

**FORMAL MODEL OF TRUST DYNAMICS FOR SHORT-TERM  
HUMAN-ROBOT INTERACTION**

**WADHAH A. ABDULHUSSAIN**

**SCHOOL OF COMPUTING  
UUM COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES  
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA  
2015**

## **Permission to Use**

In presenting this dissertation in partial fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Universiti Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for the copying of this dissertation in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this dissertation or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my dissertation.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this project dissertation, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences

UUM College of Arts and Sciences

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

## **Abstrak**

Perkembangan dalam bidang teknologi robotik pada tahun sebelum ini telah membuka pasaran serta cabaran yang luas dalam bidang tersebut. Interaksi dengan teknologi canggih telah membawa kepada perbincangan yang penting tentang bagaimana interaksi tersebut dimulakan itu mampu mewujudkan interaksi yang lancar antara manusia dengan robot (interaksi manusia-robot) dan mampu bertahan untuk masa yang lama. Salah satu faktor penting yang mempengaruhi tahap interaksi antara manusia dengan robot adalah tahap kepercayaan. Kepercayaan adalah keyakinan bahawa pergantungan kepada individu itu tidak akan membawa kesan negatif atau mendatangkan bahaya. Dari segi psikologi pengkomputan, model formal (model pengkomputan) telah digunakan untuk mendapat gambaran tentang fungsi kognitif serta corak tingkah laku manusia. Oleh itu, kajian mengenai pemodelan formal kepercayaan dalam interaksi manusia-robot dibina untuk menjawab persoalan bagaimana kepercayaan terbentuk semasa manusia berinteraksi dengan robot. Menerusi sorotan berkaitan, terdapat 18 faktor asas yang berkaitan dan ini meliputi; personaliti, penampilan fizikal, kepercayaan tingkah laku, isyarat tingkah laku, tahap automasi, pengalaman positif, ketelusan, persepsi risiko jangka pendek, persepsi risiko jangka panjang, kepercayaan kepada pergantungan jangka pendek, persepsi kecekapan, penyamaran positif, pengalaman positif jangka panjang, kepercayaan jangka pendek, kesangsian jangka pendek, kepercayaan jangka panjang dan ketidakpercayaan jangka panjang. Faktor ini telah digunakan sebagai pengetahuan asas untuk membangunkan kepercayaan manusia terhadap robot. Satu model formal

telah dibangun menggunakan set persamaan pembeza. Seterusnya, terdapat lima kes yang berbeza telah digunakan bagi membentuk simulasi untuk menerangkan proses kepercayaan dalam interaksi manusia dan robot; iaitu 1) tahap kepercayaan yang tinggi, 2) tahap kepercayaan sederhana tinggi, 3) tahap kepercayaan sederhana, 4) tahap kepercayaan sederhana rendah dan 5) tahap kepercayaan yang rendah. Model yang dibangun telah diuji dengan menggunakan analisis matematik (analisis kestabilan) dan pengesanan automatik (bahasa surih masa).

## **Abstract**

Rapid advance of robotic technologies in the last years have opened numerous venues and great challenges in the field of robotics technology. Interacting with those advanced technologies has carried huge debates on how such interactions can be instigated to create fluent interaction between humans and robots that can last for long time (human-robot interaction). One of the crucial factors that majorly influence the level of interaction between human and robot is the level of trust. Trust is the feeling of confidence that the reliance on other partner will not yield negative or dangerous consequences. In computational psychology domains, formal models (computational models) were used to acquire deep insights of human cognitive functions and behavior patterns. Therefore, this study implements formal model of trust in human robot interaction to answer how trust can be a reason to initiate interaction between human and robot. From related literature, eighteen basic factors have been established that include; personality, physical appearances, believable behavior, behavior cues, level of automation, positive experiences, transparency, perception, long term perceive risk, short term perceive risk, reliable behavior, perceive competency, positive deception, long term positive experiences, short term trust, short term distrust, long term trust, long term distrust. Those factors provide the fundamental knowledge of developing trust in robot. A formal model was developed based on a set of differential equations. Next, Five different cases were implemented to simulate various scenarios that explain the development of trust in HRI; namely, 1) high level of trust, 2) moderate high level of trust, 3) moderate level of trust, 4) moderate low level of trust, and 5) low level of trust. The developed model was verified by using mathematical analysis (stability analysis) and automated verification (temporal trace language).

