The Challenges of Understanding Security in Web Browser

Case study: Internet Explorer and Mozilla Firefox

A thesis submitted to the Graduate School in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science (Information Technology) Universiti Utara Malaysia

> By Ashraf Mousa Saleh (Metric No: 89264)

© Ashraf Mousa Saleh, 2008 All rights reserved.



KOLEJ SASTERA DAN SAINS (College of Arts and Sciences) Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK (Certificate of Project Paper)

Saya, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa (I, the undersigned, certify that)

ASHRAF MOUSA MOUSA SALEH

calon untuk liazah (candidate for the degree of) MSc. (IT)

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk (has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

THE CHALLENGES OF UNDERSTANDING SECURITY IN WEB BROWSER CASE STUDY : INTERNET EXPLORER AND MOZILLA FIREFOX

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek (as it appears on the title page and front cover of project paper)

bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.

(that the project paper acceptable in form and content, and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia Utama (Name of Main Supervisor): MDM. NUR HARYANI ZAKARIA

Tandatangan (Signature)

Tarikh (Date) : 22 / 5 /05

Nama Penyelia Kedua (Name of 2nd Supervisor): MDM. NORIDA MUHD. DARUS

Tandatangan (Signature)

Tarikh (Date) : _ 22 /5 /08

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence by the Dean of the Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to

> Dean of Graduate School Universiti Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah Darul Aman.

ABSTRACT

Many applications contain security features that are available for the end user to select and configure, as well as the ability to place the users in situation where they must take security related decisions. Web browser is one of these applications which consider as the only way to browse the internet. This study exposes the available security features in both of Internet Explorer and Mozilla Firefox in Microsoft Windows XP; moreover describes results of survey over 232 students in Universiti Utara Malaysia from different educational background to explore typical Internet user awareness and understanding toward web browser security features within windows XP through internet user behavior when using a Web browser to access the Internet. The study reveals some significant area of difficulty, with many standards security features presenting usable security challenge for large proportion of respondents. The results and finding highlight the lack of internet user understanding and awareness toward security features in the web browser.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

By the Name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful

First, I would like to express my appreciation to Allah, the Most Merciful and, the Most Compassionate who has granted me the ability and willing to start and complete this study. I do pray to His Greatness to inspire and enable me to continue the work for the benefits of humanity.

My most profound thankfulness goes to my supervisors Mdm Nur Haryani Zakaria and Mdm Norida Mohd Darus for her scientifically proven and creativity encouraging guidance. Honestly, she has been all the time center of inspiration and guidance. I'm gratefully and deeply thank her for her support and cooperation as being equipped to provide her best help. My thanks also go to all the lecturers who helped me to collect my data during their classes, "May Allah bless all of them"

Last but not least, I wish to thank all my dearest family members, especially Mum, and my brothers. My demonstrative appreciations are to all my friends, colleagues, all FTM staff, and everyone who has put the hand either directly or indirectly to complete this project.

TABLE OF CONTEN	T
PERMISSION TO USE	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.0 Problem Statement		
1.1 Research objectives		
1.2 Scope of the study		
1.3 Expected Output		
1.4 Summary	6	
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	1	
2.0 Introduction	7	
2.1 Security as demand	7	
2.2 Graphical User Interface Design	8	
2.3 Usability in secure System	9	
2.4 Design for Usability	11	
2.5 Conflict in implementation of usable security	13	
2.6 Web Browser Security goals	14	
2.7 Internet Explorer security feature	15	
2.7.1 Protected Mode	18	
2.7.2 Privacy Reporting	19	
2.7.3 Cookies Filtering	20	
2.7.4 Phishing Filter	21	
2.7.5 Parental Control	23	
2.7.6 Pop-up Windows block	24	
2.7.6 Block Web browser add-ons	25	
2.7.8 Digital Signature	25	
2.7.9 Using secure Internet sites for transactions	26	

2.8	Mozilla Firefox security features	27
	2.8.1 Phishing Protection	29
	2.8.2 Pop-up Locking	30
	2.8.3 Spyware protection	31
	2.8.4 Automated Update	
2.9	Human factor and security	31
2.10) Understanding Web Browser's security features from user perspective	32
2.11	Other Related Studies	34
2.12	2 Summary	37
	CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.0	Introduction	39
3.1	Problem Selection	39
3.2	Identification of Data	40
3.3	Research Tool Selection	40
	3.3.1 Survey design	41
3.4	Sample Selection	42
3.5	Data Collection	43
3.6	Data Analysis	.43
3.7	Summary	44
	CHAPTER 4 : FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS	
4.0	Introduction	45
4.1	Internet Explorer and Mozilla Firefox security features	45
4.2	Respondent demographic and background	47
4.3	Reliability and Validity	51
4.4	Findings and Results	
4.5	Conclusion of finding	-60
4.6	Summary	60
	CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	
5.0	Introduction	61
5.1	Discussion	61
5.2	Limitation of the research	62
5.3	Future work	62

