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#### Abstract

Multivariate permutation polynomials over the algebra of formal series over a finite field and its residual algebras are characterized. Some known properties of permutation polynomials over finite fields are also extended. AMS Classification 2000: 13B25, 13F25, 11T55. Keywords: Multivariate permutation polynomials.


## 1. Introduction

If $R$ is a commutative ring let us consider the $\operatorname{ring} R\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$. In [10], W. Nöbauer introduces the following definition: For an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $R$ and $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in R\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ the polynomial $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is called a permutation polynomial modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ if there are polynomials

$$
f_{2}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right), \ldots, f_{n}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in R\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]
$$

such that the mapping

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(f\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right), f_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right), \ldots, f_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right)\right)
$$

induces a permutation of the set $(R / \mathfrak{a})^{n}$ into itself. If $R / \mathfrak{a}$ is a finite set of cardinality $c$, a polynomial $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in R\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is said to be a regular polynomial modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ if the equation $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \equiv a(\bmod \mathfrak{a})$ has exactly $c^{n-1}$ solutions for all $a \bmod \mathfrak{a}$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$ the set of all permutation polynomials modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ and by $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{a})$ the set of all regular polynomials modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. In the same paper he gives conditions for the equality of these two sets. In particular, equality holds if $R$ is a finite
field $L$, or the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ of the rational integers, or $\mathfrak{q}$ is a $\mathfrak{p}$-primary ideal of $R$, for which there is a positive integer $m$ such that $\mathfrak{p}^{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{2} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, and $R / \mathfrak{p}^{m}$ is finite. N. Lausch \& W. Nöbauer have proved in [5] the following result:
Proposition A. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a $\mathfrak{p}$-primary ideal of $R$, and $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}, R / \mathfrak{q}$ finite. Then a polynomial $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in R\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is a permutation polynomial modulo $\mathfrak{q}$ if, and only if, $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is a permutation polynomial mod $\mathfrak{p}$ and the system of congruences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)}{\partial t_{i}} \equiv 0(\bmod \mathfrak{p}), \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no solution in $R$.
This always will be the case for
(1) The ring of rational integers $\mathbb{Z}$ and the ideals $\mathfrak{q}_{\nu}=p^{\nu} \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{p}=p \mathbb{Z}$, $p$ a prime number, $\nu \geq 2$.
(2) The ring of $p$-adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and the ideals $\mathfrak{q}_{\nu}=p^{\nu} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}=$ $p \mathbb{Z}_{p}, p$ a prime number, $\nu \geq 2$.
(3) The ring of formal power series $L[[Z]]$ where $L$ is a finite field, and the ideals $\mathfrak{q}_{\nu}=\left(Z^{\nu}\right)$ and and $\mathfrak{p}=(Z), \nu \geq 2$ (see below).
Moreover, in these examples $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{q})$. Actually, multivariate permutation polynomials over $\mathbb{Z} / p^{\nu} \mathbb{Z}, \nu \geq 2$ have been studied quite recently often, using a variety of methods, always trying to answer the question: when a permutation polynomial over $p \mathbb{Z}$ can be lifted to a permutation polynomial over $p^{\nu} \mathbb{Z}$ ? (see, for example, [11, 1996], [13, 1996], [14, 1993], [15, 1995]).

In this paper we will deal with case 3. Indeed, the analogous of case 1 when $\mathbb{Z}$ is replaced by $K[X]$, where $K$ is a finite field, and the prime $p$ is replaced by an irreducible monic polynomial $p(X) \in K[X]$ can be translated to case 3. For it has been established (see [2], or [12]) that

$$
K[X] /\left(p(X)^{\nu}\right)=\left\{\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1} z_{\nu}+\ldots+\lambda_{\nu-1} z_{\nu}^{\nu-1} ; \lambda_{i} \in L\right\},
$$

where $L$ is the finite field $K[X] /(p(X))$, and $z_{\nu}^{j}=0$ for $j \geq \nu$, and the $z_{\nu}^{i}$, for $i<\nu$, are $\neq 0$ and linearly independent over $L$. From now on, $K[X] /\left(p(X)^{\nu}\right)$ will be denoted by $L_{\nu}$, and its elements by $\boldsymbol{\lambda}\left(z_{\nu}\right)$. As we will see in Section 2, $L[[Z]]$ may be considered as a projective limit of the $L$-algebras $L_{\nu}$, and $L[[Z]] /\left(Z^{\nu}\right) \approx L_{\nu}$, where $L_{1}=L$.

