

DEVELOPMENT OF DESIGN-ORIENTED EVALUATION TOOL: A HCI PERSPECTIVE

A dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Information Technology in partial of
fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science (Information Communication Technology),
Universiti Utara Malaysia

By

Suganthi Naikkar d/o Subramaniam

Copyright © Suganthi Naikkar, 2004. All rights reserved



JABATAN HAL EHWAL AKADEMIK
(Department of Academic Affairs)
Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK
(Certificate of Project Paper)

Saya, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa
(I, the undersigned, certify that)

SUGANTHI NAIKKAR D/O SUBRAMANIAM

calon untuk Ijazah
(candidate for the degree of) **MSc. (ICT)**

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk
(has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

**DEVELOPMENT OF DESIGN-ORIENTED EVALUATION TOOL:
A HCI PERSPECTIVE**

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of project paper)

bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan
dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.
*(that the project paper acceptable in form and content, and that a satisfactory
knowledge of the filed is covered by the project paper).*

Nama Penyelia Utama
(Name of Main Supervisor): **ASSOC. PROF. DR. NORSHUHADA SHIRATUDDIN**

Tandatangan
(Signature)

: 

Tarikh
(Date)

: 23/06/2004

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirement for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor, in their absence, by the Dean of the Faculty of Information Technology. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or part thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of material in this thesis, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

**Dean Of Faculty Of Information Technology
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman**

ABSTRAK

Penilaian berorientasikan reka bentuk merupakan satu alternatif baru untuk menilai projek multimedia berbanding penilaian berorientasikan pengguna. Projek ini mengenal pasti kriteria dan dimensi dalam penilaian berorientasikan reka bentuk. Berdasarkan kriteria dan dimensi yang dikenal pasti ini, satu borang telah dicipta untuk membantu para pensyarah menilai projek multimedia yang dihasilkan oleh pelajar. Borang ini telah diuji dari segi kesahihan dan kebolehpercayaannya untuk menghasilkan satu borang yang kukuh dan stabil untuk diguna. Cadangan dan komen daripada pakar (pensyarah multimedia) telah diambil kira semasa penghasilan borang ini. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa majoriti pensyarah multimedia telah menerima borang ini tanpa sebarang masalah.

ABSTRACT

Design-oriented is an alternative method in evaluating multimedia applications based on general usability criteria (as opposed to user-oriented evaluation which is commonly applied in usability testing). This paper identifies the criteria and dimensions for the design-oriented evaluation. Based on the identified criteria, two versions of tool (long and short) were created to assist multimedia lecturers to assess their students' projects. Reliability and validity test were conducted to ensure the tool is valid and accurate to use. Suggestion and comments from experts were taken into consideration during the validation test. Certainly, the results show that majority of the experts accept the created tool as a good help in evaluating students' projects without hesitation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Writing this thesis is an arduous process. It's a pleasure to acknowledge the following who had contributed in completing this thesis.

My sincere thanks go to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norshuhada Shiratuddin for her guidance and advice throughout this thesis. Her ideas and suggestions were very valuable for me.

I am also grateful to all the experts who had willingly participated in this study. Their contribution and cooperation were very much appreciated.

My appreciation also goes to the evaluators for their comments and suggestions.

Heartfelt thanks also goes to everyone in my family especially my mum and dad, for their continuous support, encouragement and love. Finally, I thank all my friends for being with me whenever I need them.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PERMISSION TO USE	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	viii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement And Scope	2
1.3 Objective	2
1.4 Significance	3
1.5 Report Structure	3
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Definition of multimedia	4
2.3 Stages Of Evaluation	5
2.4 Need Of Evaluation	5
2.5 Type Of Evaluation	6
2.5.1 User-Oriented Evaluation	7
2.5.2 Design-Oriented Evaluation	7
2.6 Dimension And Evaluation Criteria	10
2.6.1 Design-Oriented Evaluation Criteria	17
2.6.2 User-Oriented Evaluation Criteria	21
2.7 Conclusion	23
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Introduction	24
3.2 Phase 1: Identification Of Evaluation Dimension	24
3.2.1 Journal Articles	25
3.2.2 Books	25
3.2.3 Conference Proceedings	25
3.2.4 Internet	25
3.3 Phase 2: Tool Development	26
3.4 Phase 3: Pilot Testing	27
3.5 Conclusion	30

CHAPTER 4 : TOOL DEVELOPMENT	
4.1 Introduction	31
4.2 Development Of Tool	31
4.2.1 Identification Of Criteria	32
4.2.2 Validation	35
4.2.2.1 Content Validation	35
4.2.2.2 Face Validation	35
4.2.3 Reliability	36
4.3 Long Version	37
4.4 Short Version	42
4.5 Conclusion	44
CHAPTER 5: FINDINGS	
5.1 Introduction	45
5.2 Grading Allocation	45
5.3 Tool Validation By Experts	52
5.4 Experts Feedback	56
5.5 Feedback Analysis	59
5.6 Conclusion	60
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
6.1 Introduction	61
6.2 Limitation	62
6.3 Problems	62
6.4 Recommendation	63
6.5 Conclusion	63

