

EFFECT OF A PROPOLIS EXTRACT ON *STREPTOCOCCUS MUTANS* COUNTS *IN VIVO*

Silvana Alves de Carvalho DUAILIBE¹, Azizedite Guedes GONÇALVES², Fernando Jorge Mendes AHID³

1- MSc in Health Sciences, Professor of Pediatrics and Oral Microbiology, Dental School, UNICEUMA University, São Luís, MA, Brasil.

2- PhD in Microbiology, Associate Professor of Microbiology, Microbiology Department, UFMA University, São Luís, MA, Brasil.

3- PhD in Restorative Dentistry, Professor of Restorative Dentistry, Dental School, UNICEUMA University; Professor of Dental Materials, Dental School, UNICEUMA University, São Luís, MA, Brasil.

Corresponding address: Fernando Jorge Mendes Ahid - Rua Pinheiro, Condomínio Jardim de Versalhes, casa 9, Quintas do Calhau - 65.071-351, São Luís, Maranhão, Brasil - e-mail: fernandoahid@hotmail.com - Phone: 55-98-3213-2609 - Fax: +55-98-3235-8600

Received: October 20, 2006 - Modification: May 28, 2007 Accepted: August 20, 2007

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the antibacterial action of an extract of geopropolis produced by the bee *Melipona compressipes fasciculata* on the concentration of *Streptococcus mutans* colonizing the oral cavity of young patients. Forty-one young volunteers performed 21 mouth rinses divided into three rinses per day for 7 days, with no other changes in their oral hygiene and dietary habits. Saliva was collected at three time points: before the first rinse, and one hour and 7 days after the first rinse. A reduction in the concentration of *S. mutans* was observed in 49% of all samples collected after use of the extract, 26% showed no alterations, and an increasing in *S. mutans* was observed in 25%. Was performed with the Statistica for Windows 5.9 program using the Kruskal-Wallis test for analysis of variance and the Mann-Whitney U test, with the level of significance set at 5%. The propolis extract possesses *in vivo* antimicrobial activity against *S. mutans* present in the oral cavity and might be used as an alternative measure to prevent dental caries.

Uniterms: Propolis; *Streptococcus mutans*; Dental caries; Primary prevention.

INTRODUCTION

In spite of a significant decline in some countries, such as United States, Canada, Australia and some European countries, dental caries continues to be an important public health problem in other parts of the world, as for example in Northern Brazil. Dental caries is the most prevalent disease affecting humans, and its incidence is particularly high during childhood (Araújo and Figueiredo¹, 1997).

Few microorganisms found in the oral cavity are able to adhere to the teeth and, among these, a limited group is cariogenic. The specific cariogenic microbiota consists of *Streptococcus mutans*, *Lactobacillus* and some *Actinomyces* species. However, during the initial phase of caries disease *S. mutans* is the most frequently associated microorganism (Krasse¹³, 1988). In addition to its ability to adhere to teeth and survive in acid environment, *S. mutans* is transmissible, as first demonstrated by Keyes⁹ (1960).

To date, prevention and control of dental caries, which include a noninvasive treatment proposal consisting of watchful waiting and monitoring, are not restricted to a single technique. In addition to traditional methods, such as periodic follow-up, brushing with fluoride-containing dentifrices, topical application of fluorides, low-sucrose diet,

sealant placement and at-home rinsing with fluoride-containing solutions, some cases require a more effective control (Maltz¹⁴, 1996). It is therefore understandable that researchers are currently interested in the promising perspectives that natural substances offer as alternatives for the control of caries disease in terms of antimicrobial response and lower associated risks. Based on literature reports showing that propolis resin is a product with antiinflammatory and bactericidal activity, several *in vitro* and some *in vivo* studies have demonstrated its potential use in the treatment of bacterial diseases (Bianchini and Bedendo³, 1988, Fernandes Jr., et al.⁶ 2001, Koo, et al.¹², 2002, Manara, et al.¹⁵, 1999, Silva²³, 2000).