This particular case has never been mentioned explicitly in the literature, as far as we know. For this reason, in Section 3, we present a proof of Proposition A for this particular case, leaning heavily on some interesting algebraic combinatorial arguments, which have proved to be useful in other problems concerning the arithmetic of polynomial rings over finite fields (e.g., see [2]). In a forthcoming paper we will apply the same arguments to
explicitly study the orthogonal systems of polynomials over $L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ to prove results similar to those contained in [9] or [11]. Finally, in section 4 we extend to this case a known result on polynomials over finite fields.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, for a finite field $L$ with $q$ elements, we establish the properties of $L[[Z]]$ and $L_{\nu}$ we need for the rest of the paper. Most of what follows is found in [2]. Let

$$
L[[Z]]=\left\{\lambda(Z)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{i} Z^{i} ; \lambda_{i} \in L\right\}
$$

be the $L$-algebra of formal power series in the indeterminate $Z$ and coefficients in $L$. This algebra is a local ring with maximal ideal $(Z)$. The series $\varepsilon(Z)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{i} Z^{i}$ is a unit in this algebra if, and only, if $\varepsilon_{0} \neq 0$. Also each formal power series $\lambda(Z)$ can be written uniquely as $\lambda(Z)=\varepsilon(Z) Z^{v(\lambda(Z))}$, where $\varepsilon(Z)$ is a unit and $v(\lambda(Z))$ is a uniquely determined integer $\geq 0$. Therefore, the mapping defined by $\lambda(Z) \rightarrow v(\lambda(Z))$ if $\lambda(Z) \neq 0$ and $v(0)=\infty$ is a discrete valuation on $L[[Z]]$. The ideals

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0) \subset \ldots \subset\left(Z^{\nu}\right) \subset \ldots \subset\left(Z^{2}\right) \subset(Z) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the only ideals of $L[[Z]]$, and furthermore $L[[Z]] /\left(Z^{\nu}\right) \approx L_{\nu}$, a finite ring, of cardinality $q^{\nu}$, for all $\nu \geq 1$.

Now $L[[Z]]$ is the projective limit of the projective system of $L$-algebras ( $\left.L_{\mu},\left(\pi_{\nu, \mu}\right)_{\nu \leq \mu}\right)$, where the epimorphisms $\pi_{\nu, \mu}: L_{\mu} \rightarrow L_{\nu}$ are defined by
$\boldsymbol{\lambda}\left(z_{\mu}\right)=\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1} z_{\mu}+\ldots+\lambda_{\mu-1} z_{\mu}^{\mu-1} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\lambda}\left(z_{\nu}\right)=\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1} z_{\nu}+\ldots+\lambda_{\nu-1} z_{\nu}^{\nu-1} ;$ the canonical projections $\pi_{\nu}: L[[Z]] \rightarrow L_{\nu}$ are thus given by

$$
\lambda(Z)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{i} Z^{i} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\lambda}\left(z_{\nu}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} \lambda_{i} z_{\nu}^{i},
$$

and is easily seen that $L[[Z]] /\left(Z^{\nu}\right) \approx L_{\nu}$.
If $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$, its reduction $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ modulo $\left(Z^{\nu}\right)$ is the polynomial in $L_{\nu}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ whose coefficients are the classes modulo ( $Z^{\nu}$ ) of the coefficients of $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$. Clearly, if $\mu \geq \nu$, $\pi_{\nu, \mu}\left(f_{\mu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right)=f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$.

