MANAGEMENT OF STRESS: USE OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS IN MANAGING STRESS WITHINTHE LOWER RANK SOLDIERS IN A MILITARY ORGANIZATION

A Thesis Submitted to College of Business in Partial
Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree
Master of Human Resource
Universiti Utara Malaysia

By
KAMARULZAMAN LAMIN (800495)

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the postgraduate degree of Master of Human Resources from the Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Universiti's library may take it freely available for inspection. I further agree that the permission for copying of this is in a manner, in whole or part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my superior or in his absence, by the Dean of the College of Business. It is understood that any copy or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or make other use of material in this thesis in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean (Research and Innovation Office)

College of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

MALAYSIA

ABSTRACT

Stress in workplace is not a new phenomenon for it is known to have a negative impact upon the business world as well as to the military organization. Stress is a dynamic condition in which an individual is confronted with an opportunity, constraint or demand related to what he or she desires and for which the outcome is perceived to be both uncertain and important. There are many causes of stress in which few include low morale, sickness and diseases, personal problem, workload, lack of job satisfaction, poor working environment, leadership and change of technology. This thesis studied and researched was conducted to determine the relation of stress having the independent variables, job satisfaction, working environment and utilization of technology (HRIS). It is desired that this research would provide a positive input in the process of management of stress of the lower rank soldiers, especially to the Commanding Officer and officers as leaders in the military organization.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of ALLAH the almighty, I praise him and felt very grateful to him for having showed me devine in completing this thesis successfully. The writing of this thesis started during the second semester of 2008/2009 at Universiti Utara Malaysia in fulfillment of Master of Human Resource program. Many people are kind enough to provide me assistance in achieving an excellent results in the process for the research to be in reality. My sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Mr. Shahmir Abdullah for his valuable supervision and guidance during the whole process of the thesis writing. I would also like to extend my gratitude and thankful to my colleague, Lt Colonel Rahim Omar, the Commanding Officer, 72 Battalion KP, who had given a full cooperation towards me in administering the research questionnaires within his merry men in the military camp.

Finally I take this opportunity to express my love and thankful to my wife Suraya and children who have indirectly supported me in my research and also to those many friends who had helped in the making of this thesis complete.

Kamarulzaman Lamin

May 2009

TABLE OF CONTENT

| PERMISION TO USE | I |
|------------------|-----|
| ABSTRACT | II |
| AKNOWLEDGEMENT | III |
| TABLE OF CONTENT | IV |

CHAPTER

INTRODUCTION

| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|-----|---|---|
| 1.1 | THE RESEARCH PROBLEM | 5 |
| 1.2 | THE RESEARCH QUESTION | 7 |
| 1.3 | THE PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVE OF THE RESEARCH | 7 |
| 1.4 | SIGNIFICANT OF THE RESEARCH | 8 |
| 1.5 | CONTRIBUTION OF THE RESEARCH | 8 |
| 1.6 | SCOPE OF THE RESEARCH | 9 |
| 1.7 | STRUCTURE OF THE RESEARCH | 9 |

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

| 2.0 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 10 |
|------|---|----|
| 2.1 | AN INDIVIDUAL STRESS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 17 |
| 2.2 | RESOURCES | 19 |
| 2.3 | HUMAN RESOURCE INFORMATION SYSTEM | 19 |
| 2.4 | SECURITY AND PRIVACY | 21 |
| 2.5 | COMPONENT OF INFORMATION | 21 |
| 2.6 | DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF INFORMATION SYSTEM | 22 |
| 2.7 | CHARACTERISTIC OF GOOD INFORMATION | 25 |
| 2.8 | THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK | 27 |
| 2.9 | JOB SATISFACTION | 28 |
| 2.10 | WORKING ENVIRONMENT | 30 |
| 2.11 | GENDER | 31 |
| 2.12 | PREVENTION TOOLSFOR STRESS | 32 |

CHAPTER THREE

METHODOLOGY

3.0 INTRODUCTION 33

| 3.1 | RESEA | RCH DESIGN | 33 |
|-----|-------------------------|--|----|
| 3.2 | POPUL | ATION AND SAMPLE | 34 |
| 3.3 | SAMPL | ING DESIGN | 34 |
| 3.4 | VARIA | BLES | 34 |
| 3.5 | INSTRU | UMENT DESIGN | 35 |
| 3.6 | DATA | COLLECTION | 36 |
| 3.7 | DATA . | ANALYSIS | 36 |
| 3.8 | SUMM | ARY | 38 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | CHAPTER FOUR | |
| | | RESULTS AND FINDINGS | |
| | | | |
| 4.0 | INTRO | DUCTION | 38 |
| 4.1 | PROFILE RESPONDENT 38 | | 38 |
| 4.2 | SURVEY RESPONSES | | |
| 4.3 | 3 RESPONDENT BACKGROUND | | 40 |
| | 4.3.1 | RESPONDENT 'S BACKGROUND | 40 |
| | 4.3.2 | RESPONDENT'S AGE GROUP | 40 |
| | 4.3.3 | RESPONDENT'S RACE/ETHNIC | 41 |
| | 4.3.4 | RESPONDENT'S YER OF SERVICE | 42 |
| | 4.3.5 | RESPONDENT ACCORDING TO MARITAL STATUS | 43 |
| | 4.3.6 | RESPONDENT'S EDUCATION LEVEL | 43 |

| 4.4 | RELIABILITY ANALYSIS | 44 |
|-----|-------------------------------|----|
| 4.5 | DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS | 45 |
| 4.6 | CORRELATION ANALYSIS | 46 |
| 4.7 | REGRESSION | 47 |
| 4.8 | SUMMARY | 49 |
| 4.9 | HYPOTHESIS DISCUSSION | 50 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | CHAPTER FIVE | |
| | RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 5.0 | RECOMMENDATION | 51 |
| 5.1 | CONCLUSION | 53 |
| | | |
| APP | ENDIXES | |
| RES | EARCH QUESTIONAIRES | |

STATISTIC PACKAGE FOR SOCIAL SCIENCE

MANAGEMENT OF STRESS: USE OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS IN MANAGING STRESS WITHIN THE LOWER RANK SOLDIERS IN A MILITARY ORGANIZATION

CHAPTER 1

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Stress in the military organization can cause many effects to soldier's mood, productivity, attitudes and relationships between soldiers and the organization as well as between themselves. Stress can result in significant productivity losses, low performance and administrative expenditures. Many factors happen to be the causes of soldiers stress. Hence, stress has become a major problem happening in the workplace. Stress in the military is not widely researched even though the problem is occurring in most organizations. Considerable research on this topic has concerned the links between stress from work and work related attitudes such as organizational commitment and job satisfaction. Stress and other withdrawal behaviors reflect invisible attitudes such as job dissatisfaction, low level of organizational commitment, or an intention to quit. According to this view, a soldier who is stressed consciously or unconsciously expressing negative attachment to the organization. Furthermore, for a lowly committed or dissatisfied soldier, stress can have a negative role. It may provide him or her opportunity to avoid the negative emotions associated with work. On the other hand, soldiers who are highly satisfied with their jobs or strongly committed to the organization will avoid withdrawal behaviors and maintain continued attachment to perform work.

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

DeLongis, A., Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R.S. (1988). The impact of daily stress on health and mood: Psychological and social resources as mediators. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 54, 486-495.

Dohrenwend, B. S., Krasnoff, L., Askenasy, A. R., & Dohrenwend, B. P. (1982). The psychiatric epidemiology research interview life events scale. In L. Goldberger & S. Breznitz (Eds), Handbook of stress: Theoretical and clinical aspects. New York: Free Press.

Dohrenwend, B. P., Raphael, K. G., Schwartz, S., Stueve, A., & Skodol, A. (1993). The structured event probe and narrative rating method for measuring stressful life events. In L. Goldberger & S. Breznitz (Eds.), Handbook of Stress (pp. 174-199). New York: Free Press.

Eckenrode, J. & Bolger, N. (1995). Daily and within-day event measurement. In S. Cohen, R. Kessler, & Underwood Gordon, L. (Ed.), Measuring Stress (pp. 80-101). New York: Oxford University Press.

Fournier, D. G., Olson, D. H., & Druckman, J. H. (1983). Assessing marital and premarital relationships: The PREPARE-ENRICH Inventories. In E. E. Filsinger (Ed.), Marriage and family assessment: A sourcebook for family therapy (pp. 229-250). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

Holmes, T. H., & Rahe, R. H. (1967). The social readjustment rating scale. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 11, 213-218.

Karasek, R. (1985). Job Content Questionnaire and user's guide. Lowell, MA: University of Massachusetts.

Lepore, S. (1995). Measurement of chronic stressors. In S. Cohen, R. Kessler, & Underwood Gordon, L. (Ed.), Measuring Stress (pp. 102-121). New York: Oxford University Press.

McNair, D., Lorr, M., & Droppleman, L. (1971). Psychiatric Outpatient Mood Scale. Boston, MA: Psychopharmacology Laboratory, Boston University Medical Center

Monroe, S., & Kelley, J. (1995). Measurement of Stress Appraisal. In S. Cohen, R. Kessler, & Underwood Gordon, L. (Ed.), Measuring Stress (pp. 122-147). New York: Oxford University Press.

Moos, R. H., & Moos, B. S. (1981). Family Environment Scale manual. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.

Moos, R. H. (1981). Work Environment Scale manual. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.

Notarius, C. I., & Vanzetti, N. A. (1983)/ The Marital Agendas Protocol. In E. E. Filsinger (Ed.), Marriage and family assessment: A sourcebook for family therapy (pp. 209-227). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

Nowlis, V., & Green, R. (1957). The experimental analysis of mood. Technical Report, Office of Naval Research: Contract No. Nonr-668(12).

Spew, S. H., & Spokane, A. (1987). Occupational stress inventory: Manual research version. Odessa, FL Psychological Assessment Resources

Peacock, E. J., & Wong, P.T.P. (1990). The Stress Appraisal Measure (SAM): A multidimensional approach to cognitive appraisal. Stress Medicine, 6, 227-236.

- ^ Rockart et. Al (1996) Eight imperatives for the new IT organization Sloan Management review.
- 2. ^ Treek, D., Trobec, R., Pavesic, N., & Tasic, J.F. (2007). Information systems security and human behaviour. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 26(2), 113-118.
- 3. ^ Sloan Career Cornerstone Center (2008). *Information Systems*. Alfred P. Sloan Foundation. Accessdate June 2, 2008.
- 4. ^ Langefors, Börje (1973). *Theoretical Analysis of Information Systems*. Auerbach. ISBN 0-87769-151-7.
- 5. ^ Galliers, R.D., Markus, M.L., & Newell, S. (Eds) (2006). *Exploring Information Systems Research Approaches*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- 6. ^ Ciborra, C. (2002). *The Labyrinths of Information: Challenging the Wisdom of Systems*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press

7. ^ Kock, N., Gray, P., Hoving, R., Klein, H., Myers, M., & Rockart, J. (2002). Information Systems Research Relevance Revisited: Subtle Accomplishment, Unfulfilled Promise, or Serial Hypocrisy? *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 8(23), 330-346.