## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## Cardioprotective strategies are not "one size fits all". Is it time to consider personalised medicine?

## Sandrine Lecour\*, Nkanyiso Hadebe\*# and Lionel Opie\*

\*Hatter Institute and MRC Inter-University Cape Heart Group, University of Cape Town, Observatory, Cape Town, South Africa. #Department of Anaesthesia, University of Cape Town, Observatory, Cape Town, South Africa

Since the discovery of the conditioning phenomenon in 1986 (whereby brief episodes of ischaemia reperfusion protect against a sustained ischaemic insult), thousands of preclinical studies have explored the benefits and signaling mechanisms of this cardioprotective strategy which aims to protect patients undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI), or cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) surgery, against ischaemia reperfusion injury. A large number of proof of concept clinical trials have highlighted the benefits of conditioning to protect patients against ischaemia reperfusion injury, but none have yet translated to the clinical routine. The outcomes of the multicenter studies ERICCA,<sup>(1)</sup> RIPHeart<sup>(2)</sup> and CIRCUS<sup>(3)</sup> which involved larger number of patients (1 612, 1 385 and 970 respectively) were eagerly awaited, hoping that pharmacological conditioning with cyclosporine A, or remote ischaemic preconditioning, could soon become part of the clinical routine.

Although these studies repeated protocols that proved to be successful in small clinical trial studies, they all unexpectedly gave a neutral outcome. Cyclosporine A failed to protect patients undergoing PCI and remote ischaemic preconditioning failed to protect patients undergoing CPB. These disappointing outcomes are certainly teaching us a lesson: cardioprotective strategies are not a "one size fits all" strategy. In small proof of concept studies, exclusion criteria (including co-morbidities and co-medication) differ from larger studies. Preclinical studies, and some human proof of concept trials, have clearly highlighted a large number of confounders that may affect the outcome of conditioning including age, co-medication (including anti-platelet therapy, glyceryl trinitrate, anaesthetic agent) co-morbidities (diabetes, hypercholesterolemia) or type of reperfusion.<sup>(4)</sup> It is becoming increasingly clear that the cardioprotective strategies may therefore have to opt for personalised medicine, adapting the conditioning protocol function to the patient. As an example, recent preclinical studies have highlighted the fact that either ischaemic postconditioning, or cyclosporine A, protected the non-diabetic rat but failed to protect the diabetic animal against ischaemia reperfusion injury.<sup>(5)</sup> A combination of both ischaemic postconditioning strategies applied routinely in the clinical studies the field moves forward with its objective to see conditioning strategies applied routinely in the clinical studies should consider adapting different strategies on a one to one basis.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Hausenloy DJ, Candilio L, Evans R, et al. ERICCA Trial Investigators. Remote ischaemic preconditioning and outcomes of cardiac surgery. N Engl J Med 2015;373: 1408-1417.
- Meybohm P, Bein B, Brosteanu O, et al. RIPHeart Study Collaborators. A multicenter trial of remote ischaemic preconditioning for heart surgery. N Engl J Med 2015;373:1397-1407.
- 3. Cung TT, Morel O, Cayla G, et al. Cyclosporine before PCI in patients with acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2015;373:1021-1031.
- 4. Lecour S, Bøtker HE, Condorelli G, et al. ESC working group cellular biology of the heart: position paper: Improving the preclinical assessment of novel cardioprotective therapies. Cardiovasc Res 2014;104:399-411.
- 5. Najafi M, Farajnia S, Mohammadi M, et al. Inhibition of mitochondrial permeability transition pore restores the cardioprotection by postconditioning in diabetic hearts. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2014;13:106.