LIST OF TABLES

	Pages
Table 2.1: Design-Oriented Dimension And Criteria	18
Table 2.2: Criteria For Design And User-Oriented	22
Table 3.1: Ascending Order Of The Matrices Number	28
Table 3.2: Selection Of The 30 Samples	28
Table 3.3: Randomly Selected Samples	29
Table 4.1: Comparison Between Two Evaluations	36
Table 5.1: Grading For Criteria 1 To 5	46
Table 5.2: Grading For Criteria 6 To 10	47
Table 5.3: Grading For Criteria 11 To 15	48
Table 5.4: Total Grade For Each Project	50
Table 5.5: Cumulative Average Grade	51
Table 5.6: Grading Provided By Experts	55
Table 5.7: Project Ranking According To Grades Points	58

LIST OF FIGURES

	Pages
Figure 3.1: Summary of The Methodology	30
Figure 4.1: Long Version	38
Figure 4.2: Short Version	43
Figure 5.1: Grades Points of The 30 Selected Projects	49
Figure 5.2: Snapshots of 42557	52
Figure 5.3: Snapshots of 43198	53
Figure 5.4: Snapshots of 44137	53
Figure 5.5: Results of The Experts	59

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

UE	Usability Engineering
HCI	Human Computer Interaction
EUSCI	End User Computing Satisfaction Instrument
TAM	Technology Acceptance Model
SUMI	Software Usability Measurement Inventory
QUIS	Questionnaire For User Interface Satisfaction
PSSUQ	Post Study System Usability Questionnaire
CUSI	Computer User Satisfaction Inventory
MUMMS	Measuring Usability In Multimedia Systems

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Multimedia is one of the fastest emerging field that have spread worldwide. The various components in multimedia have attracted many users to explore into this application. Multimedia can be defined as any combination of two or more of the following elements: text, image, sound, speech, video, and computer programs (Acab, 1996). For Yilmaz (2000), a complete multimedia is an involvement of all five human senses: sight, sound, touch, smell and taste. However, according to Heller *et al.* (2001), multimedia is a seamless integration of two or more media.

Schools and higher education have started to emphasize multimedia for teaching and learning to keep pace with the current development in this technology. Consequently, the students and teachers have been exposed to various multimedia components almost routinely. Students, especially in higher education, who are taking courses in multimedia design are required to produce multimedia projects to assess their understanding of the multimedia design concept. As such in the case of the final year

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Acab, M. (1996). What is multimedia? Available online at:
<http://www.cs.yorku.ca/Courses95-96/4361/q1.html> [23rd March 2004]
- Albion, P.R. (1999). Heuristic Evaluation of Educational Multimedia: From Theory to Practice. *Proceedings of ASCILITE99*. Available online at:
<http://www.ascilite.org.au/conference/brisbane1999/papers/albion.pdf>
[24th March 2004]
- Bell, J. (2001). How to write a literature review for your IT research project. *Information Management & Communication* 1-12. Available online at:
www.hope.ac.uk/IMC/undergraduate/research/lit_review.pdf [8th May 2004]
- Beyea, S.C., & Nicoll, L. H. (1997). Measurement reliability and validity. Available online at: <http://www.aorn.org/JOURNAL/research/rc1297.htm> [7th May 2004]
- Bowell, S.(1996). Using and producing multimedia materials. *Industrial and commerce training*,28(7), 9-15. Available online at:
<http://80taddeo.emeraldinsight.com.eserv.uum.edu.my/vl=2752360/cl=29/nw=1/fm=html/rpsv/cw/mcb/00197858/v28n7/s2/p9> [14th March 2004]
- Cox, K., & Walker,D. (1993). *User Interface Design*. 2nd Edition. Singapore:Simon & Schuster (Asia).
- Cozby, P.C. (1985). *Methods in Behavioral Research*. 3rd Edition. USA: Mayfield Publishing Company.
- Diaz, P. (2003). Usability of Hypermedia Educational e-books. *D-Lib Magazine* 2003, 9(3), 1-12. Available online at:
<http://www.dlib.org/dlib/march03/diaz/03diaz.html> [29th March 2004]
- Dillon, A. & Morris, M. (1998). Power, Perception and Performance: From Usability Engineering to Technology Acceptance with the P3 Model of User Response. Available online at: <http://fiat.gslis.utexas.edu/~adillon/publications/power.html>
[14th April 2004]
- Dix, A., Finlay, J., Abowd, G., & Beale, R. (1998) *Human Computer Interaction*. 2nd Edition. England: Pearson Education Limited.
- Fallman, D.(2003). Design-oriented Human—Computer Interaction. *Proceedings of CHI 2003*. Available online at :<http://daniel-pc.informatik.umu.se/resources/papers/p348-fallman-draft.pdf> [23rd March 2004]