## **Acknowledgement**

The most praise, gratitude, and thankfulness goes to the Almighty Lord, the most merciful and compassionate, for reconciling, and providing me with patience and strength to accomplish my MSc dissertation.

My sincerest gratitude and appreciations go to my supervisor, Dr. Azizi Ab Aziz, for his guidance, encouragements, and the unique hospitality since he treated our group as his family. My gratefulness for the valuable knowledge we gained from him to complete this valuable thesis. Definitely, I will miss your words “Sleep enough otherwise u will be in trouble”, “I was student I knew all these tricks”, “We must push the boundaries”, and “I don’t care”. Lastly, I would like to thank him for keeping my academic plans on schedule, and encouraging me to keep up ready for the next challenge in my life which is chasing PhD dream.

To my extended family in Iraq, a special heartfelt thankfulness to the first inspirer, my father Eng. Dr. Abdulhamza Abdulhussain, for his financial, moral, efforts, motivation, sacrifice, and unlimited support since I started to pursue my master program in Malaysia at august 2013. I wish to make you feel proud of me. I also would love to express my sincerest gratitude to the soft-hearted and genuine love that I consider myself lucky to have such a great mother, Khairiya Taj, who has believed in me and never stopped praying for me. Your prayers always enlightened my way and blessed my life. I believe that my parents’ satisfaction of me was the key word to my successful. So I dedicate and acknowledge this dissertation to whom I’m beholden for the rest of my life, my wonderful parents.

Moreover, I would love to express my thankfulness to my brilliant siblings, the most precious persons that I have in this world, (Zena, Hussain, Alaa, Hiba, Firas, Noora) for their continuous encouragements, supports and prayers.

I also would like to extend my thanks and appreciations to all my friends who have contributed to complete this thesis successfully especially my close friend Hayder M. Ali Phd Candidate for his help, and my best friends Zaid Kubba for his inspiration, Wael Hasan, Mustafa, Maytham, Abbas Saad, Joke, Faisal Saido, Harith Azam, and Yassir. We have spent such awesome time together; and by knowing you, I never felt alone or in alienation especially when I am 4234 Miles (the distance between Baghdad and Alor setar) away from our country.

I would love to extend my thanks to my lovely and closest friends back at home Ahmed Hashim, Nawar Kahtan, and Nawar Zuhair, for their continuous asking, calling, and Duaa for the whole 2 years. Many thanks go to everyone stood by my side and gave me advices, who ask me to look at the glass half full and to live with no regrets.

Lastly, I would love to say “Terima kasih” to Malaysia and I strongly love you. May Allah protect this land.

**Wadhah A. Abdulhussain**

UUM, Sintok, April, 2015.

## Table of Contents

Permission to Use .....	i
Abstrak.....	ii
Abstract.....	iv
Acknowledgement .....	v
Table of Contents.....	vii
List of Tables .....	10
List of Figures.....	11
<b>CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>13</b>
1.1 Background .....	13
1.2 Problem Statement .....	16
1.3 Research Questions .....	19
1.4 Research Objectives .....	19
1.5 Scope of the Study .....	19
1.6 Significance of the study.....	20
1.7 Summary .....	20
<b>CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>21</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	21
2.2 The Nature of Trust.....	25
2.3 Forms of Trust.....	30
2.3.1 Human-Human Trust .....	30
2.3.2 Human-Animal Trust .....	32
2.3.3 Human-Machine/Robot Trust .....	36
2.4 Human Robot Interaction.....	38
2.5 Theories and Important Concepts of Trust in Human Robot Interaction: .....	39
2.5.1 Human Robot Short-Term Interaction .....	42
2.5.2 Cognitive Modeling Approach.....	43
2.6 Computationally Modeling Interpersonal Trust.....	46
2.6.1 Computational Modelling .....	47
2.6.2 Computational Cognitive Models .....	47
2.6.3 Cognitive Model for Trust Dynamics of Interfirm: .....	48



