

Recurrent mass selection for upright plant architecture in common bean

Luiz Paulo Miranda Pires^{1*}, Magno Antonio Patto Ramalho¹, Ângela de Fátima Barbosa Abreu², Monica Christina Ferreira³

¹Federal University of Lavras – Dept. of Biology/Genetics and Plant Breeding, C.P. 3037 – 37200-000 – Lavras, MG – Brazil.

²Embrapa Rice and Beans – Rod. GO-462, km 12, C.P. 179 – Zona Rural – 75375-000 – Santo Antônio de Goiás, GO – Brazil.

³University of São Paulo/ESALQ – Dept. of Genetics, C.P. 09 – 13418-900 – Piracicaba, SP – Brazil.

*Corresponding author <lpmpires@posgrad.ufla.br>

Edited by: Leonardo Oliveira Medici

Received July 01, 2013

Accepted January 03, 2014

ABSTRACT: Plant with a more upright architecture offers many advantages to farmers. Recurrent mass selection (RS) programs for carioca type common bean have been implemented for the purpose of obtaining new lines that will generate the high yields that are associated with upright plant architecture. This study aimed to assess the efficiency of recurrent mass selection (RS) for upright plant architecture in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) and the effect of RS on grain yield and to verify whether or not there is still variability in the population that favors continuing selection programs, using information obtained from progenies evaluated in cycle five (CV) and cycle eight (CVIII) of the RS program. Mass selection for more upright plants was performed visually in the “S₀” generation before flowering. Progenies S_{0.3} and S_{0.4} were evaluated in 2009 (CV) and 2011 (CVIII). Heritability (h²) and RS progress were estimated using adjusted means. After eight selection cycles, the population subjected to RS still had enough genetic variability to achieve continued success through recurrent selection. The RS progress was 1.62 % per cycle for the growth habit scores and 6.81 % for grain yield.

Keywords: plant Breeding, genetic progress, genetic variability

Introduction

The demand for new cultivars that combine greater grain yield within commercial standards is growing, especially in the case of the carioca type bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) (beige with brown stripes) and more upright plant architecture. Plant with a more upright architecture is good for farmers because this structure offers advantages such as: i) ease of crop management, especially in mechanical crop operations, allowing more freedom of movement of implements without damaging the plants, ii) reduction of losses at harvest, with better quality grain. If the harvest occurs during periods of prolonged rains, it is possible to delay it with less grain damage; iii) lower incidence of disease as upright plants facilitate air circulation, thereby providing less favorable conditions for certain pathogens.

For breeders to obtain lines that combine upright plants with high commercial grain yield the greatest difficulty is the large number of genes involved in the controlling of these traits and the effect on the environment when these traits find expression (Kelly and Adams, 1987). For this reason, the probability of obtaining success in any one single selection cycle is low. The principal alternative available is the use of recurrent selection (Hallauer et al., 2010).

One of the restrictions of the use of recurrent selection is the delay in obtaining each selection cycle when assessing and recombining progenies. An alternative is mass selection, which is recommended for traits that present high heritability. The use of recurrent mass selection in autogamous plants is not very frequent. In the case of investigating recurrent selection with a view to obtaining more upright plants and the possible effect of this selection on grain yield, no report has been found in the literature.

Recurrent selection programs for carioca type common bean has been conducted for the purpose of obtaining new lines with the high yields that are associated with upright plant architecture (Menezes Júnior et al., 2008). In cycle five (CV), progenies were obtained which were assessed for certain generations; the same procedure was adopted in cycle eight (CVIII). Based on this, the present study was carried out with the aim of assessing the efficiency of RS for upright growth habit and the effect of this selection on grain yield in common bean, and to check if there is still variability in the population after eight cycles of RS.

Materials and Methods

The RS program began in 2001. The base population was obtained from a diallelic cross drawing on ten inbred lines with upright plants without the reciprocal (Table 1). The details of how recurrent selection was conducted up to the third cycle (CIII) were presented by Menezes Júnior et al. (2008). From this cycle on, the selection process came to be mass selection; in other words, the S₀ population was recombined by means of intercrossing the plants that proved to be more upright. The “F₂” seeds collected were multiplied and collected in bulk to generate the new S₀ population and proceed with the process. Common bean in the region may be sown in three seasons, and one recurrent selection cycle involves two crop seasons. So, three cycles are conducted over a two year period. CV was obtained in 2009 and, after recombination, progenies were taken for assessing other traits. The same occurred in CVIII obtained in 2011. The focus of this study is the assessment of progenies of CV and CVIII.

