

STUDENTS' ORIENTATIONS, PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS,
AND ATTITUDINAL VARIABLES IN THE LEARNING OF
ENGLISH LANGUAGE IN FOUR BOARDING SCHOOLS IN
KEDAH AND PERLIS

A thesis submitted to the Graduate School in partial
fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science (Educational Management),
Universiti Utara Malaysia

By
Samsiah Binti Bidin



**Sekolah Siswazah
(Graduate School)
Universiti Utara Malaysia**

**PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK
(Certification of Project Paper)**

Saya, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa
(I, the undersigned, certify that)

SAMSAH BIDIN

calon untuk Ijazah
(candidate for the degree of) Sarjana Sains (Pengurusan Pendidikan)

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk
(has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

**STUDENTS' ORIENTATIONS, PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS, AND
ATTITUDINAL VARIABLES IN THE LEARNING OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE IN
FOUR BOARDING SCHOOLS IN KEDAH AND PERLIS**

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of project paper)

bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan,
dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.
(that the project paper acceptable in form and content, and that a satisfactory
knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia : Prof. Madya Dr. Nurahimah Mohd. Yusoff
(Name of Supervisor) :

Tandatangan : Mura
(Signature) :

Tarikh : 25/5/03
(Date) :

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a post graduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of the Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

Dean of Graduate School
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini adalah bertujuan untuk mengenalpasti perhubungan di antara orientasi pelajar, sikap dan sifat keperibadian dengan pencapaian mereka di dalam Bahasa Inggeris yang diukur berdasarkan keputusan peperiksaan Bahasa Inggeris dalam PMR.

Seramai 355 orang pelajar tingkatan 4 dari empat buah sekolah berasrama penuh di negeri Kedah dan Perlis terlibat di dalam kajian ini. Instrumen kajian yang digunakan adalah dalam bentuk soal selidik. Terdapat empat jenis skala yang digunakan dan ianya telah diadaptasikan daripada kajian Gardner and Lambert (1972) dan Vathana (1993). Pencapaian pelajar pula diukur berdasarkan keputusan peperiksaan Bahasa Inggeris PMR.

Untuk menguji kebolehpercayaan instrumen kajian, satu kajian rintis telah dijalankan dan analisis data menunjukkan nilai kebolehpercayaan yang boleh diterima.

Kajian korelasi digunakan untuk menguji hipotesis-hipotesis di dalam penyelidikan ini. Analisis ke atas orientasi dan pencapaian dengan menggunakan statistik deskripsi – *mean* dan *standard deviation*

menunjukkan bahawa para pelajar ini adalah lebih berorientasikan instrumen daripada integratif di dalam mempelajari Bahasa Inggeris.

Untuk menguji sikap pelajar dan pencapaiannya, ujian Korelasi Pearson telah digunakan. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa tidak terdapat perhubungan yang signifikan di antara sikap pelajar terhadap pembelajaran Bahasa Inggeris, rakan sebaya dan orang-orang yang berkomunikasi di dalam Bahasa Inggeris dan sikap mereka terhadap kumpulan sasaran serta budayanya dengan pencapaian Bahasa Inggeris mereka.

Ujian T and ANOVA pula digunakan untuk menguji sifat keperibadian pelajar. Keputusan yang diperolehi daripada pembolehubah jantina, jumlah pendapatan bulanan ibu bapa, tahap pendidikan ibu bapa dan kekerapan menggunakan Bahasa Inggeris menunjukkan perbezaan yang signifikan di dalam pencapaian Bahasa Inggeris. Pembolehubah sifat keperibadian yang lain tidak menunjukkan sebarang perbezaan yang signifikan.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to describe the relationship between the students' orientations, attitudes, and personal characteristics and the English Language achievement, as measured by the PMR (Lower Secondary Evaluation) English Language examination results.

A total of 355 form four students from four boarding schools in the state of Kedah and Perlis were taken as the subjects for this study. The research tool used was in the form of a questionnaire. There were four scales that were adapted from Gardner and Lambert (1972) and Vathana (1993). The measurement of student's achievement was based on their PMR English examination scores.

To test the reliability of the instrument, a pilot test was conducted, and the data analysis yielded a reliability value that was statistically acceptable.

A correlational research design was used to test the hypotheses in this study. Analysis of the orientations and achievement data using the descriptive statistics – mean and standard deviation scores revealed that the students were more instrumentally than integratively oriented when learning English Language.

To test the students' attitudes and their achievement, the Pearson Product Moment Correlation was used. The analysis exposed that there is no significant relationship between the students' attitudes toward learning English, peers and those people who speak English, and the target language group and culture and their English Language achievement.

