

**LEARNING ORGANISATION
AND JOB PERFORMANCE
AMONG UiTM PERLIS STAFF**

MOHD IZWAN BIN MOHD AZMAN

**MASTER OF SCIENCE (MANAGEMENT)
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA
JANUARY 2015**

**LEARNING ORGANISATION AND JOB
PERFORMANCE AMONG UiTM PERLIS STAFF**

By

MOHD IZWAN BIN MOHD AZMAN

**Thesis Submitted to
Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business,
Universiti Utara Malaysia,
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the
Master of Science (Management)**

DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis work described in this research paper is my own work (unless otherwise acknowledged in the text) and that there is no previous work which has been previously submitted for any academic Master's program. All sources quoted have been acknowledged by reference.

Signature :

Name : Mohd Izwan bin Mohd Azman

Date : 22 January 2015

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in fulfillment of the requirement for the Post Graduate Degree of Master of Science (Management) from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the university library make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission of copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole part or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean

Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

Malaysia

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to investigate the existence of Learning Organisation dimensions in UiTM, specifically in UiTM Perlis and its relationship with Job Performance of the staff, both academic and non-academic. By investigating the effect of the dimension on staff job performance, this study should also determine which dimensions have the most effect on job performance of staff in that campus. 260 respondents were involved in this study. Ultimately, the descriptive analysis result shows that all of the learning organisation dimensions do exist in UiTM Perlis at moderate levels. By using multiple regression analysis, collectively, all seven dimensions are proven to have a positive relationship with job performance of the staff in the campus but individually, it indicates that only three dimensions have a significantly positive relationship with job performance and one with a significantly negative relationship with job performance. The three positively significant dimensions are promote inquiry and dialogue, create systems to capture and share learning, and connect the organisation to its environment. The negatively significant dimension is encourage collaboration and team learning, The three insignificant dimensions are create continuous learning opportunities, empower people toward a collective vision and provide strategic leadership for learning. Using the analysed results, the study discusses the implication of the results and proposes a few recommendations to be considered by the organisation and future researchers.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menyiasat kewujudan dimensi organisasi pembelajaran di UiTM, khususnya di UiTM Perlis dan hubungannya dengan prestasi kerja kakitangan, akademik dan bukan akademik dari semua peringkat, jabatan-jabatan dan gred jawatan. Dengan menyiasat kesan dimensi terhadap prestasi kerja kakitangan, kajian ini juga akan menentukan dimensi yang mana satu yang akan memberikan paling banyak kesan terhadap prestasi kerja kakitangan di kampus tersebut. 260 orang responden telah terlibat dalam kajian ini. Akhirnya, hasil analisis deskriptif menunjukkan bahawa kesemua dimensi organisasi pembelajaran memang wujud di UiTM Perlis pada tahap yang sederhana sahaja. Dengan menggunakan analisis regresi berganda, secara menyeluruh kesemua tujuh dimensi terbukti mempunyai hubungan yang positif dengan prestasi kerja kakitangan di dalam kampus. Walaubagaimanapun secara individu, ia menunjukkan bahawa hanya tiga dimensi mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan secara positif dengan prestasi kerja dan satu dimensi mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan secara negatif dengan prestasi kerja. Tiga dimensi yang signifikan secara positif tersebut adalah mempromosi pertanyaan dan dialog, mencipta sistem untuk menangkap dan berkongsi pembelajaran, dan menghubungkan organisasi dengan persekitarannya. Satu dimensi yang signifikan secara negatif adalah menggalakkan kerjasama dan pasukan pembelajaran. Tiga dimensi yang tidak signifikan adalah mewujudkan peluang-peluang pembelajaran berterusan, memperkasakan rakyat ke arah visi kolektif dan menyediakan kepimpinan strategik untuk pembelajaran. Daripada keputusan yang telah dianalisa itu, kajian ini akan membincangkan implikasi keputusan tersebut serta memberikan beberapa cadangan untuk dipertimbangkan oleh organisasi dan pengkaji-pengkaji pada masa hadapan.

