

# **Throughput, Smoothness Analysis of SCTP over AODV and DSR MANET Routing Protocols**

This thesis is presented to the Graduate School in Fulfillment of the requirement  
for Master of Science (Information Technology)

University Utara Malaysia

**FAHRURROZI LUBIS**

**UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA**

**2011**

## **PERMISSION TO USE**

In presenting this project of the requirements for a Master of Science in Information and Communication Technology (M.Sc. IT) from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this project paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or in their absence, by the Dean of Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this project or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my project paper.

Request for permission to copy or make other use of materials in this project, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

**Dean of Research and Postgraduate Studies**  
**College of Arts and Sciences**  
**Universiti Utara Malaysia**  
**06010 UUM Sintok**  
**Kedah Darul Aman**  
**Malaysia**

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

In the name of Allah, the most gracious and most merciful, I praised to Allah for the completion of this project paper. Without the dedication and support from these people, the completion of this thesis would not have been possible

I am deeply grateful to Mr. Fazli Azzali and Mr. Khuzairi Bin Mohd. Zaini, my supervisor, for giving me invaluable directions, scientific proven prowess, encouragement and motivation in completing this project paper. Without them professional guidance and support, I would not be where I am today.

To my beloved parents, Muhammad Rajab Lubis and Anna, thank you for your prayers, patience, and support to keep me going till the end of this journey.

I also would like to thank my wonderful postgraduate friends Novient, Asti, Dieka, Audita, Ikhsan, Zaki and the last for my beloved Dinda for providing me with many discussions, constructive comments, and suggestions during this journey.

Fahrurrozi Lubis

**June, 2011**

## **Abstract**

Mobile Ad hoc Network (MANET) is a wireless network of mobile-mobile node that has no fixed routers. In MANET, mobile nodes can communicate via the wireless interface while nodes are moving freely without using the network infrastructure. Each node in addition to functioning as a host, also serves as a router that can receive and forward packets to next the node. Nowadays existence of a new Internet protocol technology, that is, SCTP, the performance in a MANET Routing Protocol is still unknown. The general objective of this research is to analyze and make the comparative performance of Stream Control Transportation Protocol (SCTP) with Ad-hoc On-demand Distance Vector (AODV) and Dynamic Source Routing protocol (DSR) using Network Simulator (NS-2). Specifically, this research (1) to measure the behavior of SCTP in terms of throughput and smoothness and (2) to determine routing protocol in Mobile Ad-hoc Network (MANET) will have significant effect in SCTP. Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) issued a new protocol called SCTP; the interaction of SCTP will be investigated through the examination of traffic flows through a number of network topologies. This research use Network Simulator 2 (NS-2), type of the traffic is CBR and packet size is 1000. This performance analysis is over MANET Routing Protocol that enables to analyst the several performance metrics such as Throughput and Smoothness. This topology consists of 16 nodes placed in a 1500m x 1500m rectangle because the researcher uses static topology, consisting of a 4x4 metric with SCTP transport layer and using routing protocol AODV and DSR. The data sent consists of five speeds at 5 m/s, 10 m/s, 15 m/s, 20 m/s, 25 m/s, and then these speeds are used in AODV and DSR simulation. Throughput of SCTP over AODV is highest than DSR and the smoothness of SCTP over DSR is highest than AODV depends on five types of speed. This research it was found that MANET did not have a great impact on the throughput of SCTP. In other words, MANET only amounted to 0-2% impact on the throughput of SCTP. Furthermore, the speed of node movement does not significantly affect the smoothness.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

PERMISSION TO USE .....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT .....	iii
Abstract .....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT .....	v
LIST OF TABLE.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATION .....	xi
CHAPTER ONE.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Problem Statement.....	4
1.3 Research Question .....	4
1.4 Research Objective .....	4
1.5 Scope.....	4
1.6 Significance of Study.....	5
1.7 Summary.....	5
CHAPTER TWO.....	6
LITERATURE REVIEW .....	6
2.1 Introduction.....	6
2.2 Transmission Control Protocol (TCP).....	6
2.2.1 The characteristics of TCP.....	8
2.3 User Datagram Protocol (UDP).....	10
2.4 Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) .....	13
2.4.1 SCTP Features.....	15

