 52 28 II 1.2 39 21</li> </ul>	centie	r 23	12	23	0.6	43	25	_	1.4	32	20	-
123 6 23 NA 36 23 II NA 9 5 23 6 23 1.0 52 28 II 1.2 39 21	-oFlow	23	13	23	0.7	79	42	0	1.4	37	15	0
/23 6 23 1.0 52 28 II 1.2 39 21	icock	I 23	9	23	ΝA	36	23	≡	ΑN	6	Ъ	0
	-oFlow	23	9	23	1.0	52	28	=	1.2	39	21	—

AR — aortic regurgitation; EOA — effective oriffice area; NA — not applicable



**Figure 1.** Mean pressure gradients at baseline and after valve-in-valve transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) (p < 0.001).



Figure 2. Effective orifice area at baseline and after valve-in-valve transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) (p < 0.001).

(Fig. 1). The EOA increased from  $0.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ cm}^2$  in patients with prosthesis stenosis to  $1.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ cm}^2$  (p < 0.001) (Fig. 2). In all cases with severe aortic regurgitation, the regurgitation was reduced to none or mild regurgitation. There was no case of moderate or severe regurgitation after ViV. In 3 patients, a postdilatation was performed due to excessive calcification. The mean EOA was  $1.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ cm}^2$  for the 23 mm Evolut valve that was used the most.

In 3 patients, implantation of a permanent pacemaker was necessary. In 2 patients (No. 9 and

No. 21) pacemaker implantation was necessary due to a complete atrioventricular block after TAVI. In these cases, the CoreValve Evolut R had to be implanted deep into the left ventricular outflow tract to avoid obstruction of the right coronary artery for patient 9 and to cover a paravalvular regurgitation in patient No. 21. In 1 patient, the pacemaker was implanted due to symptomatic sick sinus syndrome 6 days after ViV. In all other cases, no new conduction disturbances were observed.

In patient No. 5, the CoreValve Evolut R dislocated into the ascending aorta after deployment. A second CoreValve Evolut R was implanted into the surgical valve fixating the first valve in the ascending aorta without obstruction of the brachiocephalic artery. In this patient, a reduction of the mean transaortic gradient was not accomplished.

In 4 patients (No. 7, 11, 16 and 26), the transaortic gradient was not reduced or even increased slightly. In these patients a combination of stenosis and regurgitation was an indication for ViV. The regurgitation was reduced effectively in all patients. In these patients, a short acceleration time of the transaortic outflow (< 100 ms) was measured before TAVI suggesting a prosthesis-patient mismatch in addition to valve degeneration as the reason for elevated gradients. Since all patients were considered unfit for surgery, the interdisciplinary heart team recommended TAVI to reduce regurgitation.

Further complications included 2 strokes in patients No. 21 and No. 18. In both patients neurological symptoms regressed spontaneously.

In patient No. 18, periprocedural obstruction of the left coronary artery had to be treated with by stent implantation in the left main stem.

All patients were discharged after an average postoperative stay of  $10 \pm 8$  days. All-cause mortality after 30 days was 0%.

## Discussion

Trancatheter ViV implantation for failing surgical aortic valves represents an advantageous option for high risk patients. The CoreValve Evolut R is a new generation valve with approval for ViV implantation.

Insufficient reduction of the transaortic gradients after TAVI is a major problem for ViV implantations in small surgical valves — especially in patients with prosthesis-patient mismatch [7]. Accordingly, 4 patients in this study with prosthesis-patient mismatch, transaortic gradient could not be improved significantly. In these patients, however, the indication for ViV was a mix of aortic



Figure 3. Examples of valve-in-valve implantations in patients with different surgical bioprostheses; A. Hancock; B. Carpentier; C. MitroFlow.

valve disease with predominant aortic regurgitation which was sufficiently reduced. Nevertheless, ViV implantations in patients with suspected prosthesis-patient mismatch should be reserved for patients with very high operative risk. The rate of insufficient reduction of the transaortic gradient was 19% and therefore comparable with the rate for postprocedural elevated gradients in small valves of 23.4% for a previous generation of self-expanding valves [8].

Moderate or severe paravalvular regurgitations were not observed in any cases. While clearly limited by the low number of patients included, the present data suggests that the new CoreValve Evolut R is suited for successful interventional treatment of degenerated surgical prosthetic valves. This is an improvement over data for the older generation of self-expanding valves with a rate for at least moderate regurgitation of 8.9% [8].

Despite the high EuroScore II and STS score no patient died within 30 day follow-up.

