T

Lim Theng Yee (Matric: 801478)

### UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

### JANUARY 2012

A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate School in Partial Fulfilment of Master of Science in International Accounting Universiti Utara Malaysia

By

Lim Theng Yee

© Lim Theng Yee, January 2012. All Rights Reserved

.42

## PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Universiti Utara Malaysia may freely make it available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or, in their absence, by the Dean of College of Business. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or to take other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah

Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

Ш



Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

#### PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK

(Certification of Project Paper)

Saya, mengaku bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa (*I*, *the undersigned, certified that*) LIM\_THENG YEE (801478)

Calon untuk ljazah Sarjana (Candidate for the degree of) <u>MASTER OF SCIENCE (INTERNATIONAL ACCOUNTING)</u>

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk (has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

:

## A STUDY OF MALAYSIAN AUDIT MARKET CONCENTRATION

Seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek (as it appears on the title page and front cover of the project paper)

Bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.

(that the project paper acceptable in the form and content and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia (Name of Supervisor) DR. SHAMHARIR BIN ABIDIN

gulua

Tandatangan *(Signature)* 

Tarikh	
(Date)	

29 JANUARY 2012

## Abstract

This study presents the extent and nature of audit market concentration of companies listed in KLSE in year 2008 and 2009. Given the current interest in auditor choice, this study also analyzed in detail at the individual audit firm level and by industry sector and market segments. Auditor concentration that measured in this study can use to determine current audit structure. The link between concentration measures with competitiveness is more complex than often assumed. In this study, I only focus on concentration measures and do not make any inferences about the competitive aspect of the market. The Big Four firms held more than 80% of the market share (based on audit fees) in both years 2008 and 2009. KPMG retained its position as a 'dominant firm' while Deloitte is the 'least dominant firm' among the Big Four firms. The Big Four hold 100% share of 3 sectors (fixed line telecommunications, life insurance and tobacco) in 2008 and increase to 4 sectors (bank, exchange traded funds, life insurance and tobacco) in 2009. The main concerns of auditor concentration are reduction in audit firm choice that will lead to increase of conflict of interest and issues concerning the governance and accountability of audit firms.

# Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to thanks my supervisor, Dr. Shamharir Abidin for his continuing support: thank you for bringing things back into focus as I more occasionally wondered off; and for believing in the merit of my work and capabilities as a researcher. I would also like to thank my examiner, Dr. Nurwati Ashikkin.

I would also like to extent my thanks to my family. I thank to my mother, Chan Siew Lan for her patience, love, caring, and advice. Thank you to my father, Lim Ah Guan for his never ending support. I am so grateful to them, who raised me to have faith, confidence, and power in myself. I also thank to my siblings for the joy, happiness, and encouragements.

Thank You All

Lim Theng Yee

16 Jan 2012

## Table of Contents

Chapter 1Introduction	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Objectives of the Study	2
1.3 Significance of the Study	3
1.4 Organization of the Study	4
Chapter 2 Related Literature and Empirical Studies	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 The Audit Market Setting	5
2.3 Causes of Change in Concentration	5
2.4 Consequences of Increasing Concentration	7
2.5 Auditor Specialization	8
2.6 Conclusion	11
Chapter 3 Research Methods	12
3.1 Introduction	12
3.2 Data Collection	12
3.2.1 Establishing Initial Dataset	12
3.2.2 Data Cleaning Process	12
3.3 Measurement	13
3.3.1 Auditors' Concentration	13
3.3.2 Auditors' Classification	14
3.3.3 Industries Classification	14
3.4 Data Analysis	15

3.4.1 Determination of Audit Market Share and Industry Specialization	15
3.5 Conclusion	16
Chapter 4.0 Results and Discussion	18
4.1 Introduction	18
4.2 Concentration by Number of Audits and Audit Fees in the Year 2008 and 2009	18
4.3 Concentration by Market Segment in the Year 2008 and 2009	22
4.4 Concentration by Industry Sector in the Year 2008 and 2009	23
4.5 Conclusion	29
Chapter 5 Discussion and Conclusion	30
5.1 Introduction	30
5.2 Summary and Conclusion of the Study	30
5.3 Limitations of the Study	32
5.4 Suggestion for Future Research	33
5.5 Conclusion	33
Reference	34

