Volume 23, Number 2

Changes To Accounting For Defined Benefit Postretirement Plans: Discussion And Illustration

Norman H. Godwin, (E-mail: godwinh@auburn.edu), Auburn University Arlette C. Wilson, (E-mail: awilson@business.auburn.edu), Auburn University

INTRODUCTION

equests that the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) address issues related to employers' accounting for defined benefit postretirement plans have increased in recent years. Those requests have been made by users of financial statements and others, including the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) staff and representatives of the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation. Constituents are interested in improved transparency and understandability.

Agreeing that the accounting for defined benefit postretirement plans should be reconsidered, the FASB added the project to its agenda in November 2005. The first phase of the project is complete and addresses the reporting of a plan's funded status. Under current rules, a plan's underfunded (overfunded) status is disclosed in the footnotes while only a portion of the liability (asset) is recognized on the balance sheet. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158 "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans" requires that the entire underfunded (overfunded) status of a plan be reflected on the balance sheet. This reporting is accomplished by requiring all changes in unrecognized prior service costs (credits), actuarial losses (gains), and transition obligation (asset), which are currently disclosed in the notes, to flow through other comprehensive income (OCI) and be reported as part of a pension liability (asset).

The purpose of this paper is threefold. First, it identifies the basic changes from current accounting. Second, it provides comparative illustrations. Third, it discusses the potential impact on the financial statements.

BASIC CHANGES

Current pension accounting allows for delayed recognition of three items: actuarial gains/losses, prior service cost/credits associated with plan amendments, and transition obligation/asset remaining from the adoption of SFAS 87 and SFAS 106. The purpose of the delayed recognition is to create a smoothing effect on the pension expense reported in current earnings. When accumulated actuarial gains/losses exceed a calculated threshold, a portion of the amount exceeding the threshold is recognized in pension expense. Any remaining unrecognized balance is disclosed in the notes. Unrecognized prior service cost or transition obligation is recognized in pension expense as it is amortized. Any unrecognized balance is also reported in the notes. Accumulated actuarial gains/losses, unamortized prior service cost, and unamortized transaction obligation are included in a table reconciling the underfunded or overfunded status of the plan with the liability (asset) reported on the balance sheet.

The new requirements increase the visibility of the economic effects of postretirement benefits by including the previously unrecognized amounts on the balance sheet. In particular, any changes in actuarial gains/losses and prior service cost that are not included in pension expense will flow through Other Comprehensive Income (OCI). As you will see in the examples below, this has the effect of showing the plan's entire net obligation or asset on the financial statements rather than in the notes. Transitioning from the current approach to the SFAS 158 requires that Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) be adjusted for the balances in any unrecognized actuarial gains/losses, prior service cost/credits and transition obligation/asset as of the end of the fiscal year of initial application. If any of these unrecognized amounts is subsequently included in pension expense, those amounts will be reclassified from AOCI into current earnings.

This new approach would result in the underfunded or overfunded status of a plan being reported on the balance sheet since all economic costs affecting the postretirement benefit plan would flow through comprehensive income either as pension expense or as OCI. Footnote disclosure is not an adequate substitute for recognition, so these changes should improve transparency and understandability of the employer's financial statements regarding the costs and obligations of providing postretirement benefits.

The effective date of these new requirements depends on whether the employer is an issuer of publicly traded equity securities. An employer with publicly traded equity securities should initially apply the requirement to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan as of the *end* of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. An employer without publicly traded equity securities should initially apply the requirements as of the *end* of the fiscal year ending after June 15, 2007. Application as of the end of an earlier fiscal year is encouraged.

COMPARATIVE ILLUSTRATIONS

Two examples are used to provide a comparison between the current accounting and the proposed accounting as it relates to the pension asset or liability reported on the balance sheet. We assume that the employer has publicly traded equity securities and a December 31 year-end. Therefore, the transition will occur at December 31, 2006. The first example focuses on a situation in which a plan's underfunded obligation exceeds the liability currently reported on the balance sheet. The second example deals with a situation in which a plan's overfunded obligation nonetheless results in a liability on the current balance sheet. The comparative illustrations will show that the new accounting would actually result in the entire underfunded or overfunded status of the plan being reflected on the balance sheet as a pension liability or asset.

Example 1

Exhibit 1 contains information on a pension plan under current reporting requirements. We first see that the plan's underfunded balance, based on the projected benefit obligation, is \$416,000 in 2006 and \$377,500 in 2007. We also see substantial unrecognized prior service cost and actuarial losses, both of which are disclosed in the notes. The total liability reported on the balance sheet is the sum of accrued pension cost and the additional minimum pension liability. This results in a reported liability balance of \$82,000 in 2006 and \$90,500 in 2007.

EXHIBIT 1 Pension Information For Example 1

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>
Projected Benefit Obligation (Jan. 1) Service Cost Interest Cost Payments (Gain) Loss Projected Benefit Obligation (Dec. 31)	\$676,000 130,000 40,500 (11,000) <u>98,500</u> \$934,000	\$934,000 150,000 48,000 (15,000) (10,000) \$1,107,000
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>
Fair Value Plan Assets (Jan. 1) Contributions Payments Actual Return Fair Value Plan Assets (Dec.31)	\$317,000 200,000 (11,000) <u>12,000</u> <u>\$518,000</u>	\$518,000 200,000 (15,000) <u>26,500</u> <u>\$729,500</u>

	_		
	Dec. 31, 2005	Dec. 31, 2006	Dec. 31, 2007
PBO	(676,000)	(\$934,000)	(\$1,107,000)
FVPA	317,000	518,000	729,500
Funded Status	(359,000)	(416,000)	(377,500)
Unrecognized PSC	300,000	250,000	200,000
Unrecognized Loss	10,500	112,300	97,920
Accrued Pension Cost	<u>(\$48,500)</u>	<u>(\$53,700)</u>	<u>(\$79,580)</u>
ABO	\$420,000	\$600,000	\$820,000
Additional Pension Liability	54,500	28,300	10,920
Total Pension Liability	\$103,000	\$82,000	\$90,500
Pension Expense			
		<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>
Service Cost		\$130,000	\$150,000
Interest Cost		40,500	48,000
Expected Return		(15,300)	(25,900)
Amortization Prior Service Cost		50,000	50,000
Amortization Gain/Loss		0	3,780
Total Expense		\$205,200	\$225,880

To apply the new requirements, Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) would be adjusted at December 31, 2006 for all unrecognized amounts at that time. Unrecognized prior service costs of \$250,000 unrecognized loss of \$112,300 will be recognized as a liability and reduce AOCI. Any Additional Pension Liability remaining on the books needs to be removed. It is no longer necessary. Exhibit 2 includes the adjusting entries as well as subsequent accounting according to the new requirements.

EXHIBIT 2
Journal Entries For Transition To SFAS #158
Annual Pension Expense, And Annual Adjustment, (Example 1)

Initial Transition Entries | Dr. AOCI 362,300 Dec. 31, 2006 | Cr. Liability 362,300 Dec. 31, 2006 | Dr. Additional Pension Liability 54,500 | Cr. Deferred Pension Cost 54,500 **Entries for Pension Expense** 2007 | Dr. Pension Expense 225,880 200,000 Cr. Cash Cr. Pension Liability 25,880

Adjusting Entries for Changes

Dec. 31, 2006	Dr. Pension Liability	64,380
	Cr. OCI	64,380

Note that the liability to be reported on the December 31, 2006 Balance Sheet after the adjustments is equal to the underfunded PBO:

Accrued Pension Cost Dec. 31, 2006	\$ 53,700
Adjustment to Liability	<u>362,300</u>
Total Pension Liability	<u>\$416,000</u>

After the initial transition entries, the pension liability account is affected annually by both the recording of pension expense and the recording of any changes in prior service costs and actuarial gains/losses. The entry recording pension expense in 2007 is shown in Exhibit 2. Pension expense, which is not affected by the new rules, is \$225,880 in 2007. Contributions to plan assets (shown in Exhibit 1) are \$200,000 each year, resulting in additions to the pension liability of \$25,880 in 2007.

The entry for changes in prior service cost and actuarial gains/losses is also shown in Exhibit 2 and is based on the following calculation:

2006

	<u>2000</u>
Amortization of PSC	\$50,000
Amortization of Loss	3,780
Actuarial Gain (Loss)	10,000
Actual – Expected Return	600
Change in Accounts	<u>(\$64,380)</u>

In 2007, the balance in these accounts decreased by \$64,380, and an entry is prepared to decrease the liability account and increase Other Comprehensive Income. This entry demonstrates that changes in previously unrecognized amounts, which had minimal effect on the reported liability under current rules, will now flow through OCI and affect the reported liability.

The total effect of the entries made for transition, pension expense, and changes in unrecognized amounts is shown in the reconciliation below. Note that the final liability balance is equal to the underfunded obligation as determined by comparing plan assets with the projected benefit obligation:

Accrued Pension Cost, Dec. 31, 2006	\$53,700
Transition Entry	362,300
Journal Entry for Pension Expense	25,880
Journal Entry for OCI	<u>(64,380)</u>
Pension Liability Dec. 31, 2005	\$ 377,500

Exhibit 3 illustrates how the changes in prior service costs and actuarial gains/losses would be reported on a Statement of Comprehensive Income. As the \$50,000 of prior service cost is amortized annually to pension expense, it is reclassified from OCI to Net Income. Removal of the cost would increase OCI. The change in actuarial gain/loss for 2007 has a reclassified amount of \$3,780 for the amount of loss amortized into pension expense. The change for the year included the gain of \$10,000 from the actuarial report and the \$600 excess of actual return on plan assets over expected return.

EXHIBIT 3
Reporting Of Changes In Delayed Recognitioncosts, (Example 1)

Statement of Comprehensive Income For Year Ended

	Dec. 31, 2007
Net Income	\$ XXX
Other Comprehensive Income:	
Prior Service Cost Reclassify	50,000
Gain (Loss):	
During Year	10,600
Reclassify	<u>3,780</u>
·	
Comprehensive Income	\$ XXX

Example 2

Exhibit 4 contains information on another plan under current reporting requirements. Of particular note in this example is the data in 2007. Comparing the plan assets to the projected benefit obligation, we see that the plan is overfunded by \$45,000 at the end of 2007. However, under current reporting requirements, the plan would report a liability of \$98,000 on the balance sheet. As we will see below, the new accounting will result in a \$45,000 pension asset being reported on the balance sheet.

EXHIBIT 4 Pension Information For Example 2

		<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>
Projected Benefit Obligation (Jan. 1)		\$1,215,000	\$1,358,000
Service Cost Interest Cost		130,000 50,000	140,000 65,000
Payments		(22,000)	(25,000)
(Gain) Loss		(15,000)	(138,000)
Projected Benefit Obligation (Dec. 31)		<u>\$1,358,000</u>	<u>\$1,400,000</u>
		<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>
Fair Value Plan Assets (Jan. 1)		\$1,050,000	\$1,230,000
Contributions		150,000	150,000
Payments		(22,000)	(25,000)
Actual Return Fair Value Plan Assets (Dec.31)		\$1,230,000	90,000 \$1,445,000
Tan Value Han Assets (Dec.31)		<u>\$1,230,000</u>	<u>\$1,445,000</u>
	Dec. 31, 2005	Dec. 31, 2006	Dec. 31, 2007
PBO	(1,215,000)	(\$1,358,000)	(\$1,400,000)
FVPA	<u>1,050,000</u>	<u>1,230,000</u>	<u>1,445,000</u>
Funded Status	(165,000)	(128,000)	45,000
Unrecognized Loss (Gain) Accrued Pension Cost	30,000 (\$135,000)	8,000 (\$120,000)	(143,000) (\$98,000)
Active Felision Cost	(4133,000)	<u>(\$120,000)</u>	<u>(\$70,000)</u>

Journal of Applied Business Research – Second Quarter 2007			Volume 23, Num	<u>ber 2</u>
ABO	\$950,000	\$1,100,000	\$1,140,000	
Additional Pension Liability	0	0	0	
Total Pension Liability	\$135,000	\$120,000	\$98,000	
Pension Expense				
		<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	
Service Cost		\$130,000	\$140,000	
Interest Cost		50,000	65,000	
Expected Return		(45,000)	(77,000)	
Total Expense		<u>\$135,000</u>	<u>\$128,000</u>	
Entries to be made under the new rules	are shown in Exhibit 5.			

EXHIBIT 5 Journal Entries For Transition To SFAS #158 Annual Pension Expense, And Annual Adjustment, (Example 2)

Initial Transition Entry		
Dec. 31, 2006	Dr. AOCI Cr. Pension Asset/Liability	8,000 8,000
Entries for Pension Expense	<u>2</u>	
2007	 Dr. Pension Expense Dr. Pension Asset/Liability Cr. Cash	128,000 22,000 150,000
Adjusting Entries for Change	<u>ges</u>	
Dec. 31, 2007	Dr. Pension Asset/Liability Cr. OCI	151,000 151,000

The transition entry adjusts AOCI for the \$8,000 balance in the unrecognized actuarial loss as of December 31, 2006. This entry increases the pension liability account. Pension expense and changes from actuarial loss of \$8,000 to an actuarial gain of \$143,000 are recorded for 2007. The change in the actuarial gain/loss is calculated below:

	<u>2006</u>
Actuarial Gain (Loss)	138,000
Actual – Expected Return	13,000
Change in Account	<u>\$151,000</u>

The 2007 change includes the \$138,000 gain on the actuarial report and the \$13,000 gain from actual return in excess of expected return. These changes flow through OCI and decrease the pension liability account.

The total effect of the entries made for transition, pension expense, and change in the actuarial gain/loss is shown in the reconciliation below. Note that a pension asset of \$45,000 would be reported at December 31, 2007:

Journal of Applied	Rusiness R	esearch - Second	Quarter 2007
Journal of Applied	Dusiness Ke	eseuren – secona	Quarter 2007

Volume 23, Number 2

Accrued Pension Cost Dec. 31, 2006	120,000
Transition Entry	8,000
Journal Entry for Pension Cost	(22,000)
Journal Entry for OCI	(151,000)
Pension Liability (Asset) Dec. 31, 2007	(<u>\$ 45,000)</u>

Exhibit 6 illustrates the changes in actuarial gain/loss on the Comprehensive Income Statement. There were no reclassifications since none of the actuarial gain was included in pension expense.

EXHIBIT 6 Reporting Of Changes In Delayed Recognition Costs, (Example 2)

Statement of Comprehensive Income For Year Ended

Net Income	Dec. 31, 2007 \$ XXX
Other Comprehensive Income:	
Gain (Loss):	
During the Year	<u>151,000</u>
Comprehensive Income	<u> </u>

Potential Financial Statement Effects

This initial phase of the comprehensive project relates to the reporting of the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan. SFAS #158 will have no effect on the calculation Net Periodic Benefit Cost.

Footnoting of the delayed recognition of prior service costs and certain actuarial gains and losses allows for reduced volatility in pension expense but does not reflect the underfunded (overfunded) status of the plan on the financial statements. In order to recognize the funded status of a plan, the new approach requires the changes in the delayed recognition costs to flow through OCI resulting in potential volatility in Comprehensive Income.

The initial application of the new requirements will affect the current amount reported as Pension Liability (Asset). Companies currently footnoting *net unrecognized losses* will experience a larger liability, while those companies with *net unrecognized gains* will report a smaller liability or, in some cases like Example 2, an asset. Companies that would be reporting larger liabilities need to be aware of potential problems with debt covenants.

CONCLUSION

When the FASB developed Statement 87, it realized that it would be conceptually appropriate to recognize a net pension liability or asset measured as the difference between the projected benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets. This could have been accomplished either by recognizing all gains or losses in current earnings or by reporting them as other comprehensive income. The FASB felt like those approaches would have been too great a change from the previous accounting for pensions and opted for a more evolutionary improvement by requiring footnote disclosure for these delayed recognition items.

However, many years have past and the FASB has received a tremendous number of requests to address issues related to employers' accounting for defined benefit postretirement plans. The FASB has now completed the initial phase of the comprehensive project with the issuance of SFAS #158. Delayed recognition costs (credits) and losses (gains) currently disclosed in footnotes will now be reported as other comprehensive income. This will result in a liability (asset) reported on the financial statements that truly reflects the funded status of the plan.

NOTES