An Analysis Of The Temporal Evolution Of Agrarian Prices

María-Encarnación Andrés-Martínez, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain José-Luis Alfaro-Navarro, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain Víctor-Raúl López-Ruiz, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

ABSTRACT

At the end of 2007 and principles of 2008 took place the greater nourishing crisis of the last decades. This crisis was caused by a spectacular increase in the prices of some agricultural raw materials and in the costs of the agrarian production. Since the end of 2008 the prices of the agricultural raw materials have decreased with similar intensity as when they raised. In the upward period, the increases of prices quickly were moved to the final consumer prices without great impact in the farmer's income but when the prices decreased they do not allow seeing so fast in the final consumers prices. In this paper, we analyse the evolution of the agricultural raw materials and products made with these, without forgetting the production costs. This study analyses the evolution of: the differential between the producer (farmer and stockman) prices and the prices charged for agrarian products to the final consumers and the differential between the producer price and the producer stocks.

Keywords: agrarian prices, production cost, agrarian income.

1. INTRODUCCIÓN

he trend in food prices sparked a reaction from the public administration to try to control the upturn in the prices of these products. Thus, the Plan of Action in Domestic Trade 2004-2008 established the necessity to increase the transparency of; price formation processes and the information provided to the consumers about these processes.

In this sense, it is striking to observe how low prices paid to farmers coexist with high prices paid by consumers at destination and also how increases in production costs do not result in increases in producer prices. This situation is far too frequent in the Spanish economy. As a result, criticism on behalf of farmers for the low price of their products coexists with prices paid by consumers that are not in keeping with the prices paid to farmers and cattle breeders. (Paz, 2005; and Del Campo, 2006).

The empirical studies about the relationship between agrarian prices at origin and destination can be divided into two large groups: on the one hand, those that are based on the analysis of the evolution of commercial margins (Rebollo, et al., 2006a and 2006b; Cruz, 2008 and Alfaro, et al., 2009); and, on the other hand, the studies that are based on the analysis of temporal series (Peña and Sumpsi, 1980; Cancelo, 1989; Gil and Albisu, 1993; Judez, et al., 1993; Arias, 1999; Kaabia and Gil, 2008). Although, these last ones are trims in the analysis of the one product evolution.

In this paper, using the information on the price of fresh products published by the Spanish Ministry of Industry, Tourism and Commerce (2010) and the information on producer prices and those paid by the farmer and stockman published by the Ministry of Environment and Rural and Marine Affairs (2010), we analyse the temporal evolution of these prices. More specifically, we analyze the evolution of the ratio between the origin and destination prices of fresh products and the ratio between producer prices and those paid by farmer and stockman. In this sense, we consider the viewpoint of the consumer and producer when considering the evolution of the prices that

consumers pay and the evolution of producer prices and those paid to elaborate agrarian products.

2. AGRARIAN PRICES

Mercasa, along with the Ministries of Industry, Tourism and Commerce and Environment and Rural and Marine Affairs, participate in the elaboration of a set of economic indicators that provide precise information about the evolution of prices and commercial margins. The first publishes information related to the weekly prices of 35 nourishing products considered for the period between 2004 and the first weeks of 2010. These prices include: origin prices provided by the Ministry of Environment and Rural and Marine Affairs; wholesaler prices published by MERCASA; and destination prices provided by the State Secretariat of Tourism and Commerce. With these prices, we construct a ratio that makes it possible to compare destination and origin prices.

The prices at origin (PO) are average national prices weighted in the origin market. The weightings are obtained considering the amounts sold monthly in representative markets of different Autonomous Regions. Destination or consumer selling prices (PVP), however, are the weighted national average prices of sale to the public in ϵ/kg , $\epsilon/dozen$ or $\epsilon/unit$ (Ministry of Industry, Tourism and Commerce, 2010). By means of the quotient between these prices we can obtain a ratio to analyze the evolution of the differential of prices repelled to the consumer with respect to the price paid to the producer at origin. This ratio is calculated as the quotient between destination prices or sale prices to the public (PVP) and prices at origin (PO).