v

5.4 Conclusion of research5.5 SummaryREFERENCESAPPENDIX AAPPENDIX B

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1	Browser Security Features	46
Table 4.2	Responses by Gender	47
Table 4.3	Responses by Age Category	48
Table 4.4	Responses by Education Background	48
Table 4.5	Responses by College	49
Table 4.6	Reponses by Internet Usage	50
Table 4.7	Responses by Browser Type	50
Table 4.8	Responses for Security Techniques	51
Table 4.9	Reliability Test Results	52
Table 4.10	Descriptive Statistics for Scale Statements	53
Table 4.11	Responses of Scenario 1	55
Table 4.12	Responses of Scenario 2	56
Table 4.13	Responses of Scenario 3	58
Table 4.14	Major User Attitudes and Related Questions	59
Table 4.15	User Attitudes Mean	59

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CSS	Cascading Style Sheets
DHTML	Dynamic Hyper Text Markup Language
FF	Firefox
HTML	Hyper Text Markup Language
HTTPS	Hyper Text Transfer Protocol over Secure Socket Layer
IE	Internet Explorer
РЗр	Platform for Privacy Preferences
RSS	Really Simple Syndication
SSL	Secure Socket Layer
TLS	Transport Layer Security
UAC	User Account Control
UIPI	User Interface Privilege Isolation

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

The accelerating number and sophistication of attacks against computers connected to the internet and systems has markedly increased the demand for computer security professionals. This demand has recently motivated a significant increase in the number of security techniques and different mechanism, which it applies those techniques in suitable methods to come up with different types of attacks.

The Internet is an interconnected Computer Networks that enable user to access web pages and allow users to navigate from one to another via hyperlinks Might be exposed to the attacks through navigating the web resources.

The only way to navigate and browse the internet can be done by using software that can access the resources of the Web called Web Browser. Some of the famous Web browsers available for personal computers include Internet Explorer, Mozilla Firefox, Safari, and Netscape. All of these types have a security mechanism, which is only effective when used correctly (Alma, W, and J & D. Tygar. 1998). However, security mechanism depends on both users and technology.

A web browser is a software program that allows accessing of information on the internet. Followed by the Worldwide Web software that was written by Tim Berners-Lee and released to the public in 1991, numerous web browsers have been developed and

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

References:

- Abras, C., Maloney-Krichmar, D., Preece, J. (2004) User-Centered Design. In Bainbridge, W. Encyclopedia of Human-Computer Interaction. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Alma.W, Tygar. D (1998). Usability of Security: A Case Study. Carnegie Mellon, University University of California
- Angela.M, Ivan, F. (2005).usability security: why do we need it? How do we get it?. In Lorrie Faith, C., Simson G. () Security and Usability: Designing Secure Systems that People Can Use. London: O'Reilly
- Beard, C. (2006). Firefox release roadmap, Retrieved February 27, 2007, from http://wiki.mozilla.org/index.php?title=ReleaseR oadmap&oldid=40597
- Chad Perrin. Security through visibility: The secrets of open source security. TechRepublic. Retrieved February 7, 2008 from http://articles.techrepublic.com.com/5100-10877-6064734.html.
- Chris Christianson.(2002). Microsoft Internet Explorer 6.0 Security: Step-by-Step, Version 1.3, retrieved February 10, 2008, from www.netlingo.com.
- Cranor, L.F., Arjula, M., and Guduru, P. (2003) .Use of a P3P user agent by early adopters. In Proceeding of the ACM workshop on Privacy in the Electronic Society.
- Christina.B , Jean-Marc.B. (2006). Security and Usability: The Case of the User Authentication Methods. Université du Québec à Montréal, École Polytechnique de Montréal.

- de Paula, R., X. Ding, P. Dourish, K. Nies, B. Pillet, D. Redmiles, J. Ren, J. Rode, and R. Silva Filho. Two experiences designing for effective security. In Symposium On Usable Privacy and Security. 25-34 2005. Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania: ACM Press.
- Dourish, P., Grinter, R.E., Dalal, B., Delgado de la Flor, J. and Joseph, M. (2003). Security Day-to-Day: User Strategies for Managing Security as an Everyday, Practical Problem. Technical Report UCI-ISR-03-5, Institute for Software Research, University of California.
- Flinn, S., Lumsden, J.(2005) "User Perceptions of Privacy and Security on the Web", published at the Third Annual Conference on Privacy, Security and Trust .(PST 2005)
- Friedman, B., Khan, P.H., Jr. and Howe. (2000), D.C. Trust online: Communications of the ACM.
- Friedman, B., Hurley, D., Howe, D.C., Felten, E. and Nissenbaum, H. (2002). Users' Conceptions of Web Security: A Comparative Study. In Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computer Systems, Minneapolis USA, ACM Press.
- Furnell, S. M., A. Jusoh, et al. (2005). The Challenges of understanding and using security: A survey of end-user. Elsevier.
- Fisch, Eric A., and Gregory B. White. (2000).Secure Computers and Networks: Analysis, Design, and Implementation. New York: CRC Press.
- flexbeta.net (2005). The History of Mozilla Firefox: From Phoenix, to Firebird, to Firefox, Retrieved February 28, 2007, http://www.flexbeta.net/main/printarticle.php?id =89
- Fogg, B.J.(2003).Prominence-Interpretation Theory: Explaining How People Assess Credibility Online. In Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computer Systems, pages 722-723, Fort Lauderdale, USA. ACM Press.