2.6.4 Cognitive Model of Interfirm Trust Dynamics Based on FTTCM .....	49
2.6.5 Model of Operator Reliance on Automation .....	50
2.6.6 Theoretical Model of Human-Robot Trust Development.....	51
2.7 Human- Robot Trust Model .....	52
2.7.1 Factors of Human-Robot Trust Interaction .....	53
2.7.2 Trust and Personality .....	55
2.7.3 Trust and Physical Appearance.....	56
2.7.4 Trust and Believable Behaviour.....	58
2.7.5 Trust and Behavioral Cues .....	60
2.7.6 Trust and Positive Experiences .....	61
2.7.7 Trust Calibration Tools .....	63
<b>CHAPTER THREE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>65</b>
3.1 Phase 1: Identification of Trust Factors in Human Robot Interaction .....	66
3.2 Phase 2: Formalization of Trust Factors in Human Robot Interaction .....	66
3.3 Phase 3: Simulation.....	67
3.4 Phase 4: Evaluation .....	67
3.5 Summary .....	67
<b>CHAPTER FOUR MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND SIMULATION RESULT</b> <b>.....</b>	<b>83</b>
4.1 Factors in the Model.....	83
4.2 Formalization of the Model.....	86
4.3 Simulation Results .....	99
4.3.1 Case #1 (High Level of Trust in HRI) .....	100
4.3.2 Case #2 (Moderate High Level of Trust in HRI).....	101
4.3.3 Case #3 (Moderate level of Trust in HRI) .....	102
4.3.4 Case #4 (Moderate Low level of Trust in HRI).....	104
4.3.5 Case #5 (Low level of Trust in HRI) .....	105
<b>CHAPTER FIVE EVALUATION .....</b>	<b>107</b>
5.1 Verification .....	107
5.1.1 Mathematical Analysis .....	107
5.1.2 Automated Logical Verification .....	110

<b>CHAPTER SIX CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>113</b>
6.1 Introduction .....	113
6.2 Conclusion .....	113
6.3 Limitation and Future work .....	115
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>116</b>

## List of Tables

Table 2.1: Examples of Social Robots .....	41
Table 2.2: Related Research on Cognitive Computational Modelling .....	45
Table 3.1: Summary of the Research Phases .....	68
Table 4.1: External Factor Relationship .....	83
Table 4.2: Instantaneous Factor Relationship .....	84
Table 4.3: Temporal Factor Relationship .....	85
Table 4.4: Different Condition of Perception .....	87
.....	87
Table 4.5: Different Condition of Reliable Behavior.....	88
Table 4.6: Different Condition of Positive Deception .....	89
Table 4.7: Different Condition of Competency .....	90
Table 4.8: Concept and Conditions.....	91
Table 4.9: Different Condition of Short term Distrust.....	92
Table 4.10: Different Condition of Short term Trust .....	93
Table 4.11: Different Condition of Transparency.....	94
Table 4.12: Different Condition of Positive Experience.....	95
Table 4.13: Different Condition of Long Term Perceived Risk (Lt) .....	96
Table 4.14: Different Conditions of Long term Trust.....	97
Table 4.15: Different Condition of Long term Distrust .....	98
Table 4.16: Different Conditions of Long term Positive Experience .....	99
Table 4.17: Values of Case #1 .....	100
Table 4.18: Values of Case #2 .....	102
Table 4.19: Values of Case #3 .....	103
Table 4.20: Values of Case #4 .....	104
Table 4.21: Values of Case #5 .....	106

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Variations in trust definitions .....	23
Figure 2.2: A Comparative Representation of the Transition from Tool to Team Member between Human-Animal Interaction and Human-Robot Interaction .....	35
Figure 2.3: The Cognitive Model of Interfirm Trust.....	50
Figure 2.4: Model of Operator Reliance on Automation .....	50
Figure 3.1: General Research Methodology Phases to Develop a Cognitive Model.....	65
Figure 4.1: Conceptual Model of Trust in HRI.....	85
Figure 4.2: Relationships in Perception .....	86
Figure 4.3: Relationships in RB.....	87
Figure 4.4: Relations in Positive deception .....	88
Figure 4.5: Relations in Competency.....	89
Figure 4.6: Concepts in Short- Term Perceived Risk .....	90
Figure 4.7: Short Term Distrust Concept.....	91
Figure 4.8: Concepts in Short Term Trust .....	92
Figure 4.9: Transparency Concept.....	94
Figure 4.10: Concepts in Positive Experience .....	95
Figure 4.11: Long Term Perceived Risk (Lp).....	96
Figure 4.12: Long-termTrust Concept .....	97
Figure 4.13: Long term Distrust Concept .....	98
Figure 4.14: Long Term Positive Experience Concept.....	99
Figure 4.15: Simulation Result for Case #1.....	992
Figure 4.16: Simulation Result of Case #2 .....	993
Figure 4.17: Simulation Result of Case #3 .....	103
Figure 4.18: Simulation Result of Case #4 .....	105
Figure 4.19: Simulation Result of Case #5 .....	106