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the *in vivo* antimicrobial activity of an extract prepared with propolis produced by *Melipona compressipes fasciculata* bees (Ministry of Agriculture, registration number 0005/731) and used as mouthrinse on the concentration of *S. mutans* present in the oral cavity of young individuals.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study enrolled 41 young volunteers of both sexes, including high-school and university students, ranging in age from 11 to 30 years. The patients were assigned to three groups according to the place of referral: group A – public school (n=11), group B – private dental school (n=14), and group C – private practice (n=16). Samples of whole saliva were collected in the morning, 2 hours after the first meal of the day. The first collections were stimulated with paraffin-based chewing gum (1 minute), collected into sterile flasks (3 mL on the average) and immediately seeded in the laboratory. The students were then asked to rinse their mouth with 3 mL of the propolis extract solution during 1 minute and a second saliva sample was collected 1 hour later in the same way as described for the first sample. A third saliva sample was obtained after 7 days. The volunteers performed 21 mouth rinses divided into 3 rinses/day for 7 days, with no other changes in their oral hygiene and dietary habits. A total of 123 samples were obtained

The microbiological analyses were carried out using the Caritest-SM system (Technew, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil) according to manufacturer recommendations. This culture medium is selective for *S. mutans* and permits semiquantitative analysis of this microorganism in salivary samples. Gram staining, catalase test and mannitol fermentation were used for the presumptive identification of some bacterial isolates (Konemen¹¹, 1997).

Statistical analysis was performed with the software Statistica for Windows 5.9 program using the Kruskal-Wallis test for analysis of variance and the Mann-Whitney U test, with the level of significance set at 5%.

RESULTS

Of the 123 saliva samples collected from the 41 volunteers, those from 21 volunteers presented bacterial counts ranging from 1250 to 1,000,000 CFU/mL saliva, whereas no bacterial growth was observed in 20 samples before or after the use of the mouthrinse (Table 1).

Table 2 displays the differences in microbial growth between the first and second collection (no extract use and 1 h after the first rinse) and between the first and third collection (no extract use and after 7 days). These differences are reported as base 10 logarithms and are presented by the letters I (increase in the number of colonies), D (decrease in the number of colonies) and NC (no change). Samples showing no bacterial growth at any time were excluded.

Based on the data in Table 2, the relative frequency of samples presenting an increase, decrease or no change in the number of colonies between collections 1 and 2 and 1 and 3 was calculated.

Among samples showing growth of *S. mutans* between collections 1 and 2, a decrease in the number of colonies was observed in 62%, an increase in 14% and no change in 24%. Comparison between collections 1 and 3 revealed a reduction in bacterial concentration in 81% of the samples,

an increase in 9.5% and no change in 9.5%.

Statistical analysis of the results by the Student t-test showed a significant difference in the number of *S. mutans* between collections 1 and 2 (mean \pm SD, 4.275 ± 0.8459 versus 2.809 ± 2.0911 ; $t=2.8783$ and $p=0.0031$), and between collections 1 and 3 (4.275 ± 0.8459 versus 2.4184 ± 1.9999 ; $t=4.8589$ and $p<0.0001$). These results indicate a reduction in the number of *S. mutans*, i.e., an effect of propolis on bacterial growth both after the beginning (collection 2) and at the end of treatment (collection 3).

Analysis of variance to determine the relationship between the number of mouth rinses and bacterial counts indicated a significant difference.

TABLE 1- Number of *Streptococcus mutans* (CFU/ml) in each saliva sample

VOLUNTEERS	1 st SAMPLE
1 st	NG
2 nd	NG
3 rd	10.000
4 th	NG
5 th	NG
6 th	50.000
7 th	2.500
8 th	250.000
9 th	NG
10 th	5.000
11 th	NG
12 th	50.000
13 th	NG
14 th	5.000
15 th	NG
16 th	NG
17 th	10.000
18 th	NG
19 th	NG
20 th	NG
21 st	NG
22 nd	NG
23 rd	1250
24 th	NG
25 th	1250
26 th	NG
27 th	1.000.000
28 th	1250
29 th	5.000
30 th	NG
31 st	10.000
32 nd	50.000
33 rd	10.000
34 th	NG
35 th	250.000
36 th	NG
37 th	250.000
38 th	100.000
39 th	10.000
40 th	NG
41 st	50.000

* NG = no growth.

DISCUSSION

Within the philosophy of health promotion, the extract of propolis produced by the Tiúba bee (*Mellipona compressipes*) may represent a new option, with this substance being easily obtained and of low cost and showing long-term beneficial effects (Oliveira¹⁶, 2004).

The inhibitory effect of the extract could not be evaluated in 20 samples due to the lack of bacterial growth after the first collection.

In view of the diversity in the counting results, some important facts were addressed. For example, between the first and second collections, 13 samples (62%) presented a decrease in the number of colonies; samples 3, 10 and 28 (14%) showed an increase in the number of colonies; and no change was observed for samples 6, 35, 38, 39 and 41 (24%) (Table 2). A significant reduction in the number of colonies in the samples is the result of the effect of the propolis extract on bacterial growth. Samples that showed an increase or no change in the number of bacteria between collections 1 and 2 might have been influenced by overlapping factors, such as a delayed peak formation of colonies, i.e., more than 2 hours after the meal, together with the short period for an effective action and the transmissible nature of *S. mutans* (Dutra, et al.⁵, 1997, Kohler¹⁰, 1984, Ikeno, et al.⁸, 1991, Figueiredo and Fester⁷, 1997, Ota, et al.¹⁷, 1998).

