PAYROLL PROCESSING: OUTSOURCE OR DO IT IN-HOUSE

By
NORIMY BINTI MAT ISA
802422

A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate School in Partial Fulfillment of Master of Human Resource Management University Utara Malaysia

PERMISSION TO USE

In the submission of this thesis in fulfillment of the requirement for a postgraduate degree program under Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM). I hereby agree to permit the University Library to use this project paper as reference purposes, duplication or extraction for academic usage. All the above mentioned condition can be done by getting approval from my supervisor or The Dean of College of Business, UUM. Any duplication, publication or extraction for profitable purposes is highly restricted for this study without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

An appreciation should be given to UUM due to the permission allow to use all the resources for the project paper. An application letter to use it can be addressed to the:

Dean

College of Business
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman.

DISCLAIMER

The author is responsible for the accuracy of all opinion, technical comment, factual report, data, figures, illustrations and photographs in this dissertation. The author bears full responsibility for the checking whether material submitted is subject to copyright or ownership right. Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) does not accept any liability for the accuracy of such comment, report and other technical and factual information and the copyright or ownership rights claims. The author declares that this dissertation is original and his own except those literatures, quotations, explanations and summarizations which are duly identified and recognized. The author hereby granted the copyright of this dissertation to College of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) for publishing if necessary.

Date: 21/02/7011 Student Signature:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, the highest gratitude to Allah S.W.T and His

Prophet Muhammad S.A.W for giving me the strength to complete this

thesis even though with the tight schedule.

Thousands and millions of gratitude to my supervisor, Madam Norizan

Binti Haji Azizan for the academic guidance and support, my direct

manager, Mr. Umasuten A/L Karisnan and my relative, Mr. Mohd

Najib Hamdi Bin Ahmad Mahadi Mehat respectively for the help

rendered in completing this study.

Special thanks to my family for all the support and patience, especially

my beloved husband, Mohd Khairul Nizam Bin Mohd Ariffin, my

lovely daughter, Nur Aimi Nadhirah Binti Mohd Khairul Nizam and

last but not least, to my dearest mother, Hajah Habibah Binti Md. Isa.

My sincere thanks also goes to all other persons who may be involved

directly or indirectly in helping me to complete this final project paper.

Thank you.

Norimy Binti Mat Isa

February, 2011

iii

ABSTRAK

Objektif utama kajian ini ialah menilai keberkesanan kos untuk kumpulan Jabatan Gaji Intel Malaysia dan proses kerja yang dilakukan oleh penganalisa gaji. Ia bertujuan untuk membuat perbandingan kaedah yang berbeza sekiranya digunakan dalam memproses gaji samada untuk menggunakan khidmat pihak kedua atau memproses sendiri bagi gaji awal bulan. Kaedah manakah yang dapat memberi penjimatan kos yang lebih berkesan. Selain itu, perbandingan dua kaedah ini digunakan bagi melihat proses manakah yang dapat menjimatkan masa memproses gaji dan mengurangkan langkahlangkah dalam memproses gaji supaya lebih berkesan.

Untuk mencapai matlamat kajian, kaedah kuantitatif analisis digunakan dan data dikutip melalui instrumen kajian yang digunakan dengan kaedah temu bual secara tidak langsung dan juga mengakses pangkalan data. Model khidmat pihak kedua digunakan dalam kajian ini bagi menganalisa situasi dalaman dan situasi khidmat pihak kedua. Sistem telah dibina bagi membantu menganalisa kedua-dua kaedah ini. Data dimasukkan kedalam sistem untuk menghasilkan output samada kaedah khidmat pihak kedua atau memproses sendiri lebih baik. Analisis kos akan dilakukan untuk mengetahui pulangan pelaburan samada positif atau negatif. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan memproses gaji sendiri dapat memberi penjimatan kos dan juga perbandingan kedua kaedah menunjukkan penjimatan masa dan mengurangkan langkah-langkah memproses gaji dapat diperolehi dengan memproses gaji sendiri untuk gaji awal bulan.

Kesimpulannya, kajian mendapati bahawa memproses gaji sendiri dapat menjimatkan kos. Ini bermakna ia dapat memberi pulangan keuntungan pada jangka masa yang panjang. Selain itu, dengan menggunakan kaedah memproses gaji sendiri, ia dapat menjimatkan masa pemprosesan serta dapat mengurangkan langkah-langkah dalam pemprosesan gaji. Oleh itu, kerja penganalisa gaji akan lebih efisyen and efektif. Seterusnya, melalui kajian ini didapati bahawa pihak Jabatan Gaji Intel disarankan agar menyemak setiap tahun bagi mengetahui kuantiti gaji diproses dan kos operasi bagi memastikan kos tetap dan harga kontrak berada dalam keadaan yang berpatutan dengan perkhidmatan yang diberikan. Diharapkan agar Jabatan Gaji Intel dapat mengadakan mensyuarat tahunan untuk mengurangkan kos kontrak.