2.4.2 Association.....	19
2.5 Mobile Ad-hoc Network (MANET).....	20
2.5.1 Ad hoc On-demand Distance Vector (AODV).....	21
2.5.2 Dynamic Source Routing (DSR).....	23
2.6 Performance Metrics.....	25
2.6.1 Throughput .....	26
2.6.2 Smoothness .....	26
2.7 Related work.....	27
2.8 Summary.....	29
CHAPTER THREE.....	30
METHODOLOGY.....	30
3.1 Introduction.....	30
3.2 Simulations Description.....	30
3.3 Simulation Scenario.....	31
3.4 Network Simulation 2 (NS-2).....	33
3.5 Methodology Steps .....	35
3.6 Summary.....	37
CHAPTER FOUR.....	38
FINDING AND RESULT.....	38
4.1 Introduction.....	38
4.2 Network Simulation.....	38
4.3 Result analysis .....	41
4.3.1 Result of simulations.....	42
4.4 Analysis of smoothness .....	52
4.5 Conclusion .....	53
CHAPTER FIVE.....	54

DISCUSSIONS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	54
5.1 Introduction.....	54
5.2 Discussion of findings .....	54
5.2.1. Research objective 1.....	55
5.2.2. Research objective 2 .....	57
5.3 Limitation .....	57
5.4 Future Work.....	57
5.5 Research contribution .....	58
REFERENCE .....	60
APPENDIX A: NS-2 CODE.....	69
SCTP over AODV .....	69
SCTP over DSR.....	75
APPENDIX B: PERFORMANCE METRIC CODE.....	81
Troughput .....	81

## LIST OF TABLE

Table 2.1: SCTP Features	16
Table 3.1: Simulation Parameters	32



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 TCP Segment format	10
Figure 2.2 Demultiplexing Process Based on a UDP Port	11
Figure 2.3 UDP Datagram Format	12
Figure 2.4 SCTP Packet Format	14
Figure 2.5 Multihoming Process	17
Figure 2.6 Formation Process for an SCTP Association	19
Figure 2.7 Route Discovery Mechanism	22
Figure 2.8 Mechanism Data (Update Route) and Route Error	23
Figure 2.9 Data Delivery Mechanisms in Route Discovery	24
Figure 2.10 Data Delivery Mechanism on Route Maintenance	25
Figure 3.1 Simulation of the Topology	32
Figure 3.2 Overall Architecture of NS-2	34
Figure 3.3 Step of Methodology	35
Figure 4.1 Network topology at 0second	39
Figure 4.2 Node 0 starts moving at 200seconds	39

Figure 4.3 Node 0's position at 400 seconds	40
Figure 4.4 Node 0 reaches the final stopping point	41
Figure 4.5 : Throughput result of Sctp-AODV 5M/s	42
Figure 4.6 Throughput result of SCTP-AODV 10M/s	43
Figure 4.7 Throughput result of SCTP-AODV 15M/s	44
Figure 4.8 Throughput result of SCTP-AODV 20M/s	45
Figure 4.9 : Throughput result of SCTP-AODV 25M/s	46
Figure 4.10.: Throughput result of Sctp-DSR 5M/s	47
Figure 4.11 Throughput result of Sctp-DSR 10M/s	48
Figure 4.12 Throughput result of Sctp-DSR 15M/s	49
Figure 4.13 Throughput result of Sctp-DSR 20M/s	50
Figure 4.14 Throughput result of Sctp-DSR 25M/s	51
Figure 4.15 Average throughput	52
Figure 4.16 Average Smoothness Result	52
Figure 5.1 Average value of througput	56
Figure 5.2 Average value of smoothness	56

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATION**

SCTP	Stream Control Transmission Protocol
IETF	Internet Engineering Task Force
DSR	Ad-hoc On-demand Distance Vector
AODV	Dynamic Source Routing protocol
OSI	Open Systems Interconnection
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
FTP	File Transfer Protocol
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
NS-2	Network Simulator 2
VOIP	Voice Over IP
CBR	Constant Bit Rate
MTU	Maximum Transmission Unit
DSDV	Destination Sequence Distance Vector
ZRP	Zone Routing Protocol
QoS	Quality of Service

WWW	World Wide Web
ACK	Acknowledgement
LAN	Local Area Network
TFTP	Trivial File Transfer Protocol
RIP	Routing Information Protocol
NFS	Network File System
SNMP	Simple Network Management Protocol
DHCP	Protocol and Dynamic Host Configuration
IP	Internet Protocols
RREQ	Route REQuest
RREP	Route REPLY
RERR	RouteError
BPS	Bits Per Second
Pps	packets per second
Few	Fractional Windows Increment
SACK	(Selective ACK)
OTCL	Object-oriented Tool Command Language

# CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

Data and information is a matter of great importance to modern society, because whoever controls the information it could rule the world. To address this, it requires that information technology makes data and information move quickly [1]. In the science of information technology, speed and accuracy of data transfer is strongly influenced by the type of transport layer protocol. The Open Systems Interconnection (OSI), model which provides a framework of structured logic on how processes interact via a data communication network. In the OSI there are seven (7) layers, one of the seven (7) layers is the transport layer. The transport layer is responsible for dividing data into segments, providing logical connections "end-to-end" between the terminals, and providing error handling (error handling). Within the transport layer protocol is the User Datagram Protocol (UDP), the Transport Control Protocol (TCP) and the Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP).