- Garfinkel, S.L., Schiller, J.I., Nordlander, E., Margrave, D. and Miller, R.C. (2005). Views, Reactions and Impact of Digitally-Signed Mail in e-Commerce, Proceedings of Financial Cryptography and Data Security
- Haidong .X, Jos'e Carlos .B, Improving the Usability of Web Browser Security, Department of Computer Science, University of Pittsburgh
- Hardee, West, mayhorn. (2006). To Download or Not to Download: An Examination of Computer Security decision Making, HCI & Security.
- Harold Thimbleby, Ann Blandford, Paul Cairns, Paul Curzon, Matt Jones. (2003). User Interface Design as Systems Design, by EPSRC Grant GR/R71467/01
- ISEC Partners, (2006), MOZILLA PHI SHING PROTECTION: T E S T I N G M E T H O D OLOGY ANALYSIS.
- Kerjcie, R. Morgan, D.(1970). Determining sample size for research activities. Educational and Psychological Measurement ,30, 607-610
- Konstantin Rozinov, Are Usability and Security Two Opposite Directions in Computer Systems?. Department of Computer and Information Science, Polytechnic University
- Mayhew, D. J. (1999). The usability engineering lifecycle. San Francisco, CA.: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Inc.
- Mexter, (2005), Mozilla Firefox: Better Web Browsing for Everybody, retrieved February 11, 2008 from http://www.emoxter.com
- Millett, L.I., Friedman, B. and Felten, E.(2001). Cookies and Web browser design: toward realizing informed consent online. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems,. ACM Press.

Microsoft Corporation Press (2006). Group Policy Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ), Retrieved March 6, 2007, from http://technet2.microsoft.com/windowsserver/en/ technologies/featured/gp/faq.mspx

Norman, D. (1988). The design of everyday things. New York: Doubleday. Nunnally, J.C.(1978) "Psychometric Theory".2nd, McGraw-Hill Book Company. New York, NY.

Mozilla Foundation, (2008). Firefox 2 Features: Enjoy a Better Web Experience, retrieved February 7, from http://www.mozilla.com

Oppliger, Rolf., (2000).Security Technologies for the World Wide Web. Boston: Artech House.2000

Phishing protection, (2007), Firefox 2: phishing protection, retrieved February 10, 2008 from http://www.mozilla.com/en-US/firefox/

Roger Stuart. (2006). Privacy and Security Features of Internet Explorer, Published September 28, 2006, retrieved February 10, 2008 from http://www.webknowhow.net/dir/Databases/Tutorials/060928Privacy.html,

Saltzer, Jerome H. and Michael D. Schroeder. (1975). The Protection of Information in Computer Systems, in Proceedings of the IEEE, 63(g)

Shneiderman, B. (1998). Designing the user interface: Strategies for effective human-computer interaction (3rd ed.). Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

- Siwat Saibua, Joon-Yeoul Richard A. Aukerman, (2007), INTERNET EXPLORER AND FIREFOX: WEB BROWSER FEATURES COMPARISION AND THEIR FUTURE, Issues in Information Systems, Volume VIII, Texas A&M University-Kingsville
- Smetters. D. K. , Grinter. R. E. (2002). Moving from the Design of Usable Security Technologies to the Design of Useful Secure Applications.
- Smith S.W.(2003), Humans in the Loop: Human-Computer Interaction and Security, Secure Systems. IEEE SECURITY & PRIVACY
- Stamper, A. D., & Case, L. T. (2003). Business Data Communications (6th ed.). Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Texas State Library and Archives Commission. http://www.tsl.state.tx.us/, 20

- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: Usability. http://www.usability.gov, 2004.
- Uma, S. (2003). Research methods for business. A Skill Building Approach. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- "Web Browser Security.", WSS, Retrieved Jan 23, 2008, from http://wssg.berkeley.edu/SecurityInfrastructure/reports/BrowserSecurity/.
- Weirich, D. and M.A. Sasse. Pretty good persuasion: A first step towards effective password security for the real world, New Security Paradigms Workshop.
- Whitten, Alma, and J. D. Tygar.(2000). "Why Johnny Can't Encrypt: A Usability Evaluation of PGP 5.0." Proceedings of the 8th USENIX Security Symposium, retrieved from http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~alma/johnny.pdf>

- Will. D, J. Rafail, (2006), Securing Your Web Browser, US-CERT, a government organization.
- Wortzel, R. (1979)) "New Life Style Determinants of Women's Food Shopping Behavior". Journal of Marketing. (43) 28-29.
- Yee. K (2004). Usability and Security: Aligning Security and Usability, in Proceeding of IEEE SECURITY & PRIVACY.