We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}:=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} \tau_{1, i} z_{\nu}^{i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} \tau_{n, i} z_{\nu}^{i}\right) \quad\left(\tau_{i, j} \in L\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an element of $L_{\nu}^{n}$.
If $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mu} \in L_{\mu}^{n}$ is a zero of $f_{\mu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ and $\mu \geq \nu$, we say that $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mu}$ is a descendant of $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}$ if $\pi_{\nu, \mu}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mu}\right)=\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}$; obviously, if such is the case, $f_{\nu}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}\right)=0$, and we also say that $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}$ is an ascendant of $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mu}$. Conversely, if $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu} \in L_{\nu}^{n}$ is a zero of $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$, then in $L_{\mu}^{n}, \mu \geq \nu, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}$ has at most $q^{n(\mu-\nu)}$ descendants, if any.

A zero $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu} \in L_{\nu}^{n}$ of $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is said to be non-singular if

$$
\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(\pi_{1, \nu}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}\right)\right)}{\partial t_{j}}=\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(\tau_{1,0}, \ldots, \tau_{n, 0}\right)}{\partial t_{j}} \neq 0
$$

for some $j=1, \ldots, n$. Otherwise $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}$ is called a singular zero. It is clear that any descendant (resp. ascendant) of a non-singular zero is a non-singular zero.

If $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}$ is given by (3), let us denote by $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\nu}$ the element in $L_{\nu}^{n}$ given by

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\nu}:=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} \tau_{1, i} z_{\nu}^{i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} \tau_{n, i} z_{\nu}^{i}\right) .
$$

With the above notations, in [2] the following version of Taylor's formula is proven:

Lemma 2.1. If $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is a polynomial with coefficients in $L[[Z]]$, then for each $\nu=2,3, \ldots$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\nu}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}\right)=f_{\nu}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\nu}\right)+z_{\nu}^{\nu-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau_{j, \nu-1} \frac{\partial f_{\nu}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\nu}\right)}{\partial t_{j}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If for $j=1, \ldots, n$, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{\nu}\left(\widehat{\tau}_{\nu}\right)}{\partial t_{j}}=\beta_{j, 0}+\beta_{j, 1} z_{\nu}+\ldots+\beta_{j, \nu-1} z_{\nu}^{\nu-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\beta_{j, k} \in L\right)$, then after replacing in (4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\nu}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}\right)=f_{\nu}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\nu}\right)+\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau_{j, \nu-1} \beta_{j, 0}\right] z_{\nu}^{\nu-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, given $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ with coefficients in $L[[Z]]$, and a zero $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}=$ $\left(\tau_{1,0}, \ldots, \tau_{n, 0}\right)$ of $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ in $L_{1}^{n}$, we will denote by $d\left(\nu ; f ; \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}\right)$ the number of its descendants in $L_{\nu}^{n}(\nu \geq 1)$. Of course, $d\left(1 ; f ; \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}\right)=1$. With this
notation,

$$
c(\nu ; f)=\sum_{\left\{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1} ; f_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}\right)=0\right\}} d\left(\nu ; f ; \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}\right), \quad \nu \geq 1,
$$

indicates the total number of descendants in $L_{\nu}^{n}$ of the solutions of $f_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}\right)=$ 0.

Lemma 2.2. [2, Proposition 2.5, part (b) and Proposition 3.1] Let $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ be a polynomial with coefficients in $L[[Z]]$, and let $\nu>1$. Then:
(a) For each singular zero $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}$ of $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\nu}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}\right)=f_{\nu}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\nu}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, the zero

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{\nu-1}:=\pi_{\nu-1, \nu}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} \tau_{1, i} z_{\nu-1}^{i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} \tau_{n, i} z_{\nu-1}^{i}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $f_{\nu-1}\left(\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right.$ has always exactly $q^{n}$ descendants in $L_{\nu}^{n}$.
(b) For each nonsingular zero $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}$ of $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{\nu-1}:=\pi_{\nu-1, \nu}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} \tau_{1, i} z_{\nu-1}^{i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} \tau_{n, i} z_{\nu-1}^{i}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

has always exactly $q^{n-1}$ descendants in $L_{\nu}^{n}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\nu ; f ; \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}\right)=d\left(\nu-1 ; f ; \boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}\right) q^{n-1}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\nu \geq 2$.
Proof. Let us put

$$
f_{\nu}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\nu}\right)=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} z_{\nu}+\ldots+\gamma_{\nu-1} z_{\nu}^{\nu-1} .
$$

If $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}$ is a singular zero of $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$, then in (5), $\beta_{j, 0}=0$ for all $j=$ $1, \ldots, n$. Thus we have (7). The rest of part (a) in the proposition is an inmediate consequence of (7).

