

C/No: 0111163016

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT AND
ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS OF SOFT DRINKS
MANUFACTURERS IN LIBYA**

**MAHMUD RAMADAN ALI SHALOF
(803223)**

UNIVERSITY UTARA MALAYSIA

2010

11/11/10
5/12/10

DECLARATION

I agree and full responsible that this dissertation “The Relationship between Strategic Management and Organizational Effectiveness of Soft Drinks Manufacturer in Libya” is fully done by us. I bear full responsibility for checking whether material submitted is subject to copyright or ownership right.

Mahmod Ramadan Ali Shalof

(803223)

College of business

University Utara of Malaysia

06010 Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman.

April 2010

KOLEJ PERNIAGAAN
(College of Business)
Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK
(Certification of Project Paper)

Saya, mengaku bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa
(I, the undersigned, certified that)

MAHMUD RAMADAN ALI SHALOF (803223)

Calon untuk Ijazah Sarjana

(Candidate for the degree of) **MASTER OF SCIENCE (MANAGEMENT)**

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk

(has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT AND ORGANIZATIONAL
EFFECTIVENESS OF SOFT DRINKS MANUFACTURERS IN LIBYA**

Seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas project
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of the project paper)

Bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.


(that the project paper acceptable in the form and content and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia : **SHAHMIR ABDULLAH**

(Name of Supervisor)

Tandatangan :

(Signature)



Tarikh :

(Date)

12 APRIL 2010

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this dissertation in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from University Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Sultanah Bahiyah Library may take it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this dissertation in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or in their absence, by the Dean of Research and Postgraduate (College of Business). It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this dissertation or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to University Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my dissertation.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this dissertation, in completely or in part, should be addressed to:

**Dean of Research and Postgraduate Studies College of
Business University Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM, Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman**

ABSTRACT

The strategic management process (SMP) is key part of the business success and organizational effectiveness. The SMP is comprised environmental analysis, strategy formulation, strategy implementation, and strategic control. This study investigates the relationship between strategic management process and organizational effectiveness of soft drinks manufacturer in Libya. Data were collected from executive in soft drinks manufacturer. Descriptive statistics were calculated and correlation analysis and regression analysis was used to test the hypothesized relationships. It was found that strategic management process has great impact and related to the organizational effectiveness.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I express special thanks to my lecturer Dr. **Shahmer Abdullah** who has guided me throughout the semester to complete this study. He has given his constructive suggestion, guidance, encouragement, and consistence support to me to develop this study.

Furthermore, I am deeply conveying my thanks to my friends from who helped me to develop this study.

Finally, I express my deep sense of gratitude to my parents for their timely counseling and guidance. This has enriched my knowledge and has provided insight to overcome the hurdles on the way to complete this project.

With profound regards to all scholars of strategic management studies past, present and future.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE.....	I
DECLARATION.....	II
PERMISSION TO USE.....	III
ABSTRACT.....	IV
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	V

CHAPTER ONE: OVERVIEW OF THE RESEARCH

1.1	Background.....	1
1.2	Problem Statement.....	6
1.3	Research Objective.....	7
1.4	Significance of the Study.....	8
1.5	Organization of Chapters.....	8

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0	Literature Review.....	10
2.1	Research framework.....	31
2.2	Hypotheses.....	32

CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY

3.0 Introduction.....	35
3.1 Population and sample.....	35
3.1.1 Sampling method.....	36
3.1.2 Number of respondents.....	36
3.2 Data collection method.....	36
3.2.1 Questionnaire administration.....	36
3.2.2 Validity and Reliability.....	37
3.2.3 Data Analysis Technique.....	37

CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS

4.1 Introduction.....	38
4.2. Profiles of Respondents.....	38
4.2.1. The Gender of respondents.....	39
4.2.2. The Age of respondents.....	40
4.2.3. The Level of Education.....	41
4.2.4. Gross Revenue of the Firm.....	42
4.3 Validity of Study Instrument Testing.....	43
4.4 Correlations among Variables.....	44
4.5. The Description of Research Variables.....	45
4.5.1. Environmental Analysis Variable.....	46
4.5.2. Strategy Formulation Variable.....	48
4.5.3. Strategy Implementation Variable.....	50
4.5.4. Strategic Control Variable.....	52

4.5.5. Organizational Effectiveness Variable.....	54
4.6. Results of Hypothesis Testing.....	55
4.6.1. Environmental Analysis and Organizational Effectiveness.....	56
4.6.2. Strategy Formulation and organizational effectiveness.....	57
4.6.3. Strategy Implementation and organizational effectiveness.....	69
4.6.4. Strategic Control and organizational effectiveness	60
CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS.....	62

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

Appendix a Questionnaire

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

In this age of anxiety, performance is an obsession. From schools to universities, businesses to government departments we have become an audit society (Humphrey and Owen, 2000; Power, 2000) absorbed in performance measurement and the assessment of relative success, which can affect the allocation of resources, and the fates of institutions and individuals.