ACKNOWLEDMENT

In the Name of Allah, the Most Forgiving, Most Merciful

All praises and gratitude to the Almighty Allah, for giving me the opportunity to undertake this Postgraduate Master's Degree and for giving me the great strength, patience, courage, and wisdom through the journey to complete this study in such a short time. The completion of this study also would not have been possible without the encouragement, contributions and guidance of a number of people.

My deepest and utmost appreciation goes to Dr. Darwina bt. Hj. Ahmad Arshad who has provided unlimited amount of encouragement, insight, professional guidance and constructive feedbacks that put me on the right track. She has taught me so much that the knowledge will become an invaluable asset to me.

A sincere appreciation and special thanks also goes to my friends and course mates for their unwavering support of my efforts and unquestionable assistance throughout the whole process of doing the study and writing the thesis. Thank you also to all the lecturers in Universiti Utara Malaysia who have taught me the whole time I am a student here. Without their knowledge as well as their endless attention, care and encouragement, it would have been impossible for me to complete this study.

This thesis also would not have materialized without the support and cooperation of the management and staff of UiTM. Thank you so much for all of your participation and willingness to freely speak concerning the issues related to this study.

Last but definitely not least, I would like to my express tremendous gratitude and appreciation to my loving family for encouraging and supporting me to take this journey. My very special gratitude and appreciation goes to my mother, Norngini Saidin and my father, Mohd Azman Nasiruddin for their unconditional love, prayers and motivation.

May Allah bless all of you for your kindness. Amin.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
PERMISSION TO USE	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDMENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY.....	1
1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT	4
1.4 RESEARCH QUESTIONS	7
1.5 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	8
1.6 SIGNIFICANCE OF STUDY	8
1.7 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	9
1.8 DEFINITION OF KEY TERMS.....	10
1.9 ORGANISATION OF THE STUDY.....	12
CHAPTER 2	15
LITERATURE REVIEW	15

2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	15
2.2 BACKGROUND OF THE ORGANISATION.....	15
2.2.1 BACKGROUND OF UiTM PERLIS	16
2.3 JOB PERFORMANCE	16
2.4 LEARNING ORGANISATION	20
2.4.1 DIMENSIONS OF LEARNING ORGANISATION.....	24
2.5 JOB PERFORMANCE AND LEARNING ORGANISATION	27
2.6 CONCLUSION	30
CHAPTER 3	32
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	32
3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	32
3.2 RESEARCH FRAMEWORK	32
3.3 HYPOTHESES	33
3.4 RESEARCH DESIGN.....	35
3.6 UNIT OF ANALYSIS.....	36
3.7 POPULATION FRAME	36
3.8 SAMPLE DESIGN.....	37
3.9 MEASUREMENT, INSTRUMENTATION AND OPERATIONAL DEFINITION ..	38
3.9.1 JOB PERFORMANCE	38
3.9.2 LEARNING ORGANISATION	41
3.9.3 RELIABILITY OF INSTRUMENTS	45
3.10 PILOT TESTING	46
3.11 DATA COLLECTION METHOD.....	47
3.12 DATA ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES	49

3.12.1 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	49
3.12.2 REALIBILITY ANALYSIS	51
3.12.3 PEARSON CORRELATION AND MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS ..	52
3.13 CONCLUSION	53
CHAPTER 4	54
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	54
4.1 INTRODUCTION	54
4.2 PROFILE OF RESPONDENTS.....	54
4.3 DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS AND NORMALITY TEST.....	57
4.4 RELIABILITY AND CORRELATION ANALYSIS.....	60
4.5 MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS	63
4.6 UNSCRIPTED INTERVIEWS	66
4.7 DISCUSSION	66
4.7.1 Objective I: To determine whether learning organisation dimensions do exist in UiTM and the levels of its existence.....	67
4.7.2 Objective II: To examine the relationship between learning organisation and job performance.....	71
4.8 CONCLUSION	81
CHAPTER 5	82
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	82
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	82
5.2 CONCLUSION OF THE STUDY	82
5.3 RECOMMENDATIONS	83
5.3.1 RECOMMENDATIONS TO UITM.....	83
5.3.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	85