It follows from (6) that $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\nu}$ is a non-singular zero of $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{0}=\gamma_{1}=\ldots=\gamma_{\nu-2}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\nu-1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau_{j, \nu-1} \beta_{j, 0}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us remark that (11) is equivalent to $f_{\nu-1}(\stackrel{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{\nu-1})=0$. Thus $\stackrel{\vee}{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\nu}$ has as many descendants in $L_{\nu}^{n}$ as solutions has the linear equation (12). But, by
hypothesis, there is an index $k(k=1, \ldots, n)$ such that $\beta_{k, 0} \neq 0$; therefore, for any choice of the coefficients $\tau_{j, \nu-1}, j \neq k$, the equation (12) is solvable for $\tau_{k, \nu-1}$. But there are exactly $q^{n-1}$ choices for the $\tau_{j, \nu-1}(j \neq k)$, hence $q^{n-1}$ descendants of $\stackrel{\vee}{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\nu-1}$. Finally, let us notice that the foregoing argument also shows that (12) is always solvable, from which the last part of the Lemma follows.

## 3. Characterization of multivariate permutation polynomials

Let us recall that a polynomial $f(t) \in L[t]$ is said a permutation polynomial if the mapping induced on the field $L$ is bijective. The same definition works for $f_{\nu}(t) \in L_{\nu}[t]$, for $\nu=1,2, \ldots$, and $f(t) \in L[[Z]][t]$. In [1] we proved that $f(t) \in L[[Z]][t]$ is a permutation polynomial if, and only if, $f_{1}(t) \in L[t]$ is a permutation polynomial with no singular zeroes. In the this section we obtain a similar characterization for multivariate polynomials.

Using the notation established in Section 1, W. Nöbauer [10] proves the following:

Lemma 3.1. [10, Property 3)] Let $R$ be a commutative ring such that $R / \mathfrak{a}$ is a finite ring for all ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ of $R$, then: [(v)] If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of $R$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ is a $\mathfrak{p}$-primary ideal, and $\mathfrak{p}^{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{2} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{q})$.

The fact that the ideals of $L[[Z]]$ satisfy (2), implies that the radical of $\left(Z^{\nu}\right)$ is $(Z)$, and, since this ideal is maximal, $\left(Z^{\nu}\right)$ is ( $Z$ )-primary. This said, and since $\left(Z^{\nu}\right)=(Z)^{\nu} \subseteq\left(Z^{2}\right) \subset(Z)$, Lemma 3.1 proves that $\mathcal{P}\left(\left(Z^{\nu}\right)\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(\left(Z^{\nu}\right)\right)$ for all $\nu \geq 1$. Thus

Lemma 3.2. The polynomial $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ induces a permutation polynomial over $L_{\nu}$ if, and only if, the equation $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=$ $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(z_{\nu}\right)$, for each $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(z_{\nu}\right) \in L_{\nu}$ has exactly $q^{\nu(n-1)}$ solutions.

