THE JOB RELATED FACTOR AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT AT PTPL COLLEGE SUNGAI PETANI, KEDAH.

By NURUL JANNAH BINTI ZULKIFLY 811086

Thesis Submitted to Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia, in Partial Fulfilments of the Requirement for the Master of Sciences (Management)

DECLARATION

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for and other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis and all sources used have been acknowledge in this thesis.

Nurul Jannah Binti Zulkifly

811086

Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business College of Business Universiti Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah Darul Aman

15 May 2014

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis as a partial fulfilment of the requirement for a Master degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia Sintok, I agree that the Library of this University may make freely available for inspection. I also agree that permission to copy this thesis in any manner, in whole or in parts, for scholarly purposes may be granted by the Lecturer or Lecturers who had supervised this thesis, or in their absence, by th Dean of College of Business to which this thesis is submitted. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts there of for financial gains shall not be given to me and to the Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any of the material presented in this thesis.

Request for permission to copy or make use of material in this thesis in whole or parts should be addressed to:

> Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business College of Business Universiti Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah Darul Aman

> > П

ABSTRAK

Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk menilai hubungan antara peranan kerja dengan komitmen kakitangan dalam sesebuah organisasi. Kajian ini akan cuba untuk mencari hubungan antara sub dimensi peranan kerja dan hubungannya dengan komitmen organisasi sokongan penyelia, sokongan rakan sekerja, akses kepada sumber-sumber dan peranan pekerja. Persoalan kajian yang dibangunkan berdasarkan kepada soal selidik 'Organisasi Komitmen Soal' (OCQ) yang diperkenalkan oleh, Steers, Moday dan Porter, 1979 yang mempunyai matlamat untuk mencari tahap komitmen organisasi di kalangan pekerja. Soalan terdiri daripada 44 item yang menggunakan skala Likert di mana ia akan melibatkan 63 responden dalam kajian ini. Semua data yang dikumpul dianalisis menggunakan perisian Pakej Statistik untuk Sains Sosial (SPSS) versi dua puluh. Dalam kajian ini, ia mempunyai dua jenis statistik yang digunakan statistik deskriptif, untuk melihat peratusan dan statistik inferensi dengan menggunakan ujian korelasi Pearson ntuk melihat hubungan di antara pembolehubah. Kajian ini menjangkakan bahawa PTPL College Sg.Petani boleh mengekalkan tahap komitmen organisasi yang tinggi adalah untuk memastikan syarikat akan lebih produktif dan lebih berdaya saing pada masa akan datang melalui kajian pada masa akan datang.

ABSTRACT

The main purpose of this study was to evaluate the relationship between job role with the commitment of employees within an organization. This study will try to find the relationship between the sub dimensions of work role and its relationship with organizational commitment supervisor support, colleague support, access to resources and the role of employees. The research questions are developed based on questionnaires 'Organizational Commitment Questionnaire' (OCQ) introduced by, Steers, and Porter Moday, 1979, which aims to find the level of organizational commitment among employees. Question consists of 44 items using a Likert scale where it would involve the 63 respondents in this study. All data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version of twenty. In this study, it has two types of statistical analysis used descriptive statistics, to see the percentages and inferential statistics using Pearson correlation for the relationship between variables. This study expects that PTPL College Sg.Petani can maintain a high level of organizational commitment is to ensure that the company will be more productive and more competitive in the future through the study in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdullilah, grateful to Allah S.W.T for guidance, instructions and grace for enables the study was completed as planned. Appreciation and gratitude should be express to Dr. Norazuwa Binti Mat as the lecturer and supervisor for his guidance and advice extremely valuable in preparing the theses. Special thanks are also Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business for provided the perfect facilities at UUM for student convenience. Do not forget to wish thank to my course mate Noorashikin, and Arul for their suggestion, recommendation and guidance to me in writing this theses. Finally, my thanks to colleagues Cohort 2012 of Master of Science Management (Msc. Management), which are involved in the discussion and sparking idea that this study can be completed. May God bless this little effort.