UDP is a message-oriented protocol [1], [2], where the process sending the message is encapsulated in a user datagram and sent over the network. UDP is used in applications such as IP phones and real-time data transmission. However, UDP has a weakness because the sender cannot know the state of the sent message. In the process of delivery, a message can be lost, duplicated, or received in a damaged condition. UDP also does not have some other features, such as

The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only

## REFERENCE

- [1] Asodi, S., Ganesh, S.V., Seshadri, E., Singh, P.K., “Evaluation of transport layer protocols for voice transmission in various network scenarios”, Applications of Digital Information and Web Technologies, 2009. ICADIWT '09. Second International Conference on the, pp. 238, 2009
- [2] Tomar, G.S. & Chaurasia, B.K., “Modified Stream Control Transmission Protocol over Broadband High Latency Networks”, Modeling & Simulation, 2008. AICMS 08. Second Asia International Conference on, pp. 174-179, 2008
- [3] Ahmed, I., Yasuo, O., Masanori, K., “Improving performance of SCTP over broadband high latency networks”, Local Computer Networks, 2003. LCN '03. Proceedings. 28th Annual IEEE International Conference on, pp. 644-645, 2003
- [4] Pascal Lorenz, Petre Dini. . Networking-ICN 2005. 4th International Conference on Networking, Reunion Island, France, Proceedings, 2005
- [5] V. Jayesh, Rane , N. Nitin Kumbhar , S. Kedar Sovani – “Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) on FreeBSD”, India-Pune: Pune Institute of Computer Technology-Affiliated to University of Pune, 2002.p.4.
- [6] Mcclellan, A. Stanley, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P, internet: <http://www.freepatentsonline.com/y2003/0235151.html>, 12/25/2003 [18/03/2010].

- [7] Fang, L. Yie,” A Novel Network Mobility Scheme Using SIP and SCTP for Multimedia Applications”, International Conference on Multimedia and Ubiquitous Engineering (mue 2008), 2008 . pp.564-569
- [8] Jan, F., Mathieu, B., Meddour, D.-E., “A monitoring tool for Wireless Multi-hop Mesh Networks”, Network Operations and Management Symposium, 2008. NOMS 2008. IEEE, 2008. pp. 587
- [9] L. Chi and Z. Hao, “A Simulation and Research of Routing Protocol for Ad hoc Mobile Networks“, International Conference on Information Acquisition, 2006, pp. 16 – 21
- [10] Eshak, N., Baba, M.D., “Improving TCP performance in mobile ad hoc networks”, Communications, 2003. APCC 2003. The 9th Asia-Pacific Conference on, 2003, pp 580
- [11] Chu.H, etall., “Counteract SYN flooding using second chance packet filtering”, ICUIMC '09 Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Ubiquitous Information Management and Communication, 2009, pp. 216-220
- [12] Allman, M., and Falk, A. “On the Effective Evaluation of TCP”. ACM Computer Communication Review, 1999, pp 59 – 70
- [13] H. ELAARAG, “Improving TCP Performance over Mobile Networks”, ACM Computing Surveys, Vol. 34, No. 3, 2002, p. 357–374.
- [14] J. Postel. “Transmission control protocol”. IETF RFC 793 Standard, 1981.



- [15] Sangheon, P., Sungyong, A., Yanghee. C., Seungmo. C., “Wireless TCP model for short-lived flows”, Vehicular Technology Conference, 2003. VTC 2003-Spring. The 57th IEEE Semiannual, 2003, pp. 1725
- [16] K. Thompson, G. J. Miller, and R. Wilder. “Wide-area internet patterns and characteristics”. IEEE Network, 11(6):10\_23, November/December 1999
- [17] Jingyi, H., Chan, S., “TCP and UDP performance for Internet over optical packet-switched networks ”, Communications, 2003. ICC '03. IEEE International Conference on, 2003, pp. 1350-1354
- [18] O. Riva, Analysis of Internet Transport Service Performance with Active Queue Management in a QoS-enabled network, A PhD Thesis, University of Helsinki, 2003.
- [19] Kurose, J., and Ross, K. (2005). Networking: A Top-Down Approach Featuring the Internet (3 Ed.).
- [20] V. Ramachandran and S. Nandi, “Detecting ARP spoofing: An Active Technique,” 2010. Lecturer Note in Computer Science 2803. [Online]. Pp. 239-250 Available at <http://www.springlink.com/index/1421371736251342.pdf>
- [21] J. Postel, "RFC768 - User Datagram Protocol," USC/Information Sciences Institute, 28 August 1980.]
- [22] B. A. Forouzan TCP/IP: Protocol suite, 1<sup>st</sup> Edition. New Delhi, India: Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited, 2000

