



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A comparison of urban and rural patients with chronic kidney disease referred to Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital in Durban, South Africa

Mitesh Singh^{1,2}, Nombulelo P Magula¹, Sudesh Hariparshad², Alain GH Assounga²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, King Edward VIII Hospital and University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa;

²Department of Nephrology, Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital and University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa.

ABSTRACT

Background: The profiles of patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) in rural areas have long been thought to differ from those of their urban counterparts. However, there have been few local studies to confirm this.

Methods: A retrospective review was conducted to compare the characteristics of patients with CKD from rural and urban areas in the South African province of KwaZulu-Natal, who were referred to Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital (IALCH) from April 2012 to April 2013.

Results: A total of 529 patients were included. The mean age of those from rural areas was lower than that of urban participants (40.6 vs. 53.4 years) and all these patients were Black. The rural patients had lower estimated glomerular filtration rates (mean values of 16.3 vs. 25.4 mL/min/1.73 m², $P < 0.001$). Regarding comorbidity, rural patients had higher rates of HIV infection (47.9% vs. 18.3%) but lower rates of hypertension (69.6% vs. 83.9%) and diabetes (20.3% vs. 54.1%) than the urban patients.

Conclusions: Patients with CKD referred from rural areas differed significantly from their urban counterparts. Rural patients presented at a younger age, had a higher prevalence of HIV infection, and had more advanced kidney disease at referral. Poor socio-economic circumstances limiting access to health care and less screening for CKD may have contributed to delayed referrals from rural areas.

Keywords: Chronic kidney disease; KwaZulu-Natal; rural; urban.

INTRODUCTION

There is a global rise in the prevalence of chronic kidney disease (CKD), with Europe and the United States having rates of 10.2% and 11.7%, respectively [1]. Sub-Saharan Africa has an estimated CKD prevalence of 13.9% [2]. In South Africa, a recent study by Adeniyi et al. [3] reported a prevalence of 6.1% among teachers in the Western Cape province when using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) study equation for estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and a prevalence of 10.0% when the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) study equation was used. In the cohort of Matsha et al., also in the Western Cape, the CKD prevalence was 17.3% [4].

The South African province of KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) has a population which is largely rural and socio-economically impoverished. There is a high burden of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection [5] and it is likely that the prevalence of CKD, especially HIV-related CKD, will be high in this disadvantaged rural population [6]. Such a high prevalence has been observed in a cross-sectional study from Cameroon [7]. The aetiology, risk factors, clinical course and access to treatment in our rural patients may differ from those from urban areas and is the focus of this study.

Received 06 December 2016; accepted 11 April 2017; published 21 June 2017.

Correspondence: M Singh, mitesh.singh@hotmail.com.

© The Author(s) 2017. Published under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



METHODS

Patients who were referred to Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital (IALCH) in Durban, KZN, for assessment for renal replacement therapy (RRT) or for renal biopsies between January 2013 and January 2014 were included in this retrospective study. These patients were referred from eight of the 11 municipal districts in the province (Table 1). The remaining districts are serviced by Grey's Hospital in Pietermaritzburg, and patients from these areas are therefore not included in the study.

Data collected included clinical and laboratory information as detailed in Table 2. The assignment of rurality was based on the home address. Details on occupations were not available. Serum creatinine was measured using an enzymatic method and protein excretion was measured on 24-hour urine collections using a pyrogallol red–molybdate method. GFR was estimated using the MDRD equation in patients older than 18 years, and the Schwartz equation in younger patients.