- Faraday, P., & Sutcliffe, A. (1998). Providing advice for multimedia designers. *Proceedings of CHI 98*, 124-131. Available online at: http://80portal.acm.org.eserv.uum.edu.my/ft_gateway.cfm?id=274663&type=pdf&coll=portal&dl=ACM&CFID=19205117&CFTOKEN=46163644 [14th March 2004]
- Garzotto, F., Mainetti, L., & Paolini, P. (1995). Hypermedia Design Analysis, And Evaluation Issues. *Communication of the ACM* 38(8), 74-85. Available online at: http://80portal.acm.org.eserv.uum.edu.my/ft_gateway.cfm?id=208349&type=pdf&coll=portal&dl=ACM&CFID=19205117&CFTOKEN=46163644 [14th March 2004]
- Hamilton, H. (2004). Reviewing the literature: Making 'the literature' work for you. *Collegian* 11(1) 8-11. Available online at: www.rcna.org.au/content/reviewingliterature.pdf [8th May 2004]
- Hartley, R. (1993). Guideline for multimedia usage. *Proceedings of ACM* 14(4), 95-106. Available online at: http://80portal.acm.org.eserv.uum.edu.my/ft_gateway.cfm?id=166045&type=pdf&coll=Portal&dl=GUIDE&CFID=19373229&CFTOKEN=48046356 [14th March 2004]
- Hassenzahl, M., Platz, A., Burmester, M., & Lehner, K. (2000). Hedonic and Ergonomic Quality Aspects Determine a Software's Appeal. *Proceedings of CHI 2000* 2(1), 201- 208. Available online at: www.tu-darmstadt.de/fb/fb3/psy/soz/veroeffentlichungen_mh/Chi00_hass_final.pdf [13th April 2004]
- Heller, R.S., Martin, C.D., Haneef, N., & Krliu, S.G. (2001). Using A Theoretical Multimedia Taxonomy Framework. *ACM Journal Of Educational Resources In Computing*, 1(1), 1-22. Available online at: http://80portal.acm.org.eserv.uum.edu.my/ft_gateway.cfm?id=376701&type=pdf&coll=portal&dl=ACM&CFID=19205117&CFTOKEN=46163644 [14th March 2004]
- Hix, D. & Hartson, H.R. (1993). *Developing user interfaces: Ensuring Usability Through Product & Process*. USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Holzinger, A. (2001). Definition of Multimedia. Available online at: www-ang.kfunigraz.ac.at/~holzinge/mml/mml-multimedia-definition.html [14th June 2004]
- John, B.E., & Kieras, D.E. (1996). Using GOMS for User Interface Design and Evaluation : Which Technique? *ACM Transaction On Computer Human Interaction* 3(4), 287-319. Available online at: http://80portal.acm.org.eserv.uum.edu.my/ft_gateway.cfm?id=236050&type=pdf&coll=portal&dl=ACM&CFID=19205117&CFTOKEN=46163644 [14th March 2004]

- Kantner, L., Shroyer, R., & Rosenbaum, S. (2002). Structured Heuristic Evaluation of Online Documentation. *Proceedings of annual conference IEEE Professional Communication Society 2002*, 1-11. Available at: [www.tec-ed.com/PDFs/kantner HE of online doc.pdf](http://www.tec-ed.com/PDFs/kantner_HE_of_online_doc.pdf) [29th March 2004]
- Keinonen, T. (1999). *Dimensions of Usability in: One-Dimension Usability- Influence of Usability on Consumers' Product Preference*. Helsinki: UIAH Publication.
- Kirakowski, J. (1994). The Use of Questionnaire Methods for Usability Assessment. Available online at: [//www.ucc.ie/hfrg/questionnaires/sumi/sumipapp.html](http://www.ucc.ie/hfrg/questionnaires/sumi/sumipapp.html) [16th March 2004]
- Kox, K., & Walker, D. (1993). *User Interface Design*. 2nd edition. Singapore: Simon & Schuster (Asia) Pte. Ltd.
- Nielsen, J. (1994). Ten usability heuristics. Available online at: http://www.useit.com/papers/heuristic/heuristic_list.html [18th March 2004]
- Scapin, D.L., & Bastien, C. (1997). Ergonomic Criteria for Evaluating the Ergonomic Quality of Interactive Systems. *Behaviour and Information Technology* 16(4), 220-231. available online at : <http://80-web7.epnet.com.eserv.uum.edu.my/externalframe.asp?> 28th March 2004.
- Shneiderman, B. (1992). *Designing the User Interface: Strategies for Effective Human-Computer Interaction*. 2nd Edition. USA: Addison-Wesley.
- Thuring, M., Hannemann, J., & Haake, J.M. (1995). Hypermedia and Cognition: Designing for Comprehension. *Communications of the ACM* 38(8), 57-66. Available online at: http://80-portal.acm.org.eserv.uum.edu.my/ft_gateway.cfm?id=208348&type=pdf&coll=Portal&dl=GUIDE&CFID=22640912&CFTOKEN=5284931 [16th March 2004]
- Trochim, W.M.K.(2002). Sampling Terminology. Available online at: <http://trochim.human.cornell.edu/kb/sampterm.htm> [6th April 2004]
- Yilmaz, N.(2000). Definition on multimedia. Available online at: www.student.city.ac.uk/~ds623/multi.pdf [23rd March 2004]