- [23] G. Fairhurst, "The User Datagram Protocol (UDP)," November 2008. [Online]. Available at <http://www.erg.abdn.ac.uk/users/gorry/eg3567/inet-pages/udp.html>
- [24] C. Hedrik, "Routing Information Protocol," RFC:1058, Network Working Group, Rutgers University, June 1988
- [25] E. Bibbs, B. Matt and X. Tang, "Comparison of SNMP version 1, 2 and 3," ICTN 4600-001, April 2006. [Online]. Available at [http://www.info-secwrittes.com/text\\_resources/pdf/SNMP\\_BMatt.pdf](http://www.info-secwrittes.com/text_resources/pdf/SNMP_BMatt.pdf)
- [26] H. Richards, "Understanding DHCP," Tech Support Alert, September 1997 [Online]. Available at <http://www.techsupportalert.com/pdf/c04102.pdf>
- [27] M. Manzor Morshed and M. Habibur Rahman, "Performance Evaluation of DSDV and AODV Routing Protocols in Mobile Ad-hoc Networks", New Trends in Information Science and Service Science (NISS), 2010 4th International Conference on, 2010, pp 399 – 403.
- [28] A. Dainotti, S. Loreto, A. Pescapé and G. Ventre, "Sctp performance evaluation over heterogeneous networks," Concurrency and Computation: Practice & Experience - Performance Analysis and Enhancements of Wireless Networks, 2007, pp 392-395.
- [29] S. Lundstrom and D. Hasselof, "SCTP-Performance and Security," Course: 2G1305 Internetworking, May 2005. [Online]. Available at [http://www.ict.kth.se/courses/IK1550/Sample-pappers/SCTP\\_Lundstrom\\_-\\_Hasselof\\_2g1305.pdf](http://www.ict.kth.se/courses/IK1550/Sample-pappers/SCTP_Lundstrom_-_Hasselof_2g1305.pdf)

- [30] R.Rajamani, S. Kumar, and N. Gupta, "Sctp versus TCP: Comparing the performance of Transport Protocols for web traffic", University of Wisconsin Madison, 2002.
- [31] P.Amer,R.Stewart, Copyright © Internet Society 2005, intenet: <http://www.isoc.org/briefings/017/> [Nov 20.2010]
- [32] P. Natarajan, F. baker, P. D. Amer and J. T. Leighton, "SCTP: What, Why, and How," Internet Computing, IEEE, vol. 13no. 5, pp.81-85, Sept.-Oct. 2009.
- [33] D. Nagamali and J. Kwang Lee, "Performance of SCTP over high speed Wide Area," Cybernetics and Intelligent Systems, 2004.
- [34] Armando L. Caro, JR. , Janardhan R. Iyengar, Paul D. Amer, Gerard J. Heinz, Randall R. Steward, "Using SCTP multihoming for fault tolerance and load balancing", ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review, v.32 n.3, p.23.
- [35] P. Stalvig. "Introduction to the Stream Control Transmission (SCTP): The next generation of the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP)", F5 Networks Inc, Oct 2007. (Online). Available at <http://www.f5.com/pdf/white-papers/sctp-introduction-wp.pdf>
- [36] Ladha, S., Amer, P.D., "Improving file transfers using SCTP multistreaming", Performance, Computing, and Communications, 2004 IEEE International Conference on, 2004, pp. 513