## **List of Appendices**

Appendix A (Trust Model Code).....	127
------------------------------------	-----

# CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

In all facets of life, people normally communicate frequently and collaborate with each other in several ways and manners. A sample form of this communication and collaboration exists between human and artefacts such as robot, avatar, animation and software agent. The most essential key for interacting with other people or peers most times is based on their interpersonal trust.

In light of this, trust is a pivotal key for cooperative efforts in all aspects of our everyday life (Sanders, Wixon, Schafer, Chen, & Hancock, 2014). Human-interpersonal trust can be well-defined as the enthusiasm or willingness of a party to be exposed to the outcomes of another party. This is based on the expectation that the other party will execute a particular action significant to the trustor, without the capability to control or monitor that other party (Mayer, Davis, & Schoorman, 1995).

Based on previous clarification, specific expectation to the actions of parties, trustee and trustor have been addressed, where trust is typically the characteristic of the trustee (e.g., goodwill, honesty, morality, expertness and care). Trust is a much disputed and common topic in numerous research studies. Hence in addition, the term trust has been extensively examined in different fields such as economics, business, marketing, politics, e-commerce, psychology, sociology, medicine, nursing, and computing science (Masthoff, 2007).

A few numbers of researchers have mentioned in their studies that trust could be represented as different types such as trusting intention, trusting behavior, trusting

The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only

## REFERENCES

- Aljazzaf, Z. M., Perry, M., & Capretz, L. (2010). *Online trust: Definition and principles*. Paper presented at the Computing in the Global Information Technology (ICCGI), 2010 Fifth International Multi-Conference on.
- Armstrong Oma, K. (2010). Between trust and domination: social contracts between humans and animals. *World archaeology*, 42(2), 175-187.
- Asada, M. (2013). Cognitive Developmental Robotics: from Physical Interaction to Social One *Autonomous Control Systems and Vehicles* (pp. 37-51): Springer.
- Atkinson, D., Hancock, P., Hoffman, R. R., Lee, J. D., Rovira, E., Stokes, C., & Wagner, A. R. (2012). *Trust in Computers and Robots: The Uses and Boundaries of the Analogy to Interpersonal Trust*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting.
- Atkinson, D. J., & Clark, M. H. (2014). Attitudes and Personality in Trust of Intelligent, Autonomous Agents. *Manuscript in review*.
- Bainbridge, Wilma, Hart, Justin, Kim, Elizabeth S, & Scassellati, Brian. (2008). *The effect of presence on human-robot interaction*. Paper presented at the Robot and Human Interactive Communication, 2008. RO-MAN 2008. The 17th IEEE International Symposium on.
- Barbalet, J. (2009). A characterization of trust, and its consequences. *Theory and society*, 38(4), 367-382.
- Barnes, M., & Jentsch, F. (2010). *Human-robot interactions in future military operations*: Ashgate Publishing Company.
- Beck, H., Dzindolet, M., & Pierce, L. (2002). Applying a decision-making model to understand misuse, disuse and appropriate automation use. *Advances in human factors and cognitive engineering*, 2.
- Beckles, B., Welch, V., & Basney, J. (2005). Mechanisms for increasing the usability of grid security. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 63(1), 74-101.



