Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology

Rose-Hulman Scholar

Mathematical Sciences Technical Reports (MSTR)

Mathematics

4-1992

Some Facts About Cycels and Tidy Groups

Kevin O'Bryant
Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology

D. Patrick
Carnegie Mellon University

Lawren Smithline
Harvard University

Eric Wepsic
Harvard University

SOME FACTS ABOUT CYCELS AND TIDY GROUPS

K. O'Bryant, D. Patrick, L. Smithline and E. Wepsic

MS TR 92-04

April 1992

Department of Mathematics
Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology
Terre Haute, IN 47803

FAX(812) 877-3198 Phone: (812) 877-8391

Some Facts About Cycels and Tidy Groups

K. O'Bryant* D. Patrick* L. Smithline* E. Wepsic*

1 Definitions

Note: In this paper, all groups mentioned are finite. We denote the identity element of a group G by e, and $G \setminus \{e\}$ by $G^{\times}$. Also, $H \leq G$ denotes that H is a subgroup of G.

Recall that the centralizer of an element $x \in G$ can be defined by

$$C(x) = \{y \in G \mid \langle x, y \rangle \text{ is abelian}\}.$$

If, in the above definition, we replace the word "abelian" with the word "cyclic", we get a subset of the centralizer, called the *cyclicizer*. To be explicit, define the cyclicizer of an element $x \in G$, denoted $\mathrm{Cyc}(x)$, by

$$\mathrm{Cyc}(x) = \{y \in G \mid \langle x, y \rangle \text{ is cyclic}\}.$$

Some properties of cyclicizers are discussed in [1].

Also, just as the center of the group can be defined by

$$Z(G) = \bigcap_{x \in G} C(x),$$

we may define a similar construct, called the *cycel*[1], denoted $K(G)$, by

$$K(G) = \bigcap_{x \in G} \mathrm{Cyc}(x).$$

*Supported by NSF grant number DMS-910059.

[1]The notation for the cycel comes from the Hungarian word *kerek*, which means "round".

2 Properties of the cycel

2.1 Basic properties

It is clear from the definitions above that $Cyc(x) \subseteq C(x)$ for all $x \in G$, and thus $K(G) \subseteq Z(G)$. It is also clear that for all $g \in K(G)$ and all $x \in G$, we have $\langle x, g\rangle$ cyclic. This allows us to prove the following:

Theorem 1 *For all groups G, $K(G) \lhd G$.*

Proof: Let $g, h \in K(G)$ and $x \in G$ be given. Then $\langle g^{-1}, x\rangle = \langle g, x\rangle$, which is cyclic, so $g^{-1} \in K(G)$. Also, $\langle gh, x\rangle \leq \langle g, h, x\rangle$, which is cyclic, so $gh \in K(G)$. So $K(G) \leq G$. But $K(G) \leq Z(G)$ as well, so $K(G)$ is normal. ■

Recall that $Z(G)$ can also be defined as the intersection of the maximal abelian subgroups of G. We can characterize $K(G)$ similarly.

Theorem 2 *For all groups G, $K(G)$ is the intersection of the maximal cyclic subgroups of G.*

Proof: Let x be in the intersection of the maximal cyclic subgroups of G, and pick arbitrary $g \in G$. Then g is contained in some maximal cyclic subgroup $\langle h\rangle$ of G. But $x \in \langle h\rangle$ as well, so $\langle x, g\rangle \leq \langle h\rangle$. Hence g and x generate a cyclic subgroup. Since this is true for all $g \in G$, we have that $x \in K(G)$.

Conversely, Let $x \in K(G)$ and $g \in G$ be given such that $\langle g\rangle$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup of G. Then $\langle x, g\rangle$ is cyclic, but since $\langle g\rangle$ is maximal we must have $\langle x, g\rangle = \langle g\rangle$. Thus $x \in \langle g\rangle$. Since this is true for all maximal cyclic subgroups of G, we must have x in the intersection of the maximal cyclic subgroups of G. ■

An immediate corollary of Theorem 2 is

Corollary 3 *For all groups G, $K(G)$ is cyclic.* □

Let us summarize some of the similarities of the center and the cycel:

$Z(G)$	$K(G)$
Intersection of centralizers	Intersection of cyclicizers
Intersection of maximal abelian subgroups	Intersection of maximal cyclic subgroups
$\langle x, g\rangle$ abelian for $x \in Z(G)$, $g \in G$	$\langle x, g\rangle$ cyclic for $x \in K(G)$, $g \in G$
$Z(G)$ abelian	$K(G)$ cyclic

We may also wish to consider the cyclic analog of nilpotency, which could be termed "cycelpotency", by constructing the "ascending cycel series":

$$\langle e\rangle, K(G), K(G/K(G)), \ldots.$$

However, this concept proves to be trivial, as shown in the following theorem:

Theorem 4 *For all groups G, $K(G/K(G)) = \langle e\rangle$.*

Proof: Let $K = K(G) = \langle k\rangle$, by Corollary 3. Suppose $L \in K(G/K(G))$. For all $c \in G$, where $C = cK$, there is a $D \in G/K$ such that $\langle L, C\rangle = \langle D\rangle$. But $L = lK, D = dK$ for some $l, d \in G$, and hence

$$\langle l, c, k\rangle = \langle l, c\rangle K = \langle d\rangle K = \langle d, k\rangle = \langle d'\rangle$$

for some $d' \in G$. In particular $\langle l, c, k\rangle$ is cyclic, thus $\langle l, c\rangle \leq \langle l, c, k\rangle$ is cyclic. But $c \in G$ is arbitrary, so $l \in K(G)$ and hence $L = e$. ■

2.2 Miscellaneous properties

The above theorem deals with the cycel of $G/K(G)$. We can also prove a similar result about $G/Z(G)$. First we need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 5 *For all groups G, if $gZ \in (G/Z(G))^{\times}$, then $\langle \mathrm{Cyc}(gZ)\rangle \neq G/Z(G)$.*

Proof: Suppose $hZ \in \mathrm{Cyc}(gZ)$. Then $\langle gZ, hZ\rangle$ is cyclic in $G/Z(G)$, so $\langle g, h, Z\rangle$ is abelian in G. Thus $h \in C(g)$. Thus, since $C(g) \leq G$, we have that if h is in the preimage of $\langle \mathrm{Cyc}(gZ)\rangle$, then $h \in C(g)$. Thus, since $gZ \neq e$, we have that $C(g) \neq G$, so $\langle \mathrm{Cyc}(gZ)\rangle \neq G/Z$. ■

This immediately gives the following:

Theorem 6 *For all groups G, $K(G/Z(G)) = \langle e\rangle$.*

Proof: This follows immediately from Lemma 5, since if $\bar{g} \in K(G/Z(G))$, then $\mathrm{Cyc}(\bar{g}) = G/Z$, so by Lemma 5 we must have $\bar{g} = e$. ■

Lemma 5 also gives us the following interesting result on the structure of G/Z. Recall that a non-abelian group is said to be *Dedekind* if all of its proper subgroups are normal.

Theorem 7 *For all groups G, G/Z is not a Dedekind group.*

Proof: Suppose G/Z is a Dedekind group. Then $G/Z = Q \times A$, where Q is the quaternion group and A is an abelian group. Consider the element $(-1,0)$ of G/Z. Then $\text{Cyc}((-1,0)) = (Q \times \{0\}) \cup (Q \setminus \{-1,1\} \times A)$, and thus $\langle \text{Cyc}((-1,0)) \rangle = G/Z$, a contradiction of Lemma 5. ■

We can also characterize the p-groups with non-trivial cycels.

Theorem 8 *Suppose G is a p-group. Then $K(G) \neq \langle e \rangle$ if and only if G is cyclic or generalized quaternion. Moreover, if $K(G) \neq \langle e \rangle$, then $K(G) = Z(G)$.*

Proof: If G is cyclic, then $K(G) = Z(G) = G$. If G is generalized quaternion, then every maximal cyclic subgroup contains the center (see [2]), so by Theorem 2, $K(G) = Z(G)$.

Conversely, suppose that G is a p-group with non-trivial cycel. Then by Corollary 3, $K(G)$ is cyclic, so $K(G)$ has exactly $p-1$ elements of order p. Suppose there is another element $x \in G$, with $x \notin K(G)$, such that $|x| = p$. Consider H, the maximal cyclic subgroups containing x. Then by the previous lemma, $K(G) \leq H$. But H is cyclic, and contains p elements of order p, a contradiction. Hence, G has exactly $p-1$ elements of order p, and by a well-known result (in [2], among other sources), G must be either cyclic or generalized quaternion. ■

Finally, we have the result which indicates when a non-cyclic group G is spanned by a minimal number of cyclic subgroups.