REFERENCES	88
APPENDIX A	98
PILOT TEST QUESTIONNAIRE	98
APPENDIX B	108
RESEARCH QUESTIONNAIRE	108
APPENDIX C	118
UiTM PERLIS STAFF.....	118
STAFF INTERVIEWED.....	122
APPENDIX D	126
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OUTPUT	126

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
Table 3.1	Operational definition and items for Job Performance	40
Table 3.2	Operational definition and items for Learning Organisation	43
Table 3.3	Coefficient Alpha (α) Scales	45
Table 3.4	Reliability values	47
Table 3.5	Frequency Mean Analysis	50
Table 3.6	Interpretation of Strength of Correlation	52
Table 4.1	Respondent's Demographic Profile	56
Table 4.2	Descriptive Analysis and Normality Test of variables	58
Table 4.3	Reliability and Correlation Coefficient of Variables	62
Table 4.4	Multiple Regression Analysis of Learning Organisation Dimensions on Job Performance	65
Table 4.5	Status of the Study Hypotheses	80

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.1	Organisation of the Study	14
Figure 2.1	Motowidlo and Van Scotter's Job Performance Model	19
Figure 2.2	Peter Senge's Five Disciplines of Learning Organisation	22
Figure 2.3	Yang's Theoretical Framework of Learning Culture and Organizational Performance	29
Figure 3.1	Research Framework	33

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation		Meaning
JPA	=	Jabatan Perkhidmatan Awam
KPI	=	Key Performance Indicator
KRA	=	Key Result Area
MARA	=	Majlis Amanah Rakyat
MOE	=	Ministry of Education
MOHE	=	Ministry of Higher Education
RIDA	=	Rural and Industrial Development Authority
UiTM	=	Universiti Teknologi Mara

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This study investigates the existence of Learning Organisation dimensions in UiTM, specifically in UiTM Perlis and its relationship with Job Performance of the staff, both academic and non-academic, from all levels, departments and job grades. This chapter outlines the background of the study, the problem statement of the study, the research questions, the objectives of the study, the significance of the study, scope of the study, limitations faced in doing the study and the organisation of the thesis is also presented in this chapter.

1.2 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

In the public sector, including public universities, staff are the most valuable assets that can determine the performance and delivery of service to the public. The staff would also be the one who will also help to improve and enhance the sector. Not surprising why the performance of the staff in the sector, especially in concerned with the issues of good governance, is always being highlighted by the media and the public. Public sector staff performance would include activities that will ensure that the goals or key performance indicators set by the government or management, are consistently being met in an effective and efficient manner.

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Atak, M. (2011). A research on the relation between organizational commitment and learning organization. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(14), 5612-5616.
- Barrie, J., & Pace, R. (1998). Learning for organisational effectiveness: Philosophies of education and human resource development. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 9(1), 39-54.
- Borman W. C. (2004). Introduction to the special issue: Personality and the prediction of job performance: More than the big five. *Human Performance*, 17(3), 267-269.
- Borman, W. C. & Motowidlo, S. (1997). Task performance and contextual performance: The meaning for personnel selection research. *Human Performance*, 10(2), 99- 109.
- Bui, H. T. M., & Baruch, Y. (2011). Learning organisations in higher education: An empirical evaluation within an international context. *Management Learning*, 43(5), 515–544.
- Calantone, R. J., Cavusgil, S. T. & Zhao, Y. (2002). Learning orientation, firm innovation capability, and firm performance. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 31(6), 515-524.
- Campbell, J. (1990). Modeling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organizational psychology. In: Dunnette MD, Hough LM, eds. *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, 687-732.
- Campbell, J. P., McCloy, R. A., Oppler, S. H., & Sager, C. E. (1993). A theory of performance. In N. Schmitt, W. C. Borman, & Associates (Eds.), *Personnel selection in organizations*. San Francisco, CA, 35–70.