Thank you,

Nurul Jannah Binti Zulkifly, Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business College of Business Universiti Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah Darul Aman

TABLE OF CONTENT

| DECLARATION | Ι |
|------------------|--------------|
| PERMISION TO USE | II |
| ABSTRAK | III |
| ABSTRACT | IV |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | \mathbf{V} |
| TABLE OF CONTENT | VI |

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

| 1.1 Introduction to the study | 1 |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 1.2 Company Background | 3 |
| 1.3 Problem Statement | 4 |
| 1.4 Research Objective | 6 |
| 1.5 Research Question | 6 |
| 1.6 Significant of the Study | 7 |
| 1.7 Definition of Key Term | 7 |

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

| 2.1 Introduction | 10 |
|--|----|
| 2.2 Organizational Commitment | 11 |
| 2.3 Definition of Organizational Commitment | 11 |
| 2.4 Types of Organizational Commitment | 13 |
| 2.4.1 Affective Commitment | 15 |
| 2.4.2 Continuance Commitment | 15 |
| 2.4.3 Normative Commitment | 16 |
| 2.4.4 The relationship between Affective, Continuance and Normative | |
| Commitment | 17 |
| 2.5 The Side Bet Theory | 19 |
| 2.5.1 The Antecedent of Commitment | 19 |
| 2.5.2 The Outcome of Commitment | 19 |
| 2.5.3 Previous Study of Organizational Commitment | 20 |
| 2.6 The relationship between Job Related Characteristics and Organizational Commitment | 21 |
| 2.6.1 Relationship between Supervisory Support and Organizational Commitment | 21 |
| 2.6.2 Relationship between Co-worker Support and Organizational Commitment | 23 |
| 2.6.3 Relationship between Access to Resource and Organizational Commitment | 24 |

| 2.6.4 Relationship between Role Clarity and Organizational Commitment. | 25 |
|--|----|
| 2.7 Job Related Theory | 25 |
| 2.7.1 Herzberg Two Factor Theory | 27 |
| 2.7.2 Job Characteristic Theory | 27 |
| 2.9 Research Framework | 31 |
| 2.9.1 Research Theory Framework | 32 |

CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

| 3.1 Introduction | 33 |
|------------------------------|----|
| 3.2 Research Approach | 33 |
| 3.2.1 Source of Data | 34 |
| 3.2.2 Units of Analysis | 35 |
| 3.3 Research Design | 35 |
| 3.4 Population and sampling | 35 |
| 3.5 Research Instrument | 35 |
| 3.4 Data Collection | 36 |
| 3.7 Data Analysis Techniques | 38 |
| 3.8 Summary | 39 |

CHAPTER 4: FINDING

| 4.1 Introduction | 40 |
|--|----|
| 4.2 Respondent Background | 40 |
| 4.3 Reliability Analysis | 40 |
| 4.4 Demographic Profile of respondent | 41 |
| 4.4.1 Age of respondent | 42 |
| 4.4.2 Race of respondent | 42 |
| 4.4.3 Last Education Background | 43 |
| 4.4.4 Working Experiences | 43 |
| 4.4.5 Designation | 44 |
| 4.5 Descriptive Analysis of the variable | 45 |
| 4.6 Testing the hypothesis | 46 |
| 4.7 Correlations Analysis | 47 |

CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

| 5.1 Introduction | 49 |
|--|-------|
| 5.2 Disscussion | 49 |
| 5.3 Discussions on the relationship between demographic factors and organizational | 51 |
| commitment | |
| 5.3.1 Gender | 51 |
| 5.3.2 Age | 51 |
| 5.3.4 Last education background | 52 |
| 5.3.5 Working Experiences | 52 |
| 5.3.6 Designation | 53 |
| 5.4 Discussion of Relationship Between Job Related and Organizational Commitment | 53 |
| 5.4.1 Supervisory Support | 53 |
| 5.4.2 Co-worker Support | 54 |
| 5.4.3 Access to resources | 54 |
| 5.4.4 Role Clarity | 55 |
| 5.5 Implication of the Study | 55 |
| 5.6 Limitations | 55 |
| 5.7 Recommendations | 56 |
| 5.8 Conclusions | 59 |
| References | 61-73 |
| Appendix 1 | |