Theorem 9 *G is the union of three proper cyclic subgroups if and only if G is isomorphic to either $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C}$, where $\mathbf{C}$ is a cyclic group of odd order, and $\mathbf{Q}$ is the quaternion group of order 8.*

Proof: It is clear that both $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C}$ are the union of three proper cyclic subgroups. Bruckheimer, Bryan and Muir [3] have shown that a group is the union of three proper subgroups if and only if their intersection N is normal and $G/N \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$. It follows from Theorem 1, Theorem 2, and [3] that the groups spanned by three cyclic subgroups are precisely those for which $G/K(G) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$. We now classify such groups.

First, note that G is nilpotent. This can be seen by observing that

$$G/Z(G) \cong (G/K(G))/(Z(G)/K(G))$$

is a factor group of an abelian group, and so is abelian. Thus, we can write $G \cong Syl_2 \times Syl_3 \times Syl_5 \times \cdots$. Since $\mid G/K \mid = 2^2$, $K(G)$ must contain $Syl_3 \times Syl_5 \times \cdots$. It follows from Corollary 3 that $\mathrm{C} \cong Syl_3 \times Syl_5 \times \cdots$ is cyclic and of odd order. Note that we have also proven that $|K(G)| = 2^a|\mathrm{C}|$, where a is a nonnegative integer.

Suppose $K(G) \cap Syl_2 = \langle e \rangle$. Then $|Syl_2| = |G/K(G)| = 4$. If Syl_2 were cyclic, then G would be as well. In that case, however, G would not be the union of three proper cyclic subgroups. Hence $Syl_2 \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$, and $G \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathrm{C}$. Now, suppose that $K(G) \cap Syl_2 \neq \langle e \rangle$. It is clear that $K(G) \cap Syl_2 \leq K(Syl_2)$, from which we see that Syl_2 is a 2-group with a non-trivial cycel. It follows from Theorem 8 that Syl_2 is cyclic or generalized quaternion. If Syl_2 were cyclic, then G would also be cyclic and, consequently, not the union of three proper cyclic subgroups. If Syl_2 is generalized quaternion, then by Theorem 8, $|K(Syl_2)| = |Z(Syl_2)|$. But the center of a generalized quaternion is of order 2 (see [2]). We can now see that $|K(G) \cap Syl_2| \leq |K(Syl_2)| = 2$, and so $|K(G)| = 2^a|\mathrm{C}| = 2|\mathrm{C}|$. Thus, $|G| = |G/K(G)| \cdot |K(G)| = 4 \cdot 2|\mathrm{C}|$. Hence, $|Syl_2| = 8$ and Syl_2 is the quaternion group of order 8. ■

3 Tidy groups

Note that unlike its analog the centralizer, a cyclicizer is not necessarily a subgroup. For example, in the group $\mathbf{Z}_4 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$, the cyclicizer of the element $(2,0)$ is of order 6:

$$\mathrm{Cyc}((2,0)) = \{(0,0),(1,0),(1,1),(2,0),(3,0),(3,1)\}.$$

A natural question to ask is: what can be said about those groups in which all of the cyclicizers are actually subgroups?

Let G be a group. G is said to be *tidy* if $\mathrm{Cyc}(x)$ is a subgroup for all $x \in G$. We begin with the following lemma on direct products of tidy groups.

Lemma 10 *Let G and H be groups such that $|G|$ and $|H|$ are relatively prime. Then $G \times H$ is tidy if and only if G and H are tidy.*

Proof: Suppose G and H are such that $|G|$ and $|H|$ are relatively prime, and that G and H are both tidy. Then for all $(g,h) \in G \times H$, $\mathrm{Cyc}((g,h)) = \mathrm{Cyc}(g) \times \mathrm{Cyc}(h)$ (since for all $(g_1,h_1),(g_2,h_2) \in G \times H$, we have $\langle (g_1,h_1),(g_2,h_2) \rangle = \langle g_1,g_2 \rangle \times \langle h_1,h_2 \rangle$). Hence $\mathrm{Cyc}((g,h))$ is a subgroup.