- Conway, M. (2000). Defining administrators and new professionals. *Perspectives: Policy and Practice in Higher Education*, 4(1), 14-15.
- Deadrick, D. L. & Gardner, D. G. (2008). Maximal and typical measures of job performance: An analysis of performance variability overtime. *Human Resource Review*, 18(1), 133-145.
- Ellinger, A. D., Ellinger, A. E., Yang, B., & Howton, S. W. (2002). The relationship between the learning organization concept and firms' financial performance: An empirical assessment. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 13(1), 5-21.
- Ellstrom, N. (2001). Integrating learning and work: Problems and perspectives. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 12(1), 35-47.
- Fisher, K. & Fisher, M. D. (2001). *The distance manager: A hands-on guide to managing off-site staff and virtual teams*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill
- Garvin, D. (1993). Building a learning organisation. *Harvard Business Review*, July-August, 78-91.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L. & Black, W. C. (1992). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Hitt, William D. (1995). The learning organisation: some reflections on organisational renewal. *Leadership & Organisation Development Journal*, 16(8), 17 - 25.
- Jex, S. M., & Britt, T. W. (2008). *Organizational psychology: A scientist-practitioner approach*. Hoboken, NJ: John-Wiley & Sons.

- Joo, B.K. (2011). Leader-Member Exchange Quality and In-Role Job Performance: The Moderating Role of Learning Organization Culture. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 19(1), 25–34.
- JPA (2013). Organisational Development Division's Task Field. *Public Service Department of Malaysia Official Portal*. Available at http://www.jpa.gov.my/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=1219&Itemid=328&lang=en&limitstart=1
- JPA (2005). Pekeliling Perkhidmatan Bilangan 6 Tahun 2005. *Public Service Department of Malaysia Official Portal*. Available at <http://docs.jpa.gov.my/docs/pp/2005/pp062005.pdf>
- Kamdar, D., & Dyne, L. V. (2007). The joint effects of personality and workplace social exchange relationships in predicting task performance and citizenship performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(5), 1286-1298.
- Kim, S. (2006). Public service motivation and organizational citizenship behavior. *International Journal of Manpower*, 27(8), 722-740.
- Kline, P., & Saunders, B. (1998). *Ten steps to a learning organisation (2nd ed.)*. Salt Lake City, UT: Great River Books.
- Koopmans, L., Bernaards, C. M., Hildebrandt, V. H., Schaufeli, W. B., de Vet Henrica, C. W., & van der Beek, A. J. (2011). Conceptual frameworks of individual work performance: a systematic review. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 53(8), 856-866.

- Krejcie, Robert V. & Morgan, Daryle W. (1970). Determining Sample Size for Research Activities. *Educational And Psychological Measurement*, 30(3), 607-610.
- Lee, Y., Nam, J., Park, D., & Lee, K. (2006). What factors influence customer-oriented prosocial behavior of customer-contact staff? *Journal of Services Marketing*, 20(4), 251-264.
- Locke, E.A. (2000). *The Prime Movers: Traits of the Great Wealth Creators*. New York, NY: AMACOM.
- Lockett, J. (1992). *Effective Performance Management: A Strategic Guide to Getting the Best from People*. Kogan Page: London
- Love, P.E.D., Li, H., Irani, Z. & Faniran, O. (2000). Total quality management and the learning organisation: a dialogue for change in construction. *Construction Management and Economics*, 18(3), 321-331.
- Hernandez, M. (2001). The impact of the dimensions of the learning organisation on the transfer of tacit knowledge. In O. A. Aliaga (Ed.), *Academy for Human Resource Development Conference Proceedings*. Tulsa, OK: AHRD Press., 46–55.
- Marquardt, M. J. (1996). *Building the learning organisation*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Marquardt, M. J. (2002). *Building the learning organisation: Mastering the five elements for corporate learning*. Palo Alto, CA: Davies-Black.
- Marsick, V. J., & Watkins, K. E. (1993). *Sculpting the learning organization: Lessons in the art and science of systemic change*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