The t-test and ANOVA were used to test the personal characteristics variables. The results obtained reported that gender, parents' total monthly income, parents' education level and the frequency of using English showed the existence of significant differences as related to the English Language achievement. Other Personal characteristics variables did not show any significant differences.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to God for His guidance and beneficence.

I gratefully acknowledge the following people. Without their assistance and encouragement, the completion of this thesis would not have been possible.

Firstly, I would like to specially thank Prof. Madya Dr. Nurahimah Mohd. Yusoff for imparting invaluable knowledge about research from scratch right up to the final editing of this thesis project. Thank you very much for your perseverance and professional assistance!

To all my friends, Ayu, Mama and Kak Norma, who helped me with the administration of the questionnaires, I extend great appreciation. My appreciation is also extended to Kak Sofia and husband.

Lastly, but most importantly, I would like to extend my greatest love, gratitude and appreciation to my husband and daughters, Amani, Mardhiah and Maryam for their support, patience, and sacrifice in putting up with me throughout the completion of this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PERMISSION TO USE	i
ABSTRACT (BAHASA MALAYSIA)	ii
ABSTRACT (BAHASA INGGERIS)	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
CHAPTER ONE : BACKGROUND	
Introduction	1
Statement of the problem	4
Research objectives	6
Research questions	7
Research hypotheses	8
Significance of the study	9
Operational definitions	
English Language achievement	12
Attitudinal variables	12
Orientations	13
Instrumental orientation	13
Integrative orientation	13
Personal characteristics	14

CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction	15
The historical background	16
Theoretical background	
Language learning theories	19
Second language learning theories	20
Motivation and orientation toward language learning	25
Attitudes in language learning	28
Students' personal characteristics and language learning	32
Empirical research	
Students' orientations and achievement in language learning	35
Students' attitudes and achievement in language learning	36
Students' personal characteristics and achievement in language learning	38
Summary	41

CHAPTER THREE : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Introduction	44
Research design	44
Conceptual framework	45
Sample and sampling procedure	48
Research instrument	49

The personal characteristics questionnaire	50
The students' orientations and attitudes questionnaire	50
Pilot study	51
Data collection procedure	52
Data analysis	53
Summary	55
CHAPTER FOUR : RESULTS	
Introduction	57
Results	
Description of the population	58
Gender	59
House location	59
Primary school location	59
Parents' education level	60
Parents' total monthly income	62
Frequency of using English	62
PMR English Language result	63
Statistical test to the research questions	64
Research question 1	64
Research question 2	66
Research question 3	67
Research question 4	68
Research question 5	69

Research question 6	76
Summary	79
CHAPTER FIVE : DISCUSSIONS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	
Introduction	81
Discussions	82
Conclusions and recommendations	88
Recommendations	89
Recommendations for further research	97
BIBLIOGRAPHY	99
APPENDICES	104

LIST OF TABLES

Tables		Page
Table 1	Participants according to schools	49
Table 2	Participants according to gender	59
Table 3	Participants according to house location	60
Table 4	Participants according to primary school location	60
Table 5	Participants according to parents' education level	61
Table 6	Participants according to parents' total monthly income	62
Table 7	Participants according to the frequency of using English	63
Table 8	Participants according to PMR English Language result	64
Table 9	Mean and Standard Deviation scores according to students' orientations	65
Table 10	Pearson Product-Moment Correlation analysis for attitudes towards learning English	67
Table 11	Pearson Product-Moment Correlation analysis for attitudes peers and those people who speak English	68
Table 12	Pearson Product-Moment Correlation analysis for attitudes towards target language group and culture	69
Table 13	English language achievement comparison by gender: Independent sample test	71
Table 14	English language achievement comparison by house location: Independent sample test	72

Table 15	English language achievement comparison by primary school location: Independent sample test	73
Table 16	Analysis of Variance for parents' education level	74
Table 17	Analysis of Variance for parents' total monthly income	75
Table 18	Analysis of Variance for the frequency of using English	76
Table 19	Regression Analysis for variables predicting English Language achievement	77
Table 20	Regression, model summary	79

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Page
Figure 1 Gardners's Socio-Educational model	23
Figure 2 The proposed model shows the relationship between the independent variables and dependent variable	46
Figure 3 The scale range from 1 to 4 from strongly disagree to strongly agree	51
Figure 4 The type of data analysis used to yield results for the research questions in this study	54
Figure 5 The PMR grades were tabulated as scores (points) for ease of quantification	55

CHAPTER 1
BACKGROUND

Introduction

Preparation of young people for effective citizenship is the major concern of Malaysian educators. Since Malaysia achieved its independence in 1957, the government's main task has been to promote national unity and development. This is due to the fact that Malaysia is a multiracial, multi-religious and multi-cultural country. Various policies have been implemented towards fostering national unity and these include the "Rukun Negara" or National Ideology and the New Economic Policy.