CHAPTER 1

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction of the study

In today's environment of dynamic and chaotic era, management paradigms of organizations are becoming more challenging of human. Organizations need all their staff to contribute their opinions, ideas and creativity to all issues to improve overall production quality in different sectors to reach the organization goals. In recent years, competition and changes in technology also have affected the health sector seriously. Businesses in this sector want to increase their own competitive levels for their existence and continuity. Commitment to the organization is an attitude which is important because it contributes to the organizational goals. Staffs who is fully committed on working will help to produce a good output for the organization. A strong commitment to their work will contribute to the productivity and quality thereby making the organization performance better. According to Zakaria (2002), those who understand the importance of commitment to work are those who understand the vision, mission and objectives of the organizational goals that were set.

In general, a lack of commitment among the workers will have negative implications on the organization's ability to continue operations and to face the challenges ahead. This is because, with a strong commitment among the employees it would reduce the work pressures and produce more competitive and the quality of work than those who are less committed to the organization. According to Lee (2000) any program

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

REFERENCES

- Abu Bakar Hj. Hashim, (1989). An Analysis of Job Satisfaction Among Academic Staf of University in Malaysia. PH.D. Dissertation, Ohio University
- Abubakr M. Suliman. (2002). Intra-Individual Conflict and Employees' Commitment in Sudanese Industrial Firms. *Journal of Economic & Administrative Sciences*, 18 (1): 101-118.

Alderfer, C. P. (1969). An empirical test of a new theory of human needs.

Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 4, 142-175.

- Allen, N.J.; J. P. Meyer (1990). The Measurement and Antecedents of Affective, Continuance and Normative Commitment to the Organization, *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 63(1), 1–18.
- Angle, H.L. and Perry, J.L. (1983), "Commitment: individual and influences", Work and Occupations, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 123-46.
- Becker, H. S. (1960). "Notes on the concept of commitment". American Journal of Sociology, 66,32-42. 85
- Buchanan, B., II. (1974). "Building organizational commitment: The socialization of managers in work organizations". *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 1974. 19, 533-546.

Bright, J. (2008). Power to the people; The ladder. Sydney Morning Herald, p. 7.

Campion, M., & Thayer, P. (1987). Job design: Approaches, outcomes, and trade-

offs. Organizational Dynamics, 15, 66-79.

- Cohen, A. (2003). *Multiple Commitments in the Workplace: An Integrative Approach*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Cohen, A. & Lowernberg, G. (1990). A re-examination of the site bet theory as applied organization commitment. *Human Relations*, 45(10), 1015-1050.

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1999). If we are so rich, why aren't we happy? American z

Psychologist, 54, 821-827.

Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchison, S. and Sowa, D. (1986), "Perceived organizational support", Journal of Applied Psychology, Vol. 71 No. 3, pp. 500-7.

Ewen, R., Hulin, C., Locke, E., & Smith, P. (1966). An empirical test of the

Herzberg two- factor theory. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *50*(6), 1332-1356.

Fried, Y., & Ferris, G. R. (1987). The validity of the job characteristics model: A

Ghani, R. A., Nordin, F., & Mamat, L. (2004). Organizational commitment among the academic staff in Distance Education program. *The International Journal* of Education Development, 1, 29-43.

Garg, P., & Rastogi, R. (2006). New model of job design: motivating employees'

performance. The Journal of Management Development, 25(6), 572-587.

Gardner, D. G., & Cummings, L. L. (1988). Activation theory and job design:

Review and reconceptualization. In B. Staw & L. Cummings (Eds.), Research in organizational behavior (Vol. 10, pp. 81--122). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Grant, A.M., Fried, Y., Parker, S.K., & Frese, M. (2010). Putting job design in

context: Introduction to the special issue. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *31*, 145-157.

