brought to you by



ScienceDirect

Biotechnology

Toward a quantitative understanding of antibiotic resistance evolution Marta Lukačiinová¹ and Tobias Bollenbach^{1,2}



The rising prevalence of antibiotic resistant bacteria is an increasingly serious public health challenge. To address this problem, recent work ranging from clinical studies to theoretical modeling has provided valuable insights into the mechanisms of resistance, its emergence and spread, and ways to counteract it. A deeper understanding of the underlying dynamics of resistance evolution will require a combination of experimental and theoretical expertise from different disciplines and new technology for studying evolution in the laboratory. Here, we review recent advances in the quantitative understanding of the mechanisms and evolution of antibiotic resistance. We focus on key theoretical concepts and new technology that enables well-controlled experiments. We further highlight key challenges that can be met in the near future to ultimately develop effective strategies for combating resistance.

Addresses

¹ IST Austria, Am Campus 1, A-3400 Klosterneuburg, Austria ² University of Cologne, Zülpicher Str. 47a, D-50674 Cologne, Germany

Corresponding author: Bollenbach, Tobias (t.bollenbach@uni-koeln.de)

Current Opinion in Biotechnology 2017, 46:90-97

This review comes from a themed issue on Systems biology

Edited by Matthias Heinemann and Yitzak Pilpel

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2017.02.013

0958-1669/© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creative-commons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Progress in our quantitative understanding of the evolutionary dynamics leading to antibiotic resistance holds promise to help avert the looming resistance crisis [1]. While changes in antibiotic prescription strategies can contribute to countering resistance [2], optimized treatment schemes that take into account the dynamics of resistance evolution are urgently needed. The bestknown mechanisms of antibiotic resistance commonly found in the clinic or laboratory include antibiotic degrading enzymes, drug target modification, efflux, and the prevention of drug uptake [3–6]. These mechanisms have been characterized in great detail in decades of fruitful work, culminating in databases of the 'resistome'—the collection of all known genes conferring resistance [7–9]. More recently, transcriptomic studies have provided a useful intermediate phenotypic description of resistance, showing that information on global gene expression can improve predictions of the resistance phenotype compared to genotypic data alone [10,11].

The resistance of a bacterium to a drug is determined by measuring the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC), that is, the lowest concentration that completely inhibits growth of a clonal culture [12]. An increase in resistance occurs when the population can grow in higher concentrations of antibiotic. Resistance is a genetically inherited trait, acquired by bacteria through one of two main processes: spontaneous de novo mutations and horizontal gene transfer [13,14]. Still, the level of resistance is often not entirely determined genetically, but can be heterogeneous within a population, depend on the environment, on the population structure, or on the physiological state of the cell [15–18]. In this review, we focus on specific examples where population dynamics and cell physiology affect drug sensitivity, and on quantitative aspects that determine the emergence and spread of de *novo* resistance mutations. We particularly emphasize recent studies that combined experiments and theoretical modeling. Related influential studies on collective resistance and on the effect of drug combinations on resistance evolution have been reviewed elsewhere [19-23].

Role of cell physiology and population effects in resistance

The growth rate of a bacterium depends on the nutrient environment and is a key physiological parameter that can strongly affect its sensitivity to a wide range of antibiotics [15,24^{••},25,26]. A recent experimental-theoretical study focused on ribosome-binding antibiotics, and showed that a lower growth rate (achieved by different growth media) increases the tolerated antibiotic concentration while for others, the opposite effect occurs [24**]. A mathematical model based on bacterial 'growth laws' [27], which take into account how the ribosome concentration in the cell depends on growth rate, showed that the ribosome-binding kinetics of the drug can explain this effect: slowgrowing cells are more resistant to reversibly binding drugs, whereas fast-growing cells are more resistant to irreversibly binding drugs [24^{••}]. These results would have been hard to intuit without using a rigorous theoretical approach and highlight that apart from specific molecular mechanisms, global cell physiology and growth rate are important determinants of antibiotic resistance levels.

Glossary

MIC: Minimal inhibitory concentration. The lowest concentration of an antibiotic that completely inhibits growth of a clonal culture. **TEM-1** β-lactamase: An enzyme produced by bacteria that cleaves

and deactivates β -lactam antibiotics. **DFE:** Distribution of fitness effects. The probability distribution that represents the changes in fitness caused by single-step mutations originating from a common genotype. It depends on the ancestral

genotype and on the environment. Epistasis: The phenomenon that the effect of a mutation depends on

the genetic background it occurs in. **Discrete fitness landscape:** A graph in which the vertices are

genotypes, each with an assigned fitness value. Two genotypes are connected by an edge if they are a single mutational event apart.