- Billings, D., Schaefer, K., Llorens, N., & Hancock, P. (2012). *What Is Trust? Defining the Construct Across Domains*. Paper presented at the Poster presented at the American Psychological Association Conference, Division.
- Billings, D. R., Schaefer, K. E., Chen, J. Y., & Hancock, P. A. (2012). *Human-robot interaction: developing trust in robots*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the seventh annual ACM/IEEE international conference on Human-Robot Interaction.
- Billings, D. R., Schaefer, K. E., Chen, J. Y., Kocsis, V., Barrera, M., Cook, Hancock, P. A. (2012). Human-animal trust as an analog for human-robot trust: A review of current evidence: DTIC Document.
- Bonchek-Dokow, E., & Kaminka, G. A. (2013). Towards computational models of intention detection and intention prediction. *Cognitive systems research*.
- Bosse, T., Both, F., Duell, R., Hoogendoorn, M., Klein, M. C., Lambalgen, Treur, J. (2013). An ambient agent system assisting humans in complex tasks by analysis of a human's state and performance. *International Journal of Intelligent Information and Database Systems*, 7(1), 3-33.
- Bosse, T., Pontier, M., & Treur, J. (2010). A computational model based on gross' emotion regulation theory. *Cognitive systems research*, 11(3), 211-230.
- Both, F., Hoogendoorn, M., Klein, M. C., & Treur, J. (2010). Computational modeling and analysis of therapeutical interventions for depression *Brain Informatics* (pp. 274-287): Springer.
- Breazeal, C., Buchsbaum, D., Gray, J., Gatenby, D., & Blumberg, B. (2005). Learning from and about others: Towards using imitation to bootstrap the social understanding of others by robots. *Artificial Life*, 11(1-2), 31-62.
- Casiddu, N., Cavallo, F., Divano, A., Mannari, I., Micheli, E., Porfirione, Dario, P. (2014). *Robot Interface Design of Domestic and Condominium Robot for Ageing Population*. Paper presented at the Ambient Assisted Living: Italian Forum 2013.
- Castelfranchi, C., & Falcone, R. (2010). *Trust theory: A socio-cognitive and computational model* (Vol. 18): John Wiley & Sons.

- Coeckelbergh, M. (2011). Humans, animals, and robots: A phenomenological approach to human-robot relations. *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 3(2), 197-204.
- Colquitt, J. A., Scott, B. A., & LePine, J. A. (2007). Trust, trustworthiness, and trust propensity: a meta-analytic test of their unique relationships with risk taking and job performance. *Journal of applied psychology*, 92(4), 909.
- Cramer, H., Goddijn, J., Wielinga, B., & Evers, V. (2010). *Effects of (in) accurate empathy and situational valence on attitudes towards robots*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 5th ACM/IEEE international conference on Human-robot interaction.
- Daellenbach, U. S., & Davenport, S. J. (2004). Establishing trust during the formation of technology alliances. *The Journal of technology transfer*, 29(2), 187-202.
- Dautenhahn, K. (2007a). Methodology and themes of human-robot interaction: a growing research field. *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*.
- Dautenhahn, K. (2007b). Socially intelligent robots: dimensions of human-robot interaction. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 362(1480), 679-704.
- De Santis, A., Siciliano, B., De Luca, A., & Bicchi, A. (2008). An atlas of physical human-robot interaction. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 43(3), 253-270.
- Desai, M. (2012). Modeling trust to improve human-robot interaction.
- Desai, M., Stubbs, K., Steinfeld, A., & Yanco, H. (2009). Creating trustworthy robots: Lessons and inspirations from automated systems.
- Dimitrakos, T. (2001). System models, e-risks and e-trust *Towards the E-Society* (pp. 45-58): Springer.
- Dzindolet, M. T., Beck, H. P., Pierce, L. G., & Dawe, L. A. (2001). A framework of automation use: DTIC Document.
- Ellner, S. P., & Guckenheimer, J. (2011). *Dynamic models in biology*: Princeton University Press.

- Farrell, S., & Lewandowsky, S. (2010). Computational models as aids to better reasoning in psychology. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 19(5), 329-335.
- Fasola, J., & Mataric, M. (2013). A socially assistive robot exercise coach for the elderly. *Journal of Human-Robot Interaction*, 2(2), 3-32.
- Feil-Seifer, D., & Mataric, M. J. (2005). *A multi-modal approach to selective interaction in assistive domains*. Paper presented at the Robot and Human Interactive Communication, 2005. ROMAN 2005. IEEE International Workshop on.
- Feltovich, P. J., Bradshaw, J. M., Clancey, W. J., & Johnson, M. (2007). Toward an ontology of regulation: Socially-based support for coordination in human and machine joint activity *Engineering Societies in the Agents World VII* (pp. 175-192): Springer.
- Finkel, M. (2012). The Cold Patrol. *National Geographic*, 221(1), 82-95.
- Fischer, K. (2011). *Interpersonal variation in understanding robots as social actors*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 6th international conference on Human-robot interaction.
- Fischhoff, B., Slovic, P., & Lichtenstein, S. (1977). Knowing with certainty: The appropriateness of extreme confidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human perception and performance*, 3(4), 552.
- Flanagin, Cory. A Survey of Robotics Systems and Performance Analysis.
- Fong, T., Thorpe, C., & Baur, C. (2003). Collaboration, dialogue, human-robot interaction *Robotics Research* (pp. 255-266): Springer.
- Freedy, E., DeVisser, E., Weltman, G., & Coeyman, N. (2007). *Measurement of trust in human-robot collaboration*. Paper presented at the Collaborative Technologies and Systems, 2007. CTS 2007. International Symposium on.
- Freeman, C., Exell, T., Meadmore, K., Hallewell, E., & Hughes, A.-M. (2014). Computational models of upper limb motion during functional reaching tasks for application in FES based stroke rehabilitation. *Biomedical Engineering Journal*.