Ratio destination / origin = $\frac{PVP}{PO}$

On the other hand, the Ministry of Environment and Rural and Marine Affairs (2010) with the collaboration of the Autonomous Regions elaborate the survey of farmer and stockman (producer) prices. This includes calculating the indices of producer prices and those paid by the farmer and stockman. Thus, the index of producer prices, with base in 2005, is published monthly for different products, the main groupings being as follows:

- Vegetable products, including agricultural and forest products. Group A (Agricultural) includes cereals, legumes, potatoes, industrial and fodder crops, citrus potatoes, non citrus fruits (fresh and dry fruits), vegetables, wine and unfermented grape juice, oil, seeds, flowers and ornamental plants.
- Animal products, including the groups of cattle for supply (bovine, ovine, goat, pig, birds and rabbits), cattle products (milk, eggs and wool).

The integration of these two great groups (vegetable and animal) gives rise to the general index of farmer and stockman prices.

In addition, the Ministry of Environment and Rural and Marine Affairs publishes a monthly index of prices paid for the different goods and services from current use, including: seeds and shoots, fertilizers, foods of cattle, plant health protection, animal health treatments, conservation and repair of machinery and buildings, energy and lubricants, material and small tools and general expenses. By joining these groups together, we obtain the general index of goods and services of current use (INPUT I). Furthermore, indices take shelter from capital assets: machinery and other equipment and investment. The combination of these two indices gives rise to the general index of capital assets (INPUT II). And, the integration of groups INPUT I and II gives rise to the general index of paid prices by the farmer and stockman.

Through the quotient between the indices of producer prices and those paid by the farmer and stockman we can obtain a ratio to analyze the evolution of the existing margin in the development of the agrarian and cattle activity.

Ratio producerprice / pricepaid =
$$\frac{\text{Pr} \text{ oducer price index}}{\text{Paid price index}}$$

3. DATABASE

For the empirical analysis performed in this paper we have used the weekly prices of 35 nourishing products considered for the period between 2004 and first weeks of 2010. Although, once the data was filtered by excluding some products due to a lack of information, we obtained the weekly prices of a total of 28 products for the period between 2005 and the first 17 weeks of 2010. We also obtained monthly producer price indices and the indices for the prices paid by the farmer and stockman from January 2000 to December 2009.

In relation to the weekly prices at origin and destination for the 28 products, we have calculated the ratio defined previously to analyze their evolution over time. In order to do so, the products considered have been grouped into three categories, which are:

- Meat: calf, lamb, pig, chicken and rabbit.
- Fish: hake, whiting, sardines, anchovies, roosters, horse mackerel, cod, trout, mackerel, salmon, chir and mussel.
- Fruits and vegetables: potato, beet, marrow, onion, bean, lettuce, pepper, tomato, carrot, lemon and banana.

Using the information for each product in each category, we have calculated a ratio by category using an unweighted average. The evolution of these for the three product categories is shown in figure 1.



Figure 1. Evolution of the ratio of destination versus origin prices

Source: Own elaboration.

Figure 1 above reveals an upward trend in the last few weeks we have information for, with inflation peaks at times like Christmas or during holidays Due to the type of product considered (fresh products), the ratio is more volatile in certain periods of mass purchasing as can be seen by the presence of peaks in the series at these times. It is worth emphasizing that fruit and vegetables record higher levels than the rest of products throughout the series, excluding the fall observed in the first few weeks of 2010. It is also the most volatile series with a standard deviation

of 0.82, compared to 0.48 for fish and 0.13 for meat. Perhaps, the justification could be that this type of product undergoes more intermediate manipulation between the producer and the final consumer and, therefore, is exposed to a greater presence of abusive commercial margins.

