Improve Accounting For Cost Allocations From Support To Revenue-Generating Departments With The Reciprocal Method

Dennis Togo, University of New Mexico, USA

ABSTRACT

The best accounting method for allocating the cost of support departments to revenue-generating departments is the reciprocal method because it recognizes all services provided to other departments. Hence, the reciprocal method better captures the full cost of services consumed by revenue-generating departments. Yet, many healthcare organizations continue to use the direct or step-down methods because the reciprocal method requires solving algebraic simultaneous equations in the allocation of many support departments. This educational resource removes the difficulty associated with the reciprocal method with a matrix approach that is demonstrated with an example. From information provided for any cost allocation method, three spreadsheet matrix commands formulate the set of linear equations for reciprocated costs of support departments, solve for the reciprocated costs, and then allocate the reciprocated costs to both support departments and revenue-generating departments.

Keywords: Reciprocal; Allocations; Matrix

INTRODUCTION

*R*evenue-generating departments of healthcare organizations use services and products provided by support departments in fulfilling work requested by medical professionals. While some support department costs are easily traceable to the services provided, the remaining costs of support departments are allocated to user departments. As noted in the accounting literature, the main benefit of allocating support department costs is more accurate product or service cost information, which leads to improved decision-making and improved financial reporting (Horngren, Datar, & Rajan, 2012).

Three common pro-rata methods for allocating support department costs to revenue-generating departments are reciprocal, direct, and step-down. The differences among the three methods focus on the recognition of support services to other support departments. The reciprocal method for allocating support department costs is preferred as all services provided by support departments to other support departments are recognized. The step-down method recognizes only partial services as support departments previously allocated (i.e., closed) cannot receive costs from the remaining support departments. Furthermore, the order in which support departments are closed under the step-down method impacts the costs allocated to revenue-generating departments. The direct method recognizes no support services provided to other support departments as support costs are allocated only to revenue-generating departments.

The three methods lead to different amounts allocated from the support department to the overhead costs of revenue-generating departments. Costs allocated by support departments can have a significant impact on healthcare organizations. For example, cost reimbursement contracts and the setting of fees for services provided are influenced by cost allocations of support departments. Furthermore, the allocations become more important with expected increases in support costs and support departments.

Even though the reciprocal method is superior to the common step-down and direct methods, its adoption has been curtailed by mathematical difficulties in solving simultaneous equations. Specifically, a hospital having *n*

support departments will require the solving of *n* simultaneous equations. Facing such a challenging task, it is no wonder then that the step-down method is the most adopted method of allocating support department costs to other departments. This educational resource illustrates how three spreadsheet matrix functions will simplify the use of the preferred reciprocal method to allocate support department costs.

EXAMPLE FOR A MATRIX APPROACH TO RECIPROCAL COST ALLOCATIONS

Departmental Data – Panel A of Table 1

The $5,000,000 total cost for five support departments - A, B, C, D, and E - and two revenue-generating departments - X and Y - is presented in Panel A: Input Data of Table 1. In addition, the percentage of services provided by the five support departments to all other departments is presented with a 5X7 |P| percentage matrix of 5 rows and 7 columns. The 5X7 |P| matrix can be expressed as $(P_{1,1}:P_{5,7})$, which identifies the array by its opposite corner locations of 1st row and 1st column and that of 5th row and 7th column. As an example of information provided, support Department A, which has costs of $700,000, provides services to Departments B, C, D, E, X, and Y of 0.10, 0.08, 0.07, 0.05, 0.30, and 0.40, respectively. The sum of services provided by Department A is equal to 1.00. The -1.00 for Department A represents the services that will be allocated to other departments. Hence, the total for support Department A is equal to 0.00.

Table 1: Reciprocal Method

Panel A: Departmental Data	$|P| = (P_{1,1}:P_{5,7})$						
	Dept A	**Dept B**	**Dept C**	**Dept D**	**Dept E**	**Dept X**	**Dept Y**	**Total**
Costs:	700,000	500,000	400,000	300,000	100,000	2,000,000	1,000,000	5,000,000
Support by				**P**				
Dept A	-1.00	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.30	0.4	0.00
Dept B	0.09	-1.00	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.50	0.25	0.00
Dept C	0.08	0.05	-1.00	0.04	0.03	0.60	0.20	0.00
Dept D	0.10	0.05	0.15	-1.00	0.10	0.35	0.25	0.00
Dept E	0.14	0.10	0.08	0.08	-1.00	0.30	0.30	0.00

Panel B: Simultaneous Equation $|S|$ x $|X| = |K|$			$|S| = -TRANSPOSE(P_{11}:P_{5,5})$						
			S			**x**	**X**	**=**	**K**
Dept A	+1.00	-0.09	-0.08	-.10	-0.14		A		700,000
Dept B	-0.10	+1.00	-0.05	-0.05	-0.10		B		500,000
Dept C	-0.08	-0.06	+1.00	-0.15	-0.08	x	C	=	400,000
Dept D	-0.07	-0.06	-0.04	+1.00	-0.08		D		300,000
Dept E	-0.05	-0.04	-0.03	-0.10	+1.00		E		100,000

Panel C: Reciprocated Costs $|X|$ and Diagonal $|D|$ Matrices			$|X| = MMULT(MINVERSE(S_{11}:S_{5,5}),K_{11}:K_{5,1})$				
	X				**D**		
Dept A	884,459	884,459	0	0	0	0
Dept B	663,757	0	663,757	0	0	0
Dept C	595,860	0	0	595,860	0	0
Dept D	444,218	0	0	0	444,218	0
Dept E	233,071	0	0	0	0	223,071

Panel D: Reciprocated Cost Allocations	$|A| = MMULT(D_{1,1}:D_{5,5},P_{1,1}:P_{5,7})$						
	Dept A	**Dept B**	**Dept C**	**Dept D**	**Dept E**	**Dept X**	**Dept Y**	**Total**
Costs:	700,000	500,000	400,000	300,000	100,000	2,000,000	1,000,000	5,000,000
Allocations				**A**				
Dept A	-884,459	88,446	70,757	61,912	44,223	265,338	353,783	0
Dept B	59,738	-663,757	39,825	39,825	26,550	331,878	165,939	0
Dept C	47,669	29,793	-595,860	23,834	17,876	357,516	119,172	0
Dept D	44,422	22,211	66,633	-444,218	44,422	155,476	111,054	0
Dept E	32,630	23,307	18,646	18,646	-233,071	69,921	69,921	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	3,180,130	1,819,870	5,000,000

　　　　　　　　　　2

Matrix Simultaneous Equation – Panel B of Table 1

Simultaneous equations must be formulated for the reciprocal method of support department cost allocations. In formulating the simultaneous equations using matrices, the variables A, B, C, D, and E are first defined as the reciprocated costs of their related support departments. The set of five simultaneous equations is formed with the matrix multiplication |S| x |X| = |K| shown in Panel B of Table 1. With Dept A as an example, its simultaneous equation is formed by multiplying the first row of the |S| matrix with the columnar |X| matrix and setting it equal to 700,000. Hence, the equation for Dept A is +1.00A - 0.09B - 0.08C - 0.10D - 0.14E = 700,000. This equation for Dept A can be alternatively presented as +1.00A = 700,000 + 0.09B + 0.08C + 0.10D + 0.14E, which is an equation commonly found in accounting textbooks. Both equivalent equations capture the relationship that the reciprocated cost for Dept A is equal to its own cost of $700,000 plus reciprocated costs of other support departments allocated to Dept A.

The |S| matrix represents services among support departments and it can be easily formed as the negative transpose of the array $(P_{1,1}:P_{5,5})$. After highlighting a 5x5 output area, the |S| matrix is generated with the Excel formula $=-TRANSPOSE(P_{1,1}:P_{5,5})$ and pressing Ctrl + Shift + Enter keys together. The 5X1 |X| matrix is for the sought after reciprocated costs A, B, C, D, and E of the support departments. The 5x1 |K| matrix is the given individual cost of the five support departments.

Reciprocated Cost Matrix |X| – Panel C of Table 1

From the matrix relationship |S| x |X| = |K| below, the mathematics used to solve for the |X| matrix requires similarly multiplying both sides of the matrix equation with the matrix $|S^{-1}|$ or the inverse of |S|. On the left side of the equation, the $|S^{-1}|$ matrix is multiplied with the |S| matrix and the result is the identity matrix |I|. The identity matrix |I| multiplied with the |X| matrix equals just the |X| matrix on the left side of the equation. Therefore, the |X| matrix is equal to the $|S^{-1}|$ matrix multiplied by the |K| matrix.

$$| S | \text{ x } | X | = | K |$$
$$| S^{-1} | \text{ x } | S | \text{ x } | X | = | S^{-1} | \text{ x } | K |$$
$$| I | \text{ x } | X | = | S^{-1} | \text{ x } | K |$$
$$| X | = | S^{-1} | \text{ x } | K |$$

The |X| matrix for the reciprocated costs for the five support departments is shown in Panel C of Table 1. After highlighting a 5x1 output area, the solution matrix |X| is generated with the Excel formula $=MMULT(MINVERSE(S_{1,1}:S_{5,5}),K_{1,1}:K_{5,1})$ and pressing Ctrl + Shift + Enter keys together. The reciprocated costs for Departments A, B, C, D, and E are $884,459, $663,757, $595,860, $444,218, and $233,071, respectively. To validate the $884,459 reciprocated cost for Department A, refer above to the formula A = $700,000 + 0.09B + 0.08C + 0.10D + 0.14E. Substituting the reciprocated costs for B, C, D, and E in this formula equals the reciprocated cost of A or $884,459 = $700,000 + 0.09($663,757) + 0.08($595,860) + 0.10($444,218) + 0.14($233,071).

Reciprocated Cost Allocations – Panel D of Table 1

Allocating reciprocated costs to all other departments is performed with the matrix multiplication |A| = |D| x |P|. The 5x5 |D| square matrix commonly used for matrix multiplication is found in Panel C of Table 1. The |D| matrix has reciprocated costs A, B, C, D, and E on the diagonal and 0s everywhere else. The 5x7 |P| matrix is from Panel A and it is the percentages of services provided by support departments to all other departments. The allocated matrix |A| is found in Panel D: Reciprocated Cost Allocations of Table 1. After highlighting a specified 5x7 output area as shown in Panel D, the allocated matrix |A| is generated by entering the EXCEL formula $=MMULT(D_{1,1}:D_{5,5},P_{1,1}:P_{5,7})$ and pressing Ctrl + Shift + Enter keys together.

As presented in Panel D, all reciprocated costs for support Departments A, B, C, D, and E have been transferred to the revenue-generating departments. The total for each support department- after the allocation is 0 - and the total cost for revenue-generating Departments X and Y after the allocation - is equal to the original $5,000,000 of both support and revenue-generating departments. In retrospect, the traditional tabular presentation of

department costs and support services provided has been transfigured into a reciprocal cost allocation table with the use of three Excel commands highlighted above.

SUMMARY

A matrix approach facilitates support department cost allocations to revenue-generating departments. The preferred reciprocal method that allocates costs of support departments to all users of its services can now be easily performed with matrix functions of spreadsheets. Healthcare accounting relies heavily on cost allocations and the reciprocal method with a matrix approach is positioned for increasing costs and an increasing number of support departments. With more accurate costs for products and services, healthcare financial reporting will be improved and management decision-making will be supported with better cost information.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Dennis Togo is a professor of accounting teaching cost/management and information systems courses. He publishes articles highlighting the effective use of accounting information and computers to support management decisions. He earned his accounting doctorate from Arizona State University, and masters and undergraduate accounting degrees, and a mathematics bachelor degree from Brigham Young University. E-mail: togo@unm.edu

REFERENCES

1.   Horngren, C. T., Datar, S. M., & Rajan, M. (2012). *Cost accounting: A managerial emphasis.* Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.