Lemma 3.3. If the polynomial $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is a permutation polynomial over $L_{\nu}$, then $f_{\nu-1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is a permutation polynomial over $L_{\nu-1}$. In particular, $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is a permutation polynomial.
Proof. Let $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L_{\nu}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ be a permutation polynomial and consider the equation $f_{\nu-1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(z_{\nu-1}\right)$, where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(z_{\nu-1}\right)=\alpha_{0}+$ $\alpha_{1} z_{\nu-1}+\ldots+\alpha_{\nu-2} z_{\nu-1}^{\nu-2} \in L_{\nu-1}$. Let $N$ denote the number of solutions of this equation in $L_{\nu-1}^{n}$. On the other hand, there are $q$ elements $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(z_{\nu}\right)=$ $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} z_{\nu}+\ldots+\alpha_{\nu-2} z_{\nu}^{\nu-2}+\lambda_{\nu-1} z_{u}^{\nu-1} \in L_{\nu}$, one for each $\lambda_{\nu-1} \in L$, such that $\pi_{\nu-1, \nu}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(z_{\nu}\right)\right)=\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(z_{\nu-1}\right)$. Then, by hypothesis, for each of the above $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(z_{\nu}\right)$,
the equation $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(z_{\nu}\right)$ has $q^{\nu(n-1)}$ distinct solutions in $L_{\nu}^{n}$, all of which are descendants of the solutions of $f_{\nu-1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(z_{\nu-1}\right)$. Thus $q^{\nu(n-1)}=N q^{n-1}$ and $N=q^{(\nu-1)(n-1)}$.

Let $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ be such that $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in$ $L\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is a permutation polynomial. We ask now when this polynomial can be lifted to a permutation polynomial $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in$ $L_{\nu}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ be such that $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is a permutation polynomial. Then
(i) If all the zeroes of $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)-\alpha_{0} \in L\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ are nonsingular, then the polynomials $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L_{\nu}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ are permutation polynomials, for all $\nu \geq 1$.
(ii) Conversely, if at least one solution of the equation $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)-$ $\alpha_{0}=0$ is singular then $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is not a permutation polynomial over $L_{\nu}$ for $\nu \geq 2$.

Proof. (i) By hypothesis, the zeroes of the polynomial
$H_{1}=f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)-\alpha_{0}$ are nonsingular, and each one of them has exactly $q^{(\nu-1)(n-1)}$ descendants in $L_{\nu}^{n}$ for all $\nu \geq 2$, from Lemma 2.2, (b). Since there are exactly $q^{n-1}$ zeroes of $H_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ in $L^{n}$, the result now follows.
(ii) Let $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}=\left(\tau_{1,0}, \ldots, \tau_{n, 0}\right)$ be a singular zero of $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in$ $L\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$, and suppose that there exists a descendant

$$
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}=\left(\tau_{1,0}+\tau_{1,1} z_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{n, 0}+\tau_{n, 1} z_{2}\right)
$$

of $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$ in $L_{2}^{n}$. Then by Lemma 2.2, (a), $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}$ has exactly $q^{n}$ descendants in $L_{2}^{n}$. Since, by hypothesis, the equation $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=0$ has exactly $q^{n-1}$ solutions, the equation $f_{2}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=0$ has exactly $q^{n} q^{n-1}=q^{2 n-1}>q^{2(n-1)}$ solutions, showing that $f_{2}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is not a permutation polynomial. Thus in order to $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ be a permutation polynomial for $\nu \geq 2$ all the zeroes of the polynomial $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)-\alpha_{0}$ must be nonsingular.

The above result suggest the following definition: a polynomial
$f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is a permutation polynomial if $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ $\in L\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is a permutation polynomial and the zeroes of $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)-$ $\alpha_{0}$ are nonsingular for all $\alpha_{0} \in L$.

Combining the above results we can state now the following characterization of permutation polynomials.

Proposition 3.2. The polynomial $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is a permutation polynomial if, and only if, $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L_{\nu}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is a permutation polynomial for each $\nu=1,2, \ldots$

If $H_{1}=f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)-\alpha_{0}$, and since

$$
\frac{\partial H_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)}{\partial t_{i}}=\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)}{\partial t_{i}}
$$

the above result is a rewording of the more general Proposition $A$ in the case considered here.

Since $L[[Z]]$ is a unique factorization domain, the elements of $L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ can be uniquely written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\varepsilon(Z) Z^{r} f^{*}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon(Z) Z^{r}, r \geq 0$, is the content of $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ and $f^{*}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is primitive. From (13) it follows that $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is identically the null polynomial if $r \geq \nu \geq 1$, i.e., it is not a permutation polynomial. Therefore, in our context we must assume always that the polynomials considered are primitive.