ABSTRACT

The main objective of the study is to evaluate cost efficiency for GAR HR SSC for payroll department in Intel Malaysia and the payroll analyst's work efficiency. This is to see which methods could bring cost saving. Other than that, comparison of the two methods will be used to evaluate which process is effective for payroll processing in terms of time saving and efficiency.

In order to meet the objectives, quantitative approach was used for data collection. Research instrument for this study is unstructured interview with payroll analyst and retrieved information from database. For this research, system has been built by using closed loop outsourcing decision model to do internal and vendor assessment. It has been used to help in making assessment for this study. Input will be entered into the system to get output whether to outsource or in-house advance pay processing. The cost analysis will be used to get return of investment either positive or negative. The result show that in-house advance pay processing could bring cost saving and comparison of the two methods show that in-house can save time and eliminate some processes in completing payroll processing for advance pay.

In summary, research show that in-house processing for advance pay could bring cost saving. This means, it can give positive return of investment in the long term. Other than that, by using in-house processing it can shorten processing time and eliminating unnecessary waste in processing advance pay. With that, payroll analyst's work will be more efficient and effective. Thus, Intel GAR HR SSC for payroll department should continuously revisit annually for GAR pay slip volume, process simplicity and operation cost to assure overhead and contract price stay competitive with quality services if they would consider with outsourcing. Suggest that human resource to conduct yearly operations review on reducing contracted cost with payroll vendor.

TABLE OF CONTENTS		Page
PERMISSIO	i	
DISCLAIM	ii	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		iii
ABSTRAK		iv
ABSTRACT		v
LIST OF TABLES		viii
LIST OF FIGURES		ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		x
Chapter 1 I	ntroduction	
1.1	Introduction to the Study	1
1.2	Background of the problem	7
1.3	Problem Statement	10
1.4	Research Objectives	12 12
1.5 1.6	Research Questions	13
1.0	Significance of the Study Definition of Key Terms	15
1.8	Conclusion	17
Chapter 2 L	iterature Review	
2.1	Introduction	18
2.2	Payroll Processing Approaches	18
2.3	Comparison of the Two Methods	23
2.4	Payroll Processing Tasks	28
2.5	Issues and Challenging in Outsourcing	32
2.6	Research Framework	37
2.7	Conclusion	40

Chapter 3 Methodology

	3.1	Introduction	41
	3.2	Research Design	
		3.2.1 Type of Study	42
		3.2.2 Source of Data	42
		3.2.3 Unit of Analysis	43
	3.3	Data Collection	43
	3.4	Data Analysis Techniques	45
Chap	ter 4 F	indings	
	4.1	Introduction	51
	4.2	Overview of Collected Data	52
	4.3	Closed Loop Outsource Decision Model Test, Cost Benefit Analysis Test and Comparison Current Process versus Future Process	58
		4.3.1 Internal Assessment and Vendor	59
		4.3.2 Cost Benefit Analyst Test	62
		4.3.3 Result after run the system	68
		4.3.4 Comparison current process versus future process	69
	4.4	Reliability Analysis	72
	4.5	Major Findings	73
	4.6	Summary of Findings	74
	4.7	Conclusion	75
Chap	ter 5 D	Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations	
	5.1	Introduction	76
		5.1.1 Discussion	76
	5.2	Limitation of the study	79
		5.2.1 Time Constraint	79
		5.2.2 Lack of Experience	80
		5.2.3 Limitation in literature review	80
		5.2.4 Data sampling and scope of research	81
	5.3	Recommendation for future research	81
	5.4	Theoretical and practical implication	8 2
•	5.5	Conclusion	83

References

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Payroll Benchmarking Survey		
Table 2.2 Comparison of two methods		
Table 2.3 Selected Payroll Department Profiles		
Table 2.4 A sample of descriptions of payroll administration activities		
Table 2.5 Annual payroll cost per outsourcing/ non-outsourcing		
Organizations	30	
Table 2.6 Reason for payroll governance decisions	3 3	
Table 3.1 Comparison Pricing Table	45	
Table 4.1 Payroll Analyst Name	53	
Table 4.2 Plant, headcount and volume	54	
Table 4.3 Payroll Processing	55	
Table 4.4 Advance Pay Processing	55	
Table 4.5 Pricing Table	55	
Table 4.6 Type of Payroll Processing		
Table 4.7 Comparison Pricing Table	58	
Table 4.8 Assessments of Outsourcing Analysis		
Table 4.9 Standard Headcount Burden Rates		
Table 4.10 Projected cost for Future Process		
Table 4.11 Summary of Total Cost		
Table 4.12 Current (Outsource) versus Future (In-House)		
Processing Cost	67	
Table 4.13 Comparison of Cost per Heads	67	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Research Framework	37
Figure 3.1: Closed Loop Outsource Decision Model	46
Figure 4.1: Intel's Outsourcing Analysis System	59
Figure 4.2: Perform Cost Analysis	62
Figure 4.3: Action to be taken	69
Figure 4.4: Payroll Processing Process Flow	71