The experiments in the assessment of the progenies were conducted in Lavras, in the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil (21°14' S; 45°59' W; 919 m a.s.l.).

The CV and CVIII progenies were assessed starting with the $S_{0.2}$ generation. $S_{0.2}$ progenies of CV were assessed in experiments that had been sown in February 2009, whereas the $S_{0.2}$ progenies of CVIII were assessed from July 2011 onward. The details of the experiments are shown in Table 2.

In all experiments two controls were always included – the BRSMG Majestoso cultivar, hereinafter called simply 'Majestoso', chosen as a yield standard for the carioca type cultivars (Abreu et al., 2007) and 'BRS Supremo', a cultivar of black beans, chosen as a reference in terms of upright plant growth (Costa et al., 2009).

The experiments were continuously managed in a way commensurate with that recommended for the crop in the region, except that no phytosanitary treatment was applied. The traits assessed were plant growth habit, with visual assessment undertaken by means of a scoring scale ranging from 1 (prostrate plant) to 9 (upright plant), and grain yield.

The data in reference to the traits assessed were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) per generation that treated all the effects of the model as random, except for the mean value. According to the expected mean squares of ANOVA, heritability (h^2) of the progenies assessed was estimated using the following equation:

$$h^2 = \frac{Q_1 - Q_2}{Q_1}$$

in which: Q_1 : mean square among progenies of analyses of variance; Q_2 : Mean square error. The confidence interval of h^2 was obtained using the expressions presented by Knapp et al. (1985), with $\alpha = 0.05$.

With the 47 progenies of the $S_{0.3}$ and $S_{0.4}$ generations of CV and equal number of $S_{0.3}$ and $S_{0.4}$ progenies of CVIII, combined analysis of variance was carried out, with the two controls in common to the two selection cycles serving as a reference (Pimentel-Gomes, 2009). Using the adjusted mean values, genetic progress (GP) per cycle from selection was estimated by the following estimator:

$$G.P. = \left[\frac{\left(\frac{\text{mean of the progenies of CVIII} - \text{mean of the progenies of CV}}{3} \right)}{\text{mean of the progenies of CV}} \right]$$

Results

Analyses of variance (data not shown) showed differences among the progenies ($p \leq 0.01$). Among traits in both selection cycles, it was observed in combined analysis of variance that there were differences ($p \leq 0.01$) between cycles in both traits (Table 3).

The mean values obtained varied among the generations in the same cycle and among the cycles themselves. As the mean genotypic effect of the progenies over the generations of endogamy derives only from the

contribution of the loci in heterozygosis (d), and this is normally not very expressive for the common bean crop, it may be inferred that the changes in the mean value of the generations must in large part be due to the environmental conditions prevailing in the different periods of assessment.

The estimates of heritability for selection in the mean value of the progenies showed the existence of variation among them (Table 4). The performance of the progenies in CVIII was greater than that of CV. The estimate of genetic progress obtained for growth habit scores was 4.86 %, which corresponds to 1.62 % per cycle. The same estimate for grain yield was much greater, 6.81 % (Table 5).

Table 1 – Relation of parents used in recurrent selection program to plant upright dry bean.

Lines	Origin	Type of grain	Plant architecture
Carioca MG	UFLA	Beige with brown stripes	Upright
CNFC 9454	Embrapa	Beige with brown stripes	Upright
CNFC 9455	Embrapa	Beige with brown stripes	Upright
CNFC 9458	Embrapa	Beige with brown stripes	Upright
CNFC 9466	Embrapa	Beige with brown stripes	Upright
CNFC 9471	Embrapa	Beige with brown stripes	Upright
CNFC 9484	Embrapa	Beige with brown stripes	Upright
IAPAR 81	IAPAR	Beige with brown stripes	Upright
LP 9876	IAPAR	Beige with brown stripes	Upright
IPR Uirapuru	IAPAR	Black	Upright

Table 2 – Details of experiments designed to assess upright plant architecture of CV and CVIII progenies of the recurrent selection program.

