# **UUM RESEARCH TOPIC GUIDE**

Hamzeh Mohammad Abdallah Al\_Abool

UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

# **UUM RESEARCH TOPIC GUIDE**

A project submitted to Dean of Postgraduate Studies and Research in partial Fulfillment of the requirement for the degree Master of Science of Information Technology Universiti Utara Malaysia



# KOLEJ SASTERA DAN SAINS (College of Arts and Sciences) Universiti Utara Malaysia

# PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK (Certificate of Project Paper)

Saya, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa (I, the undersigned, certifies that)

# HAMZEH MOHAMMAD ABDALLAH ALABOOL (802828)

calon untuk Ijazah (candidate for the degree of) MSc. (Information Technology)

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk (has presented his/her project of the following title)

### **UUM RESEARCH TOPIC GUIDE**

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek (as it appears on the title page and front cover of project)

bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan. (that this project is in acceptable form and content, and that a satisfactory

knowledge of the field is covered by the project).

Nama Penyelia

(Name of Supervisor): MDM. NOR AZILA ALI

Tandatangan (Signature)

(Signature)

.

Tandatangan

Nama Penilai

(Signature)

(Name of Evaluator) : DR. SAHADAH HJ. ABDULLAH

Tarikh (Date) : 4/10/10

Tarikh (Date) : Oct 14, 2010

### PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this project in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this project in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence by the Dean of Postgraduate Studies and Research. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this project or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my project.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this project, in whole or in part, should be addressed to

> Dean of Postgraduate Studies and Research College of Arts and Sciences Universiti Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah Darul Aman Malaysia

# **ABSTRACT**

Currently, e-learning can be considered as one of the most popular learning environments. This study seeks to design and develop an e-learning system which is called Research Topic Guide System (RTG) at University Utara Malaysia (UUM). Research topic can be as critical issue for student during starting their research. Therefore, lecturers or experts will be able to provide student by suggestion research topic to be as a pointer to start their research. Furthermore, UUM as a researchoriented university does not have in the existing e-learning system any platform that can be considered as research guide to meet students' needs. The existing e-learning system is generally deficient in constructing an open research environment; although it is more important to a research-oriented university. Hence, development research environment which it can be used as guide for student might help them to satisfy the suitable topic that is consistent with their interests. Mixed methodology and integrated general research methodology within research methodology are used to achieve the objectives of this study. The implementation is carried out with Java script and Mysql in order to develop the functions of RTG system. The result of usability testing shows that 65% of the participants found the system usable.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

# بسم الله الرحمل الرحيم

I humbly thank **Allah** Almighty, the Merciful and the Beneficent, who gave me health, thoughts and co-operative people to enable me achieve this goal.

I wish to dedicate this work to Holy Prophet Muhammad (Peace be upon him) and his companions who laid the foundations of Modern civilization and paved the way for social, moral, political, economical, cultural and physical revolution.

Also I wish to dedicate this work to my **father** my, **Mother** my **Brothers**, and my **Sisters** for their never ending moral support and prayers which always acted as a catalyst in my academic life.

Thank and appreciation to my supervisor, Miss.

Norazila Binti Ali for her assistance, guidance and support during the project. Also, my sincere thanks to Dr. Sahadah binti Haji Abdullah for giving me ideas and comments in making this project right on track.

To all my friends who are always willing to help and support me through this study, (Ali Al\_Naimat, Ahmad Al Naser, Moath Altarawneh, Amro Shawawreh, Mejhem Tarawneh, Mohammad Al\_Saidah, Omar Altarawneh, Mohamed Jehad Baeth, Rafi Alhindi, Aisha Alias). To all my friends in Jordan, especially in Anjara and my friends in Malaysia.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page	
PERMISSION TO USE ABSTRACT ACKNOWLEDGMENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF TABLE LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS			
CHA	APTER ONE: INTRODUCTION		
1.0	Introduction	1	
1.1	Background	1	
1.2	Problem Statement	4	
1.3	Research Question	6	
1.4	Research Objectives	6	
1.5	Scope of Study	7	
	<ul><li>1.5.1 Application Domain</li><li>1.5.2 E-Learning System: Case Of UUM Research Topic Guide</li><li>1.5.3 Respondents</li></ul>	7 7 7	
1.6	Significant Of Study	8	
1.7	Research Orientation	9	
1.8	Summary	12	
CHA	APTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.0	Introduction	13	
2.1	E-learning	13	
	<ul><li>2.1.1 Definition E-learning</li><li>2.1.2 Why Universities Should Adopt E-Learning</li><li>2.1.3 The End Users Of E-Learning</li></ul>	15 16 18	
2.2	The Challenges Of Determine Research Topics In Research Process	19	
2.3	Related work		