Greasley, A. (2009). *Operations management* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Griffin, R. W. (1991). Effects of work redesign on employee perceptions, attitudes,

and behaviors: A long-term investigation. Academy of Management Journal,

34, 425-435.

Hartman, L. and Bambacas, M. (2000), "Organizational commitment: a multimethod scale analysis and test of effects", The International Journal of Organizational AnalysisVol. 8 No. 1, pp. 89-108.

Hackman, J. R. & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work:

Test of a theory. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, *16*, 250-279.

- Harrison, R. (1993). *Diagnosing Organizational Culture: Trainer's Manual*.Amsterdam: Pfeiffer & Company.
- Harrison, R. & Stokes, H. (1992). *Diagnosing Organizational Culture*. Amsterdam:Pfeiffer & Company.

Hamel, G. (1995, January 26). Toronto Globe and Mail, p. B26.

Henry, J. (2004). Positive and creative organization. In P.A. Linley & S. Joseph.

(Eds.). Postive psyhology in practice (pp. 287-305). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Herzberg, F. (1974). Motivation-hygiene profiles: Pinpointing what ails the

organization. Organizational Dynamics, 3(2), 18-29.

Humphreys, S., Nahrgang, J., & Morgeson, F. (2007). Integrating motivational,

social, and contextual work design features: A meta-analytic summary and theoretical extension of the work design literature. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(5), 1332-1356.

- Iverson, R. (1992), "Employee intent to stay: an empirical test of revision of the Price and Mueller model", unpublished doctoral dissertation, The University of Iowa.
- Iverson, R.D. and Buttigieg, D.M. (1999), "Affective, normative, and continuance commitment: can the 'right kind' of commitment be managed?", Journal of Management Studies, Vol. 36 No. 3, p. 307.
- Jaramillo, F., Mulki, J. and Marshall, G. (2005), "A meta-analysis of the relationship between organization commitment and salesperson job performance: 25 years of research", Journal of Business Research, Vol. 58 No. 6, pp. 128-705.
- Kanter, R.M. (1968) Commitment and Social organization: A study of commitment mechanisms in Utopian communities. *American Sociological Review*, 33. 499-517.

Kennedy, E. (1999). Seasonality in Irish tourism, 1973-1995. Tourism Economics -

The Business and Finance of Tourism and Recreation, 5(1), 25-47.

King, N. (1970). Clarification and evaluation of the two-factor theory of job

satisfaction. Psychological Bulletin, 74, 18-31.

Lambert, E. G., Hogan, N. L, Dial, K. C., Jiang, S., & Khondaker, M. I. (2012). Is

the job burning me out? An exploratory test of the job characteristics model on the emotional burnout of prison staff. *The Prison Journal*, 92(1), 3-23.

Locke, E. A. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In M. D. Dunnette

(Ed.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (pp. 1297-1349). Chicago, IL: Rand McNally.

Locke, E. A., & Henne, D. (1986). Work motivation theories. In C. L. Cooper & I. T.

Robertson (Eds.), International review of industrial and organizational psychology (pp. 1-35). Chichester, UK: John Wiley.

Loher, B. T., Noe, R. A., Moeller, N. L., & Fitzgerald, M. P. (1985). A meta-analysis

of the relation of job characteristics to job satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 70, 280-289.

Lundberg, C., Gudmundson, A., & Andersson, T. D. (2008). Herzberg's two-factor

theory of work motivation tested empirically on seasonal workers in hospitality and tourism. *Tourism Management*, *30*, 890-899.

Luthans, F., McCaul, H.S. & Dodd, Nw.G. (1985). Organizational Commitment: A

Comparion of American, Japanese and Korean Employees. Academy of Management Journal, 28 (1): 213-219. Luthans, F.(1992). Organizational Behaviour (7th ed.), New York, McGraw Hill Inc.