## List of Figures and List of Tables

- 44

÷

List of Figures	
Figures 1: Audit Market Adjustment	33
List Of Tables	
Table 1: Auditor Market Share by Individual Firm: 2008	17
Table 2: Auditor Market Share by Individual Firm: 2009	19
Table 3: Main Players' Audit Market Share (Based on Audit Fees) by Market Segment: 2008	22
Table 4: Main Players' Audit Market Share (Based on Audit Fees) by Market Segment: 2009	23
Table 5: Main Players' Audit Market Share (Based on Audit Fees) by Industry Sector 2008	24
Table 6: Main Players' Audit Market Share (Based on Audit Fees) by Industry Sector 2009	25

#### **Chapter One**

#### Introduction

#### 1.1 Background of the Study

During the early 1990s, there were concerns that the large firms were competing too aggressively. Excessive competing may result in 'low-balling' behavior and 'opinion shopping behavior'. 'Low-balling' behavior is resulted from excessive price competition and leads the audit firm to cross-subsidization against non-audit services. Aggressive competing also encouraged 'opinion shopping' behavior by companies. Companies perceived a willingness on the part of audit firms to offer different accounting interpretations. According to Beattie (2003), these beliefs and perceptions does not support by any clear evidence.

During the late 1990s, a dominant Big Eight emerge in the audit market. However, subsequently it had been reduced through merger and firm collapse to a Big Four. Enron accounting and auditing scandal has caused the size of the dominant group to reduce. This scandal had impaired and damaged their auditor's brand name that subsequently made Anderson to cease its operation. According to Beattie (2003), this event introduced a shock to the system, destabilizing the prevailing market 'equilibrium'. The scandal associated with Anderson's demise gave rise to a unique merger situation in which the demand for monitoring, which is costly, increased Chi (2006), using US data, finds that audit fees across all companies has generally risen following the Andersen event. Asthana, Balsam and Kim (2009) report that audit fees and the audit fee rate (as a percentage of total assets) of US companies rose markedly in 2002 following the Enron scandal, especially for larger, riskier clients.

1

# The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

#### Reference

Abidin, S., Beattie, V., Goodacre, A. (2010). Audit market structure, fees and choice in a period of structural change: Evidence from the UK – 1998-2003. *British Accounting Review* 42, 187-206.

Abdel-Khalik, A. (2002). Reforming corporate governance post Enron: Shareholders' board of trustees and the auditor, *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, Vol. 21(2), pp. 97-103.

Asthana, S., Balsam, S., & Kim, S. (2009). The effect of Enron, Andersen, and Sarbanes-Oxley on the US market for audit services. *Accounting Research Journal*, 22(1), 4–26.

Beattie, V., Goodacre, A., & Fearnley, S. (2003). And then there were four: a study of UK audit market concentration – cuases, consequences and the scope for market adjustment. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 11(3), 250-265.

Chi, W. (2006). The effect of the Enron–Andersen affair on audit pricing. *The ICFAI Journal of Audit Practice*, 3(2), 35–59.

Choi, M.S., & Zeghal, D. (1999). The effect of accounting firm mergers on international markets for accounting services. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation,* 8(1), 1-22.

Danos, P., and J.W. Eichenseher, 1982. Audit industry dynamics: Factors affecting changes in client-industry market shares. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 20(2), 604-616.

Eichenseher, J.W., and P. Danos, 1981. The analysis of industry-specific auditor concentration: Towards an explanatory model. *Accounting Review 56* (July): 479-492.

Gramling, A. A., & Stone, D.N. (2001). Audit firm industry expertise: a review and synthesis of the archival literature. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 20, 1-29.

Horgan, C.E. and Jeter, D.C. (1999). 'Industry specialization by auditors', *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 18(2), 1-17.

Knapp, M. and Elikai, F. (1988). Auditor changes: A note on the policy implications of recent analytical and empirical research, *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance*, Vol. 3(1), pp. 78-86.

Schloetzer, J.D. (2006) Arthur Andersen, SOX Section 404 and auditor turnover: theory and evidence. Working Paper. Available at:ssrn.com/abstract=970586.

Stein, M.T. (2006). Discussion of "an economic analysis of audit and nonaudit services: the trade-off between competition crossovers and knowledge spillovers". *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 23(2), 555-564.

Thavapalan, S., Moroney, R., & Simbett, R. (2002). The effect of the Pricewaterhouse Coopers merger on auditor concentration in Australia: a note. *Accounting and Finance 42(2)*, 153-167.