In addition to these hypotheses, one should take into account that caries is a mixed infection and the oral microflora is highly diverse. Thus, a single bacterial species such as *S. mutans* can be present in the mouth in three different serotypes, i.e., serotypes C, E and F (Beihton, et al.², 1991, Dasanayake, et al.⁴ 1995, Sarni, et al.²¹, 1998, Zárate²⁴, 1999, Shibata, et al.²², 2003). Ray, et al.²⁰ (1999) also demonstrated that host binding characteristics are as important as the characteristics of bacterial adhesion in the process of colonization. The authors suggested that salivary amylase may show the best binding to *S. mutans*. Furthermore, Palmer, et al.¹⁸ (2003) raised the possibility that bacterial interactions have a key role on the importance for colonization, i.e., the authors investigated whether bacteria such as *S. mutans* require the presence of other bacteria such as *Actinomyces* for colonization.

The results of the first and third collections, corresponding to the moments before and after the 7-day exposure to the extract, showed a reduction in the number of colonies in most samples (81%), whereas the number of bacteria increased (9.5%) or remained unchanged (9.5%) in a small part of the samples, findings that require some considerations regarding the quality of the resin and its properties. Park, et al.¹⁹ (1997) demonstrated various levels of action for propolis derived from different regions. However, Fernandes Jr., et al.⁶ (2001) stated that the action

TABLE 2- Logarithmic difference in the number of *Streptococcus mutans* (CFU/ml + 1) between collections 1 and 2 and between collections 1 and 3

VOLUNTEERS	Log 1	Log 2	Log 3	DIF 1-2	Log 1-2	DIF 1-3	Log 1-3
3 nd	4.000	4.699	4.699	I	-0.699	I	-0.699
6 nd	4.699	4.699	0.000	NC	0.000	R	4.699
7 nd	3.398	0.000	0.000	R	3.398	R	3.398
8 nd	5.398	4.000	5.398	R	1.398	NC	0.000
10 nd	3.699	4.000	4.000	I	-0.301	I	-0.301
12 nd	4.699	4.000	0.000	R	0.699	R	4.699
14 nd	3.699	0.000	0.000	R	3.699	R	3.699
17 nd	4.000	3.699	3.398	R	0.301	R	0.602
23 nd	3.097	0.000	0.000	R	3.097	R	3.097
25 nd	3.097	0.000	0.000	R	3.097	R	3.097
27 nd	6.000	4.000	4.000	R	2.000	R	2.000
28 nd	3.097	4.000	3.097	I	-0.903	NC	0.000
29 nd	3.699	3.398	3.398	R	0.301	R	0.301
31 nd	4.000	0.000	3.699	R	4.000	R	0.301
32 nd	4.699	3.398	3.398	R	1.301	R	1.301
33 nd	4.000	0.000	0.000	R	4.000	R	4.000
35 nd	5.398	5.398	4.000	NC	0.000	R	1.398
37 nd	5.398	0.000	3.699	R	5.398	R	1.699
38 nd	5.000	5.000	4.000	NC	0.000	R	1.000
39 nd	4.000	4.000	0.000	NC	0.000	R	4.000
41 nd	4.699	4.699	4.000	NC	0.000	R	0.699

* NC = no change, R = reduced, I = increased.

of propolis depends on the type of infection installed and indicated that sting less bees are producers of a propolis resin with high germicidal activity.

CONCLUSION

It may be concluded that the propolis extract tested possesses antimicrobial activity against *S. mutans* present in the oral cavity. The extract might be used as an alternative measure to prevent dental caries.