Luthans, F. (1998). Organisational Behaviour. 8th ed. Boston: Irwin McGraw-Hill

- Mowday, R., Steers, R., and Porter, L. (1979). The measurement of organizational commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 14, 224-247.
- Mowday, R.T. Porter, L.W. & Stress, r.M. (1982). Employee-organizational linkages: The psychology of commitment, absenteeism and turnover. New York Academic Press.
- McClurg, L.N. (1999), "Organizational commitment in the temporary-help service industry", Journal of Applied Management Studies, Vol. 8 No. 1, pp. 5-26.
- Mathieu, J.E. and Zajac, D.M. (1990), "A review and meta-analysis of the antecedents, correlates, and consequences of organizational commitment", Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 108, pp. 171-94.
- Meyer, J. and Allen, N. (1997), Commitment in the Workplace: Theory, Research and Application, Sage, Newbury Park, CA.

- Mottaz, C.J. (1988), "Determinant of commitment", Human Relations, Vol. 41 No. 6, pp. 467-82.
- Mathieu, J.E. and Zajac, D.M. (1990). A Review and Meta-Analysis of the Antecedents, Correlates and Consequences of Organizational Commitment. *Psychological Bulletin*, 108(2), 171-194.
- Meyer, J. P., Allen, N. J., & Smith, C. A. (1993). Commitment to organizations and occupations: Extension and Extension and Test of a Three-component Conceptualization. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78(4), 538.
- Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1991). A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human Resource Management Review*, 1(1), 61-89.
- Meyer, J.P. and Herscovitch, L. (2001), Commitment in the workplace: towards a general model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 11, 299-326.
- Meyer, J. P., Allen, N. J., & Smith, C. A. (1993). Commitment to organizations and occupations: Extension and Extension and Test of a Three-component Conceptualization. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78(4), 538.

Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1991). A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human Resource Management Review*, 1(1), 61-89.

Meyer, J.P. and Herscovitch, L. (2001), Commitment in the workplace: towards a general model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 11, 299-326.

Nourthouse, P.G. (2010). Leadership: Theory and Practice. Thousand Oaks,

California: Sage Publications, Inc.

- O'Reilly, C.A. dan D.F. Caldwell (1990). "Job Choice: The Impant of Intrinsic and Extrinsic on Subsequent Satisfaction and Comitment". *Journal of Applied psychology* 65(5): 559-565.
- Porter, L., W., Steers, R. M., Mowday, R. T., and Boulian, P. V. (1974). "
 Organisational commitment, job satisfaction, and turnover among psychiatric technicians". *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 59(5), 603-609.

Parsons, E., & Broadbride, A. (2006). Job motivation and satisfaction: Unpacking the

key factors for charity shop managers. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer* Services, 13(2), 121-131.

Pearson, C. A., & Chong, J. (1997). Contributions of job content and social

information on organizational commitment and job satisfaction: An exploration in a Malaysian nursing context. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 70, 357-374.

Pennsylvania State University World Campus (2012). Job Design: Do I find my

work interesting and challenging? *Work Attitudes and Motivation*. Retrieved from https://courses.worldcampus.psu.edu/su12/psych484/002/content/lesson10/ lesson10_03.html

- Rizzo, J.R., House, R.J. and Lirtzman, S.I. (1970), "Role conflict and ambiguity in complex organizations", Administrative Science Quarterly, Vol. 15, pp. 150-63.
- Reichers, A.E (1985). A review and Reconceptualization of Organizational Commitment. Academic of Management Review. 10: 465-470

Robbins, S.P (1998). Organizational behaviour, 8th Edition, Prentice-Hall.

Rush, H. (1971). Job design for motivation: Experiments in job enlargement and job

enrichment. New York: The Conference Board.

Roscoe, John T. (1975). Fundamental research statistics for the behavioral

sciences.(2nd Ed). Holt, Rinehart & Winston. New York.