The five main principles of the National Ideology act as a binding factor to unite the people. The aim of the New Economic Policy was to give opportunities to all Malaysians to participate in the process of national development. With the emphasis on academic excellence and character building to meet the demands of the 80's, 90's and the future, education was geared towards the development of a well-balanced and harmonious individual with respect to his intellectual, spiritual, emotional and physical well-being.

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abdullah Omar. (1988). *Malay in its socialcultural context*. Kuala Lumpur: DBP.
- Adnan Kamis. (1985). Pertalian antara sikap pelajar terhadap mata pelajaran dan kaitannya dengan pencapaian akademik. *Jurnal Pendidikan*, 10, 17 – 30.
- Allport, G. W. (1954). *The nature of prejudice*. Reading: Addison – Wesley.
- Astone N. M., & McLanahan. (1991). Family structure, parental practise and high school completion. *American Sociological Review*, 56.
- Baker, G. (1988). *Key issues in bilingual'ism and bilingual education*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Borg, W. R., & Gall, M. D. (1989). *Educational research: An introduction*. New York: Longman, Inc.
- Chomsky, C. (1969). *The acquisition of syntax in children age 5 to 10*. Cambridge, Ma.: M.I.T. Press.
- Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the theory of syntax*. Cambridge, Ma.:M.I.T. Press.
- Clement, R., Dornyei, Z., & Noel, K. A. (1994). Motivation, self-confidence, and group cohesion in the foreign language classroom. *Language Learning*, 44(3), 417-448.
- Dornyei, Z. (1996). Moving language learning motivation to a larger platform for theory and practice. In R. L. Oxford (Ed.), *Language learning motivation: pathways to the new century* (pp.71-80). Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- Doweny, M., & Kelly, A.V. (1986). *Theory and practice of education: An introduction*. London: Harper & Row Publisher.
- Ellis, R. (1986). *Understanding second language acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Gardner, R. C. (1980). On the validity of affective variables in second language acquisition : Conceptual, contextual and statistical considerations. *Language Learning*, 30, 255 – 270.
- Gardner, R. C. (1985). *Social psychology and second language learning: The role of attitudes and motivations*. London: Edward Arnold Pub. Ltd.
- Gardner, R. C., & Lambert, W. E. (1959). Motivational variables in second language acquisition. *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, 13:266-272.
- Gardner, R. C., & Lambert, W. E. (1972). *Attitude and motivation in second language learning*, Rowley. Mass : Newbury House Publisher.
- Gardner, R.C., Glikzman, L., & Smythe, P.C. (1978). Attitudes and behaviour in second language acquisition: A social psychological interpretation. *Canadian Psychological Review*, 19(3), 173-186.
- Hancock, T. (1996). Gender and developmental differences in the academic study behaviors of elementary school children. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 65, 18-39.
- Ibrahim Abu Shah. (1995). *Kesedaran dan prestasi pelajar luar bandar terhadap pembangunan sumber manusia di sarawak*. Kuala Lumpur: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka, Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia.
- Jacobson, K. C., & Crockett, L. J. (2000). Parental monitoring and adolescent adjustment: An ecological perspective. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 10(1), 65-97.
- Jais Sahok & Mat Nor Husin. (1990). *Pendidikan pemulihan*. Petaling Jaya: Masa Enterprise.
- Johnson, K. A. (2000, May). *The peer effect on academic achievement among public elementary school students* (Heritage Foundation Center for Data Analysis) Report No. CDA00-06.
- Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia. (1994). *Drop-out and low achievement : Case studies in selected primary school in Malaysia*. Educational Planning and Research Division, Ministry of Education, Malaysia.