	2.3.2 2.3.3	E-Learning System For Research-Oriented Students Roadmap To Select And Manage Scientific Research In University Affinity Research Group System The ISI Summer Graduate Research Experience Program	23 24 25 26
2.4	Summa	ary	26
CHA	APTER T	THREE: METHODOLOGY	
3.0	Introdu	action	28
3.1	Resear	ch Methodology and Research Design Strategy	28
3.2	Resear	ch Methodology	33
	3.2.2	Collecting Information Design Development	34 36 42
		<ul><li>3.4.2.1 Awareness of problem</li><li>3.4.2.2 Suggestions</li><li>3.4.2.3 Development</li><li>3.4.2.4 Evaluation</li><li>3.4.2.5 Conclusion</li></ul>	43 43 44 44 45
	3.2.4	Evaluation	45
3.3	Summa	ary	51
СНА	PTER F	OUR : DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION	
4.0	Introdu	ction	52
4.1	RTG R	equirements	52
4.2	RTG U	SE CASE Diagram	55
4.3	USE C	ASE Specifications	56
4.4	RTG A	ctivity Diagram	84
4.5	RTG S	equence Diagram	99
4.6	RTG C	elass Diagram	109
4.7	RTG It	nterfaces	110
	4.7.1 4.7.2	UUM Research Topic Guide Home Page View Research Topic Interface	110 111

4.8	Summary	112
CHA	APTER FIVE: RESULT AND CONCLUSION	
5.0	Introduction	113
5.1	Analysis of questionnaire	113
	<ul> <li>5.1.1 Individual assessments of each question</li> <li>5.1.2 Analysis of close ended question</li> <li>5.1.3 Analysis of open ended question</li> <li>5.1.4 Overall Response of students to questionnaire</li> </ul>	114 115 119 119
5.2	Conclusion of the Study	120
5.3	Study Contributions	120
5.4	Problems and Limitations	121
5.5	Future Works	121
5.6	Summary	123
Refe	rences	124
Appe	endix A	127
Appendix B		
Anne	133	

# LIST OF TABLE

Table 3. 1: Students Login	38
Table 3. 2: Lecturers Login	38
Table 3. 3: Admin Login	38
Table 3. 4: View Research Topic	39
Table 3. 5: Email	39
Table 3.6: News & Activities	40
Table 3.7: Links and Resources	40
Table 3.8: Profile	40
Table 3.9: Research Topic Suggestion	41
Table 4.10: RTG Functional Requirements	53
Table 4.11: RTG Non Functional Requirements	54
Table 5.1 Individual assessments of each question	115
Table 5.2 Percentage values of Usability Features	119
Table 5.1: Student's overall response to the questionnaire	120

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Scope of Study	8
Figure 1.2: The structure of chapter one	9
Figure 1.3: The structure of chapter two	10
Figure 1.4: The structure of chapter three	10
Figure 1.5: The structure of chapter four	11
Figure 1.6: The structure of chapter five	11
Figure 2.1: A general model for organizing goal effective learning	15
Figure 2.2: The Concept of E-learning Users	19
Figure 2.3: Conceptual Map of Research Process	19
Figure 2.4: The process of ERS	23
Figure 3.1: Research Methodology and Design Strategy of the Study	29
Figure 3.2: The strategy of Collection Data	30
Figure 3.3: The strategy of Design and Development	31
Figure 3.4: The strategy of Evaluation and Result	32
Figure 3.5: Knowledge Claims	33
Figure 3.6: basic Information system parts	35
Figure 3.7: Interface parts.	36
Figure 3.8 The General Methodology of Design Research	41
Figure 4.1: RTG USE CASE Diagram	54
Figure 4.2: Class Diagram	110
Figure 4. 47: UUM Research Topic Guide Interface	111
Figure 4. 4.8: RTG View Research Topic Interface	112
Figure 5.1: Graphical representations of results	116
Figure 5.2: Overall students' response to RTG System	121

