Journal of Business & Economics Research – December, 2010

Volume 8, Number 12

Demands Along The Supply Chain

Nick T. Thomopoulos, Illinois Institute of Technology, USA

ABSTRACT

This paper describes how the monthly demands vary at the locations along the supply chain, coming from the customers to a dealer onto a distribution center and finally to a supplier. The mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation are measured for each of the locations. The results indicate when the demands tend to be normally distributed and when non-normal.

Keywords: Supply Chain; Monthly Demands; Customers; Dealers; Distribution Centers; Suppliers; Normally Distributed; Lumpy Months-in-Buy and Coefficient-of-Variation

INTRODUCTION

onsider a dealer that carries inventory on parts to meet the oncoming customer demands. This paper assumes that the monthly demands from the customers are horizontal (no trend or seasonal pattern) and also that the monthly demands at a dealer are shaped like a Poisson probability distribution. The dealer replenishes the stock on the part from a distribution center (DC) and the DC is replenished from a supplier. The replenishment quantity, Q, at a location depends mostly on the forecast of demands and the cost per unit. For convenience, the replenishment order quantity can be stated in month-in-buy (mib) terms where $Q = mib \times \mu$ and μ is the average of the monthly demand forecast at the location. When mib is 1.0, Q is a one-month supply and when mib is 2.0, Q is a two-month supply, and so forth. The aggregate flow of replenishments from all the dealers is the demand at the DCs; and the flow of replenishments from the DCs is the demand at the supplier. This paper shows how the demands along the supply chain, at the dealer, DC and the supplier are related.

The results apply for the supply chain on a part (or product) that has a single supplier, many dealers and one or more distribution centers. This arrangement is common, for example, when the items in stock are service parts that are needed for the repair and maintenance of finished goods items or are consumer products that are sold at a store to meet individual customer needs.

NOTATION

To clarify the discussion to follow, the notation used in this paper is summarized below:

```
d_0 = monthly demands all customers \mu_0 = the mean of d_0
```

$$\begin{split} N_1 &= \text{number of dealers} \\ M_1 &= \text{month-in-buy from dealer to DC} \\ d_1 &= \text{monthly customer demands per dealer} \\ \mu_1 \, \sigma_1 \text{ are the mean and standard deviation of } d_1 \\ c_1 &= \text{cov of } d_1 \end{split}$$

 d_2 = monthly demands per DC $\mu_2 \sigma_2$ are the mean and standard deviation of d_2 c_2 = cov of d_2

 N_3 = number of DCs M_3 = month-in-buy from DC to supplier d_3 = monthly demands all DCs $\mu_3 \sigma_3$ are the mean and standard deviation of d_3 c_3 = cov of d_3

 d_4 = monthly demands to supplier $\mu_4 \sigma_4$ are the mean and standard deviation of d_4 c_4 = cov of d_4

MONTHLY DEMANDS FOR ALL CUSTOMERS

In this study, we assign d_0 as the monthly demand for an item from all customers across all dealers and further denote μ_0 as the corresponding average monthly demand of d_0 . This paper gives examples where the aggregate averages of the customer demands are $\mu_0 = 10, 100$ and 1000.

MONTHLY DEMANDS FOR AN AVERAGE DEALER

The demand for an average dealer is denoted as d_1 , and when the number of dealers is N_1 , the mean monthly demand for an average dealer (μ_1) becomes $\mu_1 = \mu_0/N_1$. Because the national demands for an item are spread over many dealers, the monthly demands for each stock-keeping-unit (SKU) at an individual dealer are typically small. For this reason, the Poisson distribution is assumed as the distribution for the monthly demands (from the customers to an individual dealer). The Poisson is also ideal for analysis since it is a one parameter distribution since the mean and variance are equal. Thereby, we assume the monthly demands coming to a dealer

from its customers is Poisson with an average of μ_1 and has a standard deviation of $\sigma_1 = \sqrt{\mu_1}$. Recall the coefficient of variation (cov) of a random variable is defined as the ratio of the standard deviation over the average, so in this situation, the coefficient of variation becomes $cov_1 = \sigma_1 \mu_1$. Table 1 lists values μ_1 , σ_1 and cov_1 as the average monthly demands range from 1 to 1,000. Note how cov_1 is largest (1.00) when $\mu_1 = 1$ and becomes increasingly smaller as μ_1 rises. In the table, the cov extremes are 1.00 on the high end and 0.03 on the low end. Further note that when the demands are all positive and normally distributed, the cov attains a value is 0.33 or less. This is because (with all demands positive) the mean is at least three standard deviations larger than zero. On the other extreme, when the cov is one, the monthly demands are distributed as an exponential distribution since for this distribution, the standard deviation is the same as the mean.