## 4. Some consequences

In this section we generalize a result on multivariate permutation polynomials over a finite field found in [8], though remarking that this is not the only one that could be generalized.

The following result, which provides a tool to find from known ones new permutation polynomials, is proved by W. Nöbauer in [10, p. 338].

Proposition B. If $m<n$ and $h\left(t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in R\left[t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is a permutation polynomial modulo the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, and $g\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) \in R\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$ is an arbitrary polynomial, then the polynomial $g\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+h\left(t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is a permutation polynomial modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. In particular, every permutation polynomial modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ in $R\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$ is again a permutation polynomial modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ in $R\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$.

Its translation to the case which occupies us, reads thus as follows:
Proposition 4.1. Suppose that $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is of the form

$$
f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=g\left(t_{1} \ldots, t_{m}\right)+h\left(t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)
$$

$1 \leq m<n$, where $h \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is a permutation polynomial and $g \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$. Then $f$ is a permutation polynomial. In particular, every permutation polynomial in $L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$ is a permutation polynomial in $L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$.

Proof. Here we provide a direct proof of this Proposition based on our previous results and techniques. Indeed, if $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is such that

$$
f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=g\left(t_{1} \ldots, t_{m}\right)+h\left(t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right),
$$

where $h\left(t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is a permutation polynomial, let us assume that $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}=\left(\tau_{1,0}, \ldots, \tau_{m, 0}, \tau_{m+1,0}, \ldots, \tau_{n, 0}\right)$ is a singular zero of $H_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)-\alpha_{0}$,
so that

$$
\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial t_{j}}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}\right)=\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial t_{j}}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}\right)=\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial t_{j}}\left(\tau_{1,0}, \ldots, \tau_{m, 0}\right)+\frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial t_{j}}\left(\tau_{m+1,0}, \ldots, \tau_{n, 0}\right)=0
$$

for all $j=1, \ldots, n$. But for $j \geq m+1, \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial t_{j}}\left(\tau_{1,0}, \ldots, \tau_{m, 0}\right)=0$ always, and consequently

$$
\frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial t_{j}}\left(\tau_{m+1,0}, \ldots, \tau_{n, 0}\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad j \geq m+1
$$

But this means that $h \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{m+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ is not a permutation polynomial (Proposition 3.1).

Let us recall now that for a commutative ring with unity $R$, a nonsingular linear transformation in $R^{n}$ is defined as a system of equations

$$
t_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} y_{j}+b_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n),
$$

where $a_{i j}, b_{i} \in R$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right) \in R^{\times}$. In particular, for a polynomial $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$, we define a nonsingular linear transformation of its indeterminates to be a transformation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j}(Z) y_{j}+\beta_{i}(Z) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i j}(Z), \beta_{i}(Z) \in L[[Z]]$, and $\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha_{i j}(Z)\right)=\operatorname{det}(A) \in L[[Z]]^{\times}$. If we write

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha_{i j}(Z)\right)=\varepsilon_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{k} Z^{k}, \varepsilon_{0} \neq 0
$$

it is clear that $\pi_{\nu}(\operatorname{det}(A))=\varepsilon_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} z_{\nu}+\ldots+\varepsilon_{\nu-1} z_{\nu}^{\nu-1} \in L_{\nu}^{\times}$. Therefore the projection of (14) by $\pi_{\nu}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i j}\left(z_{\nu}\right) y_{j}+\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(z_{\nu}\right), i=1, \ldots, n, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a non-singular linear transformation of the indeterminates, since $\pi_{\nu}(\operatorname{det}(A))=\operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i j}\left(z_{\nu}\right)\right) \in L_{\nu}^{\times}$. In particular, $\pi_{1}(\operatorname{det}(A))=\varepsilon_{0} \neq 0$, and

$$
t_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j, 0} y_{j}+\beta_{i, 0}
$$