- Marsick, V.J., & Watkins, K.E. (1999). *Facilitating learning in organizations: Making learning count*. Aldershot, UK: Gower.
- Marsick, V. J., & Watkins, K. E. (2003). Demonstrating the value of an organisation's learning culture: The dimensions of learning organisation questionnaire. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 5(1), 132–151
- Mchargue, S. K. (2003). Learning for Performance in Nonprofit Organizations, 5(2), 196–204.
- Mohd Najib Razak. (2014, May 2). Kerajaan tidak akan kurangkan bilangan penjawat awam – PM. *Utusan Online*. Available at http://www.utusan.com.my/utusan/Dalam_Negeri/20140502/dn_05/Kerajaan-tidak-akan-kurangkan-bilangan-penjawat-awam---PM
- Mohd Najib Razak. (2010). *Government Transformation Programme: 2010 Annual Report*. Putrajaya, Malaysia: Prime Minister Department. Retrieved from <http://www.pemandu.gov.my/gtp/upload/700f8f45-3e40-45f4-a7b2-a01299e8eb8b.pdf>
- MOHE. (2007). *National Higher Education Action Plan 2007 – 2010*. Putrajaya, Malaysia: Ministry Of Higher Education Malaysia.
- MOHE. (2007). *National Higher Education Action Plan Phase 2 2011 – 2015*. Putrajaya, Malaysia: Ministry Of Higher Education Malaysia.
- Motowidlo, S. J. (2003). Job performance. In W. C. Borman, D. R. Ilgen, R. J. Klimoski & Weiner, I. B. (Eds.). *Handbook of psychology*, 12, 39-53.
- Motowidlo, S. J. & Van Scotter, J. R. (1994). Evidence that task performance should be distinguished from contextual performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 79(4), 475-480.

- Motowidlo, S. J., Borman, W. C., & Schmit, M. J. (1997). A theory of individual differences in task and contextual performance. *Human Performance*, 10(2), 71-83.
- Neuman, W. L. (2000). *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Nimon, K., Zigarmi, D., Houson, D., Witt, D. & Diehl, J. (2011). The work cognition inventory: initial evidence of construct validity. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 22(1), 7-35.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory (2nd Edition)*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- O'Neil, J. (1995). On schools as learning organisations: A conversation with Peter Senge. *Educational Leadership*, 52(7), 20–27.
- Organ, D. W. (1997). Organizational citizenship behavior: it's construct cleanup time. *Human Performance*, 10(2), 85-97.
- Örtenblad, A., & Koris, R. (2014). Is the learning organisation idea relevant to higher educational institutions? A literature review and a “multi-stakeholder contingency approach.” *International Journal of Educational Management*, 28(2), 173–214.
- Pallant, J. (2013). *SPSS Survival Manual (5th edition)*. Singapore: The McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Poon, June M.L. & Kamarul Zaman Mohd Amin (1998). Characteristics And Dimensions Of A Learning Organisation: An Exploratory Study. *Malaysian Management Review*. Available at <http://portal.mim.org.my/resources/MMR/9812/981208.htm>

Public Complaints Bureau (2010). Statistik Aduan Tahun 2010 BI (pindaan). Putrajaya:

Author. Available at

[http://www.pcb.gov.my/STATISTIK/2012/Mac/Laman%20Web%20Statistik%20Aduan%20Tahun%202010%20BI%20\(Pindaan\).pdf](http://www.pcb.gov.my/STATISTIK/2012/Mac/Laman%20Web%20Statistik%20Aduan%20Tahun%202010%20BI%20(Pindaan).pdf)

Public Complaints Bureau (2011). Statistik Aduan Tahun 2010 BI (pindaan). Putrajaya:

Author. Available at

[http://www.pcb.gov.my/STATISTIK/2012/Mac/Laman%20Web%20Statistik%20Aduan%20Tahun%202011%20BI%20\(Pindaan\).pdf](http://www.pcb.gov.my/STATISTIK/2012/Mac/Laman%20Web%20Statistik%20Aduan%20Tahun%202011%20BI%20(Pindaan).pdf)

Public Complaints Bureau (2012). Statistik Aduan Tahun 2012 BI. Putrajaya: Author.