So in essence, the monthly demand for an average dealer goes up or down in the same direction as the monthly demands of all customers. As Table 1 shows, when the average monthly demands are low at the dealer, the cov increases, and thereby the distributions of monthly demands are of the lumpy type and are shaped more like an exponential distribution. As the average monthly demands increase at an average dealer, the cov falls and the distributions of monthly demands are more like the normal distributions.

Table 1: Monthly Demand Statistics for an Average Dealer								
	μ_1	σ_1	cov ₁					
	1	1.00	1.00					
	5	2.24	0.45					
	10	3.16	0.32					
	50	7.07	0.14					
	100	10.00	0.10					
	500	22.36	0.04					
	1000	31.62	0.03					

Table 1: Monthly Demand Statistics for an Average Dealer

MONTHLY DEMANDS FOR AN AVERAGE DC

The monthly demand for an average distribution center (DC) is here denoted as d₂. The associated mean monthly demand is μ_2 and corresponding standard deviation is σ_2 . Also, the coefficient of variation is $cov_2 = \sigma_2/\mu_2$. We compute the measures (μ_2 , σ_2 , cov_2) for an average DC in the analysis given below.

ANALYSIS

When the dealer needs replenishment stock, it buys from its assigned distribution center (DC). The buy quantities (also called order quantities or purchase quantities) are in lot sizes that are economical for them. Suppose the lot size is q and this quantity is sufficient for the forecast of demands over the next mib months. In this analysis, mib = months-in-buy and represents the buy amount of replenishment stock in monthly requirements. Of interest here is to measure the mean and variance for the average monthly replenishment quantities from the dealer to the DC. For notation, E(q) = expected replenishment quantity per month and V(q) is the associated variance.

Consider the general situation when the months-in-buy, mib, is an integer of m = 1,2, 3,... Suppose the dealer demands for the most recent m months are d(1), ..., d(m). Also assume the stock at the dealer is adequate at the first month (t = 0) and the dealer first needs replenishment stock at month t = m, for a quantity size that covers the next m month requirements. So the replenishment quantity from the dealer to the DC will be q(t) = 0 for months t = 1 to m-1 and will be approximately q(m) = (d(1)+...+d(m)) at the end of month m. Since mib = m, this pattern will repeat as the months move along. Of interest now is to determine the expected value and variance of the monthly replenishment quantities, q(t). Note (m-1) of the quantities are zero and one quantity is a m-month supply. The expected value of q is:

$$\begin{split} E(q) &= ((m-1)/m) \times 0 + 1/m \times E(d(1) + ... + d(m)) \\ &= 1/m \times m \ E(d) \\ &= E(d). \end{split}$$

In a similar way, the expected value of q^2 becomes

$$\begin{split} E(q^2) &= 1/m \ E[(\ d(1)+...+d(m)\)^2] \\ &= 1/m[mE(d^2)+m(m-1)E(d)^2] \\ &= E(d^2)+(m-1)E(d)^2 \\ &= V(d)+E(d)^2+(m-1)E(d)^2 \\ &= V(d)+mE(d)^2. \end{split}$$

Finally, the variance of q becomes

$$V(q) = E(q^{2}) - E(q)^{2}$$

= V(d)+(m-1)E(d)^{2}.

Returning to the notation for the average DC, the following substitutions are made:

 $\mu_{2} = E(q)$ $\sigma_{2}^{2} = V(q)$ $\mu_{1} = E(d)$ $\sigma_{1}^{2} = V(d).$

The month-in-buy from the dealer to the DC is denoted as M_1 . Further, N_1 is the number of dealers and N_3 is the number of DCs. The statistics for the mean monthly demands d_2 at an average DC are as below:

$$\begin{split} \mu_2 &= (N_1/N_3)\mu_1 \\ \sigma_2^{\ 2} &= (N_1/N_3)(\sigma_1^{\ 2} + [M_1 - 1]\mu_1^{\ 2}) \\ cov_2 &= c_2 = \sigma_2/\mu_2 \end{split}$$

Table 2 shows how cov_1 and M_1 = mib are related to cov_2 as cov_1 ranges from 0.1 to 1.0 and M_1 from 1 to 6 months. Recall, cov_1 is a measure of the variation in demands from the customers to an average dealer and cov_2 is the counterpart measure of the variation in demands from the dealer to the an average DC. Note how cov_2 increases significantly as the M_1 increases beyond one month. Also note when M_1 is two or larger, cov_2 increases gradually as cov_1 goes from 0.1 to 1.0. In essence, when the mib (M_1) from the dealer to the DC increases above one, the demands to the DC become lumpy.

	Table 2: Values of cov_2 as related to cov_1 and M_1											
cov1												
	M_1	.1	.2	.3	.4	.5	.6	.7	.8	.9	1.0	
	1	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.70	0.80	0.90	1.00	
	2	1.00	1.02	1.04	1.08	1.01	1.17	1.22	1.28	1.35	1.41	
	3	1.42	1.43	1.45	1.47	1.50	1.54	1.58	1.62	1.68	1.73	
	4	1.73	1.74	1.76	1.78	1.80	1.83	1.87	1.91	1.95	2.00	
	5	2.00	2.01	2.02	2.04	2.06	2.09	2.12	2.15	2.19	2.24	
	6	2.24	2.24	2.26	2.27	2.29	2.32	2.34	2.37	2.41	2.45	

Table 2: Values of cov₂ as related to cov₁ and M

SUMMARY STATISTICS ACROSS THE SUPPLY CHAIN

In summary, the demand statistics across the supply chain are given in Tables 3.1 and 3.2.

MONTHLY DEMANDS FOR ALL DCS

In this section, assume N₃ is the number of DCs and d₃ is the average demand for all DCs. Further, μ_3 is the average monthly demand, σ_3 is the standard deviation, and c₃ is the coefficient of variation of d₃. So now, $\mu_3 = N_3 \times \mu_2$, $\sigma_3^2 = N_3 \times \sigma_2^2$ and $\cos_3 = c_3 = \sigma_3/\mu_3$.

MONTHLY DEMANDS FOR THE SUPPLIER

The monthly demand for the supplier is here denoted as d_4 . In the same way, μ_4 is the associated average monthly demand, σ_4 is the standard deviation, and c_4 is the coefficient of variation of d_4 . In the calculations, M_3 is the month-in-buy from the DC to the supplier.

So now,

 $\begin{array}{l} \mu_4 \!=\! \mu_3 \\ \sigma_4^{\ 2} \!=\! \sigma_3^{\ 2} \!+\! (M_3 \!-\! 1) {\mu_3}^2 \\ c_4 \!=\! \sigma_4 \!/\! \mu_4 \end{array}$

Table 3.1:	Average Monthly Dema	nds (µ) and cov (c) for	All Customers,	Per Dealer, P	er DC, All DC's and S	Supplier
	when Nun	the of Dealers is $N_1 =$	100 and Numbe	er of DC's is N	$J_3 = 1$	

		All	Per Dealer		Per DC		All DCs		Supplier			
M ₁	M_3	μ_0	μ_1	c ₁	μ_2	c ₂	μ3	c ₃	μ_4	c ₄		
1	1	10	0.1	3.16	10.0	0.32	10.0	0.32	10.0	0.32		
1	1	100	1.0	1.00	100.0	0.10	100.0	0.10	100.0	0.10		
1	1	1000	10.0	0.32	1000.0	0.03	1000.0	0.03	1000.0	0.03		
1	2	10	0.1	3.16	10.0	0.32	10.0	0.32	10.0	1.05		
1	2	100	1.0	1.00	100.0	0.10	100.0	0.10	100.0	1.00		
1	2	1000	10.0	0.32	1000.0	0.03	1000.0	0.03	1000.0	1.00		
2	1	10	0.1	3.16	10.0	0.33	10.0	0.33	10.0	0.33		
2	1	100	1.0	1.00	100.0	0.14	100.0	0.14	100.0	0.14		
2	1	1000	10.0	0.32	1000.0	0.10	1000.0	0.10	1000.0	0.10		
2	2	10	0.1	3.16	10.0	0.33	10.0	0.33	10.0	1.05		
2	2	100	1.0	1.00	100.0	0.14	100.0	0.14	100.0	1.01		
2	2	1000	10.0	0.32	1000.0	0.10	1000.0	0.10	1000.0	1.01		

		All	Per Dealer		Per l	Per DC		All DCs		Supplier	
M ₁	M_3	μ ₀	μ_1	c ₁	μ_2	c ₂	μ3	c ₃	μ4	c4	
1	1	10	0.0	10.00	2.0	0.71	10.0	0.32	10.0	0.32	
1	1	100	0.1	3.16	20.0	0.22	100.0	0.10	100.0	0.10	
1	1	1000	1.0	1.00	200.0	0.07	1000.0	0.03	1000.0	0.03	
1	2	10	0.0	10.00	2.0	0.71	10.0	0.32	10.0	1.05	
1	2	100	0.1	3.16	20.0	0.22	100.0	0.10	100.0	1.00	
1	2	1000	1.0	1.00	200.0	0.07	1000.0	0.03	1000.0	1.00	
2	1	10	0.0	10.00	2.0	0.71	10.0	0.32	10.0	0.32	
2	1	100	0.1	3.16	20.0	0.23	100.0	0.10	100.0	0.10	
2	1	1000	1.0	1.00	200.0	0.10	1000.0	0.04	1000.0	0.04	
2	2	10	0.0	10.00	2.0	0.71	10.0	0.32	10.0	.05	
2	2	100	0.1	3.16	20.0	0.23	100.0	0.10	100.0	1.01	
2	2	1000	1.0	1.00	00.0	0.10	1000.0	0.04	1000.0	1.00	

Table 3.2: Average Monthly Demands (μ) and cov (c) for All Customers, Per Dealer, Per DC, All DC's and Supplier when Number of Dealers is N₁ = 1000 and Number of DC's is N₃ = 5

CONCLUSIONS

In the typical way to control the parts inventory in a stocking location (dealer, DC), the replenishment measures (order point and order level) are computed using the desired service level, lead time and order size, with the assumption that the monthly demands follow the normal distribution as described in Brown (1962), Thomopoulos (1980), and Thomopoulos (1990).

When the monthly demands are of the lumpy type and the replenish computations are based on the normal distribution, the inventory control measures are not correct. Thomopoulos (1980) shows how to compute the safety stock (with order point and order level) when the monthly demands are not normally distributed, but instead are of the lumpy type. The truncated normal distribution is used for this purpose. In general, when the safety stock is computed by incorrectly assuming a normal distribution instead of the true lumpy distribution, the safety stock is not large enough. Hence, the actual service level for a lumpy item will not achieve the desired service level that is sought in the computations. Tables 3.1 and 3.2 show when the monthly demands tend to be normal and when they tend to be lumpy. When cov is less than 0.33, the normal applies, and when cov is one or larger, the lumpy demands apply.

Table 3.1 depicts a supply chain of 100 dealers and one DC. This scenario is somewhat like a large grocery chain in a large metropolitan area. Note how the monthly demands at the dealer are often of the lumpy type. The table also shows how the monthly demands at the DC tend to be normally shaped and that the demands at the supplier are a mixture of normal and lumpy type, depending on the month-in-buy from the DC.

Table 3.2 gives measures of a supply chain of 1,000 dealers and five DCs. This scenario is like a service parts distribution network for an OEM that covers the entire country. The table shows that the monthly demands at a dealer are not normally distributed but are of the lumpy type. Further, the monthly demands going to the DCs tend to be normally shaped and finally, the demands going to the supplier (from the DC) are of the lumpy type when the dealer replenishment quantities are for two or more months of supply.

SUMMARY

This paper shows how the demand flows from the customers to the dealers to the DCs and to the supplier. The demand measures are the average monthly demand, the standard deviation and the coefficient of variation. The tables show how the shape of the monthly demands can range from normal to exponential and beyond. Recall when $cov \le 0.33$, the monthly demands could be shaped like a normal distribution and when $cov \ge 1.00$, the monthly

demands are the lumpy type. AUTHOR INFORMATION

Nick T. Thomopoulos is a professor at the Stuart School of Business, Illinois Institute of Technology. Nick has degrees in Business, Mathematics and Industrial Engineering. He is the author of three books: *Assembly Line Systems*, Hayden Books, 1973, *Applied Forecasting Methods*, Prentice Hall, 1980 and *Strategic Inventory Management and Planning*, Hitchcock Publishing Co., 1990.

REFERENCES

- 1. Brown, Robert, G., (1962), *Smoothing, Forecasting and Prediction of Discrete Time Series*, Prentice Hall, Inc.
- 2. Thomopoulos, Nick T., (1980), *Applied Forecasting Methods*, Prentice Hall, Inc.
- 3. Thomopoulos, Nick T., (1990), *Strategic Inventory Management and Planning*, Hitchcok Publishing Co.