- Goetz, J., Kiesler, S., & Powers, A. (2003). *Matching robot appearance and behavior to tasks to improve human-robot cooperation*. Paper presented at the Robot and Human Interactive Communication, 2003. Proceedings. ROMAN 2003. The 12th IEEE International Workshop on.
- Goodrich, M. A., & Schultz, A. C. (2007). Human-robot interaction: a survey. *Foundations and trends in human-computer interaction*, 1(3), 203-275.
- Grodzinsky, F., Miller, K., & Wolf, M. (2012). Moral responsibility for computing artifacts: the rules and issues of trust. *ACM SIGCAS Computers and Society*, 42(2), 15-25.
- Groom, V., & Nass, C. (2007). Can robots be teammates?: Benchmarks in human-robot teams. *Interaction Studies*, 8(3), 483-500.
- Hancock, P. A., Billings, D. R., Oleson, K. E., Chen, J. Y., De Visser, E., & Parasuraman, R. (2011). A meta-analysis of factors influencing the development of human-robot trust: DTIC Document.
- Hancock, P. A., Billings, D. R., Schaefer, K. E., Chen, J. Y., De Visser, E. J., & Parasuraman, R. (2011). A meta-analysis of factors affecting trust in human-robot interaction. *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 53(5), 517-527.
- Hardin, R. (2001). Conceptions and explanations of trust. *Trust in society*, 2, 3-40.
- Haring, K. S., Matsumoto, Y., & Watanabe, K. (2013). *How do people perceive and trust a lifelike robot*. Paper presented at the International conference on intelligent automation and robotics (ICIAR'13).
- Haring, K. S., Watanabe, K., & Mougénot, C. (2013). *The influence of robot appearance on assessment*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 8th ACM/IEEE international conference on Human-robot interaction.
- Helton, W. S. (2009). *Canine ergonomics: the science of working dogs*: CRC Press.
- Holm, A., Lukander, K., Korpela, J., & Sallinen, M. (2009). Estimating Brain Load from the EEG. *TheScientific World JOURNAL*, 9, 639-651.

- Jianxin, Z., & Bond, M. H. (1992). Target-based interpersonal trust: Cross-cultural comparison and its cognitive model. *Acta Psychologica Sinica*, 25(2), 164-172.
- Jøsang, A., Ismail, R., & Boyd, C. (2007). A survey of trust and reputation systems for online service provision. *Decision support systems*, 43(2), 618-644.
- Keaveney, S. M. (2008). Equines and their human companions. *Journal of business research*, 61(5), 444-454.
- Khan, M. U. G., Bashir, S., Nasir, A., Shah, A. A., & Mehmood, S. (2014). Towards Computational Model of Human Brain Memory. *Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1016-2526)*, 46, 2.
- Kim, J., & Moon, J. Y. (1998). Designing towards emotional usability in customer interfaces—trustworthiness of cyber-banking system interfaces. *Interacting with computers*, 10(1), 1-29.
- Lee, J. D., & See, K. A. (2004). Trust in automation: Designing for appropriate reliance. *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 46(1), 50-80.
- Lee, J. J., Knox, W. B., Wormwood, J. B., Breazeal, C., & DeSteno, D. (2013). Computationally modeling interpersonal trust. *Frontiers in psychology*, 4.
- Leite, I., Martinho, C., & Paiva, A. (2013). Social robots for long-term interaction: a survey. *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 5(2), 291-308.
- Lin, P., Bekey, G., & Abney, K. (2008). Autonomous military robotics: Risk, ethics, and design: DTIC Document.
- Lu, G. P., Wang, Q. H., & Liu, S. B. (2014). Study on the Coordinated Control Mechanism of Orchestric Robotic Vehicle Based on Educational Robot. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 536, 974-978.
- Lyons, J. B. (2013). *Being transparent about transparency: A model for human-robot interaction*. Paper presented at the 2013 AAAI Spring Symposium Series.
- Madsen, M., & Gregor, S. (2000). *Measuring human-computer trust*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of Eleventh Australasian Conference on Information Systems.