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADP Automated Data Processing

ADV Advance Payment

C/B Cost-benefits

C/E Cost-effectiveness

GAR Greater Asian Region

GENI Human Resource Employee Database

HR Human Resource

IM Intel Microelectronic

IP Intel Product

IP Internet Protocol

MTHEND Month End Payment

NPV Net Present Value

PDM Payroll Data Management

PHP Hypertext Preprocessor

PMR Payroll Manager's Report

ROI Return of Investment

SMG KL Intel SMG KL

SSC Shared Services Centre

T3 Intel Technology

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In today's situation where organization are moving towards globalization, they started to look on finding the ways in processing a day to day activities that can be performed in a different way which can provide return of investment to the organization especially on cutting cost. Thus, outsourcing is becoming the norm for every organization to explore in achieving organizational goals.

Global outsourcing has received considerable attention in the popular and business press over the last few years. These are due to changing circumstances, upsizing, downsizing, rightsizing and prompt many organizations to rethink whether their initial reasons for outsourcing still make sense or some combination of outsourcing and in-house processing might be a more optimal solution in today's situation (Payroll Manager's Report, 2006).

Most companies view outsourcing as business strategy for cutting costs, accessing new skills and capabilities, and achieving greater financial flexibility (Linder, 2004). Now outsourcing is one of the most

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

References

- Anonymous (2006). What is the best choice to process your payroll. *IOMA's Payroll Manager's Report*, 6(7), 1-11.
- Anonymous (2007). New data on what payroll outsources and what it keeps in-house. *IOMA's Payroll Manager's Report*, 7(2), 1-10.
- Anonymous (2008). Making the outsourcing decision: What is being outsourced? What is kept in-house? *IOMA's Payroll Manager's Report*, 8(12), 1-7.
- Deepak M. (2009). Can payroll outsourcing work for you? *Franchising World*, 41(9), 24-25.
- Dickmann M. & Tyson S. (2005). Outsourcing payroll: beyond transaction-cost economics. *Personnel Review*, 34(4), 451-467.
- Elmuti D. & Kathawala Y. (2000). The effects of global outsourcing strategies on participants' attitudes and organizational effectiveness. *International Journal of Manpower*, 21(2), 112-128.
- Harland C., Knight L., Lamming R. & Walker H. (2005). Outsourcing: assessing the risks and benefits for organizations, sectors and nations. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 25(9), 831-850.

- Isackson J. (2007). Payroll Processing: Use a service or Do it inhouse? *Franchising World*, 39(10), 24-26.
- Isackson J. (2007). Payroll Processing: Payroll service or in-house? Michigan Banker, 19(4), 48-49.
- Jiang B. & Qureshi A. (2006). Research on outsourcing results: current literature and future opportunities. *Management Decision*, 44(1), 44-55.
- Jiang B., Frazier G. V. & Prater E. L. (2006). Outsourcing effects on firms' operational performance. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 26(12), 1280-1300.
- Kumar S. & Eickhoff J. H. (2006). Outsourcing: When and how should it be done? *Information Knowledge Systems Management*, 245-259.
- Lankford W. M. & Parsa F. (1999). Outsourcing: a primer. Management Decision, 37(4), 310-316.
- Linder J. C. (2004). Outsourcing as a strategy for driving transformation. *Strategy & Leadership*, 32(6), 26-31.
- Malaysia Payroll Desktop Manual, retrieved on February 22, 2010.
- Mini Payroll Conference, retrieved on November 29, 2010.

- Mou R. & Kleiner B. (2006). Duty of care involving outsourced payroll. *Information Management & Computer Security*, 14(3), 284-293.
- Musich P. (2002). Payroll back in-house. News & Analysis eweek, 19.
- Sekaran U. (1992). Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach (2nd ed.). United States of America: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Turek W. (2008). Payroll outsourcing goes beyond payroll. *Accounting Technology*, 16.
- Cascio W. F. (2000). Costing Human Resources: The Financial Impact of Behavior in Organizations (4th ed.). Canada: South-Western College Publishing.
- Womack, J.P. & Jones, D.T. (1996). Lean Thinking: Banish Waste and Create Wealth in Your Corporatio. Simon & Schuster, London.
- Womack, J.P., Jones, D.T. and Roos, D. (1991). The Machine that Changed the World. HarperCollins, New York, NY.

http://www.csulb.edu/~msaintg/ppa696/696meas.htm, retrieved on April 13, 2010.

http://www.investopedia.com/terms/r/returnoninvestment.asp, retrieved on February 18, 2011