Strategic management is the essence of an executive's job. The process deals with the fundamental organization renewal and growth, with the development of the strategies, structures, and systems necessary to achieve such renewal and growth, and with the organizational systems needed to effectively manage the strategy formulation and implementation process.

According to Johnson and Scholes (1993), Strategy is the direction and scope of an organization over the long-term: which achieves advantage for the organization through its configuration of resources within a challenging environment, to meet the needs of markets and to fulfill stakeholder expectations.

According to, points out that people use strategy in several different ways, the most common being these four:

1. Strategy is a plan, a how, a means of getting from here to there.
2. Strategy is a pattern in actions over time; for example, a company that regularly markets very expensive products is using a high end strategy.

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

References

- Andersen, T. J. (2000). Strategic planning, autonomous actions and corporate performance. *Long Range Plan*, 33, 184–200.
- Aranda, D. A. (2003). Service operations strategy, flexibility and performance in engineering consulting firms. *International journal of operational and production management*, 23(11), 1401–3577.
- Bailey, A., & Johnson, J. (1995). Strategy development processes: A configurational approach. *Academy of Management Best Papers Proceedings*, 2–6.
- Brews, P. J., Hunt, M. R. (1999). Learning to plan and planning to learn. *Strategic Management Journal*, 20, 889–913.
- Boyd, B. K., (1991). Strategic planning and financial performance: a meta-analytic review. *J Manag Stud*, 28(4), 353–74.
- Delmar, F, Shane, S. (2003). Does business planning facilitate the development of new ventures? *Strategic Management Journal*, 24, 1165–85.
- Dretske, F. (1981). *Knowledge and the flow of information*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- DeLone, W. H., McLean, E. R. (1992). Information systems success: The quest for the dependent variable. *Information Systems Research*, 3(1), 60-95.
- Greenley, G. E. (1994). Strategic planning and company performance: an appraisal of the empirical evidence. *Scand J Manag*, 10(4), 383–96.

- Hahn, W. Powers, T. (1999). The impact of strategic planning sophistication and implementation on firm performance. *J Bus Econ Stud*, 5(2):19–35.
- Ichijo, K. (2002). *Knowledge exploitation and knowledge exploration*. In C. W. Choo, & N. Bontis (Eds.), *The strategic management of intellectual capital and organisational knowledge* (pp. 477–483). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Johnson, G., & Scholes, K. (1993). *Exploring corporate strategy*. New York: Prentice-Hall.
- Jack E. P., Raturi A. (2002). Sources of flexibility and their impact on performance. *J Oper Manag*, 20(5):519–49.
- Leonard-Barton, D. (1992). Core capabilities and core rigidities: A paradox in managing new product development. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13, 111–125.
- Lumpkin, G. T., & Dess, G. G. (1995). Simplicity as a strategy-making process: The effects of stage of organizational development and environment on performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38, 1386–1407.
- Machlup, F. (1983). *Semantic quirks in studies of information*. In F. Machlup, & U. Mansfield (Eds.), *The study of information*. New York: John Wiley.
- Matusik, S. F., & Hill, C. W. L. (1998). The utilization of contingent work, knowledge creation, and competitive advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(4), 680–697.
- Meyer, M. W., & Gupta, V. (1994). The performance paradox. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 16, 309–369.

- Miller, C. C., Cardinal, L. B., (1994). Strategic planning and firm performance: a synthesis of more than two decades of research. *Acad Manage J.* 37, 1649–65.
- Meilich, O., Marcus, A. (2006). *Strategic planning and decision making*. In: MorcolG, editor. *Handbook of decision making*. New York: Taylor Francis, 433–56.
- Nonaka, I. (1994). A dynamic theory of organizational knowledge creation. *Organisation Science*, 5(1), 14–37.
- Pearce, J. A. I., Freeman, E. B., & Robinson Jr, R. B. (1987). The tenuous link between formalized strategic planning and financial performance. *Acad Manage Rev*, 12, 658–75.
- Rhyne, L. C. (1986). The relationship of strategic planning to financial performance. *Strateg Manage J.* 7(5), 423–36.
- Shrader, C. B., Taylor, L. Dalton, D.R. (1984). Strategic planning and organisational performance: a critical appraisal. *J Manage.* 10(2):149–71.
- Schwenk, C. R., Shrader, C. B. (1993). Effects of formal strategic planning on financial performance in small firms: a meta analysis. *Entrep Theory Pract*, 17: 53–64.
- Semler, S. W. (1997). Systematic agreement: A theory of organizational alignment. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 8, 23–40.
- Spender, J. C. (1992). Limits to learning from the west: How western management advice may provide limited in Eastern Europe. *International Executive*, 34(5), 389–410.

Thomas, J. B., S. M. Clark, D. A. Gioia. (1993). Strategic sensemaking and organizational performance: Linkages among scanning, interpretation, action, and outcomes. *Acad. Management J.* 36(2) 239-270.

Weick, K. E. (1995). *Sense making in Organizations*. Thousand Oaks, CA.: Sage Publications,