- Sheldon, Mary (1971). Investments and involvements as mechanisms producing commitment to the organization. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 16; 143-150.
- Steers, R.M. (1977). Antecedents and Outcomes of Organizational Commitment. Administrative Science Quarterly, 22: 46-56.
- Shore, L.M. and Wayne, S.J. (1993), "Commitment and employee behavior: comparison of affective commitment and continuance commitment with perceived support", Journal of Applied Psychology, Vol. 78 No. 5, pp. 774-80.
- Spreitzer, G.M. (1996), "Social structural characteristics of psychological empowerment", Academy of Management Journal, Vol. 39 No. 2, pp. 483-504.
- Steers, R.M. (1977), "Antecedents and outcomes of organizational commitment", Administrative Science Quarterly, Vol. 22, pp. 46-56.

Sandrone, V. (2012). _Frederick W. Taylor: Master of Scientific

Management. _Retrieved from: www.skymark.com/resources/leaders/taylor.asp.

Schermerhorn, J. R., Hunt, J. G. & Osborn, R. N. (2005). Organizational Behavior

(9th ed.). New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Smith, K. G., & Hitt, M. A. (2005). Great minds in management: The process of

theory development. Oxford, NY: Oxford University Press.

Twenge, J.M. (2006). Generation me: Why today's young Americans are more

confident, assertive, entitled and more miserable than ever before. New York, NY: Free Press.

- Wahn, J.C. (1998), "Sex differences in the continuance component of organizational commitment", Group & Organization Management, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 256-67.
- Zeffane, R. (1994). Patterns of Organizational Commitment and Perceived Management Style: A comparisn of Public and Private Sector Employees. *Human Relations*, 47(8): 977-1010.

Zakaria Ismail (2002). Komitmen Kepada Organisasi: Meneliti Aspek-aspek Teori:Metodologi Kajian dan Kerelevanan. Syarahan Pengukuhan Profesor, Sintok: Penerbit Universiti Utara Malaysia

- Zaccaro, S.J. dan E.F. Stone (1988). "Incremental Validity of an Empirically Based Measure of Job Characteristics". *Journal of Applied Psycholou.* 73(2): 245-252.
- Zeffane, R. (1994). Patterns of Organizational Commitment and PerceivedManagement Style: A comparisn of Public and Private Sector Employees.*Human Relations*, 47(8): 977-1010.

Zakaria Ismail (2002). Komitmen Kepada Organisasi: Meneliti Aspek-aspek

Teori:Metodologi Kajian dan Kerelevanan. *Syarahan Pengukuhan Profesor*, Sintok: Penerbit Universiti Utara Malaysia

APPENDIX 1



THE JOB RELATED FACTOR AND ORGNIZATIONAL COMMITMENT AT PTPL COLLEGE SUNGAI PETANI KEDAH.

Dear Respondents,

I am currently pursuing my Master of Science (Management) at Othman Yeop Abdullah, Graduate School of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia. The study that I am conducting is the partial fulfillment towards the completion of my postgraduate degree. The title that I want to explore is "THE JOB RELATED FACTOR AND ORGNIZATIONAL COMMITMENT AT PTPL COLLEGE SUNGAI PETANI KEDAH."

I would appreciate if you could spare some time and thought in completing the survey questionnaires. I hope that you would co-operate in completing the questionnaire with the best of your ability.

This questionnaire consists of four sections. Section one consists of questions about your demographic profile and followed by section two about your awareness of privacy options, section three about job related and organizational commitment

Your response will be treated as confidential and used for research purposes only. There is no right or wrong answer. Thank you for your willingness to participate in this study.

Yours sincerely, Nurul Jannah Binti Zulkifly Matric No : 811086 Email : nuroljannah zulkitly (Cyahoo com

SECTION A: Respondent Profiles.

This section intends to get information the respondents' demographic background.