- Marble, J. L., Bruemmer, D. J., Few, D. A., & Dudenhoeffer, D. D. (2004). *Evaluation of supervisory vs. peer-peer interaction with human-robot teams*. Paper presented at the System Sciences, 2004. Proceedings of the 37th Annual Hawaii International Conference on.
- Marsella, S., Gratch, J., & Petta, P. (2010). Computational models of emotion. *A Blueprint for Affective Computing-A sourcebook and manual*, 21-46.
- Masthoff, J. (2007). Computationally modelling trust: an exploration. *University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland, UK*.
- Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). An integrative model of organizational trust. *Academy of management review*, 20(3), 709-734.
- McKnight, D. H., & Chervany, N. L. (1996). The meanings of trust.
- McKnight, D. H., & Chervany, N. L. (2000). What is trust? A conceptual analysis and an interdisciplinary model. *AMCIS 2000 Proceedings*, 382.
- McKnight, D. H., & Chervany, N. L. (2001). Trust and distrust definitions: One bite at a time *Trust in Cyber-societies* (pp. 27-54): Springer.
- Mui, L., Mohtashemi, M., & Halberstadt, A. (2002). *A computational model of trust and reputation*. Paper presented at the System Sciences, 2002. HICSS. Proceedings of the 35th Annual Hawaii International Conference on.
- Muir, B. M. (1994). Trust in automation: Part I. Theoretical issues in the study of trust and human intervention in automated systems. *Ergonomics*, 37(11), 1905-1922.
- Nickerson, J. V., & Reilly, R. R. (2004). *A model for investigating the effects of machine autonomy on human behavior*. Paper presented at the System Sciences, 2004. Proceedings of the 37th Annual Hawaii International Conference on.
- Ogreten, S., Lackey, S., & Nicholson, D. (2010). *Recommended roles for uninhabited team members within mixed-initiative combat teams*. Paper presented at the Collaborative Technologies and Systems (CTS), 2010 International Symposium on.

- Oleson, K. E., Billings, D., Kocsis, V., Chen, J. Y., & Hancock, P. (2011). *Antecedents of trust in human-robot collaborations*. Paper presented at the Cognitive Methods in Situation Awareness and Decision Support (CogSIMA), 2011 IEEE First International Multi-Disciplinary Conference on.
- Parasuraman, R., & Riley, V. (1997). Humans and automation: Use, misuse, disuse, abuse. *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 39(2), 230-253.
- Righetti, F., & Finkenauer, C. (2011). If you are able to control yourself, I will trust you: the role of perceived self-control in interpersonal trust. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 100(5), 874.
- Robinette, Paul, Wagner, Alan R, & Howard, Ayanna M. (2013). *Building and Maintaining Trust Between Humans and Guidance Robots in an Emergency*. Paper presented at the AAAI Spring Symposium: Trust and Autonomous Systems.
- Robinette, P., Wagner, A. R., & Howard, A. M. (2014). *Modeling Human-Robot Trust in Emergencies*. Paper presented at the 2014 AAAI Spring Symposium Series.
- Rodić, A., & Jovanović, M. (2014). *How to Make Robots Feel and Social as Humans*. Paper presented at the COGNITIVE 2014, The Sixth International Conference on Advanced Cognitive Technologies and Applications.
- Sanders, T., Oleson, K. E., Billings, D., Chen, J. Y., & Hancock, P. (2011). *A Model of Human-Robot Trust Theoretical Model Development*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting.
- Sanders, T. L., Wixon, T., Schafer, K. E., Chen, J. Y., & Hancock, P. (2014). *The influence of modality and transparency on trust in human-robot interaction*. Paper presented at the Cognitive Methods in Situation Awareness and Decision Support (CogSIMA), 2014 IEEE International Inter-Disciplinary Conference on.
- Schaefer, K. E. (2013). *The Perception and Measurement of Human-robot Trust*. University of Central Florida Orlando, Florida.
- Schilling, R. (2013). *Fundamentals of robotics*.