Global cell physiology can even explain how a clonal population diversifies into growing and non-growing cells in the presence of antibiotics. The expression of many genes increases with increasing growth rate [28]; this effect alone can lead to bistable population dynamics [29]. Specifically, it was shown that in an Escherichia coli strain that expresses the cat1 enzyme, which inactivates the antibiotic chloramphenicol (Table 1), a positive feedback loop occurs where a decrease in growth rate due to addition of more chloramphenicol decreases expression of the resistance-conferring enzyme, thus slowing growth even further [30]. Theory shows that such a positive feedback loop can lead to bistability, that is, coexistence of growing and non-growing cells at the same drug concentration; this striking effect was confirmed in single cell experiments [30]. Growth bistability is likely a more general phenomenon [31] that occurs for other resistance mechanisms and highlights that the response of a population of clonal bacteria to antibiotics is not simply given by many identical copies of the same cell.

Population effects are also important when resistance is due to extracellular antibiotic degradation. Here, the antibiotic concentration in the medium strongly depends on the cell density, since higher densities lead to faster antibiotic degradation. The inoculum size of the culture thus affects the growth of all cells and, ultimately, the measured resistance level. This effect has been described in mathematical models and experimentally validated using the beta-lactamase enzyme which degrades betalactam antibiotics (including amoxicillin, ampicillin, and cefotaxime) [32,33]. Such effects generally occur whenever a resistant subpopulation degrades or modifies the

Table 1 Glossary of antibiotics and their targets	
Chloramphenicol	50S ribosomal subunit
Tetracycline	30S ribosomal subunit
Amoxicillin	Cell wall synthesis
Trimethoprim	Folate synthesis (DHFR)
Ciprofloxacin	DNA replication (DNA gyrase)

antibiotic so that the entire population can benefit from it. It will be interesting to further investigate the causes and consequences of these effects which also occur for other antibiotics [34].

Studying antibiotic resistance using experimental evolution

Beyond characterizing existing resistance mechanisms, it is a fundamental question how *de novo* resistance evolves. Understanding this can ultimately lead to strategies for inhibiting resistance evolution. Recent years have seen a plethora of novel techniques for investigating antibiotic resistance evolution in the laboratory and for systematically addressing its reproducibility, speed, molecular origins, and constraints.

Resistance often evolves so fast that it can be studied in the laboratory but it is still challenging to obtain quantitative and reproducible results. Serial transfer of microbial cultures is a common experimental evolution protocol [35] that is also useful for studying resistance evolution [22,36,37]. In this protocol, bacterial cultures grow in flasks or on microtiter plates and are diluted into fresh medium by a fixed factor at regular time intervals (e.g., every 24 hours). These experiments can be continued virtually indefinitely: Richard Lenski's seminal long-term evolution experiment [35] has exceeded a staggering 60 thousand generations in 28 years and is still ongoing. Because of the relative simplicity of this protocol, it is feasible to run hundreds of evolution experiments in parallel. Together with increasingly inexpensive whole genome sequencing techniques [38], this opens the door for a statistical investigation of the intrinsically stochastic evolutionary dynamics and for identifying general principles governing microbial evolution [39-42]. A drawback of serial transfer protocols is their inability to keep key parameters that affect the evolutionary process well-controlled: the population size fluctuates considerably and cultures differ in their growth rates and in the time they spend in stationary phase. This complicates the quantitative investigation of the evolutionary process and its comparison among different cultures. Furthermore, it is not straightforward how the antibiotic concentration should be chosen in such experiments to gain maximum insight into the process of resistance evolution: if it is too low, there is virtually no selection for resistance; if it is too high, cells cannot grow at all, preventing them from evolving at a significant rate.

Recently developed techniques in which bacteria are exposed to increasing antibiotic concentrations solve this problem. Theoretical work suggested that temporal or spatial selection gradients can facilitate the sequential emergence and fixation of multiple resistance mutations leading to increasingly higher resistance levels [43,44]. Consequently, advanced protocols that gradually increase antibiotic concentrations in time or space have been developed [45^{••},46–48]. A notable example is the 'morbidostat': this feedback-controlled device keeps cultures growing in exponential phase and automatically increases the antibiotic concentration during the experiment such that they keep growing at a pre-defined rate despite their increasing resistance. In this way, strong selection pressure for resistance is constantly maintained. For some antibiotics, this protocol enabled the highly reproducible evolution of a ~1000-fold resistance increase in just a few weeks [47].

Spatial antibiotic gradients may enable even faster resistance evolution. A striking example is given by a recently developed microfluidics device: a concentration gradient of the fluoroquinolone antibiotic ciprofloxacin (Table 1) was maintained across this $\sim 2-3$ cm hexagonal device that consists of over 100 micro-compartments that are connected, allowing cells to move between different concentrations. From an initial population size of 10^6 cells, the authors observed a surprisingly strong, over 200-fold resistance increase that resulted from multiple reproducible mutations that had occurred as early as 10 hours after inoculation [48]. A recent study sized the assay oppositely and followed evolution on a huge, meter-scale agar plate (the 'MEGA plate') [45^{••}]. In contrast to small agar plates where rapid diffusion quickly destroys spatial drug concentration gradients, they remain relatively stable on this larger plate. Further, the size of the plate allows for large bacterial population sizes that should accelerate the occurrence of resistance mutations. Fast resistance evolution reaching extremely high levels within weeks was observed for different antibiotics. Changing the slope of the concentration gradient revealed that smaller steps in drug resistance enable the multi-step evolution of high resistance levels that are practically impossible to reach in a single mutation step [45^{••}]. A fascinating aspect of this experiment is that the front of bacteria that grows across the plate can be viewed as a living Muller diagram that directly visualizes the evolutionary record and the key role of stochastic events in this process (Figure 1a,b): some of the most highly resistant lineages ultimately stalled in this assay because they emerged in an unfavorable location too far away from the growth front [45^{••}], illustrating the stochasticity of the process. Together, these results highlight the great potential of new assays with well-defined spatial

Figure 1



Schematic representation and direct visualization of evolving populations on fitness or antibiotic landscapes.

(a) A Muller plot showing the relative abundance of genotypes in an evolving population. Each colored region represents a subpopulation with a different fitness; darker green indicates higher fitness. (b) Top: a photograph of the MEGA-plate showing growth of *E. coli* over bands of increasing trimethoprim concentration (left to right). Snapshot after 220 hours of growth. Bottom: a schematic of the MEGA-plate experimental setup. From Ref. [45**], reprinted with permission from AAAS. (c) The same evolving population as in a, represented on a discrete fitness landscape of four mutations: circles represent all possible genotypes of four loci, each with two alleles. Two points are connected by an edge if the two genotypes are one mutational event apart. The arrows show the only path that has monotonically increasing fitness from the least fit to the fittest genotype.

drug landscapes as tools for investigating resistance evolution.

Apart from experiments where the antibiotic concentration increases monotonously, evolution has been studied under different temporal sequences of antibiotic exposure. In a recent experiment, E. coli cultures were repeatedly exposed to a high concentration of ampicillin for a fixed time, followed by complete removal of the drug and growth in its absence. In this assay, the bacteria did not evolve resistance at all but instead genetically tuned their lag times to match the duration of the antibiotic exposure [49^{••}]—a stunning observation and an effective survival strategy as the antibiotic used can only kill growing cells. The effect of various temporal exposure protocols on resistance dynamics was also studied at the single-cell level. A recent study used a synthetic stochastic switch controlling tetracycline resistance and observed the effect of antibiotic pulse length on the probability of selective sweeps in a microfluidic device; an intermediate regime in which sweeps are unlikely was identified [50]. Overall, these studies provide powerful tools for observing resistance evolution at different levels; however, mathematical models are needed to interpret the data and extrapolate to predictions beyond the laboratory.

Quantitative understanding of resistance mutations and their genetic interactions

The key ingredients entering theoretical descriptions of evolution are mutation and selection. While mutation rates can be estimated [51], the fate of mutations in the face of selection is determined by their effects on survival and growth (fitness) of the organism in the current environment. In theory, the probability that a new mutation has a certain fitness effect is determined by the so-called distribution of fitness effects (DFE) [52]. In practice, the shape of this distribution is hard to measure and has remained elusive. Approximations of the DFE for specific environments can be obtained by direct competition with the ancestor [42,53], or by comparing growth rates [54^{••}] or survival in high drug doses [55] for a large number of mutants. The shape of the DFE is crucial for the evolutionary dynamics. For example, it is a classical result that, for two DFEs with the same mean fitness effect but different variances, the one with the greater variance provides a greater probability for the occurrence of highly beneficial mutations and thus speeds up evolution. Recent studies have measured DFEs relevant for the specific case of antibiotic resistance evolution and revealed general relations that partly explain the shape of this distribution [46-48].

The width of the DFE in the presence of antibiotics was shown to depend strongly on the dose–response characteristics of the drug. The DFEs for eight antibiotics spanning diverse modes of action were approximated by measuring the growth rates under those antibiotics for all ~ 4000 strains of the *E. coli* gene deletion library [54^{••},56]. Interestingly, the widths of the distributions vary drastically across antibiotics. These differences are largely explained by the shape of their dose response curves: when the growth rate is sensitive to small differences in the concentration of a particular antibiotic, the corresponding DFE is wide. Conversely, for antibiotics where the growth rate is robust to such small dose differences, the corresponding DFE is narrow (Figure 2) and can even become narrower than in the absence of drug. A population genetics model predicted that the rate of resistance evolution and the diversity of evolutionary paths should increase for antibiotics with greater DFE width when compared to antibiotics with similar doseresponse characteristics. These predictions were confirmed in evolution experiments using the morbidostat [54^{••}]. These results highlight the potential of identifying key factors that determine the shape of the DFE for different antibiotics and bacteria; identifying such factors can enable increasingly accurate predictions of resistance evolution.

DFEs of mutations restricted to specific resistance genes can be measured more comprehensively and have revealed surprising features. A notable example is the DFE of the TEM-1 B-lactamase that was tackled in several recent efforts. First, beneficial mutations were detected by screening a randomly mutagenized library for TEM-1 variants that convey resistance to cefotaxime, and their resistance levels were quantified [57]. Second, the amoxicillin MIC was measured for 64% of all possible amino-acid substitutions in the TEM-1 enzyme; their effects were partially explained by amino acid properties and calculated protein stability changes [55]. Both studies consistently found that a few mutations have a much greater effect on fitness than predicted from an often assumed exponential DFE. This result suggests that evolution may be more predictable than expected-at least for resistance enzymes. Finally, a high-resolution map of the fitness effects for over 98% of all possible point mutations in the TEM-1 gene was assembled; this map suggested that the genetic code biases mutations toward beneficial effects [58]. The TEM-1 β-lactamase became a model system for the detailed understanding of DFEs in the context of antibiotic resistance; results from this system suggest that amino-acid properties and protein stability can help to predict the effects of many mutations.

The DFE generally depends on the genetic background; it can thus change as soon as the first mutation has occurred. The phenomenon where the effect of mutations depends on the presence of other mutations is termed 'epistasis' [59]. Measuring the extent of epistasis is important for evolutionary predictions because prevalent epistasis often leads to multiple fitness peaks and can prevent a population from reaching the global fitness maximum [60[•]]; in particular, this is the case for





Dose-response characteristics of antibiotics shape the distribution of fitness effects.

Schematics of two different dose response curves: the left curve is steep, that is, the growth rate is sensitive to small changes in drug concentration; the right curve is shallow. Mutations cause shifts in the effective drug concentration a bacterium experiences; the typical magnitude of these shifts is surprisingly similar for diverse antibiotics [54**]. The distribution of effective drug concentrations resulting from many different mutations is shown in gray. These mutations produce distributions of growth rates (fitness) that are wide for the steep dose-response curve (left) and narrow for the shallow dose-response curve (right).

'reciprocal sign epistasis' where the fitness effect of a mutation changes from positive to negative, depending on the background [61]. Epistasis can be analyzed using discrete fitness landscapes which are a powerful metaphor for assessing the constraints and predictability of mutational paths in evolution experiments [62,63]. A discrete fitness landscape is a graph in which the vertices are genotypes, and two genotypes are connected by an edge if they are a single mutational event apart. The landscape is completed by assigning a fitness value to all genotypes (Figure 1c). Paths on the landscape are accessible if they represent sequences of genotypes with monotonically increasing fitness, that is, all mutations along the path are beneficial. If only few of the possible paths are accessible, evolutionary trajectories become more constrained and predictable. Due to the astronomically large number of possible genotypes, it is not feasible to measure the fitness effects of all mutations and their combinations experimentally, even for short sequences. Therefore, recent studies have focused on full landscape reconstructions of just a few mutations relevant for drug resistance [62°,63,64] and proposed biophysical models to predict epistatic interactions from protein structure and function [65,66[•]].

The enzyme dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) has served as a key model for describing higher-order epistasis and biophysical constraints of fitness landscapes. DHFR is the target of trimethoprim (Table 1) and can cause resistance to this antibiotic via a few point mutations. A recent study reconstructed a fitness landscape of seven known resistance mutations in DHFR [62[•]]. It showed that when the possibility of the same locus mutating more than once is taken into account, prevalent epistasis may increase the accessibility of all peaks on a landscape, decreasing the chance of being 'trapped' at a suboptimal fitness [62[•]]. A later study measured the effects of three resistance mutations in DHFR and their combinations on enzyme efficiency, stability, and ability to bind trimethoprim [66[•]]. It discovered a trade-off between affinity to trimethoprim, enzyme efficiency, and stability which shapes the epistatic interactions in the fitness landscape. Further, the activity of protein chaperones strongly affected the shape of the fitness landscape by changing the stability of the enzyme [66[•]]. Together, these results underline the importance of genetic interactions both within the same gene and across different cellular mechanisms for predicting evolution.

A broader investigation of interactions between drug resistance and other cellular functions, including seemingly unrelated ones, can uncover potentiators of resistance evolution, that is, genes that accelerate this process [67]. Notable examples are mechanisms that increase genetic variability by increasing the mutation rate in response to an antibiotic challenge (stress-induced mutagenesis). In particular, this can happen by upregulation of the mutation-inducing SOS response [68–70], induction of mutagenic oxidative damage [71,72], or by regulated DNA uptake from the environment [73]. Mechanisms that affect evolvability are an interesting potential target for new drugs that could be combined with established antibiotics to hamper spontaneous resistance evolution an idea that has triggered efforts to develop SOS response inhibitors [68,74,75].

In addition to potentiation through changes in mutation rate, it will be interesting to identify mutations in other cellular functions that may increase the rate of resistance evolution via epistatic interactions. A conceptually related phenomenon occurred in Richard Lenski's long term evolution experiment where certain potentiating mutations were required before the ability to metabolize citrate could evolve [76,77]. At the heart of this phenomenon is a particular substitution with minor effects on fitness that allows for a secondary mutation to become beneficial. Similar genetic interactions between resistance mutations and genetic background can occur [78], suggesting that the evolvability of antibiotic resistance can be strongly affected by the presence of mutations in diverse cellular pathways. A systematic identification of mutations that produce significant changes in resistance development would greatly enhance our understanding of the complex interplay between drug resistance and other cellular functions. If the effect of these mutations can be chemically mimicked, this research could lead to the discovery of new adjuvants to antibiotics that slow down resistance evolution.

Conclusions

Over the last decade, the field has made considerable progress in understanding antibiotic resistance evolution, at least in well-controlled laboratory settings. Bacterial growth laws have helped to elucidate the interplay between cell physiology, antibiotic action, and resistance and made accurate quantitative predictions of surprising antibiotic effects. The success of studies thus far $[24^{\bullet\bullet},30]$ holds promise that a quantitative characterization of bacterial physiology will also lead to an improved understanding of resistance mechanisms for antibiotics with other targets than the ribosome.

New technology for evolution experiments together with improved mapping of mutational fitness effects and epistatic interactions will soon allow us to statistically test predictions for evolution in various simple and structured environments. A key challenge is to scale recently developed, precisely controlled lab evolution protocols [47] to higher throughput so that many antibiotics and various strains can be tested in parallel at high replication. This technology would enable a systematic investigation of mutations and other perturbations that affect resistance evolution. It would further provide a deeper understanding of the general principles of resistance evolution and enable predictions of the differences in the propensity for resistance evolution among bacteria and antibiotics. Beyond such well-controlled experiments, a great challenge is to develop technology enabling experiments that mimic the physiological environment as it occurs in an infection. Apart from the host immune system and physical properties of the host environment, the presence of other microbes at the infection site can affect the success of antibiotic treatments targeted at a single pathogen. In the long run, it will be crucial to translate the advances on antibiotic resistance evolution into specific intervention strategies that are effective against pathogenic bacteria in an infected host but, unlike current treatments, keep resistance in check.

Acknowledgements

We thank Michael Baym for sharing Figure 1b, Karin Mitosch, Tiago Paixão, and Marcin Zagorski for feedback on the manuscript, and all members of the Bollenbach lab for fruitful discussions. This work was supported in part by Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Grant No. P 27201-B22, HFSP program Grant No. RGP0042/2013, and Marie Curie Career Integration Grant No. 303507.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Levy SB, Marshall B: Antibacterial resistance worldwide: causes, challenges and responses. Nat Med 2004, 10:S122-129.
- Goossens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, Elseviers M: Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. *Lancet* 2005, 365:579-587.
- Blair JMA, Webber MA, Baylay AJ, Ogbolu DO, Piddock LJV: Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. Nat Rev Microbiol 2015, 13:42-51.
- Holmes AH, Moore LSP, Sundsfjord A, Steinbakk M, Regmi S, Karkey A, Guerin PJ, Piddock LJV: Understanding the mechanisms and drivers of antimicrobial resistance. Lancet 2016, 387:176-187.
- Khameneh B, Diab R, Ghazvini K, Fazly Bazzaz BS: Breakthroughs in bacterial resistance mechanisms and the potential ways to combat them. *Microb Pathog* 2016, 95:32-42.
- 6. Walsh C: Antibiotics. American Society of Microbiology; 2003.
- McArthur AG, Waglechner N, Nizam F, Yan A, Azad MA, Baylay AJ, Bhullar K, Canova MJ, De Pascale G, Ejim L *et al.*: The comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013, 57:3348-3357.
- Winkler JD, Halweg-Edwards AL, Erickson KE, Choudhury A, Pines G, Gill RT: The resistome: a comprehensive database of *Escherichia coli* resistance phenotypes. ACS Synth Biol 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.6b00150.
- 9. Wright GD: The antibiotic resistome: the nexus of chemical and genetic diversity. Nat Rev Microbiol 2007, 5:175-186.
- Khaledi A, Schniederjans M, Pohl S, Rainer R, Bodenhofer U, Xia B, Klawonn F, Bruchmann S, Preusse M, Eckweiler D *et al.*: Transcriptome profiling of antimicrobial resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2016, 60:4722-4733.
- Suzuki S, Horinouchi T, Furusawa C: Prediction of antibiotic resistance by gene expression profiles. Nat Commun 2014, 5:5792.
- Brauner A, Fridman O, Gefen O, Balaban NQ: Distinguishing between resistance, tolerance and persistence to antibiotic treatment. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016, 14:320-330.

- Frost LS, Leplae R, Summers AO, Toussaint A: Mobile genetic elements: the agents of open source evolution. Nat Rev Microbiol 2005, 3:722-732.
- Koonin EV, Makarova KS, Aravind L: Horizontal gene transfer in prokaryotes: quantification and classification. Annu Rev Microbiol 2001, 55:709-742.
- Gilbert P, Collier P, Brown M: Influence of growth-rate on susceptibility to antimicrobial agents—biofilms, cell-cycle, dormancy, and stringent response. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1990, 34:1865-1868.
- Brown MR, Collier PJ, Gilbert P: Influence of growth rate on susceptibility to antimicrobial agents: modification of the cell envelope and batch and continuous culture studies. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1990, 34:1623-1628.
- Sandoval-Motta S, Aldana M: Adaptive resistance to antibiotics in bacteria: a systems biology perspective. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med 2016, 8:253-267.
- Stewart PS, William Costerton J: Antibiotic resistance of bacteria in biofilms. *Lancet* 2001, 358:135-138.
- 19. Baym M, Stone LK, Kishony R: Multidrug evolutionary strategies to reverse antibiotic resistance. *Science* 2016, **351**:aad3292.
- Bollenbach T: Antimicrobial interactions: mechanisms and implications for drug discovery and resistance evolution. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2015, 27:1-9.
- Meredith HR, Srimani JK, Lee AJ, Lopatkin AJ, You L: Collective antibiotic tolerance: mechanisms, dynamics and intervention. Nat Chem Biol 2015, 11:182-188.
- Pál C, Papp B, Lázár V: Collateral sensitivity of antibioticresistant microbes. Trends Microbiol 2015, 23:401-407.
- 23. Vega NM, Gore J: Collective antibiotic resistance: mechanisms and implications. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2014, **21**:28-34.
- Greulich P, Scott M, Evans MR, Allen RJ: Growth-dependent
 bacterial susceptibility to ribosome-targeting antibiotics. Mol Syst Biol 2015, 11:796.

This paper validates theoretical predictions based on bacterial growth laws in experiments and explains why a lower growth rate increases resistance to some ribosome-targeting antibiotics but decreases it for others, depending on their kinetics of binding to the ribosome.

- Cozens RM, Tuomanen E, Tosch W, Zak O, Suter J, Tomasz A: Evaluation of the bactericidal activity of beta-lactam antibiotics on slowly growing bacteria cultured in the chemostat. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1986, 29:797-802.
- Ocampo PS, Lázár V, Papp B, Arnoldini M, zur Wiesch PA, Busa-Fekete R, Fekete G, Pál C, Ackermann M, Bonhoeffer S: Antagonism is prevalent between bacteriostatic and bactericidal antibiotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2014 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02463-14.
- 27. Scott M, Hwa T: Bacterial growth laws and their applications. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2011, 22:559-565.
- Scott M, Gunderson CW, Mateescu EM, Zhang Z, Hwa T: Interdependence of cell growth and gene expression: origins and consequences. *Science* 2010, 330:1099-1102.
- Klumpp S, Zhang Z, Hwa T: Growth rate-dependent global effects on gene expression in bacteria. *Cell* 2009, 139:1366-1375.
- Deris JB, Kim M, Zhang Z, Okano H, Hermsen R, Groisman A, Hwa T: The innate growth bistability and fitness landscapes of antibiotic resistant bacteria. *Science* 2013, 342:1237435.
- Elf J, Nilsson K, Tenson T, Ehrenberg M: Bistable bacterial growth rate in response to antibiotics with low membrane permeability. *Phys Rev Lett* 2006, 97:258104.
- Artemova T, Gerardin Y, Dudley C, Vega NM, Gore J: Isolated cell behavior drives the evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Mol Syst Biol* 2015, 11.
- Tan C, Smith RP, Srimani JK, Riccione KA, Prasada S, Kuehn M, You L: The inoculum effect and band-pass bacterial response to periodic antibiotic treatment. *Mol Syst Biol* 2012, 8:617.