Definitions

Urban refers to land that has been proclaimed as residential. Roads are formally planned and maintained by the authorities and services such as water, sewage removal, electricity and refuse removal are provided [9]. Rural refers to an area that is not classified as urban and may comprise tribal areas, commercial farms and informal settlements. Areas were considered as urban or rural according to the definitions of Statistics South Africa [9].

eGFR prediction equations using serum creatinine (S_{cr}):

MDRD eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) =

$$175 \times (S_{cr} \text{ in } \mu\text{mol/L} / 88.4)^{-1.154} \times (\text{Age in years})^{-0.203} \times (0.742 \text{ [if female]}) [9]$$

Schwartz eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) =

$$0.413 \times (\text{Height in cm}) / (S_{cr} \text{ in } \mu\text{mol/L} / 88.4) [10]$$

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS version 23. Categorical data were described as proportions and patients from rural areas were compared with those from urban areas using the chi-squared test. Continuous data were summarised as mean \pm standard deviation (SD); non-normal data were summarised in terms of the median (interquartile range). Differences between groups were evaluated using analysis of variance (ANOVA) or the Mann-Whitney U test. Logistic regression was used to analyse the association of origin (urban/rural) with various demographic and clinical parameters. Variables that were of significance or near signifi-

cance using univariate analysis were further analysed using multivariate regression.

Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of KwaZulu-Natal Biomedical Research Ethics Committee (reference BE 372/15).

RESULTS

A total of 529 patients were included in the study. The majority (87%) had been referred from urban areas (Table 1) and, in particular, from the eThekweni district (Durban metropolitan area) (72.8%).

Table 1. Nephrology referrals to IALCH from January 2013 to January 2014.

District and hospital	Population	Density People/km ²	Referrals Number (%)
eThekweni	3 442 361	1500	383 (72.8)
Addington Hospital			56
King DiniZulu Hospital			17
King Edward VIII Hospital			38
Mahatma Gandhi Memorial Hospital			57
Osindisweni Hospital			9
Prince Mshiyeni Memorial Hospital			25
Rahim K Khan Hospital			148
Wentworth Hospital			33
Ugu	722 484	140	45 (8.5)
GJ Crookes Hospital			6
Murchison Hospital			14
Port Shepstone Hospital			25
iLembe	606 809	190	47 (8.1)
Stanger Hospital			47
uMkhanyakude	625 846	49	27 (4.5)
Bethesda Hospital			6
Manguzi Hospital			12
Mosvold Hospital			3
Mseleni Hospital			6
uThungulu	907 519	110	31 (5.3)
Catherine Booth Hospital			1
Eshowe Hospital			5
Ngwelezana Hospital			25
uMgungundlovu	1 017 763	110	2 (0.4)
Grey's Hospital			2
Zululand	803 575	54	1 (0.2)
Nkonjeni Hospital			1
Amajuba	499 839	72	1 (0.2)
Niemeyer Hospital			1

Table 2 compares the clinical and laboratory data of the urban and rural patients. Most patients were older than 18 years with only 13 (2.5%) aged between 13 and 18. The mean age of the urban patients was 53.4 years and that of rural patients 40.6 years ($P < 0.001$). In the urban group, half of the patients were Black (50%), followed numerically by Indian (42.2%), White (5.9%), and Coloured (mixed ancestry) patients (2%). Patients in the rural group were exclusively Black. There were no significant differences in the sex distribution between the groups.

Regarding comorbid conditions, rural patients were less likely to have hypertension (OR 0.45, 95% CI 0.26–0.80) or diabetes (OR 0.22, CI 0.12–0.40), but much more likely to have HIV infection (OR 4.50, 2.41–6.94). There was no difference in the CD4 counts between HIV-infected patients from the two groups. The differences in com-

orbidities were present even after adjusting for age by multivariate regression analysis (Table 3).

Rural patients more often had dipstick proteinuria (OR 1.32, CI 1.07–1.62) but did not demonstrate higher 24-hour urinary protein excretion. A lower mean eGFR was noted in rural patients (16.3 versus 25.4 mL/min/1.73 m²), corresponding to the higher serum urea and creatinine values. Higher levels of serum phosphate were also present in patients from rural areas (OR 2.00, CI 1.29–3.11). Kidney sizes were similar in the two groups of patients (10.4 and 10.3 cm, respectively).