	Generations		
	$S_{0.3}$	$S_{0.4}$	
CV	No. of progenies	47	47
	No. of replications	3	3
	Experimental design	Lattice 7 × 7	Lattice 7 × 7
	Month/Year	July/2009	Nov/2009
CVIII	No. of progenies	98	47
	No. of replications	3	3
	Experimental design	Lattice 10 × 10	Lattice 7 × 7
	Month/Year	Nov/2011	Feb/2012

Table 3 – Combined analysis of variance using the adjusted average of the progenies for plant architecture and grain yield in kg ha^{-1} .

Variation source	Freedom degree	Grain yield		Plant architecture ¹	
		Mean square	F	Mean square	F
Prog	93	212353.9	2.39**	0.83	1.26
Prog CV	46	166262.58	1.87**	0.60	0.91
Prog CVIII	46	93551.99	1.05	0.99	1.50
CV vs CVIII	1	7797445.7	87.85**	3.96	5.99*
Error	93	88755		0.66	

*, **significant at 5 % and at 1 % by the F test; ¹Plant architecture score in which 1 refers to totally prostrate plants and 9 to completely upright plants.

Table 4 – Heritability estimates for selection of the mean of the progenies of the grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) and plant architecture score obtained in generations S_{0.3} and S_{0.4} of CV and CVIII of recurrent selection in Lavras, MG.

	CV		CVIII		
	h ²	Mean of the Progenies	h ²	Mean of the Progenies	
S _{0.3}	Yield	0.61 (0.34 - 0.76)*	3127	0.86 (0.80 - 0.90)	2088
	Growth Habit	0.42 (0.09 - 0.65)	6.5**	0.16 (-0.21 - 0.41)	4.65
S _{0.4}	Yield	0.67 (0.43 - 0.79)	1884	0.59 (0.29 - 0.75)	3119
	Growth Habit	0.52 (0.18 - 0.71)	7.3	0.54 (0.21 - 0.72)	5.62

*Confidence interval of h²; **Scores ranging from 1 (prostrate plants) to 9 (upright plants).

Table 5 – Estimates of genetic progress per cycle, obtained from recurrent selection for upright plant architecture and high grain yield.

	Plant architecture (scores 1 to 9)	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
Mean value of CV progenies (MCV)	5.950	1991
Mean value of CVIII progenies (MCVIII)	6.240	2399
Overall Mean	6.100	2195
Total Progress $\left[\frac{MCVIII - MCV}{MCV} \right]$	0.048	0.204
Progress per cycle (%) $\left[\frac{\text{Total Progress}}{3} \times 100 \right]$	1.620	6.810
Averages of the CV controls	6.280	1788
Averages of the CVIII controls	4.310	2686

Discussion

Overlapping occurred in the confidence interval of heritability, showing that the estimates obtained in both selective cycles are similar (Table 4). It may be deduced that there is still genetic variability that can continue obtaining success from selection. These results corroborate the ones obtained by Silva et al. (2010), who estimated the genetics' progress after eight cycles of the recurrent selection with the aim of obtaining lines with high yield. These authors verified that this selection did not reduce the genetic variability for this feature from estimates of h² of the progenies evaluated in different selection cycles.

Recurrent selection is a cyclical breeding process in which an increase is expected in the mean value of the population, without expressive reduction in genetic variability over the cycles (Hallauer et al., 2010). It was possible to observe a trend towards an increase in the mean value of the progenies over the recurrent selection cycles. The mean values of plant architecture scores and grain yield are greater in CVIII than in CV. The estimate of genetic progress from selection was 1.62 % per cycle for plant architecture and 6.81 % per cycle for grain yield (Table 5).

As recurrent mass selection was carried out for plant architecture only, it is difficult to explain why grain yield showed such expressive genetic progress, more so because there are reports in the literature that there is negative genetic correlation between plant architecture scores and grain yield (Collicchio et al., 1997). However, Silva et al. (2009) commented that although these correlation estimates are negative, they exhibit small magnitude, making it possible to simultaneously select more productive progenies with better plant architecture, associated with the large number of progenies assessed. An explanation for the high estimate of genetic progress for grain yield was the experimental precision obtained in the experiments for progeny assessments of CVIII, which allowed better discrimination of the superior progenies to be selected. Another explanation is that there were only recombined vigorous plants, which probably contributed to increases in the grain yield. In addition, the RS progress for the trait reflects the best success seen in prior evaluations to S_{0.3}, which originated the progenies of the CVIII related to CV.