Figure 2 shows the monthly evolution of producer prices and those paid by farmers and stockmen from January 2000 to December 2009. If we analyze figure 2, we can see paid prices are more disperse than producer prices. Thus, the standard deviation of the series of paid prices is 12.13, whereas in the case of producer prices, it is 8.8. In addition, the average value of the index of paid prices in the sample period is more than seven points higher than that of producer prices (100.6 compared to 93.2). Undoubtedly two aspects stemming from the comparison of the series are worth emphasizing; on the one hand, the two series record different trends are observed at the end of the period. While the series of producer prices tends to increase, paid prices tend to fall. This might be good news for farmers if the two series are at least able to balance throughout 2010. On the other hand, since the beginning of 2008 paid prices have been quite distant with respect to producer prices. This situation peaked in August 2008, when the distance between the index of paid and producer prices rose to 36.3 percentage points (135.1 compared to 98.8). While our first appreciation may be positive, if the situation consolidates over the next few months, our second affirmation must be taken with caution because of the increase in costs observed without being offset by an increase in producer prices has reduced the competitiveness of the Spanish farming industry. This situation has led us to define a ratio that compares producer prices to the prices paid by farmers and stockmen paid.



Figure 2. Indices of Producer Prices and Prices Paid

Source: Own elaboration.

Figure 3 shows the evolution of this ratio over the sample period and a polynomial trend adjustment in the series in order to display the trend in the ratio. On analysing the ratio calculated, we can see how the greatest concentration of values less than one occurs in the second half of each year. On the contrary, during the first semester, the values of the ratio are normally greater than one, that is to say, the producer prices index is above the paid prices index. In addition, it is during the months of August and September when the values recorded by the paid prices index are higher, which is undoubtedly interesting for farmers and stockmen when it comes to planning collections and payments.

If we consider the tendency shown by the series, behaviour is very clear with a more or less stable trend around 1 until the beginning of 2005. However, this situation changed drastically with a clear downward trend since the end of 2005, a situation that was made worse by the arrival of the economic crisis at the beginning of 2008.





Source: Own elaboration.

4. Cointegration relations between agrarian prices

In this section we analyze whether agrarian prices are linked in long-run relationships over time. In order to do so, it is necessary to test for series convergence using classic techniques in time series econometrics. When considering the possible convergence of agrarian prices, unit root tests provide an obvious means of doing so, as convergence can be interpreted as constancy or stationarity. The simplest and most widely used tests for unit roots were developed by Fuller (1976) and Dickey and Fuller (1979). These tests are generally referred to as Dickey-Fuller (DF) tests. Consider a simple first-order autoregressive (AR(1)) process:

$$y_t = \mu + \rho \cdot y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \tag{1}$$

where y_r is a variable of interest, y_{r-1} its one-period lag, μ an intercept term and ε_r is assumed to be white noise. If $|\rho| \ge 1$, y is a non stationary series and the variance of y increases over time and approaches infinity. If $|\rho| < 1$, y is a (trend-) stationary series. Thus, the hypothesis of (trend-) stationarity can be evaluated by testing whether the absolute value of ρ is strictly less than one. Unit root tests generally verify the validity of the null hypothesis H_0 : $\rho = 1$ against the one-sided alternative H_1 : $\rho < 1$. The above AR(1) process is said to have a unit root if $\rho = 1$, while it is referred to as stationary or mean-reverting if $\rho < 1$. In order to test this unit root hypothesis, the DF test specifies equation (1) above as follows:

$$\Delta y_{t} = \mu + \alpha y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}$$
(2)
where $\alpha = \rho - 1$. The null and alternative hypotheses may be written as

$$H_{0}: \alpha = 0$$

$$H_{1}: \alpha < 0$$
(3)

and evaluated using the conventional t-ratio for α :

$$t_{\alpha} = \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{(se(\hat{\alpha}))} \tag{4}$$

where $\hat{\alpha}$ is the estimate of α , and $se(\hat{\alpha})$ is the coefficient standard error.

Dickey and Fuller (1979) show that under the null hypothesis of a unit root, this statistic does not follow the conventional Student's t-distribution and they derive asymptotic results and simulate critical values for various tests and sample sizes. More recently, MacKinnon (1996) implements a much larger set of simulations than those tabulated by Dickey and Fuller. In addition, MacKinnon estimates response surfaces for the simulation results, permitting the calculation of Dickey-Fuller critical values and p-values for arbitrary sample sizes.