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ICT Information Communication Technology

UUM Universiti Utara Malaysia

CAS College of Art and Science

COB College of Business

COLGIS College of Law, Government and International Studies

PhD Doctor of Philosophy

DBA Doctor of Business Administration

RTG Research Topic Guide

SQL Structured Query Language

RES Research-oriented E- learning System

UTEP University of Texas at El Paso

USC University of Southern California

ISI Information Sciences Institute

CS Computer Science

CE Computer Engineering

EE Electric Engineering

IBM International Business Machines

UML Unified Molding Language

### CHAPTER ONE

### INTRODUCTION

## 1.0 Introduction

This chapter explains the background of research that will give the readers a brief discretion about the whole research topic background. Furthermore, this chapter views research problem, research questions that are formulated to be answered by the research objectives. Moreover, the scope of this study was carried out in order to be more clearly about the respondents and the environment that will be applied in this research. At the end of this chapter, this study explained the significant of research.

# 1.1 Background

Nowadays, Internet is one of the most popular communication tools; it is the most widely used in various areas such as education, commercial, social, communication, and learning. Internet can provide different things for different people (Preece, 2001). In addition, the Internet contains a lot of sites that can provide a lot of different online services including in learning and teaching, as well as enabling interaction between the vendors and users; which could be whether personal, government agencies or commercial organizations. According to Rosenberg (2001) added that the biggest growth in the internet and the area that will prove to be one of the biggest agents of change is e-learning.

The term e-learning has become one of the most popular modes in teaching. Information Communication Technologies (ICT) are utilized for most activates in

# The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

### References

- Baker, M.J. (2001) Selecting a Research Methodology. *The Marketing Review*, 1(3), 373-397.
- Brannen, J. (2005). Mixing Methods: The Entry of Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches into the Research Process. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 8(3), 173-184.
- Bryman, A. and Bell, E. (2007). *Business Research Methods*. Second Edition. USA: Oxford University Press.
- Congdon, J. D., & Dunham, A. E. (1999). Defining the Beginning: The Importance of Research Design. Research and Management Techniques for the Conservation of Sea Turtles, 4. 1-5.
- Cooper, D.R., & Schindler, P.S. (2003). Business Research Methods. 8th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Creswell, J. W. (2003). Research Design: Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. USA: Thousand Oaks.
- Darke, P., Shanks, G. and Broadbant, M. (1998). Successful completing case study research: Combining rigour, relevance and pragmatism. *Info System*, 8(4), 273-289.
- Dennis, A., Wixom, H., & Tegarden, D. (2005). System analysis and design with UML version 2.0: an object-oriented approach with UML, 2nd edition. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Eisenhardt, K.M. (1989). Building Theories from Case Study Research. *The Academy of Management Review, 14*(4), 532-550.
- Ellis, T., & Levy, Y. (2008) Framework of Problem-Based Research: A Guide for Novice Researchers on the Development of a Research-Worthy Problem. *Informing Science: International Journal of an Emerging Transdiscipline* 11(4).17-33.
- Dicheva, D., & Dichev, C. (2005). Authoring educational topic maps: can we make it easier? Advanced Learning Technologies, 2005. ICALT 2005. Fifth IEEE International Conference on (pp. 216-218). USA: IEEE
- Ding, Z., & Li, R. (2010) Design of Web-Based Research E-learning Platform, e-Education, e-Business, e-Management, and e-Learning, 2010. IC4E '10. International Conference on (pp.131-134). China: IEEE
- Huang, X., Huo, y., & Liang, X. (2009). Design and Application of a Research-Oriented E-learning System. Computer Science and Information Engineering, 2009 WRI World Congress on (pp. 233-237). Los Angeles: IEEE.