The rural patients had lower total cholesterol levels (4.2 vs. 5.0 mmol/L) and also lower mean haemoglobin levels (9.7 vs. 10.4 g/dL). There was no difference in the use of an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (enalapril) (OR 0.85, CI 0.70–1.02) although the use of furosemide was higher among rural patients (OR 2.40, CI 1.29–3.93).

Table 2. Comparison of clinical and laboratory data of urban versus rural patients.

	Urban	Rural	P-value
Total patients	460 (87%)	69 (13%)	
Demographic variables			
Age (years)	53.4 (14.5)	40.6 (15.2)	< 0.001
Ethnicity	460	69	< 0.001
African	230 (50)	69 (100)	
White	27 (5.9)	0	
Indian	194 (42.2)	0	
Coloured (mixed ethnicity)	9 (2)	0	
Female sex	248/434 (53.9%)	36/69 (52.2%)	0.514
Comorbid conditions			
Diabetes mellitus	249 (54.1%)	14 (20.29%)	< 0.001
Hypertension	386 (83.9%)	48 (69.56%)	0.007
HIV infection	84 (18.3%)	33 (47.87)	< 0.001
Laboratory data			
Viral load (copies/mL)	30 801 (139 427)	56 8319 (139 150)	0.690
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.0 (1.9)	4.2 (1.2)	0.001
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	2.2 (1.7)	1.8 (1.2)	0.118
Corrected calcium (mmol/L)	2.23 (0.54)	2.15 (0.36)	0.303
Phosphate (mmol/L)	1.48 (0.48)	1.70 (0.69)	< 0.001
Serum glucose (mmol/L)	7.1 (2.8)	6.2 (2.0)	0.015
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	10.4 (2.3)	9.7 (2.6)	0.207
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	25.4 (15.9)	16.3 (13.4)	< 0.001
24-hour urine protein (g)	2.04 (2.94)	1.86 (2.11)	0.677
Urea (mmol/L)	18.3 (11.2)	21.2 (13.7)	0.057
Creatinine (μmol/L)	392 (348)	560 (410)	< 0.001
LVEF (%)	55 (9)	59 (9)	0.104

Data expressed as mean ± standard deviation, median (IQR) or as number (percentage). LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression analysis (age-adjusted) for comorbid conditions in patients referred from rural versus urban areas.

	OR*	95% CI	P-value
Hypertension	1.02	0.53–1.98	0.948
Diabetes mellitus	0.36	0.19–0.71	0.003
HIV infection	2.83	1.64–4.94	< 0.001

* OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Rural patients were more likely to have inadequate access to amenities such as proper sanitation (OR 0.05, CI 0.02–0.17) or electricity (OR 0.09, CI 0.04–0.22). Among patients residing in urban areas, 86.5% had access to all basic amenities whereas only 8.1% of rural patients benefited in this way.

DISCUSSION

KZN is the province with the country's second-largest population, estimated to exceed 11 million people [8]. In this study, the majority of patients came from urban areas, predominantly the eThekweni district. This may be the result of more vigilant screening and the high population density of urban areas. A higher prevalence of CKD as a result of lifestyle-related disorders among patients residing in urban areas must also be considered.

In the study of Madala et al., the most prevalent comorbid conditions reported were hypertension (75.2%), diabetes (29.8%) and HIV (28.5%). In our study, the rural group had a much higher prevalence of HIV infection (47.9%), a similar prevalence of hypertension (69.6%), and a lower prevalence of diabetes (20.3%). The two study groups were different in that the rural participants consisted of patients presenting to a secondary level clinic and in our cases they were being referred to a tertiary/quaternary level nephrology service. The difference in HIV prevalence should be interpreted cautiously. It may be partly explained by increased rates of diagnosis in an era of easier access to voluntary HIV testing and counselling in line with the national HIV programme. Improved vigilance in screening for HIV-related diseases, such as kidney disease, and a lower threshold for referring these patients may also explain the higher HIV prevalence in this study. It is pertinent to note that the prevalence of hypertension and diabetes in rural populations remains high and that efforts to improve screening for kidney-related complications in these patients also requires prioritisation.