- Koh Boh Boon. (1976). Sikap ibu bapa atau guru dan pencapaian rendah murid-murid sekat budaya. *Jurnal Masalah Pendidikan*, Jld 5 – 6.
- Krashen, S. (1987). *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. London: Prentice-Hall.
- Lambert, W. W., & Lambert, W. E. (1973). *Social psychology*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Lanara, S. (1999). Attitudes and motivation of Japanese students studying English at Asia University. *Cele 10th Anniversary Anthology 1999*, 59-64. Retrieved October 23, 2002 from <http://www.asia-u.ac.jp/english/cele/journal.htm>
- Levine, R. A. (1980). Influence of women's school on maternal behaviour in the Third World. *Comparative and International Society*, Jun, 78 – 81.
- Loveday, L. (1982). *The sociolinguistics of learning and using a non-native language*. Oxford: Pergamon Press Ltd.
- Lukmani, Y. M. (1972). Motivation to learn and language proficiency. *Language Learning*, 22.2, 261 – 274.
- Lunn, J.C. (1971). *Social class, attitude and achievement*. London : National Foundation for Educational Research in England.
- Manja Mohd. Ludin. (1990). *Peranan rakan sebaya terhadap pencapaian kanak-kanak di sekolah*. Kuala Lumpur: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka.
- Niles, M. S. (1981). Social class and academic achievement : A Third World reinterpretation. *Comparative Educational Review*, Okt, 419 – 430.
- Noels, K. A., Pelletier, L. G., Clement, R., & Vallerand, R. J. (2000). Why are you learning a second language? Motivational orientations and self-determination theory. *Language Learning*, 50(1), 57-85.
- Nooman, R. D. (1976). *School resources, social class and students achievement*. Sweden: Almqvist and Wiksell International.
- Nor Azmi Mostafa. (1995). *The relationship between language learning attitudes as measured by the Nembhards's attitude toward English Scale, and the English as a second language achievement*

as measured by the Lower Secondary Evaluation English examination results, of form 4 Malay students from selected rural secondary schools in the district of Perak Tengah. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Houston/IAB.

Petty, R. E., & Cacioppa, T. J. (1985). *Attitudes and persuasion : Classic and contemporary approaches.* Dubuque, IA : William C. Brown.

Residential schools to admit 1300 poor students. (1993, October 13). *The STAR*, p. 5.

Rumberger, R. W. (1983). Dropping out of high school: The influence of sex, race and family background. *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 20(2) : 199 – 220.

Schmuck, A. D. (1963). *The school and socialization.* New York : Harper and Row Publisher.

Schumann, J. H. (1976). Social distance as a factor in second language acquisition. *Language Learning*, 26, 135 – 143.

Secord, P.F., & Backman, C.W. (1964). *Social psychology.* New York: McGraw-Hill.

Selvaratnam, V. (1988). Ethnicity and equality in Malaysia. *Comparative Education Review*, Vol. 32, No. 2, 173-196.

Sharifah Alwiah Alsagoff (1988). *Pengaruh-pengaruh sosial terhadap pencapaian sekolah* (4th Edn.). Petaling Jaya : Longman.

Spolsky, B. (1969). Attitudinal aspects of second language learning. *Language learning*, 19, 271 – 283.

Spolsky, B. (1989). *Conditions for second Language Learning: Introduction to a general theory.* Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.

Steinberg, L. (1996). *Why school reform has failed and what parents need to do.* New York: Simon & Schuster (ERIC Document No. ED398346).

Steinberg, L., Lamborn, S. D., Dornbusch, S. M., & Darling, N. (1992). Impact of parenting practices on adolescent achievement: Authoritative parenting, school involvement, and encouragement to succeed. *Child Development*, 63(5), 1299-1281. (ERIC Document No. EJ453416)

- Stevenson, D. L. (1987). The family school relation and the child's school performance. *Child Development*, 58, 1348 – 1357.
- Summers, C. H. (2000, May). The war against boys. *The Atlantic Monthly*, 285, 60.
- Triandis, H. C. (1971). *Attitude and attitude change*. New York: Wiley.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. (1993, April). Education: The ticket to higher learning. *Statistical Brief*, 93-97. Retrieved October 23, 2002 from http://www.census.gov/apscd/www/statbrief/sb93_7.pdf.
- Unit Berasrama Penuh (SBP), Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia (2002). *Analisis keputusan peperiksaan penilaian menengah rendah Sekolah-Sekolah Berasrama Penuh 2001*.
- UPSR: 5,500 masuk sekolah asrama penuh. (2000, November 8). *Berita Harian*, p. 2.
- Vathana Rajadurai, J. (1993). *Attitudinal variables in the learning of English as a second language: A study of first year matriculation students of the TESL programme in ITM*. Unpublished master's thesis, USM.
- Walberg, H. J. (1985). The relation of parenting style to adolescents' school performance. *Journal of Education*, 62 : 18 – 41.
- Wang, M. C., Haertel, G. D., & Walberg, H. J. (1993/1994). Synthesis of research: What helps students learn? *Educational Leadership*, 74-79.
- White, K. R. (1982). The relationship between socioeconomic status and academic achievement. *Psychological Bulletin*, Vol. 91, 461 – 481.