where $\alpha_{i j, 0}=\pi_{1}\left(\alpha_{i j}(Z)\right)$ and $\beta_{i, 0}=\pi_{1}\left(\beta_{i}(Z)\right)$ is a nonsingular linear transformation in $L^{n}$. Conversely, the nonsingular linear transformation in $L^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j} y_{j}+\beta_{i} \quad \alpha_{i j}, \beta_{i} \in L \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a nonsingular linear transformation in $L[[Z]]^{n}$.
By abuse of language we still write $f\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]$ (resp., $f_{\nu}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in L_{\nu}\left[y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right], \nu=1,2, \ldots$ ) for the polynomial obtained from $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ (resp., $f_{\nu}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in$ $\left.L_{\nu}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right], \nu=1,2, \ldots\right)$ under the nonsingular linear transformation (14) (resp., (15)).

Let now $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ be a permutation polynomial and let $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}=\left(\tau_{1,0}, \tau_{2,0}, \ldots, \tau_{n, 0}\right)$ be one of the $q^{n-1}$ nonsingular zeroes of $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)-\alpha$, for some $\alpha \in L$. Using (16) we obtain, putting $\gamma_{i, 0}=$ $\tau_{i, 0}-\beta_{i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1,0} & =\alpha_{11} y_{1}+\alpha_{12} y_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{1 n} y_{n} \\
\gamma_{2,0} & =\alpha_{21} y_{1}+\alpha_{22} y_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{2 n} y_{n} \\
& \vdots \\
\gamma_{n, 0} & =\alpha_{n 1} y_{1}+\alpha_{n 2} y_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n n} y_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha_{i j}\right) \neq 0$, the above system has a unique solution $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}^{\prime}=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ which is then a zero of $f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)-\alpha$. Thus we have shown that the property of being a permutation polynomial over a finite field $L$ is invariant under singular linear transformations of the indeterminates.

Now we will prove that if for $\alpha \in L$ all the zeroes of $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)-\alpha$ are nonsingular, then all the zeroes of $f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)-\alpha$ are nonsingular. Indeed, let $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}$ be a zero of $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)-\alpha$, and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}^{\prime}$ the corresponding zero under (16). If $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ were singular, then for $j=1,2, \ldots, n$, we would have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}^{\prime}\right)}{\partial y_{j}} & =\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}\right)}{\partial t_{1}} \cdot \frac{\partial t_{1}}{\partial y_{j}}+\ldots \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}\right)}{\partial t_{n}} \cdot \frac{\partial t_{n}}{\partial y_{j}} \\
& =\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}\right)}{\partial t_{1}} \alpha_{1 j}+\ldots+\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}\right)}{\partial t_{n}} \alpha_{n j}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha_{i j}\right) \neq 0$, the above system of linear homogeneous equations has a unique solution, the null vector. Therefore $\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}\right)}{\partial t_{i}}=0$ for all $i=1, \ldots$, $n$, which forces $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}$ to be a singular zero of $f_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$, contradicting our initial choice of $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}$. Thus we have proved

Proposition 4.2. Let $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ be a permutation polynomial. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j}(Z) y_{j}+\beta_{i}(Z) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a nonsingular transformation of the variables of $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ then $f\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, the polynomial obtained by the transformation of the variables of $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$, is again a permutation polynomial.

Two polynomials $f$ and $g$ in $L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ are called equivalent if $f$ and $g$ can be transformed into each other by nonsingular linear transformations of its variables.

Proposition 4.3. Let $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ be a polynomial. If $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is equivalent to a polynomial of the form

$$
g\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right)+t_{n}
$$

where $g\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right) \in L[[Z]]\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right]$, then $f$ is a permutation polynomial.

Proof. Let (17) be the nonsingular linear transformation taking $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ into $g\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}\right)+t_{n}$. Since $t_{n}$ is a permutation polynomial this transformed polynomial is a permutation polynomial, by proposition 4.2. Applying the inverse nonsingular transformation, Proposition 4.2 tells us that the polynomial $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is a permutation polynomial.
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