- [37] Nurul Islam, Md., Kara, A, “Throughput Analysis of SCTP over a Multi-homed Association”, Computer and Information Technology, 2006. CIT '06. The Sixth IEEE International Conference on, 2006, pp. 110
- [38] R. Stewart et al. (2000). “Stream Control Transmission Protocol”. IETF RFC 2960 (standards track). <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2960.txt>.
- [39] Jizeng Wang; Shengcai Zhang; Fan Chen; “Modeling and Verification of SCTP Association Management Based on Colored Petri Nets ”, Computing, Communication, Control, and Management, 2008. CCCM '08. ISECS International Colloquium on, 2008, pp. 379-383
- [40] JongChoul Yim; Byungsun Lee, “An auto-recovery method of SCTP association between media gateway controller and signaling gateway”, Advanced Communication Technology, 2004. The 6th International Conference on , 2004, pp. 838-841.
- [41] Larsson, T. and Hedman, N., Routing Protocol In Wireless Ad-hoc Networks A Simulation Study, Master Thesis, Lulea University of Technology, Stockholm, 1998.
- [42] Cisco., Internetworking Technology Handbook, 4th Edition, Cisco systems. Inc., Cisco Press, 2004.
- [43] S. Khelifa and Z. Mekkakia, “An Energy Multi-path AODV Routing Protocol in Ad Hoc Mobile Networks ,“ I/V Communications and Mobile Network (ISVC), 2010 5th International Symposium on , 2010, pp 1-4.

- [44] C Perkins, E. Belding-Royer and S. Das., Ad-hoc On Demand Distance Vector (AODV) Routing. RFC 3561, IETF Network Working Group, July, 2003
- [45] N. Surayati, "Performance Evaluation of AODV, DSDV & DSR" International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, VOL.9 No.7, 2009
- [46] D. B. Johnson and D. A. Maltz, —Dynamic Source Routing in Ad Hoc Wireless Networks, Mobile Computing, Chapter 5, pp. 153-181, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996,
- [47] David B. Johnson , David A. Maltz, and Yih -Chun Hu, The Dynamic source routing protocol for mobile ad hoc networks (DSR),internet-Draft, draft-ietf-manets dsr- 08.txt,February24 2003.
- [48] Liu Yujun; Han Lincheng, "The research on an AODV-BRL to increase reliability and reduce routing overhead in MANET", Computer Application and System Modeling (ICCASM), 2010 International Conference on, 2010, pp. 214-217.
- [49] Somnuk, H., Lerwatechakul, M, "Multi-hop AODV-2T", Intelligent Ubiquitous Computing and Education, 2009 International Symposium on, 2009, pp. 214-217.
- [50] Aissani, M., Fenouche, M., Sadour, H., Mellouk, A., "Ant-DSR: Cache Maintenance Based Routing Protocol for Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks", Telecommunications, 2007. AICT 2007. The Third Advanced International Conference on , 2007, pp. 35

- [51] Deru, M., & Torcellini, P. Performance Metrics Research-Project – Final Report. Colorado: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. (2005).
- [52] Bradner, S., & McQuaid, J. (1999). Benchmarking Methodology for Network Interconnect Devices. RFC 2544 , 4
- [53] J.F Kurose and K.W.Ross, Computer Networking: A top Down Approach, 5 ed. Boston, MA: Person Addison-Wesley, 2009
- [54] [Demichelis, C., & Chimento, P. IP Packet Delay Variation Metric for IP Performance Metrics (IPPM). RFC 3393 , 2002. Pp. 6-13.
- [55] Nahm, K., Helmy, A., dan Jay Kuo, C.,” TCP over Multihop 802.11 Networks: Issues and Performance Enhancement”, Proceeding of ACM MobiHoc ’05, Urbana-Champaign, Illinois, USA, 2005, pp. 277-287.
- [56] Ashwini Kumar, Lillykutty Jacob, AL Ananda, “SCTP vs TCP : Performance Comparison in MANETs”, Local Computer Networks, 2004. 29th Annual IEEE International Conference on , 2004, pp. 431-432.
- [57] P. Navaratnam, N. Akhtar, R. Tafazolli, “On the performance of DCCP in wireless mesh networks”, Proceedings of the 4th ACM international workshop on Mobility management and wireless access, 2006, pp. 144-147
- [58] Joe, I., YAN, S, “SCTP Throughput Improvement with Best Load Sharing based on Multihoming”, Fifth International Joint Conference on INC, IMS and IDC, 2009, pp. 1-5.

[59] Kuhl, M., Kistner, J., Costantini, K., Sudit, M, “Cyber attack modeling and simulation for network security analysis. 39th conference on Winter simulation by ACM”, 2007, pp. 1-9

[60] E. Altman and T. Jimenez, “NS Simulator for Beginners”, vol.2009, 2003, pp. 1-146.

[61] Hassan, M., Fahmy, S., Wu, J., Aziz, A.”, chapter 4 page: – by Pearson Education, Inc, Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River,, NJ07458. In M. a. Hassan, High Performance TCP/IP Networking, 2004, pp. 76-79.