Conversely, suppose that $G \times H$ is tidy. Then for all $g \in G$, we have that $\mathrm{Cyc}(g) = \pi_G(\mathrm{Cyc}((g,e)))$ (where π_G is the projection homomorphism from $G \times H$ to G). So $\mathrm{Cyc}(g)$ is a subgroup. ■

We now classify all the abelian tidy groups.

Theorem 11 *Let G be an abelian group. Then G is tidy if and only if every p-Sylow subgroup of G is cyclic or elementary abelian.*

Proof: By the previous lemma, G is tidy if and only if each p-Sylow subgroup of G is tidy. Let P be a p-Sylow subgroup of G. If P is cyclic, then for all $x \in P$, we have $\mathrm{Cyc}(x) = P$. If P is elementary abelian, then every $x \in P^{\times}$ is of order p, so $\mathrm{Cyc}(x) = \langle x \rangle$. Otherwise, P contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_p \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{p^2}$, which is not tidy (since the element $(0, p)$ has a cyclicizer which is not a subgroup). ∎

We also classify tidy p-groups. First we need the following lemma:

Lemma 12 *Suppose G is a tidy p-group. Let $x \in G$ be such that $|x| \neq p$, and $\langle x \rangle$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup. Then $Z(G) \leq \langle x \rangle$.*

Proof: Let $z \in Z(G)$ be given, and consider x as above. Then $\langle z, x \rangle$ is abelian. Furthermore $\langle z, x \rangle$ is not elementary abelian, since $|x| \neq p$. So by Theorem 11, $\langle z, x \rangle$ is cyclic. But $\langle x \rangle$ is maximal; hence $z \in \langle x \rangle$. Since $z \in Z(G)$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $Z(G) \leq \langle x \rangle$. ∎

An immediate corollary is

Corollary 13 *Suppose G is a tidy p-group. Then $Z(G)$ is cyclic.* □

This allows us to prove the following:

Theorem 14 *Suppose G is a p-group. Then G is tidy if and only if there exists $H \lhd G$, where H is cyclic or generalized quaternion, and for all $x \in G \setminus H$, we have $|x| = p$.*

Proof: Suppose G is a tidy p-group. Let $H = \mathrm{Cyc}(z)$, where z is a non-identity element of $Z(G)$. First, consider any element $x \in G \setminus H$, with $|x| \neq p$. Then x is contained in some maximal cyclic subgroup $< y >$, with $|y| \neq p$, so by Lemma 12, $z \in \langle y \rangle$. But then $\langle x, z \rangle \in \langle y \rangle$, so $\langle x, z \rangle$ is cyclic, and hence $x \in \mathrm{Cyc}(z) = H$.

Next, we observe that $z \in K(H)$, since $\langle z, h \rangle$ is cyclic for any $h \in H$, so by Theorem 8, H is either cyclic or generalized quaternion. Finally, if $\langle h \rangle$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup of H, with $|h| > p$, then any conjugate of $\langle h \rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of order greater than p, and hence must be in H. So $H \triangleleft G$.

For the converse, suppose G is a p-group with a normal subgroup H as above. Then if $x \in H$, $\mathrm{Cyc}(x)$ is a subgroup (since cyclic and generalized quaternion groups are themselves tidy), and if $x \notin H$, then $|x| = p$, so $\mathrm{Cyc}(x) = \langle x \rangle$. So $\mathrm{Cyc}(x)$ is a subgroup for all $x \in G$, and hence G is tidy. ■

4 References

[1] D. Patrick and E. Wepsic, "Cyclicizers, Centralizers, and Normalizers", Rose-Hulman Technical Report MS-TR 91-05.
[2] D. Gorenstein, *Finite Groups*, New York: Harper & Row, 1968.
[3] M. Bruckheimer, A.C. Bryan, and A. Muir, "Groups Which Are the Union of Three Subgroups", American Mathematical Monthly 77 (1970).

David Patrick
Carnegie Mellon Univ.
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Eric Wepsic & Lawren Smithline
Harvard University
Cambridge, MA 02138

Kevin O'Bryant
Rose-Hulman Inst. of Tech.
Terre Haute, IN 47803