Available at

<http://www.pcb.gov.my/STATISTIK/2012/disember/Laman%20Web%20Statistik%20Aduan%20Tahun%20Disember%20%202012%20BI%5B1%5D.pdf>

Public Complaints Bureau (2013). Statistik Aduan Tahun 2013 BI. Putrajaya: Author.

Available at

http://www.pcb.gov.my/STATISTIK/2013/Laman%20Web%20Statistik%20Aduan%202013%20BI_2013.pdf

Razali, M. Z. M., Amira, N. A., & Shobri, N. D. M. (2013). Learning Organization Practices and Job Satisfaction among Academicians at Public University. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, 3(6), 518–522

Reese, S. R. (2014). Examining the Relationship between Organisational Identification and Learning Organisation Dimensions: A Study of a U.S. Franchise. *Management and Organisational Studies*, 1(1), 7–20.

- Roscoe, J. T. (1975). *Fundamental research statistics for the behavioral sciences (2nd edition)*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Robbins, Stephen P. & Judge, Timothy A. (2013). *Organisational Behavior Fifteenth Edition*. USA: Pearson Education Limited.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2007). *Research Methods for Business Students (5th ed.)*. England: Prentice Hall
- Senge, P. M. (1990a). *The Fifth Discipline. The Art and Practice Of The Learning Organisation*. London: Random House.
- Senge, P. M. (1990b). The leader's new work: Building learning organisations. *Sloan Management Review*, 32(1), 7-23.
- Sekaran, U. & Bougie, R. (2013). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach. Sixth Edition*. UK: John Wiley & Sons. Ltd.
- Short, D., & Jarvis, L. (2000). Developing workplace leaders through their emotional reactions: Creating committed change in a learning organisation. In K. P. Kuchinke (Ed.), *Academy for Human Resource Development Conference Proceedings*. Raleigh-Durham, NC: AHRD Press, 22–51.
- Solomon, E. E. (1986). Private and public sector managers: An empirical investigation of job characteristics and organizational climate. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71(2), 247-259.
- Sonnetag, S. (2003). Recovery, work engagement, and proactive behavior: a new look at the interface between nonwork and work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(3), 518-528.

- Srikanthan, G. & Dalrymple, J. (2002) Developing a Holistic Model for Quality in Higher Education. *Quality in Higher Education*, 8(3), 215-224.
- Sundstrom, E., De Meuse, K. P., & Futrell, D. (1990). Work teams: Applications and effectiveness. *American Psychologist*, 45(2), 120.
- Szekeres, J. (2004). The invisible workers. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 26(1), 7-22.
- Tseng, C. (2011). The influence of strategic learning practices on staff commitment. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 3(1), 5-23.
- UiTM. (2014). *Historical Development*. Retrieved from <http://www.uitm.edu.my/index.php/en/about-uitm/uitm-profile-history/historical-development?showall=1&limitstart=>
- UiTM. (2011). *Vice-Chancellor Circular No. 7/2011*. Shah Alam: UiTM.
- UiTM Perlis. (2014). *Historical Development*. Retrieved from http://perlis.uitm.edu.my/v1/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=12&Itemid=15
- Wen, H. (2014). The nature, characteristics and ten strategies of learning organisation. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 28(3), 289–298.
- Whitchurch, C. (2004). Administrative managers: A critical link. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 58(4), 280-298.
- White, J & Weathersby, R. (2005). Can universities become true learning organisations? *Learning Organisation*, 12(3), 292–298.

Williams, R. S. (2002). *Managing staff performance: Design and implementation in organizations*. London, UK: Thompson Learning.

Yang, B. (2003). Identifying valid and reliable measures for dimensions of a learning culture. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 5(2), 152-162.

Zikmund, W. G., Babin, B. J., Carr, J. C. & Griffin, M. (2010). *Business Research Methods*. Canada: South-Western Cengage Learning.