Rural patients had a much lower eGFR upon presentation. Poor infrastructure, among other contributing factors,

may contribute to the late presentation of rural patients with CKD to nephrology services. The study by Madala et al. [11] reported a mean eGFR of 30 mL/min/1.73 m² in patients presenting to a rural CKD clinic. These patients may have undergone earlier screening than our patients, who had more advanced disease upon referral. This impression of later referral of patients from rural areas is further supported by their higher serum phosphate levels and lower haemoglobin levels. An important factor which may contribute to the severity of renal disease in patients from rural areas may be ethnicity. Renal risk variants in apolipoprotein 1 (APOL1) are associated with higher rates of CKD, and CKD progression, in Black patients, regardless of diabetes status [12].

Patients in rural areas may benefit from better living conditions as well as improved infrastructure for easier access to health care. Region-specific strategies may be most appropriate in addressing the burden of renal disease rather than applying the same measures for all South African populations.

Our study has several limitations. Poor data capture at referral hospitals resulted in incomplete data for some patients. Another limitation was the small numbers of rural patients included in the study. One reason is that the mainly rural uMgungundlovu district is served by Grey's Hospital and not IALCH. Rural patients may also have less access to health care, rural infrastructure may be inadequate to support screening programmes and rural clinicians may be less likely to refer to higher levels of care.

CONCLUSIONS

This study has demonstrated a considerable difference in the demographic and clinical profiles of patients with chronic kidney disease who are referred from a rural setting as compared to their urban counterparts in KZN. Kidney disease is more advanced in rural patients and substantially fewer patients are referred to central hospitals from rural areas. Improvements in basic services and targeted screening programmes in rural parts of the province are needed to improve the management of CKD in these vulnerable patients.

Conflict of interest

None to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Ayodele OE, Alebiosu CO. Burden of chronic kidney disease: an international perspective. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis.* 2010; 17(3): 215-224.
2. Stanifer JW, Jing B, Tolan S, Helmke N, Mukerjee R, Naicker S et al. The epidemiology of chronic kidney disease in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health.* 2014; 2(3):174-181.
3. Adeniyi AB, Laurence CE, Volmink JA, Davids MR. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease and association with cardiovascular risk factors among teachers in Cape Town, South Africa. *Clin Kidney J.* 2016:1-7.
4. Matsha TE, Yako YY, Rensburg MA, Hassan MS, Kengne AP, Erasmus RT. Chronic kidney diseases in mixed ancestry South African populations: prevalence, determinants and concordance between kidney function estimators. *BMC Nephrol.* 2013; 14:75.
5. Wabiri N, Taffa N. Socio-economic inequality and HIV in South Africa. *BMC Public Health.* 2013; 13:1037.
6. Garcia-Garcia G, Jha V. Chronic kidney disease in disadvantaged populations. *Braz J Med Biol Res.* 2015; 48(5):377-381.
7. Kaze FF, Meto DT, Halle M, Ngogang J, Kengne AP. Prevalence and determinants of chronic kidney disease in rural and urban Cameroonians: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Nephrol.* 2015; 16:117.
8. Community survey 2016: Provinces-at-a-glance. Statistics South Africa, <http://cs2016.statssa.gov.za/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/CS-2016-Provinces-at-a-glance.pdf>.
9. Levey AS, Coresh J, Greene T, Stevens LA, Zhang YL, Hendriksen S, et al. Using standardised serum creatinine values in the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease study equation for estimating glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med.* 2006; 145:247-54.
10. Schwartz GJ, Work DF. Measurement and estimation of GFR in children and adolescents. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2009; 4(11):1832-643.
11. Madala ND, Thusi GP, Assounga AGH, Naicker S. Characteristics of South African patients presenting with kidney disease in rural KwaZulu-Natal: a cross sectional study. *BMC Nephrol.* 2014; 15(1):61.
12. Parsa A, Kao L, Xie D, Astor BC, Li M, Hsu C et al. APOL1 risk variants, race, and progression of chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2013; 369:2183-96.