- Scholtz, J. (2003). *Theory and evaluation of human robot interactions*. Paper presented at the System Sciences, 2003. Proceedings of the 36th Annual Hawaii International Conference on.
- Schoorman, F. D., Mayer, R. C., & Davis, J. H. (2007). An integrative model of organizational trust: Past, present, and future. *Academy of management review, 32*(2), 344-354.
- Schwarz, M., Stückler, J., & Behnke, S. (2014). *Mobile teleoperation interfaces with adjustable autonomy for personal service robots*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2014 ACM/IEEE international conference on Human-robot interaction.
- Sheppard, B. H., & Sherman, D. M. (1998). The grammars of trust: A model and general implications. *Academy of management review, 23*(3), 422-437.
- Shiflet, A. B., & Shiflet, G. W. (2014). *Introduction to computational science: modeling and simulation for the sciences*: Princeton University Press.
- Sidner, C. L., Kidd, C. D., Lee, C., & Lesh, N. (2004). *Where to look: a study of human-robot engagement*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 9th international conference on Intelligent user interfaces.
- Simpson, J. A. (2007). Foundations of interpersonal trust.
- Sun, R. (2006). *Cognition and multi-agent interaction: From cognitive modeling to social simulation*: Cambridge University Press.
- Taddeo, M. (2010). Modelling trust in artificial agents, a first step toward the analysis of e-trust. *Minds and Machines, 20*(2), 243-257.
- Takayama, L., & Pantofaru, C. (2009). *Influences on proxemic behaviors in human-robot interaction*. Paper presented at the Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2009. IROS 2009. IEEE/RSJ International Conference on.
- Tapus, Adriana. (2014). Towards personality-based assistance in human-machine interaction. Paper presented at the Robot and Human Interactive Communication, 2014 RO-MAN: The 23rd IEEE International Symposium on.



- Thilakarathne, D. J., & Treur, J. (2013). A Computational Cognitive Model for Intentional Inhibition of Actions. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 97, 63-72.
- Trang, S., Zander, S., & Kolbe, L. M. (2014). Dimensions Of Trust In The Acceptance Of Inter-Organizational Information Systems In Networks: Towards A Socio-Technical Perspective.
- Urbano, J., Rocha, A. P., & Oliveira, E. (2013). A socio-cognitive perspective of trust *Agreement Technologies* (pp. 419-429): Springer.
- van den Brule, R., Dotsch, R., Bijlstra, G., Wigboldus, D. H., & Haselager, P. (2014a). Do Robot Performance and Behavioral Style affect Human Trust? *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 6(4), 519-531.
- van den Brule, R., Dotsch, R., Bijlstra, G., Wigboldus, D. H., & Haselager, P. (2014b). Do Robot Performance and Behavioral Style affect Human Trust? *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 1-13.
- Wainer, J., Feil-Seifer, D. J., Shell, D. A., & Mataric, M. J. (2006). *The role of physical embodiment in human-robot interaction*. Paper presented at the Robot and Human Interactive Communication, 2006. ROMAN 2006. The 15th IEEE International Symposium on.
- Wells, P., & Deguire, D. (2005). *TALON: A universal unmanned ground vehicle platform, enabling the mission to be the focus*. Paper presented at the Defense and Security.
- Wilson, C. C. (1994). A conceptual framework for human-animal interaction research: The challenge revisited. *Anthrozoos: A Multidisciplinary Journal of The Interactions of People & Animals*, 7(1), 4-24.
- Yagoda, R. (2011). WHAT! You Want Me to Trust a ROBOT? The Development of a Human Robot Interaction (HRI) Trust Scale.
- Yagoda, Rosemarie E, & Gillan, Douglas J. (2012). You want me to trust a ROBOT? The development of a human–robot interaction trust scale. *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 4(3), 235-248.

Zeller, & Smith. (2014). Hitchhiking robot thumbs its way across Canada,.

Retrieved 2 August, 2014

Zhu, Y., & Zhang, W. (2008). *The Cognitive Model of Interfirm Trust Dynamics: How Trust Is Evolved?* Paper presented at the 2008 4th International Conference on Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing.