COURSE TIME TABLE SCHEDULING FOR A LOCAL COLLEGE

LEE SU LEONG

**UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA 2009** 

# A thesis submitted to the School of Arts and Science in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

(Information Technology)

University Utara Malaysia

LEE SU LEONG

All rights reserved © 2009

#### GRADUATE SCHOOL UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA

### **PERMISSION TO USE**

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Universiti Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner in whole or part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or in their absence by the Dean of the Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to :

Division of Applied Science College of Art and Science Universiti Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah Darul Aman.

#### Abstract

This study dive into the field of course time table scheduling for a local institution. The subject of the study will be a local college in Malaysia, in particular on the SEGi College branch in Penang. This covers the development of the prototype software which will enable the simulation of the course time table for both the students and lecturers. The prototype software will be on a local search approach with reference to Hill Climbing with Random Walk algorithm and Best First Search algorithm. This research enables users to increase efficiency and performance in developing a course time table. Later, this research will be proposed for implementation to the management of SEGi College branch in Penang.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would like to thank Dr. Aniza Mohammad Din, as my supervisor for this chance to work with her on this research area. I am appreciative and also grateful towards the guidance, encouragement and kindness that were given through out the period of study.

Moreover, I would like to also thank Dr. Azman for his efforts in evaluating this research and validating the study made. With his insights and advices into the research, it had enlighten the field of study and made the research complete.

In memories of the struggles which I had been through as a student of Universiti Utara Malaysia, I am humbled by the opportunity to carry out the research and contribute to the society in the process.

With God's grace it will be.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Permission to use	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of contents	iv
List of figures	vi
List of tables	vii
List of charts	viii

Page

Chapter 1 Statement of Objective

1.0	Problem background	1
1.1	Problem Statement	3
1.2	Research Questions	4
1.3	Research Objectives	4
	1.3.1 Research Sub-Objectives	4
1.4	Significance of the research	5
1.5	Scope of the Study	5
1.6	Limitation of the Study	6
1.7	Conclusion	7
1.8	Summary	8

# Chapter 2 Literature Review

2.0	Introduction of Literature review	9
2.1	Course scheduling for University	9
2.2	Examination scheduling for University	13
2.3	Course scheduling for School	16
2.4	Workforce scheduling	17
2.5	Local Search approaches to Course Scheduling	18
2.6	Heuristics approaches to Course Scheduling	21
2.7	Meta-heuristic approaches to Course scheduling	22
2.8	The Simulated Annealing algorithm approach to Course Scheduling	23
2.9	The Great Deluge algorithm approach to Course Scheduling	24
2.10	The Tabu Search algorithm approach to Course scheduling	24
2.11	Genetic algorithm approach to Course scheduling	25
2.12	Memetic algorithm approach to Course scheduling	26
2.13	Case Based Reasoning (CBR) approach to Course scheduling	28
2.14	Hybrid algorithm approaches to Course Scheduling	29
2.15	Hyper-heuristics algorithm approach to Course scheduling	30
2.16	Simulation in scheduling	31
2.17	Job Shop Scheduling	32

# Chapter 3 Research Methodology

3.0	Introd	duction	33
3.1.0	Revie	ew existing course time tabling problems	34
	3.1.0.	1 Data collection	34
	3.1.0.2	2 Interview	34
3.1.1	Overv	view of the current scheduling system	34
3.1.2	Issue	s relating to coordinators	38
	3.1.2.	1.1 Practices by the coordinators during time tabling the	
		course subjects	38
3.1.3	Issue	s relating to the course and subjects	40
3.1.4	Issues relating to lecturers		
3.1.5	Issues relating to students		45
3.1.6	Issue	s relating to room allocation	47
3.1.7	Issue	s relating to computer resources	49
3.1.8	Issues relating to the Examination period		50
3.2	Docu	ment sampling	51
	3.2.1	Sample of notices about relocation of classes	51
	3.2.2	Sample of actual overall course time table for all schools in	
		the college.	52
	3.2.3	Samples of course time table for IT school students	
		(Oct'08 to Feb'09)	55
	3.2.4	Samples of course time table for IT school students	
		(Jan'09 to Apr'09)	58

	3.2.5	Sample of the subjects in the Diploma in Computer Studies	
		(DICS)	60
	3.2.6	Sample for list of lecturer that lectures DICS subjects	61
3.3	Selec	et appropriate software design approach	62
	3.3.1	Issues with the current Information System in the college	62
3.4	Desig	on and develop the prototype software	62
	3.4.1	The algorithm of choice	63
	3.4.2	System Design approach	63
3.5	Data	Migration and test the prototype software	63
0.0		Testing method	64
		-	
	3.5.2	Limitation of the Data Set for testing purposes	64
3.6	Imple	ment of the prototype software	65
	3.6.1	Pilot Implementation	65
	3.6.2	Training	66
	3.6.3	Software implementation platform	66
3.7	Evalu	ation the prototype software	67
3.8		enhancement to the prototype	68
0.0	iviant		00

# Chapter 4 Results

4.0	Introduction	69
4.1	List of Requirements	70
	4.1.1 Software specification for notebook used by Coordinators	70
	4.1.2 Hardware specification for notebook used by Coordinators	71
4.2	Overview of System Design	71
	4.2.1 System Design for user workflow approach	72
	4.2.2 List of functions available in the prototype software	73
	4.2.3 Use Case Diagram	77
4.3	Use Case Specification	79
4.4	Sequence Diagram	
4.5	Class Diagram	126
	4.5.1 Attributes and data types of tables from the Class Diagram	127
4.6	Screen design for the Prototype Simulation software	130
4.7	Testing	141
4.7.1	Test Plan	141
4.7.2	Test Cases	143
4.8	Summary	144

#### Chapter 5 Results Discussion

5.1	Introduction	145
5.2	Evaluation Techniques	145
5.3	Evaluation Questionnaires	146
5.4	Data Analysis	146
5.5	Algorithms	154
	5.5.1 Hill climbing with random walk	154
	5.5.2 Best first search	154
5.6	Summary	155

## Chapter 6 Conclusion and Further Recommended Study

6.1	Conclusion of the study	156
6.2	Study contribution	157
6.3	Problems and limitations	158
6.4	Future works	159
6.5	Recommendations	159

References	160

# List of figures

			Page
Figure	1.1	Picture of the SEGI College Penang main building on	
		Penang island	2
Figure	1.2	Picture showing the SEGI College Training Centre located in	
		Bukit Mertajam.	2
Figure	3.0	The diagram above shows the phases involved in the research	
		methodology approach.	33
Figure	3.1	The diagram above provides a summary of the process on the	
		creation of the current course scheduling system.	37
Figure	3.2	The sample document of a photograph on the notice of class relocation.	51
Figure	3.3	The sample document of a course time table for Tuesday only.	52
Figure	3.4	The sample document of a course time table for Wednesday only.	53
Figure	3.5	The sample document of a course time table for Thursday only.	53
Figure	3.6	The sample document of a course time table for Friday only.	54
Figure	3.7	The sample document of a course time table for Saturday only.	54
Figure	3.8	The sample document of a course time table for Sunday only.	55
Figure	3.9	Sample document of a course time table for dics2 in semester 2 intake.	56
Figure	3.10	Sample document of a course time table for dics3 in semester 3 intake.	56
Figure	3.11	Sample document of a course time table for dics4 in semester 4 intake.	57
Figure	3.12	Sample document of a course time table for dics5 in semester 5 intake.	57
Figure	3.13	Sample document of a course time table for dics6 in semester 6 intake.	58
Figure	3.14	Sample of a course time table for dics2 going from semester 2 to 3.	59
Figure	3.15	Sample of a course time table for dics5 going from semester 5 to 6.	59
Figure	3.16	The sample document the subjects in the Diploma in Computer Studies.	60

Figure 3.17	The diagram shows the assigned subjects to the respective lecturers	
	for the Diploma in Computer Studies (DICS).	61
Figure 4.0	The diagram displays the steps used in software design here.	69
Figure 4.1	The above diagram show part of the Use Case Diagram, which	
	expands out the sub-routines for Maintenance Menu.	77
Figure 4.2	The above diagram show the remaining part of the Use Case	
	Diagram, which expands out the sub-routines for Scheduling Menu.	78
Figure 4.3	The Use Case Diagram displays the sub-routines in Room Listing.	79
Figure 4.4	The diagram displays the sub-routines in Assign Subject to Lecturer.	81
Figure 4.5	The diagram displays the sub-routines in Intake Class Time Table.	83
Figure 4.6	The diagram displays the sub-routines in Course Status for Intake class.	85
Figure 4.7	The Use Case diagram displays the sub-routines in Migrate function.	87
Figure 4.8	The Use Case diagram for the sub-routines in Room Creation function.	89
Figure 4.9	The Use Case diagram displays the Retrieve Subject for Scheduling.	91
Figure 4.10	The Use Case diagram displays the sub-routines in Assign Intake Class	
	to Subject and Lecturer function.	93
Figure 4.11	The Use Case diagram displays the sub-routines in Populate Schedule	
	function.	95
Figure 4.12	The Use Case diagram for the Hill Climbing with Random Walk function.	97
Figure 4.13	The Use Case diagram displays the Best First Search function.	99
Figure 4.4.1	Sequence diagram for saving record in Room Listing function.	101
Figure 4.4.2	Sequence diagram for edit record in Room Listing function.	101
Figure 4.4.3 S	Sequence diagram for delete record in Room Listing function.	102
Figure 4.4.4 S	Sequence diagram for Refresh record table in Room Listing function.	102
Figure 4.4.5	Diagram for saving record in Assign Subject to Lecturer function.	103
Figure 4.4.6	Diagram for edit record in Assign Subject to Lecturer function.	103

Figure 4.4.7	Diagram for delete record in Assign Subject to Lecturer function.	104
Figure 4.4.8	Diagram for refresh record table in Assign Subject to Lecturer function.	104
Figure 4.4.9	Diagram for saving record in Intake Class time table function.	105
Figure 4.4.10	Diagram for edit record in Intake Class time table function.	105
Figure 4.4.11	Diagram for delete record in Intake Class time table function.	106
Figure 4.4.12	Diagram for refresh record table in Intake Class time table function.	106
Figure 4.4.13	Diagram for saving record in Course Status Intake Class function.	107
Figure 4.4.14	Diagram for edit record in Course Status Intake Class function.	107
Figure 4.4.15	Diagram for delete record in Course Status Intake Class function.	108
Figure 4.4.16	Diagram for refresh record table in Course Status Intake Class function.	108
Figure 4.4.17	Diagram for migrating records in Migrate to Master Schedule function.	109
Figure 4.4.18	Diagram for delete all records in Migrate to Master Schedule function.	109
Figure 4.4.19	Diagram for refresh record table in Migrate to Master Schedule function.	110
Figure 4.4.20	Diagram for Create Room Structure in Room Creation function.	110
Figure 4.4.21	Diagram for Delete all RC records in Room Creation function.	111
Figure 4.4.22	Diagram for refresh all record in Room Creation function.	111
Figure 4.4.23	Diagram for Retrieve Subjects for Scheduling in Select Planned Subject.	112
Figure 4.4.24	Diagram for Delete all record in Select Planned Subject function.	112
Figure 4.4.25	Diagram for refresh all record in Select Planned Subject functions.	113
Figure 4.4.26	Diagram for Planned Intake Class in Compare Subject to Lecturer.	113
Figure 4.4.27	Diagram for refresh all record in Compare Subject to Lecturer functions.	114
Figure 4.4.28	Diagram for refresh all record in Compare Subject to Lecturer functions.	114
Figure 4.4.29	Diagram for saving record in Set Start and End Dates functions.	115
Figure 4.4.30	Diagram for edit record in Set Start and End Dates functions.	115
Figure 4.4.31	Diagram for delete record in Set Start and End Dates functions.	116
Figure 4.4.32	Diagram for refresh all records in Set Start and End Dates functions.	116

Figure 4.4.33	Diagram for create new records in Populate by Dates functions.	117
Figure 4.4.34	Diagram for update records in Populate by Dates functions.	117
Figure 4.4.35	Diagram for delete all records in Populate by Dates functions.	118
Figure 4.4.36	Diagram for refresh records in Populate by Dates functions.	118
Figure 4.4.37	Diagram for create records in Hill Climbing with Random Walk functions.	119
Figure 4.4.38	Diagram for refresh records in Hill Climbing with Random Walk functions.	119
Figure 4.4.39	Diagram for delete records in Hill Climbing with Random Walk functions.	120
Figure 4.4.40	Diagram for create records in Editing Hill Climbing with Random Walk.	120
Figure 4.4.41	Diagram for update records in Editing Hill Climbing with Random Walk.	121
Figure 4.4.42	Diagram for Print View in Hill Climbing with Random Walk function.	121
Figure 4.4.43	Diagram for transfer records in Hill Climbing with Random Walk.	122
Figure 4.4.44	Diagram for create records in Best First Search function.	122
Figure 4.4.45	Diagram for refresh records in Best First Search function.	123
Figure 4.4.46	Diagram for delete records in Best First Search function.	123
Figure 4.4.47	Diagram for create records in Editing Best First Search function.	124
Figure 4.4.48	Diagram for update records in Editing Best First Search function.	124
Figure 4.4.49	Diagram for Print View in Best First Search function.	125
Figure 4.4.50	Diagram for transfer records in Best First Search function.	125
Figure 4.5.1	The diagram above shows you the Class Diagram.	126
Figure 4.5.2	Attributes of assignlec table	127
Figure 4.5.3	Attributes of mastersched table	127
Figure 4.5.4	Attributes of dics table	127
Figure 4.5.5	Attributes of migration table	127
Figure 4.5.6 Figure 4.5.7	Attributes of plansched table Attributes of plansched2 table	128 128
Figure 4.5.8	Attributes of plansched3 table	128

Figure 4.5.9	Attributes of plninsub table	128
Figure 4.5.10	Attributes of plninsublec table	128
Figure 4.5.11	Attributes of roomlist table	128
Figure 4.5.12 Attributes of strtendsem table		
Figure 4.5.13	Attributes of tempsched table	129
Figure 4.5.14	Attributes of trackplan table	129
Figure 4.6.1	The diagram above shows the Main Menu for the prototype software	
	of the user interface.	130
Figure 4.6.2	The diagram above shows the Maintenance Menu, where there are	
	initial data to prepare before scheduling process begin.	130
Figure 4.6.3	The diagram shows the Current Intake Class User Interface.	131
Figure 4.6.4	The diagram shows Intake Class Course Status user interface.	131
Figure 4.6.5	The diagram shows the Assign Subject to Lecturer user interface.	132
Figure 4.6.6	The diagram shows Room Listing user interface.	132
Figure 4.6.7	The diagram shows the Migrate to Master user interface.	133
Figure 4.6.8	The diagram shows the Scheduling Menu user interface.	133
Figure 4.6.9	The diagram shows the Room Creation user interface.	134
Figure 4.6.10	The diagram shows Retrieve Subjects for Scheduling user interface.	134
Figure 4.6.11	Diagram shows Assign Intake Class to Subjects and Lecturer user	
	interface.	135
Figure 4.6.12	The diagram shows the Temporary Schedule user interface.	135
Figure 4.6.13	The diagram shows Best First Search user interface.	136
Figure 4.6.14	The diagram for Hill Climbing with Random Walk user interface.	136
Figure 4.6.15	The diagram shows the Temporary Schedule user interface.	137
Figure 4.6.16	The Hill Climbing with Random Walk user interface after enhancements.	138
Figure 4.6.17	The Best First Search user interface after enhancements were made.	138

Figure 4.6.18	The Hill Climbing with Random Walk results to be printed.	139
Figure 4.6.19	The Best First Search results to be printed.	139
Figure 4.6.20	The diagram shows Edit Hill Climbing Results user interface.	140
Figure 4.6.21	The diagram shows Edit Best First Search Results user interface.	140

#### List of tables

Table 4.7.1	Test plan table	142
Table 4.7.2	Test cases table	143
Table 5.4.1	Gender	147
Table 5.4.2	Age group	148
Table 5.4.3	Educational Background	149
Table 5.4.4	Educational industry experience	150
Table 5.4.5	Job designation	151
Table 5.4.6	System Aspects	152
Table 5.4.7	Overall Satisfaction	153

# List of charts

Chart 5.4.1	Gender	147
Chart 5.4.2	Age group	148
Chart 5.4.3	Educational Background	149
Chart 5.4.4	Educational industry experience	150
Chart 5.4.5	Job designation	151
Chart 5.4.6	System Aspects	152
Chart 5.4.7	Overall Satisfaction	153

# Chapter 1 STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVE

#### 1.0 Problem Background

SEGi College Penang had since opens its doors for business since 1980s. Today, it offers a wide range of diploma, degree, post graduate and professional courses in the fields of business, accounting, marketing, human resource, early childhood education, mass communication, mobile computing, computing, hotel management and tourism. This college occupies a seven storey city campus building located at the heart of Georgetown, the capital of the island state as shown on figure 1.1. [15]

Another building currently occupied by SEGi College in Penang is the SEGi Training Centre. In 1999 it was located at Beach Street, Penang but expanded its business into the mainland and now located in Bukit Mertajam, Penang, as shown on figure 1.2. SEGi College Penang obtained approval to conduct trainings as approved by the Malaysian Government under Jabatan Pembangunan Kemahiran (known as Majlis Latihan Vokasional Kebangsaan – MLVK), Kementeriaan Sumber Manusia. The department known as SEGi Training Centre Penang that conducts trainings on these programmes. In light of the current economic situation, the SEGI College Penang management are consolidating its resources in 2009 and now is moving the SEGi Training Centre

1

# The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

#### References

- [1] Abdullah S. Ahmadi S., Burke E., and Dror M. (2007), A Multi-Start Very Large Neighbourhood Search Approach with Local Search Methods for Examination Timetabling, OR Spectrum, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 351-372.
- [2] Abdullah S. and Hamdan A. R. (2008), A Hybrid Approach for University Course Timetabling, a journal from the International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, University Kebangsaan Malaysia, Vol. 8, No. 8, pp. 127-132
- [3] Abdullah S. and Turabieh H. (2008), Generating University Course Timetable using Genetic Algorithm and Local Search, a proceeding paper for the 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Convergence and Hybrid Information Technology 2008, a publication of IEEE, pps 254 - 260
- [4] Bagatourova O. and Mallya S. K. (2004), Coupled Heuristics and Simulation Scheduling in a Highly Variable Environment, a proceedings of the 2004 Winter Simulation Conference, pp. 1856-1860
- [5] Bartak R, Rudova H. and Muller T. (2004), Iterative Forward Search : Combining Local Search with Maintaining Arc Consistency and a Conflict-based Statistics, in <u>LSCS'04</u> - International Workshop on Local Search Techniques in Constraint Satisfaction. 2004.

- [6] Bartak R. and Rudova H. (2005), Limited Assignments : A New Cutoff Strategy for Incomplete Depth-First Search, a proceeding paper of the SAC'05, a publication of ACM, 1-5113-964-0/05/0003.
- Bazargan-Lari M., Gupta P. and Young S. (2003), A Simulation Approach to Manpower Planning, a proceedings of the 2003 Winter Simulation Conference, pp. 1677-1685
- [8] Beck C., Davenport A. J., Davis E. and Fox M. S. (1998), The ODO Project : Toward a Unified Basis for Constraint-Directed Scheduling, a publication of John Wiley and sons Ltd. 1094-6136/98/020089-37
- [9] Beck J. C. and Fox M. S. (1998), A Generic Framework for Constraint-Directed Search and Scheduling, a publication of the American Association for Artificial Intelligence, 0738-4602-1998, pp 103-132
- [10] Bellio R., Gaspero L. D. and Schaef A. (2008), A Statistical Analysis of the features of a Hybrid Local Search Algorithm for Course Timetabling Problems, a proceeding of the 15<sup>th</sup> International RCRA workshop (RCRA 2008)
- [11] Boyan J. A. and Moore A. W. (2000), Learning Evaluation Functions to Improve Optimization by Local Search, a publication from the Journal of Machine Research 1, pp. 77-112

- [12] Burke E. K., Asumuni H., Garibaldi J. M. and McCollum B. (2006), A Novel Fuzzy Approach to Evaluate the Quality of Examination Timetabling, Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on the Practice and Theory of Automated Timetabling, pp. 82-102
- [13] Burke E. K. and Newall J. P. (2004), Solving Examination Timetabling Problems through Adaptation of Heuristic Orderings, a publication of the Automated Scheduling, Optimization and Planning Group, University of Nottingham, U.K. and EventMap limited, Ireland, Annals of Operations Research, 129, pp. 107-134
- Burke E. K. and Petrovic S. (2002), Recent Research Direction in Automated Timetabling, a publication of European Journal of Operational Research (EJOR), pp. 1-24
- [15] Burke E. K. and Petrovic Sanja (2002), Recent Research Direction in Automated Timetabling, a publication of European Journal of Operational Research – EJOR 2002,
- [16] Burke E. K., Bykov Y., Newall J. and Petrovic S. (2003), A Time-Predefined Approach to Course Timetabling, Yugoslav Journal of Operation Research 13, no. 2, pp. 139-151
- [17] Burke E. K., ByKov Y., Newall J., Petrovic S. (2004), A Time-Predefined local search approach to exam timetabling problems, a publication of the Automated Scheduling, Optimization and Planning Group, University of Nottingham, U.K. and EventMap limited, Ireland, IIE Transactions, 36(6), pp. 509-528

- [18] Burke E. K., Elliman D. G., Weare R. (1994), A University Timetabling System based on Graph Colouring and Constraint manipulation, Journal of Research on Computing in Education, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 1-18.
- [19] Burke E. K., Kendall G. and Soubeiga E. (2003), A Tabu-Search HyperHeuristics for Timetabling and Rostering, a publication of Kluwer Academic Publisher, a paper for the Journal of Heuristic, vol. 9, pps 451-470
- [20] Burke E. K., MacCarthy B. L., Petrovic S., Rong Qu, (2006), Multiple-Retrieval Case-Based Reasoning for Course Timetabling Problems, a paper from the Journal of Operations Research Society, vol. 57, no. 2, pps 148-162.
- [21] Burke E. K., MacCarthy B., Petrovic S. Qu R. (2000), Structured cases in casebased reasoning – reusing and adapting cases for timetabling problems, a paper from the Elsevier Science B. V., 0950-7051/00/00057-5, Knowledge-Based Systems, Vol. 3, no. 2-3, pp. 159-165..
- [22] Burke E. K., McCollum B., Meisels A., Petrovic S and Qu R. (2007), A Graph-Based Hyper-Heuristic for Educational Timetabling Problems, European Journal of Operational Research, pp. 177-192
- [23] Burke E. K., Petrovic S. and Qu R. (2006), Case-Based Heuristic Selection for Timetabling Problems, a paper from the Journal of Scheduling, vol. 9, no. 2, pps 115-132

- [24] Burke E.K., Elliman D.G. and Weare R. (1995), The Automation of the Timetabling Process in Higher Education, publication from Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 23(4), pp 257-266
- [25] Burke E.K., Kingston J. and Weare R. (1997), Automated University Timetabling : the State of the Art, The Computing Journal 40, (9), pp. 565-571
- [26] Burke E.K., MacCarthy B. L., Petrovic S. and Qu R. (2006), Multiple-Retrieval Case-Based Reasoning for Course Timetabling Problems, Journal of Operations Research Society, 57(2), pp. 148-162
- [27] Carrington J. R., Pham N., Qu R. and Yellen J. (2007), An Enhanced Weighted Graph Model for Examination / Course Timetabling, in Proceedings of PlanSIG 2007, (Bartak R., Ed), 26<sup>th</sup> Workshop of the UK Planning and Scheduling SIG 26, pp. 9-16
- [28] Chiarandini H., Biratarri M., Socha K. and Rossi-Doria O. (2006), An effective hybrid algorithm for university course timetabling, a publication of Springer Science and Business Media. Vol. 9, pp. 403-432, DOI 10.1007/s10951-006-8495-8 Computing, Napier University, Edinburgh.
- [29] Cotta C. and Fernandez A. (2007), Memetic Algorithms in Planning, Scheduling and Timetabling, Evolutionary Scheduling, published by Springer Berlin/ Heidelberg, vol. 49, pp. 1-30

- [30] Dorne R. and Hao J. K. (1998), A New Genetic Local Search Algorithm for Graph Coloring, lecture notes in Computer Science, publisher Spinger Berlin / Heidelberg, vol. 1498, ISBN 978-3-540-65078-2, pp. 745
- [31] Doung Tuan Anh, Vo Hoang Tam and Ly Hoang Hai (2005), Course Timetabling of an Entire University with Local Search Methods, Proceedings of International School on Computational Sciences and Engineering: Theory and Applications (COSCI'2005), Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology, pp.19-28
- [32] Doung Tuan Anh, Vo Hoang Tam and Nguyen Quoc Viet Hung (2007), Combined Interactive and Automatic Course Timetabling, Proceedings of International Workshop on Advanced Computing and Applications, ACOMP'2007, Ho Chi Minh City, pp.2-7.
- [33] Elmohamed M. A. S., Coddington P. and Fox G. (1998), A comparison of Annealing Techniques for Academic Course Scheduling, lecture notes in computer science, a proceeding paper on the Practice and theory of automated time tabling – PATAT'97 ,vol. 1408, pp. 92-112
- [34] Ersoy E., Ozcan E. and Uyar A. S. (2007), Memetic Algorithm and Hyperhillclimbers, a proceeding paper of MISTA 2007, pp. 159-166
- [35] Fox M. S., Sadeh N. and Baykan C. (1989), Constrained Heuristic Search, a proceeding paper for the 11<sup>th</sup> International Joint Conference on the Artificial Intelligence, volume 1, pps 309-315.

- [36] Ghaemi S. and Vakili M. T. (2006) ,Using a Genetic Algorithm Optimer Tool to solve University Timetable scheduling Problem, a proceeding paper in the Signal Processing and its Applications 2007 – ISSPA 2007, published by IEEE, pp. 1-4
- [37] Hanna M. and Ruwanpura J. Y. (2007), Simulation Tool for Manpower Forecast Loading and Resource Leveling, a proceedings of the 2007 Winter Simulation Conference, a publication of the IEEE, 1-4244-1306-0/07, pp. 2099-2103
- [38] Herrmann J. W. and Lee C. Y. (1995), Solving a Class Scheduling Problem with a Genetic Algorithm, a journal from the ORSA Journal on Computing, vol. 7, No. 4, 0899-1499/95/0704-0443
- [39] Ingber A. L. (1993), Simulated Annealing : Practice versus Theory, a journal form the Mathematics Computing Modelling, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 29-57
- [40] Jacobs L. W. (1993), Microcomputer-based Workforce Scheduling, a paper of the International Journal of Services, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 36-48
- [41] Kendall G., Moody D. and Bar-Noy A. (2006), Construction of Initial Neighborhoods for a Course Scheduling Problem using Tiling, a proceeding paper on the Computational Intelligence in Scheduling 2007 – SCIS'07, publisher IEEE, pp. 187-191

- [42] Kirkpatrick S., Gelatt C. D. and Vecchi M. P. (1983), Optimization by Simulated Annealing, a publication of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Vol. 220, No. 5498, pp. 671-680
- [43] Lai Lien-Fu, Hsueh Nien-Lin, Huang Liang-Tsung and Chen Tien-Chun (2006), An Artificial Intelligence Approach to Course Timetabling, a publication from the Proceedings of the 18th IEEE International Conference on Tools and Artificial Intelligence (ICTAI'06), IEEE Computer Society, 0-7695-2728-0/06
- [44] Lewis R (2007) Metaheuristics for university course timetabling, Evolutionary Scheduling, publisher Springer Berlin/ Heidelberg, vol. 49, pp. 237-272
- [45] Lewis R, Paechter B. and Mccollum B (2007) Post enrolment based course timetabling: a description of the problem model used for track two of the second international timetabling competition, paper presented on PATAT'07, Cardiff University, Cardiff Business School, Accounting and Finance Section, pp. 1-16
- [46] Lewis R. and Paechter B. (2005), Application of the Grouping Genetic Algorithm to University Course Timetabling, a proceeding paper in EvoCOP'05, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 3448, pp. 144-153
- [47] Lim A., Rodrigues B. and Lei Song (2003), Manpower Scheduling with Time Windows, a publication of ACM, 1-58113-624, pp 741-746

- [48] Lourenco H. R., Artin O. and Stutzle T. (2001), A Beginner's Introduction to Iterated Local Search, a proceeding of the MIC'2001 – 4<sup>th</sup> Metaheuristics International Conference, pp. 1-6
- [49] MacCollum B. (2006), University Timetabling : Bridging the Gap between Research and Practice, a publication of the PATAT 2006, pp. 15-35, ISBN 80-210-3726-1
- [50] Michel L. and Hentenryck P. V. (2002), Constraint-based Architecture for Local Search, a proceeding paper of the OOPSLA'02, a publication of ACM, 1-58113-417-1/02/0011
- [51] Mihali R., Sobh T. and Vamoser D. (2000), SKED : A Course Scheduling and Advising Software, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, USA.
- [51] Musliu N. (2005), Combination of Local Search Strategies for Rotating Workforce Scheduling Problem, lecture notes from Musliu of the Technische University Wien, Austria, pp 1-2
- [52] Musliu N. (2006), Heuristics Methods for Automatic Rotating Workforce Scheduling, International Journal of Computational Intelligence Research, Vol. 2, No. 4, pp 309-326

- [53] Musselman K., O'Reilly J. and Duket S. (2002), The Role of Simulation in Advanced Planning and Scheduling, a proceeding of the 2002 Winter Simulation Conference, pp. 1825-1830
- [54] Paechter B. and Rossi-Doria O. (1998), An opinion algorithm for University Course Timetabling, cited on 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2009, URL = iridia.ulb.ac.be/~meta/newsite/downloads/TRep\_opinion.pdf
- [55] Paechter B., Blum C., Correia S., Dorigo M., Rossi-Doria O. and Snoek M. (2002), A GA evolving instruction for a timetable builder, a proceeding paper on Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on the Practice and Theory of Automated Timetabling PATAT 2002, pp. 120-123
- [56] Paechter B., Rossi-Doria O., Blum C., Knowles J., Sampels M. and Socha K. (2002), A local search for the Timetabling problem, PATAT 2002 Proceedings of the 4th international conference on the Practice And Theory of Automated Timetabling, Gent, Belgium, , 124-127.
- [57] Paechter B., Rossi-Doria O., Sampels M., Birattari M., Chiarandini M., Dorigo M., Gambardella L. M., Knowles J., Manfrin M., Mastrolili M., Paquete L., Strutzle T. (2003), A Comparison of the performance of different metaheuristics on the timetabling problem, In E. Burke, P. De Causmaecker (Eds.), Practice and Theory of Automated Timetabling IV. 4th International Conference, PATAT 2002 LNCS 2740 329-351. Gent: Springer. ISBN 3-540-40699-9

- [58] Pearson J., Agren and Bohlin M. (2004) ,1<sup>st</sup> International Workshop on Local Search Techniques in Constraint Satisfaction, a proceeding paper of the Tenth International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming, Toronto, Canada.
- [59] Petrovic S. and Berghe G. V. (2008), Comparison of Algorithm for Nurse Rostering Problems, a proceeding paper for PATAT 7, URL = w1.cirrelt.ca/~patat2008/PATAT\_7\_PROCEEDINGS/Papers/Petrovic-WD3c.pdf
- [60] Petrovic S. Yong Yang and Dror M, (2006), Case-based Selection of Initialisation Heuristics for Metaheuristics Examination Timetabling, a publication of ACM, URL = portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1127694
- [61] Pettit R. G., (1967), Job Shop Type Production Scheduling by Simulation,
  Production and Inventory Management Research URL = portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=800166.805306
- [62] Popova E. and Morton D. (1998), Adaptive Stochastic Manpower Scheduling, a proceeding of the 1998 Winter Simulation Conference, pp 661-668
- [63] Rudova H. (2001), Soft Scheduling, from the proceedings of the 2001 <u>ERCIM</u> Workshop on Constraints, Prague, Czech Republic.
- [64] Rudova H. and Ludek Matyska (2000), Constraint-based Time tabling with Student Schedules, Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on the

Practice And Theory of Automated Timetabling, Constanz, Germany, pp. 109-123.

- [65] Rudova H. and Murray K. (2003), University Course Timetabling with Soft Constraints, Practice And Theory of Automated Timetabling, Selected Revised Papers. Springer-Verlag LNCS 2740, pp. 310-328
- [66] Rudova H., Matyska L. and Klusacek D. (2007), Local Search for Deadline Driven Grid Scheduling, in the Doctoral Workshop on Mathematical and Engineering Methods in Computer Science (<u>MEMICS 2007</u>), 2007.
- [67] Rudova H., Matyska L., Klusacek D., Baraglia R., Capanni G. (2007), Local Search for Grid Scheduling, a publication of the Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence, in the Doctoral Consortium at the International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling (<u>ICAPS 2007</u>), 2007.
- [68] Rudova H., Murray K. and Miller T (2007), Modeling and Solution of a Complex University Course Timetabling Problem, Practice and Theory of Automated Timetabling VI, Selected Revised Papers, pages 189-209. Springer-Verlag LNCS 3867
- [69] Sadaf N. Jat and Shengxiang Yang (2008), A memetic algorithm for the University Course Timetabling Problem, a proceeding paper of the 20<sup>th</sup> IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence 2008, a publication of the IEEE, 1082-3409/08

- [70] Schaerf A. (1997), Combining Local Search and Look-Ahead for Scheduling and Constraint Satisfaction Problems, pp. 1254 – 1259, URL = citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.105.9840&rep=rep1&type=p df -, cited on the 7<sup>th</sup> January 2009.
- [71] Schaerf A. and Moscato P. (1998), Local Search Techniques for Scheduling Problems – A Tutorial, cited on the 20<sup>th</sup> January 2009, URL = www.diegm.uniud.it/schaerf/papers.php
- [72] Schearf A. and Gaspero L. D. (2002), A Multineighbourhood Local Search Solver for the Timetabling Competition TTComp 2002, URL = www.asap.cs.nott.ac.uk/patat/patat04/475.pdf, cited on the 10<sup>th</sup> of Janurary 2009,
- [73] Schearf A. and Gaspero L. D.(2001), Local Search Techniques for Educational Timetabling Problems. URL = citeseer.ist.psu.edu/484054.html, cited on the 11<sup>th</sup> January 2009.
- [74] SEGI.edu.my (2008), About the Campus, URL = <u>http://www.segi.edu.my/campus/scpen/</u>, cited on the 29<sup>th</sup> December 2008
- [75] Silva J. D. L., Burke E. K. and Petrovic S. (2004), An Introduction to Multiobjective Metaheuristics for Scheduling and Timetabling, URL = www.lania.mx/~ccoello/landa04.pdf.gz, cited on the 15<sup>th</sup> January 2009.

172

- [76] Tahmoush R. M. and Rudy J. P., (1970), Simulation for Planning and Scheduling, a publication of ACM, URL = portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=807210, cited on the 15<sup>th</sup> January 2009.
- [77] Wasfy A. and Aloul F. A. (2007), Solving the University Class Scheduling Problem Using Advanced ILP Technique, a proceedings of IEEE GCC Conference in Bahrain, Department of Computer Engineering, American University of Sharjah (AUS), UAE
- [78] Zottolo M., Williams E. J. Ulgen O. M. (2007), Simulation Implements Demand-Driven Workforce Scheduler For Service Industry, a proceeding of the 2007
   Winter Simulation Conference, a publication of the IEEE, 1-4244-1306-0/07, pp. 219-225
- [79] David Travis (2008), Measuring user satisfaction, cited on the 2<sup>nd</sup> April 2009,
  URL = <u>http://www.userfocus.co.uk/articles/satisfaction.html</u>.
- [80] Davis F. D. (1989), Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of Information Technology.: MIS Quarterly (13 : 3).
- [81] Nielson, J. (2006), Quantitative Studies : How many users to test Alertbox, cited on 3<sup>rd</sup> April 2009, URL = <u>http://www.useit.com/alertbox/quantitative\_testing.html</u>
- [82] OPEN Process Framework Repository Organization (OPFRO) (2000 to 2006), Usability Test Screening Questionnaire, cited on the 7<sup>th</sup> April 2009, URL = <u>http://www.opfro.org/index.html?Components/WorkProducts/TestSet/UsabilityTestScreeningQuestionnaire.html</u> <u>-Contents</u>