The simple Dickey-Fuller unit root test described above is valid only if the series are an AR(1) process. If the series are correlated at higher order lags, the assumption of white noise disturbances is violated. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test constructs a parametric correction for higher-order correlation by assuming that the y series follows an AR(p) process. This augmented specification is then used to test (3) using the t-ratio (4). An important result obtained by Fuller is that the asymptotic distribution of the t-ratio for α is independent of the number of lagged first differences included in the ADF regression. Moreover, while the assumption that follows an autoregressive (AR) process may seem restrictive, Said and Dickey (1984) demonstrate that the ADF test is asymptotically valid in the presence of a moving average (MA) component, provided that sufficient lagged difference terms are included in the test regression.

The Phillips-Perron (PP) test is an alternative (non parametric) method of controlling for serial correlation when testing for a unit root proposed in Phillips and Perron (1988). The PP method estimates the non-augmented DF test equation (2), and modifies the t-ratio of the α coefficient so that serial correlation does not affect the asymptotic distribution of the test statistic. The PP test is based on the statistic:

$$\widetilde{t}_{\alpha} = t_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\gamma_0}{f_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{T(f_0 - \gamma_0)(se(\hat{\alpha}))}{2f_0^{\frac{1}{2}}s}$$
(5)

where $\hat{\alpha}$ is the estimate of α , t_{α} is the t-ratio of α , $se(\hat{\alpha})$ is the coefficient standard error, and *s* is the standard error of the test regression. In addition, γ_0 is a consistent estimate of the error variance in (2) (calculated as $(T-k)s^2/T$, where *k* is the number of regressors). The remaining term, f_0 , is an estimator of the residual spectrum at frequency zero. The asymptotic distribution of the PP modified t-ratio is the same as that of the ADF statistic. More details of these unit root tests can be consulted, among others, in Harvey (1981), Hamilton (1994) and Gujarati (2004). Results from using these test procedures are summarized in Table 1.

	Levels		First Differences	
Variable	ADF	PP	ADF	PP
Origin/destination ratio for Meat	-0.012004	0.265083	-9.443946**	-11.72985**
Origin/destination ratio for Fish	-0.180384	0.068883	-13.11529**	-42.51229**
Origin/destination ratio for Fruit and vegetable	-0.507325	-0.200008	-13.90578**	-13.25193
Index of Producer prices	-0.385145	-0.321986	-7.297664**	-6.729942**
Index of Paid prices	0.278506	0.578659	-3.014253**	-3.172810**

 Table 1: Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Phillips Perron (PP) Unit Root Tests

Source: Own elaboration.

Note: An asterisk denotes significance at the 5% level and two asterisks denote significance at the 1% level.

From the above results, it may be deduced that the null hypothesis of non-stationarity cannot be rejected in levels. However, when considering first differences applying ADF test, the series appear to be stationary at the 1 percent significance level, which means that they are integrated for order one (I(1)).

Engle and Granger (1987) pointed out that a linear combination of two or more non-stationary series may be stationary. If such a stationary linear combination exists, the non stationary time series are said to be *cointegrated*. The stationary linear combination is called the *cointegrating equation* and may be interpreted as a long-run equilibrium relationship among the variables. The purpose of the cointegration test is to determine whether a group of non-stationary series is cointegrated or not. Engle and Granger (1987) proposed a two-step method to test for cointegration. The test amounts to testing for a unit root in the residuals of a first-stage regression. In this paper, we have used the Augmented Dickey-Fuller and Phillips-Perron tests to control for a unit root in the residuals. As these residuals are estimates of the disturbance term, the asymptotic distribution of the test statistic differs from that used in ordinary series. The correct critical values for a subset of the tests may be found in Davidson and MacKinnon (1993, Table 20.2). The results of applying these cointegration tests are presented in Table 2 for the origin/destination price rate for each kind of product and in table 3 for the perceived and charged prices to farmer and stockman.

Table 2: Bivariate cointegration tests for origin/destination price rate

Variable (Origin/ Destination ratio)	Meat	Fish	Fruit and vegetables
Meat			
Fish	-2.741414+*(ADF) -2.608550**(PP)		
Fruit and vegetables	-2.680571**(ADF) -2.694280**(PP)	-14.62691** (ADF) -4.293383**(PP)	

Source: Own elaboration.

Table 3: Bivariate cointegration tests for perceived and charged prices to farmer and stockman

Variable	Producer	Paid
(Index of)	prices	prices
Producer prices		-5.704762** (ADF) -3.839370** (PP)
Paid prices		

Source: Own elaboration.

The results show the existence of cointegration relations in both the cases analyzed. Thus, in the case of the ratio between origin and destination prices for different products, the results show that the ratios are integrating towards a common point in the long term. While in the short term intermediates are seen to try and benefit from any supply or demand shocks during the various stages of the distribution chain. One interesting aspect in the analysis of the long term relationships between these series is the nonexistence of clear causality in the relationship. In relation

to producer prices and those they pay, the results show that the existence of price transmission in the long term cannot be rejected, that is to say, any variation in one of the prices is transmitted to the other in the long term. If the relationship of causality by means of the test of Granger is analyzed it obtains that, with a significance level of 1%, it is possible to accept that the causality relationship goes from paid prices to producer prices. That is to say, that any variation in paid prices is transmitted in the long term to producer prices, although, as figure 2 shows, short term behaviour is very different.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

The different economic agents, especially consumers and farmers and stockmen, are extremely concerned about ascertaining the trend in food prices and anticipating any possible cyclical fluctuations. This paper analyzes the prices of foods from two points of view; the final consumer, by analyzing origin and destination prices for fresh foods and the farmer and stockman, by analyzing producer prices and those producers pay.

In the first case, due to the particular behaviour of foods, we have only considered fresh foods. Due to being perishables, this type of food is subject to greater speculation within the distribution chain, because it is sometimes very difficult to control supply and, albeit to a lesser extent, demand. The use of fresh products allows us to detect inflationary peaks at special times of the year, such as Christmas or holiday periods. These kinds of products are more volatile in certain periods of mass purchasing, but only line the pockets of the agents in the distribution channels.

However, the objective of this paper is not to analyze whether the commercial margin is excessive, because we do not have information about the structure of costs in the value chain. Instead, the objective consists of analyzing the evolution of the ratio of destination prices versus origin prices emphasizing the most volatile behaviour and the higher values recorded by fruit and vegetables.

In relation to producer prices and the prices they pay, it is possible observe that the beginning of the economic crisis led to an increase in the existing gap between producer prices and paid prices, the latter being higher than the former. However, the analysis of cointegration relationships shows the existence of a stable relationship between both series in the long term, with a causality relationship in the transmission of prices from prices paid towards producer prices. As a result, we can consider that prices are homogenous in the long term. That is to say, any variation in either generates a reaction of the same magnitude of the other, which is why the leverage of the farmer and stockman remains relatively constant.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

María-Encarnación Andrés Martínez: Degree in Business Administration by University of Castilla-La Mancha. Assistant Professor in Marketing at Business Administration Department. Faculty of Economics and Business Administration of Albacete. University of Castilla-La Mancha (Spain). E-mail: <u>Encarnacion.Andres@uclm.es</u>. <u>Research Interest:</u> consumer behaviour, price perception, internet and tourism.

José-Luis Alfaro Navarro: PhD in Economics and Degree in Business Administration by University of Castilla-La Mancha. Assistant Professor at Statistics Department. Faculty of Economics and Business Administration of Albacete. University of Castilla-La Mancha (Spain). E-mail: <u>JoseLuis.Alfaro@uclm.es</u>. <u>Research Interest:</u> intellectual capital, regional analysis and agrarian prices.

Víctor-Raúl López Ruiz: PhD in Applied Economics, Degrees in Economics and Business Sciences by University of Castilla-La Mancha and Politic Sciences by National University of Distance Education. Professor at Econometrics Department. Faculty of Economics and Business Administration of Albacete. University of Castilla-La Mancha (Spain). E-mail: <u>Victor.Lopez@uclm.es</u>.

<u>Research Interest:</u> intellectual capital, regional analysis, economic growth, time series and prices.

REFERENCES

- 1. Alfaro, J. L., Ándrés, M. E., Mondéjar, J. and Mondéjar, J. A. (2009): "Differences of producer-consumer prices: an application to the Spanish agro-alimentary sector", 67th International Atlantic Economic Conference, Rome, Italy.
- 2. Arias, P. (1999): "La patata. Un análisis del precio y de la producción a través de series temporales". *Investigación agraria. Producción y protección vegetales*, 14 (1-2), pp. 273-296
- 3. Cancelo, J. R. (1989): "Análisis de series temporales de índices de precios percibidos y coyuntura agraria". *Investigación Agraria. Economía*, 1, pp. 109-130.
- 4. Cruz, I. (2008): "Precios y márgenes en la cadena de valor de los productos frescos: información y transparencia", *Distribución y Consumo* 18 (100), pp. 17-31.
- 5. Del Campo, I. (2006): "Márgenes comerciales, el fraude que no cesa", Laboreo, 443, pp. 6.
- 6. Dickey, D. A. and Fuller, W. A., (1979), "Distribution of the estimators for utoregressive time series with a unit root", *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74(366), 427-431, June.
- 7. Engel, R. F. and Granger, C. W. J., (1987): "Co-integration and error correction: representation, estimation and testing", *Econometrica*, 55(2), 251-276, March.
- 8. Fuller, W. A., (1976): Introduction to Statistical Time Series, New York, John Wiley & Sons.
- 9. Gil, J. M. and Albisu, L. M. (1993): "Relaciones dinámicas y predicciones de los precios de los cereales mediante el uso de vectores autorregresivos bayesianos". *Investigación Agraria. Economía*, 8 (1), pp. 59-76.
- 10. Gujarati, D. N., (2004): *Econometria*, Madrid: Mc Graw-Hill.
- 11. Hamilton, J. D., (1994): *Time Series Analysis*, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- 12. Harvey, A. C., (1990): The Econometric Analysis of Time Series, 2nd edition, New York: MIT Press.
- 13. Judez, L., Litago, J. and Terraza, M. (1993): "Análisis de las series de precios al consumo del espárrago en España mediante modelos dinámicos univariantes". *Investigación Agraria. Economía*, 8 (3), pp. 363-388.
- 14. Kaabia, M. B. And Gil, J. M. (2008): "Asimetrías en la transmisión de precios en el sector del tomate en España". *Economía Agraria y Recursos Naturales*, 8 (1), pp. 57-82.
- 15. MacKinnon, J. G., (1996): "Numerical Distribution Functions for Unit Root and Cointegration Tests", *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 11(6), 601-618, November-December.
- 16. Ministerio de Industria, Turismo y Comercio (2010): Precios origen-destino. Secretaria de Estado de Comercio. Disponible en: <u>http://www.comercio.mityc.es/comercio/bienvenido/pagPresentacion.htm?in=0</u>
- 17. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, Medio Rural y Marino (2010): Encuesta de Precios percibidos por los agricultores y ganaderos. Disponible en:
- http://www.mapa.es/es/estadistica/pags/preciospercibidos/indicadores/indicadores_precios.htm
- 18. Paz, A. (2005): "Márgenes comerciales: resultados y crítica". *Cárnica 2000*, 253-254, pp. 26-30.
- Peña, D. and Sumpsi, J. M. (1980): "Un enfoque de series temporales para el análisis de la relación entre los precios del pollo en origen y consumo". *Estadística Española*, 89, pp. 115-137.
- 20. Phillips, P. C. B. and Perron, P., (1988): "Testing for a Unit Root in Time Series Regression," *Biometrika*, 75(2), 335–346, September.
- 21. Rebollo, A., Romero, J. y Yagüe, M. J. (2006a): "Análisis de los márgenes comerciales de los productos frescos de alimentación en España", *Información Comercial Española, ICE: Revista de Economía* 828, pp. 67-82.
- 22. Rebollo, A., Romero, J. y Yagüe, M. J. (2006b): "El coste de la comercialización de los productos de alimentación en fresco", *Distribución y Consumo* 16 (85), pp. 31-53.
- 23. Said, E. and Dickey, D. A., (1984): "Testing for Unit Roots in Autoregressive Moving Average Models of Unknown Order", *Biometrika*, 71(3), 599–607.

NOTES