- Nicoloff H, Andersson DI: Indirect resistance to several classes of antibiotics in cocultures with resistant bacteria expressing antibiotic-modifying or -degrading enzymes. J Antimicrob Chemother 2016, 71:100-110.
- 35. Elena SF, Lenski RE: Evolution experiments with microorganisms: the dynamics and genetic bases of adaptation. *Nat Rev Genet* 2003, 4:457-469.
- Hegreness M, Shoresh N, Damian D, Hartl D, Kishony R: Accelerated evolution of resistance in multidrug environments. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2008, 105:13977-13981.
- Oz T, Guvenek A, Yildiz S, Karaboga E, Tamer YT, Mumcuyan N, Ozan VB, Senturk GH, Cokol M, Yeh P et al.: Strength of selection pressure is an important parameter contributing to the complexity of antibiotic resistance evolution. *Mol Biol Evol* 2014 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu191.
- Baym M, Kryazhimskiy S, Lieberman TD, Chung H, Desai MM, Kishony R: Inexpensive multiplexed library preparation for megabase-sized genomes. *PLoS One* 2015, 10:e0128036.
- **39.** Desai MM: **Statistical questions in experimental evolution**. *J Stat Mech Theory Exp* 2013, **2013**:P01003.
- Kryazhimskiy S, Rice DP, Jerison ER, Desai MM: Global epistasis makes adaptation predictable despite sequence-level stochasticity. Science 2014, 344:1519-1522.
- Levy SF, Blundell JR, Venkataram S, Petrov DA, Fisher DS, Sherlock G: Quantitative evolutionary dynamics using high-resolution lineage tracking. *Nature* 2015, 519:181-186.
- Venkataram S, Dunn B, Li Y, Agarwala A, Chang J, Ebel ER, Geiler-Samerotte K, Hérissant L, Blundell JR, Levy SF et al.: Development of a comprehensive genotype-to-fitness map of adaptation-driving mutations in yeast. *Cell* 2016, 166:1585-1596 e22.
- 43. Greulich P, Waclaw B, Allen RJ: Mutational pathway determines whether drug gradients accelerate evolution of drug-resistant cells. *Phys Rev Lett* 2012, **109**:088101.
- 44. Hermsen R, Deris JB, Hwa T: On the rapidity of antibiotic resistance evolution facilitated by a concentration gradient. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2012, **109**:10775-10780.
- 45. Baym M, Lieberman TD, Kelsic ED, Chait R, Gross R, Yelin I,
 Kishony R: Spatiotemporal microbial evolution on antibiotic landscapes. *Science* 2016, 353:1147-1151.

In this work, antibiotic resistance evolution was investigated in spatial concentration profiles on huge, meter-scale agar plates. The stochastic nature of evolution, including individual mutation events and competition between different mutations, can be seen with the naked eye in this amazing experiment.

- Spagnolo F, Rinaldi C, Sajorda DR, Dykhuizen DE: The evolution of resistance to continuously increasing streptomycin concentrations in populations of *E. coli*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01359-15.
- Toprak E, Veres A, Michel J-B, Chait R, Hartl DL, Kishony R: Evolutionary paths to antibiotic resistance under dynamically sustained drug selection. Nat Genet 2012, 44:101-105.
- Zhang Q, Lambert G, Liao D, Kim H, Robin K, Tung C, Pourmand N, Austin RH: Acceleration of emergence of bacterial antibiotic resistance in connected microenvironments. Science 2011, 333:1764-1767.
- 49. Fridman O, Goldberg A, Ronin I, Shoresh N, Balaban NQ:
 Optimization of lag time underlies antibiotic tolerance in
- Optimization of lag time underlies antibiotic tolerance in evolved bacterial populations. Nature 2014, 513:418-421.

This work uses a laboratory evolution protocol in which bacteria are intermittently exposed to lethal concentrations of an antibiotic. The authors report the stunning observation that the bacteria do not become more resistant to the antibiotic but rather optimize their lag times to survive the episodes of antibiotic exposure.

 Lin W-H, Kussell E: Complex interplay of physiology and selection in the emergence of antibiotic resistance. *Curr Biol* 2016, 26:1486-1493.

- Jee J, Rasouly A, Shamovsky I, Akivis Y, Steinman SR, Mishra B, Nudler E: Rates and mechanisms of bacterial mutagenesis from maximum-depth sequencing. *Nature* 2016, 534:693-696.
- 52. Eyre-Walker A, Keightley PD: The distribution of fitness effects of new mutations. *Nat Rev Genet* 2007, 8:610-618.
- Elena SF, Ekunwe L, Hajela N, Oden SA, Lenski RE: Distribution of fitness effects caused by random insertion mutations in Escherichia coli. Genetica 1998, 102–103:349-358.
- 54. Chevereau G, Dravecká M, Batur T, Guvenek A, Ayhan DH,
- Toprak E, Bollenbach T: Quantifying the determinants of evolutionary dynamics leading to drug resistance. PLoS Biol 2015, 13:e1002299.

This paper shows how drug-specific dose-response characteristics shape the distribution of fitness effects and therefore enable predicting aspects of the dynamics of resistance evolution.

- Jacquier H, Birgy A, Nagard HL, Mechulam Y, Schmitt E, Glodt J, Bercot B, Petit E, Poulain J, Barnaud G et al.: Capturing the mutational landscape of the beta-lactamase TEM-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2013, 110:13067-13072.
- 56. Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita M, Wanner BL, Mori H: Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. *Mol Syst Biol* 2006, 2:2006.0008.
- Schenk MF, Szendro IG, Krug J, de Visser JAGM: Quantifying the adaptive potential of an antibiotic resistance enzyme. *PLoS Genet* 2012, 8:e1002783.
- Firnberg E, Labonte JW, Gray JJ, Ostermeier M: A comprehensive, high-resolution map of a gene's fitness landscape. *Mol Biol Evol* 2014, 31:1581-1592.
- 59. Poelwijk FJ, Krishna V, Ranganathan R: The contextdependence of mutations: a linkage of formalisms. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2016, **12**:e1004771.
- 60. de Visser JAGM, Krug J: Empirical fitness landscapes and the

 predictability of evolution. Nat Rev Genet 2014, 15:480-490.