According to the available literature, ViV implantations are associated with a lower incidence of relevant conduction disturbances — most likely due to the ability of rigid rings of stented surgical valves which prevent pressure load on the atrioventricular conduction system during TAVI [7]. In 2 patients, complete atrioventicular block occurred after ViV and permanent pacemaker implantation was performed. Notably, a stentless Baxter Prima 25 mm was the degenerated surgical valve in 1 patient and a very deep implantation of Evolut was necessary to avoid obstruction of the right coronary artery. In the other patient, again a stentless Elan 27 mm valve was the degenerated surgical valve and a very deep implantation of the Evolut R was necessary to cover paravalvular regurgitation. Both factors likely contributed to heart block in our patients as we have previously shown that deeper implantation is associated with higher risk for permanent pacemaker implantation in patients receiving an Edwards Sapien 3 [9]. The third pacemaker was implanted due to, most likely preexisting, sick sinus syndrome. This rate is comparable to the rate of permanent pacemaker implantation after ViV procedure with previous generations of self-expanding valves [8].

In one other patient, the ViV implantation did not reduce the transaortic gradient. In this patient, a first CoreValve Evolut R dislocated into the ascending aorta after supraannular implantation. A second valve was placed intraannularly and thereby both replaced the degenerated aortic prosthesis and fixated the first valve. In addition, in this patient the surgical valve was a 19 mm Carpentier aortic valve. In preoperative computed tomography-scan, an internal diameter of 18 mm was measured. The combination of a small surgical valve and the necessity of two transfemoral valves probably resulted in an unchanged gradient over the aortic valve. This result is comparable with the literature for the previous generation of self-expanding ViV procedures with a rate which required a second valve of 7.5% [8].

In general, current literature suggests that an intraanular placement of the valve may result in residual stenosis due to incomplete expansion and leaflet distortion. Accordingly, a supraannular placement of the valve in ViV implantations should be performed to optimize EOA as shown in Figure 3 [10].

## Conclusions

It is concluded that the CoreValve Evolut R is well suited for ViV implantation. In patients with high surgical risk, transcatheter ViV implantation represents a beneficial option with low periprocedural complications. Promising early results should be confirmed by a larger series with long-term follow up.

#### Conflict of interest: None declared

## References

- Potter DD, Sundt TM, Zehr KJ, et al. Operative risk of reoperative aortic valve replacement. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2005; 129(1): 94–103, doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2004.08.023, indexed in Pubmed: 15632830.
- Eggebrecht H, Schäfer U, Treede H, et al. Valve-in-valve transcatheter aortic valve implantation for degenerated bioprosthetic heart valves. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2011; 4(11): 1218–1227, doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2011.07.015, indexed in Pubmed: 22115663.
- Khawaja MZ, Haworth P, Ghuran A, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation for stenosed and regurgitant aortic valve bioprostheses CoreValve for failed bioprosthetic aortic valve replacements. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010; 55(2): 97– -101, doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.06.060, indexed in Pubmed: 20117377.

- Jategaonkar SR, Scholtz W, Horstkotte D, et al. Transfemoral aortic valve-in-valve implantation with the CoreValve Evolut for small degenerated stented bioprosthesis. J Invasive Cardiol. 2014; 26(6): 291–294, indexed in Pubmed: 24907087.
- Diemert P, Seiffert M, Frerker C, et al. Valve-in-valve implantation of a novel and small self-expandable transcatheter heart valve in degenerated small surgical bioprostheses: the Hamburg experience. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2014; 84(3): 486–493, doi: 10.1002/ccd.25234, indexed in Pubmed: 24753352.
- Manoharan G, Walton AS, Brecker SJ, et al. Treatment of Symptomatic Severe Aortic Stenosis With a Novel Resheathable Supra-Annular Self-Expanding Transcatheter Aortic Valve System. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2015; 8(10): 1359–1367, doi: 10.1016/j. jcin.2015.05.015, indexed in Pubmed: 26315740.
- Dvir D, Webb J, Brecker S, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement for degenerative bioprosthetic surgical valves: results from the global valve-in-valve registry. Circulation. 2012; 126(19): 2335–2344, doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA. 112.104505, indexed in Pubmed: 23052028.
- Dvir D, Barbanti M, Tan J, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve-invalve implantation for patients with degenerative surgical bioprosthetic valves. Curr Probl Cardiol. 2014; 39(1): 7–27, doi: 10.1016/j. cpcardiol.2013.10.001, indexed in Pubmed: 24331437.
- Schwerg M, Fulde F, Dreger H, et al. Optimized Implantation Height of the Edwards SAPIEN 3 Valve to Minimize Pacemaker Implantation After TAVI. J Interv Cardiol. 2016; 29(4): 370–374, doi: 10.1111/joic.12302, indexed in Pubmed: 27240558.
- Simonato M, Azadani AN, Webb J, et al. In vitro evaluation of implantation depth in valve-in-valve using different transcatheter heart valves. EuroIntervention. 2016; 12(7): 909–917, doi: 10.4244/EIJV12I7A149, indexed in Pubmed: 27639744.