- Lewis J. (1995). IBM computer usability satisfaction questionnaires: psychometric evaluation and instructions for use. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 7, 57-78.
- Lund, A. M. (2001). Measuring usability with the USE questionnaire. STC Usability SIG Newsletter: Usability Interface, 8(2).
- Martínez-Argüelles, M., Castán, J., & Juan, A. (2010). How do Students Measure Service Quality in e-Learning? A Case Study Regarding an Internet-Based University. *Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, 8 (2), 151 160.
- Miller, G. (1995). WordNet: A Lexical Database for English (version 2.1). Retrieved from MIT press: http://www.wordnet.princeton.edu
- Morrison, D. (2004) *E-Learning Strategies: how to get implementation and delivery right first time.* Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Preece, J. (2001). Sociability and usability in online communities: Determining and measuring success. *Information Technology Journal*, 20(5), 347-356.
- Remenyi, D., Money, A., Price, D. & Bannister, F. (2002). The Creation of Knowledge Through Case Research. *The Irish Journal of Management*, 23(2), 1-17.
- Roffe, I. (2002). E-learning engagement, enhancement and execution, *Quality Assurance in Education*, 10(1), 40-50.
- Rosenberg, M. J. (2001). *E-learning: Strategies for building online learning in the digital age*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Sampson, D., Karagiannidis, C., Schenone, A., & Cardinali, F. (2002). Knowledge-on-Demand in e-Learning and e-Working Settings. *Educational Technology & Society Journal*, 5(2), 107-112.
- Sanam, A. (2009). Learner's intention to continue use of E-learning Technologies: case of Iranian Universities. Master Thesis, Department of Business Administration and Social Sciences Division of Industrial marketing and e-commerce, Luleå University of Technology.
- Schiaffino, S., Garcia, P., & Amandi, M. (2008). eTeacher: Providing personalized assistance to e-learning students. *Computers & Education*, 15(4), 1744-1754.
- Selection of topic. (1966). Selection of topics for research," *Electronics and Power* .12(1).12-16. Retrieved July 20, 2010, from http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=5177555&isnumbe r=5177550
- Sekaran, U. (2007). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. India: Wiley.

- Shiratuddin, N., & Hassan, H. (2010). Design Research in Software Development: constructing and linking research questions, objectives, methods and outcomes. University Utara Malaysia. Malaysia: Kedah.
- Szucs, A. (2009). New Horizons for Higher Education through e-learning. *eLearning Papers* (14), 4.
- Teller P.J., Gates A.Q. (2000). Applying the Affinity Research Group model to computer science research projects. *Frontiers in Education Conference* (pp. 7-12). Kansas City: IEEE.
- Touch, J., Hughes, A., Wang, Y., & Eggert, L. (2002). The ISI Summer Graduate Research Experience Program (SGREP), Retrieved July 19, 2010, from http://www.isi.edu/div7/publication files/tr-562.pdf
- Tzeng G. H., Chiang C. H., & Li, C.W. (2007). Evaluating intertwined effects in elearning programs: A novel hybrid MCDM model based on factor analysis and DEMATEL. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 32(4), 1028-1044.
- Vaishnavi, V., & Kuechler, W. (2005). Design research in information systems. Retrieved July 19, 2010, from http://www.isworld.org/Researchdesign/drisISworld.htm
- Welle-Strand, A., & Thune, T. (2003). E-learning policies, practices and challenges in two Norwegian organizations. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 26, 185-92.
- Wende, M. (2002). The role of US higher education in the global e-learning market. Research & Occasional Paper Series. Retrieved July 7, 2010, from http://www.cshe.berkeley.edu/publications/docs/ROP.WendePaper1.02.pdf
- Wentling, T., Waight, C., Strazzo, D., File, J., Fleur, J., & Kanfer, A. (2000). *The future of e-learning: A corporate and an academic perspective*. Retrieved July 14, 2010, from http://learning.ncsa.uiuc.edu/papers/elearnfut.pdf
- Wong B, Nguyen T.T., Chang E, & Jayaratna N (2003). Usability metrics for elearning. workshop on human computer interface for semantic web and web applications, 3–7 November, 2003, Catania, Sicily, Italy, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, Germany, LNCS No: 2889 (pp. 235–252). Italy: Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.
- Yan, J., Kobayashi, T., & Nakamori, Y. (2005). Study on a roadmapping system as a decision making process for supporting scientific research, Services Systems and Services Management. *Proceedings of ICSSSM '05. 2005 International Conference on* (pp.1205-1209). Japan: IEEE.