 This review gives an excellent overview of how measured genotypefitness maps shape theoretical predictions about the dynamics and
 predictability of evolution.
- 61. Poelwijk FJ, Tănase-Nicola S, Kiviet DJ, Tans SJ: Reciprocal sign epistasis is a necessary condition for multi-peaked fitness landscapes. J Theor Biol 2011, 272:141-144.
- 62. Palmer AC, Toprak E, Baym M, Kim S, Veres A, Bershtein S,
- Kishony R: Delayed commitment to evolutionary fate in antibiotic resistance fitness landscapes. Nat Commun 2015, 6:7385.

This work mapped the complete fitness landscape for seven resistanceconferring mutations in the enzyme DHFR and found that epistatic interactions increase the accessibility of the landscape. This effect is due to indirect paths in which sites mutate more than once during the walk on the landscape.

- Weinreich DM, Delaney NF, DePristo MA, Hartl DL: Darwinian evolution can follow only very few mutational paths to fitter proteins. Science 2006, 312:111-114.
- Gabryszewski SJ, Modchang C, Musset L, Chookajorn T, Fidock DA: Combinatorial genetic modeling of pfcrt-mediated drug resistance evolution in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Mol Biol Evol* 2016, 33:1554-1570.

- Figliuzzi M, Jacquier H, Schug A, Tenaillon O, Weigt M: Coevolutionary landscape inference and the contextdependence of mutations in beta-lactamase TEM-1. *Mol Biol Evol* 2016, 33:268-280.
- 66. Rodrigues JV, Bershtein S, Li A, Lozovsky ER, Hartl DL,
 Shakhnovich EI: Biophysical principles predict fitness landscapes of drug resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2016, 113: E1470-E1478.

This work offers a biophysical explanation for the fitness landscape of three resistance mutations in DHFR by measuring the catalytic efficiency of this enzyme, its affinity to the antibiotic trimethoprim, and its stability; the authors revealed a trade-off between catalytic efficiency and resistance by reduced affinity.

- Méhi O, Bogos B, Csörgő B, Pál C: Genomewide screen for modulators of evolvability under toxic antibiotic exposure. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013, 57:3453-3456.
- Cirz RT, Chin JK, Andes DR, de Crécy-Lagard V, Craig WA, Romesberg FE: Inhibition of mutation and combating the evolution of antibiotic resistance. *PLoS Biol* 2005, 3:e176.
- Galhardo RS, Hastings PJ, Rosenberg SM: Mutation as a stress response and the regulation of evolvability. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 2007, 42:399-435.
- Matic I, Taddei F, Radman M: Survival versus maintenance of genetic stability: a conflict of priorities during stress. *Res Microbiol* 2004, 155:337-341.
- Kohanski MA, DePristo MA, Collins JJ: Sublethal antibiotic treatment leads to multidrug resistance via radical-induced mutagenesis. *Mol Cell* 2010, 37:311-320.
- Méhi O, Bogos B, Csörgő B, Pál F, Nyerges Á, Papp B, Pál C: Perturbation of iron homeostasis promotes the evolution of antibiotic resistance. Mol Biol Evol 2014 http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/molbev/msu223.
- Charpentier X, Polard P, Claverys J-P: Induction of competence for genetic transformation by antibiotics: convergent evolution of stress responses in distant bacterial species lacking SOS? Curr Opin Microbiol 2012, 15:570-576.
- Alam MK, Alhhazmi A, DeCoteau JF, Luo Y, Geyer CR: RecA inhibitors potentiate antibiotic activity and block evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Cell Chem Biol* 2016, 23:381-391.
- 75. Mo CY, Manning SA, Roggiani M, Culyba MJ, Samuels AN, Sniegowski PD, Goulian M, Kohli RM: Systematically altering bacterial SOS activity under stress reveals therapeutic strategies for potentiating antibiotics. *mSphere* 2016, 1: e00163-16.
- Blount ZD, Barrick JE, Davidson CJ, Lenski RE: Genomic analysis of a key innovation in an experimental *Escherichia coli* population. *Nature* 2012, 489:513-518.
- Blount ZD, Borland CZ, Lenski RE: Historical contingency and the evolution of a key innovation in an experimental population of Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2008, 105:7899-7906.
- Vogwill T, Kojadinovic M, MacLean RC: Epistasis between antibiotic resistance mutations and genetic background shape the fitness effect of resistance across species of *Pseudomonas*. Proc R Soc B 2016, 283:20160151.