The size of the bean has also been a trait that is closely related to plant architecture because it is difficult to associate, in one line, both upright plant architecture and a commercial size bean. Most upright plant architecture cultivars have small beans, a fact that has impeded market acceptance. Nevertheless, the flower buds used in carrying out crosses were those that flowered first and which were visually more developed, and this may have contributed to increasing the size of the beans and consequently the yield. These results corroborate those obtained by Cunha et al. (2005), who linked positive gains with the selection from the evaluation of plant architecture, simultaneously, indicating at first that the correlation between plant architecture and productivity can generate genetic gain with the selection.

In CVIII, progenies with an average score for plant architecture greater than that of the 'BRS Supremo' cultivar were obtained, which is the standard with regard to upright plant architecture currently available on the market (Costa et al., 2009), as well as progenies with a performance greater than the control 'Majestoso', known for its high grain yield (Abreu et al., 2007).

Conclusions

Genetic progress from recurrent selection was 1.62 % per cycle for the plant architecture trait and 6.81 % per cycle for grain yield. After eight selection cycles, the population that was subjected to recurrent selection still had enough genetic variability to favor continued success from recurrent selection.

Acknowledgements

To Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Level Personnel (CAPES), and the Brazilian National

Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) for granting the scholarship; to Minas Gerais State Foundation for Research Support (FAPEMIG) financial support for the realization of the research Project.

References

- Abreu, A.F.B.; Ramalho, M.A.P.; Carneiro, J.E.S.; Peloso, M.J.D.; Paula Júnior, T.J.; Faria, L.C.; Melo, L.C.; Barros, E.G.; Moreira, M.A.; Pereira Filho, I.A.; Martins, M.; Santos, J.B.; Costa, J.G.C.C.; Sartorato, J. 2007. BRSMG Majestoso: another common bean cultivar of carioca grain type for the state of Minas Gerais. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology* 7: 403-405.
- Collicchio, E.; Ramalho, M.A.P.; Abreu, A.F.B. 1997. Association between plant architecture common bean and grain size. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira* 32: 297-304 (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).
- Costa, J.G.C.; Faria, L.C.; Rava, C.A.; Peloso, M.J.; Melo, L.C.; Díaz, J.L.C.; Faria, J.C.; Silva, H.T.; Sartorato, A.; Bassinello, P.Z.; Zimmermann, F.J.P. 2009. BRS Supremo: cultivar of common bean grain black and upright plant architecture nominated for the south and midwest = BRS Supremo: cultivar de grão preto de feijoeiro comum de porte ereto indicada para as regiões Sul e Centro-Oeste. Santo Antônio de Goiás, GO, Brazil. (Comunicado Técnico, 87) (in Portuguese).
- Cunha, W.G.; Ramalho, M.A.P.; Abreu, A.F.B. 2005. Selection aiming at upright growth habit common bean with carioca type grains. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology* 5: 379-386.
- Hallauer, A.R.; Carena, M.J.; Miranda Filho, J.B. 2010. *Quantitative Genetics in Maize Breeding*. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA, USA.
- Kelly, J.D.; Adams, M.W. 1987. Phenotypic recurrent selection in ideotype breeding of plant beans. *Euphytica* 36: 69-80.
- Knapp, S.J.; Stroup, W.W.; Ross, W.M. 1985. Exact confidence intervals for heritability on a progeny mean basis. *Crop Science* 25: 192-194.
- Menezes Júnior, J.A.N.; Ramalho, M.A.P.; Abreu, A.F.B. 2008. Recurrent selection for three characters of common bean. *Bragantia* 67: 833-838 (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).
- Pimentel-Gomes, F. 2009. Combined analysis of the experiments in randomized blocks with common treatments. p. 313-328. In: *Course of experimental statistics = Curso de estatística experimental*. 15ed. FEALQ, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil (in Portuguese).
- Ramalho, M.A.P.; Abreu, A.F.B.; Santos, J.B.; Nunes, J.A.R. 2012. Applications of quantitative genetics in plant breeding autogamous = Aplicações da genética quantitativa no melhoramento de plantas autógamas. Lavras, MG, Brazil (in Portuguese).
- Silva, C.B.; Abreu, A.F.B.; Ramalho, M.A.P. 2009. Association between plant architecture and grain yield in progenies common bean with upright and prostrate. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira* 44: 1647-1652 (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).
- Silva, G.S.; Ramalho, M.A.P.; Abreu, A.F.B.; Nunes, J.A.R. 2010. Estimation of genetic progress after eight cycles of recurrent selection for common bean grain yield. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology* 10: 351-356.