REFERENCES

- 1- Araújo F B, Figueiredo M C. Promoção de saúde bucal em Odontopediatria. In: Krieger L, editor. Promoção de saúde bucal. São Paulo: Artes Médicas;1997. p. 283-348.
- 2- Beighton D, Russel RRB, Whaley RA. A simple biomechanical scheme for differentiation of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sobrinus*. Caries Res. 1991;25:174-8.
- 3- Bianchini, Bedendo I P. Efeito antibiótico do própolis sobre bactérias fitopatogênicas. Piracicaba: Scientia Agrícola; 1988.
- 4- Dasanayake AP, Caufield PW, Cutter GR, Roseman JM, Köhler B- Differences in the detection and enumeration of *Streptococci mutans* due to different methods. Arch Oral Biol. 1995;40(4):345-51.
- 5- Dutra GV, Azevedo ID, Figueiredo MC. Cárie dentária: uma doença transmissível. Rev Bras Odontol. 1997; Available at: <http://www.abori.org.br/rbo/>.
- 6- Fernandes A Jr, Orsi RO, Sforzin JM, Rall VLM, Funari SRC, Barbosa L. The antibacterial activity of propolis produced by *Apis mellifera* L. and Brazilian stingless bees. J Venom Anim Toxins. 2001;7(2):173-82.
- 7- Figueiredo MC, Falster CA. A cárie dentária como uma doença infecciosa transmissível. RFO UPF Passo Fundo. 1997;2(1):23-32.
- 8- Ikeno K, Ikeno T, Miyazawa C. Effects of propolis on dental caries in rats. Caries Res. 1991;25:347-51.
- 9- Keyes PH The infectious and transmissible nature of experimental dental caries. Arch Oral Biol. 1960;1:304-20.
- 10- Kohler B. The effect of caries preventive measures in mothers on dental caries and the oral presence of the bacteria *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacilli* in their children. Arch Oral Biol. 1984;29:879-83.
- 11- Konemen E W. Color atlas and text book of diagnostic microbiology. São Paulo: Artes Médicas; 1997.
- 12- Koo H, Rosalen PL, Cury JA, Park YK, Bowen Wh. Effects of compounds found in propolis on *Streptococcus mutans* growth and on glucosyltransferase activity. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2002;46(5):1302-9.
- 13- Krasse B. Risco de cárie: guia prático para controle e assessoramento. São Paulo: Quintessence; 1988.
- 14- Maltz M. Prevenção das doenças cárie e periodontal. In: Toledo O A. odontopediatria: fundamentos para a prática clínica. São Paulo: Premier; 1996.
- 15- Manara LRB, Anconi SI, Gromatzky A, Conde MC, Bretz WA. Utilização da própolis em odontologia. [The use of propolis in dentistry]. Rev Fac Odontol Bauru. 1999;7(3/4):15-20.
- 16- Oliveira EG. Qualidade microbiológica e físico-química do mel da abelha tíuba *Melipona compressipes fasciculata* produzida no Estado do Maranhão. 2004. Dissertação (Mestrado) – Centro de Ciências da Saúde da UFMA. São Luis; 2004.
- 17- Ota C, Unterkircher C, Fantinato V, Shimizu MT. Atividade da própolis sobre as bactérias isoladas da cavidade bucal. [Effects of propolis on bacteria isolated from the oral cavity]. Lecta-USF. 1998;16(1):73-7.
- 18- Palmer RJ, Gordon SM, Cisar JO, Kolenbrander PE. Coaggregation-mediated interactions of streptococci and actinomyces detected in initial human dental plaque. J Bacteriol. 2003;185(11):3400-9.
- 19- Park YK, Ikegaki M, Abreu JAS, Alcici NMF. Comparison of the flavonoid aglycone contents of *Apis mellifera* propolis from various regions of Brazil. Arq Biol Tecnol. 1997;40(1):97-106.
- 20- Ray CA, Gfell LE, Buller TL, Gregory RL. Interactions of *Streptococcus mutans* fimbria-associated surface proteins with salivary components. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 1999;3(6):400-4.
- 21- Sarni-Manchado P, Korompli MH, Michalesco P, Cheyner V. Inhibition of *Streptococcus mutans* growth by grape seed procyanidins. In: 2nd International Electronic Conference on Synthetic Organic Chemistry; 1998
- 22- Shibata Y, Ozaki K, Seki M, Kawato, T, Tanaka H, Nakano Y, Yamashita Y. Analysis of loci required for determination of serotype antigenicity in *Streptococcus mutans* and its clinical utilization. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;9(41):4107.
- 23- Silva EB. Efeito da ação da própolis na lâmina própria da mucosa bucal de ratos: estudo histológico. ROBRAC. 2000;9(28):4-8.
- 24- Zárate Pereira P. Análise da atividade de bochechos contendo fluoreto de sódio 0,05%, fluoreto de sódio 0,2% e própolis 5% acrescida de fluoreto de sódio 0,05% sobre níveis salivares de estreptococos do grupo mutans em pacientes cárie-ativos. 1999. Dissertação (Mestrado) – Faculdade de Saúde Pública da USP. São Paulo; 1999.