[Tick the box which answers is best describing you]

| 1. Gender | Fema | ale |
|---|------|---|
| 2. Age Less than 18 years old 25 – 29 years old | | 19 – 24 years old More than 30 years old |
| 3. Last Education Background SPM DEGREE | | STPM OTHERS |
| 4. Race Malay Indian | | Chinese Others |
| 5. Working Experiences Less than 3 years Between 4-5 years | | ween 3-4 years re than 5 years |
| 6. Designation Admin Tutor/Lecturer | | Account/ Exam Others |

SECTION B: Organizational Commitment

This section intent to get the respondents feedback about the topic study.

[Using the following scale, please cycle the number that represents your most appropriate answer.]

Organizational Commitment

Factor 1 : Affective Commitment

| | | Strongly disagree | Ũ | Neither agree or disagree | Agree | Strongly agree |
|---|--|-------------------|---|------------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | I would be very happy to spend the rest of my career in this organization. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | I really feel as if this organization's problem are my own | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | l do not feel like part of the family at my organization. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4 | I do not feel emotionally attached to this organization | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | This organization has great deal of personal meaning for me | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | I do not feel a strong sense of belonging to my organization | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Factor 2 : Continuance Commitment

| | | Strongly | Disagree | Neither agree | Agree | Strongly |
|---|---|----------|----------|---------------|-------|----------|
| | | disagree | | or disagree | | agree |
| | |] | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | It would be very hard for me to leave my organization right now even it! wanted to | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | Too much of my lifewould be disrupted if I decided I wanted to leave my organization right now. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | Right now staying with my organization is a matter of necessity as much as desire. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4 | I feel that I have too few options to consider leaving this organization. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | One of the few negative consequences of leaving this organization would be the scarcity of available alternative. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | One of the majorreason I continue to work for this organization is that leaving would require considerable personal sacrifice, another organization may not match the overall benefits I have | | | | | _ |
| | here. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Factor 3 : Normative Commitment.

| | | Strongly | Disagree | Neither agree | Agree | Strongly |
|---|--|----------|----------|---------------|-------|----------|
| | | disagree | | or disagree | | agree |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | I do not feel any obligation to remain with my current employer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | Even if it were to many adventage. I do not feel it would be right to leave my organization now | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | I would feel guilty if I left my organization now | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4 | This organization deserves my loyalty | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | I would not leave my organization right now because I have a sense ofobligation to the people in it. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | I owe a great deal to my orgnization. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

SECTION C: Job Related Characteristics

This section intent to get the respondents feedback about the topic study. [Using the following scale, please cycle the number that represents your most appropriate answer.]

Factor 1: Supervisory Support

| | | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree or disagree | Agree | Strongly agree |
|---|--|----------------------|----------|------------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | My immediate supervisor is imartial | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | My immediate supervisor give me feedback that help me to improve my performance. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | My immediate supervisor follow through on commitment | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4 | I reacive coaching and trainning from immediate supervisor | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | My immediate sucervisor is usually available. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Factor 2 : Co-worker Support

| | | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree or disagree | Agree | Strongly agree |
|---|--|-------------------|----------|------------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | I have the support and authority to make the decisions necessary | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | My department uses employee feedback to make improvement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | I have enough involvement in decision that effect my work | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4 | This is the type of job in which I can feel a sense of accomplishment | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | I received encourgement to came up with new and better ways of doing nothing | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Factor 3: Acess to Resource

| | | Strongly disagree | | Neither agree or disagree | Agree | Strongly agree |
|---|--|----------------------|---|------------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | It gives me a lot of things which I want to see | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | It provides me with opinion about much subjects | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | Better decision because of information from the web. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4 | To look for information | <u> </u> | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | To get information for free | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Factor 4: Role Clarity

| | | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree or disagree | Agree | Strongly agree |
|---|---|----------------------|----------|------------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | I know what is expected of me at work | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | 1 am satisfy with the work load | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | My supervisor treat me fairly | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4 | There is someone of work who encourage my development | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | l get opportunities at work to learn and grow | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |