

Original Paper

The Impact of Tenure on Chief Executive Officer Role Perceptions and Time Spent in Roles

Margaret B. Glick^{1*}¹ Organization Performance and Change, Colorado State University, Fort Collins CO, USA*Margaret B. Glick, E-mail: maggie.glick@colostate.edu**Abstract**

This paper presents research on the impact of Chief Executive Officer (CEO) tenure, or time worked as a CEO, on the CEO's perception of their role. For purposes of this paper tenure refers to the amount of time the CEO has spent working as a CEO. Tenure was broken into four major categories: 0 to 10 years; 11 to 20 years; 21 to 30 years; and over 31 years spent as a CEO. In terms of role agreement results indicate a statistically significant difference in agreement with the strategic role category for CEOs with over 31 years of tenure. These CEOs do not agree as strongly with their counterparts that this is a CEO's role. In terms of time spent in the role categories there are statistically significant differences in CEOs with over 31 years of tenure and their counterparts in how they estimate they spend their time. Further research is necessary to understand the reasons behind these quantitative results.

Keywords

Chief Executive Officers, CEOs, CEO role perception, tenure as a CEO, time spent working as a CEO

1. Introduction

Chief Executive Officers (CEOs) in the United States. Serve important roles in the companies they lead. They influence companies having economies larger than small countries and at times their decisions may impact both the United States' and the global economy for several years (Boatright, 2009; Edersheim, 2007; Cunningham, Lynham, & Weatherly, 2006). While researchers have been reluctant to update outdated theories on the role of Chief Executive Officer, many contemporary business authors have encouraged management researchers to focus their work on the role of CEO (Edersheim, 2007). Most of the empirical research on the role of CEO is outdated and the theories we use to understand this role date back to the 1970's and 1980's. These theories could not have predicted the complexity and globalization of contemporary business as we know it today (Breene, Nunes, & Shill, 2007; Hales, 1986).

CEOs and the organizations they lead have many stakeholders, including, but not limited to:

shareholders, employees, consumers, and suppliers. Understanding ones' role in an organization is essential for making sure that the right things are being done at the right time (Biddle & Thomas, 1966). Furthermore, an understanding of the time spent in role categories may help us understand more about the importance of these specific roles to CEOs. CEOs must perform both effectively and efficiently and failure to do so may have significant impacts on the organizations they lead (Edersheim, 2007; Hales, 1986; Hart & Quinn, 1993; Lafley, 2009; Matsumura & Shin, 2005; Mintzberg, 1973).

This paper describes research conducted during 2010 on Chief Executive Officers in the United States. The purpose of the research was to understand the role of CEO and how CEOs spend their time in six categories of roles. While the original research focused specifically on the role of CEO and how CEOs spend their time, this study focuses on the construct of tenure and its impact on CEO role perceptions and time estimates in six categories of roles.

2. Method

2.1 Problem and Research Questions

The impact of CEO tenure has been researched extensively in terms of its impact on the organization. Empirical research includes: 1) CEO tenure and its' impact on future financial performance (McClelland, Barker, & Oh, 2012), its impact on attitude toward change and innovation (Musteen, Barke, & Baeten, 2010); 2) CEO tenure and its interaction with The Sarbanes-Oxley Act, turnover, and risk aversion (Wang, Davidson, & Wang, 2010); 3) CEO tenure and its impact on the risk of termination and firm value (Brookman & Thistle, 2009); 4) CEO tenure and its impact on entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance (Richard, Wu, & Chadwick, 2009); 5) CEO tenure and its impact on organizational performance (Simsek, 2007); 6) CEO tenure and its impact on boards of directors and acquisition performance (Walters, Kroll, & Wright, 2006); 7) CEO tenure and its impact on industry dynamism and company performance (Henderson, Miller, & Hambrick, 2006); and 8) CEO tenure and its impact on company invention under differing levels of technological dynamism (Wu, Levitas, & Priem, 2005). The impact of tenure on CEO role perception and how CEOs spend time is missing from empirical research on CEOs yet it is believed that the amount of time a CEO has spent in the job has an impact on how they conduct themselves on the job (Walters et al., 2006). Understanding what CEOs do at work and how CEOs spend their time is important for both stakeholders and organizations, thus, the research questions for this study are:

1. *Is there a difference in role perception among CEOs with different levels of tenure in the CEO position?*
2. *Do CEOs with different levels of tenure in the CEO position spend their time differently?*

These questions will be explored using the following research hypotheses:

H1) CEOs in four different levels of tenure will not differ significantly in terms of role agreement.

H2) CEOs in four different levels of tenure will not differ significantly in terms of how they spend their time.

2.2 Theoretical Framework

The conceptual framework underlying this study is Henry Mintzberg's theory on the role of CEO (Mintzberg, 1968; 1973). Mintzberg (1968; 1973) described ten roles of the CEO using the process of structured observation. The instrument developed for this study (Appendix A) utilized Mintzberg's ten roles and added another 21 roles described by other researchers. The 31 roles are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. 31 CEO Roles Identified in the literature

Role	Description	Researcher
Monitor	The CEO receives and collects information enabling the development of a thorough understanding of the organization.	Mintzberg, 1973.
Disseminator	The CEO transmits special information into the organization.	Mintzberg, 1973.
Spokesperson	The CEO disseminates the organization's information into the business world.	Mintzberg, 1973.
Commander	The CEO gives orders to employees.	Gulick, 1937 (as cited in Mintzberg, 1973).
Leader	The CEO leads and motivates subordinates.	Mintzberg, 1973.
Motivator	The CEO creates and sets a sense of excitement and vitality in the organization, challenging people to gain new competencies and achieve higher levels of performance.	Hart & Quinn, 1993.
Director	The CEO makes sure the right people are in the right place at the right time doing the right things.	Gulick, 1937.
Entrepreneur	The CEO initiates change within the organization.	Mintzberg, 1973.
Disturbance handler	The CEOs takes charge of the organization when it is threatened.	Mintzberg, 1973.
Conflict handler	The CEO handles conflicts that arise between individuals and outside organizations.	Castaldi, 1986.
Resource allocator	The CEO decides when the organization will expend efforts and resources.	Mintzberg, 1973.
Task master	The CEO has a strong focus on results, or getting the job done.	Hart & Quinn, 1993.
Staffer	The CEO makes sure the right people are hired for	Gulick, 1937.

	the right positions.	
Negotiator	The CEO is compelled to enter negotiations on behalf of the organization.	Mintzberg, 1973.
Problem solver	The CEO serves to solve the organization's problems.	Lau, Pavett, & Newman, 1979.
Organizer	The CEO makes sure deadlines are met.	Fayol, 1916 (as cited in Mintzberg, 1973).
Analyzer	The CEO focuses on efficient management of the internal operating system in the interest of serving existing products/markets.	Hart & Quinn, 1993.
Controller	The CEO makes sure projects are completed on time.	Fayol, 1916.
Operator	The CEO makes sure day-to-day operations are being completed in a satisfactory manner.	Howe, 1988.
Technical expert	The CEO is the expert on product and market.	Lau et al, 1979.
Consultant	The CEO provides advice on issues that arise within the organization.	Lafley, 2009.
Coordinator	The CEO makes sure all efforts are coordinated towards the goals and strategic plan of the organization.	Fayol, 1916; Gulick, 1937.
Innovator	The CEO guides the organization into new cycles of innovation in U.S. markets and in overseas markets.	Galambos, 1995.
Planner	The CEO does both short-term and long-term planning for the organization.	Fayol, 1916; Gulick, 1937.
Vision setter	The CEO creates the sense of identity and mission for the organization.	Hart & Quinn, 1993.
Strategist	The CEO crafts the organization's strategy.	Stata, 1988.
Transformer	The CEO transforms the organization as markets and the external environment change.	Galambos, 1995.
Creator & maintainer of culture	The CEO establishes and ensures the organization's culture is consistent with its strategic focus and plan.	Sashkin&Fullmer, 1988.
Link/statesperson	The CEO links the external world to the world inside the organization.	Lafley, 2009.
Figurehead	The CEO represents the organization in all formal	Mintzberg, 1973.

	matters.
Liaison	The CEO interacts with peers and others outside the organization to gain favors and information. Mintzberg, 1973.

Using the process of constant comparative analysis, these 31 roles were grouped into six categories of roles and appear as Table 2.

Table 2. Roles by Role Category

Role Category	Roles
Informational Roles:	Monitor, Disseminator, Spokesperson, Commander
Interpersonal Roles:	Leader, Motivator, Director
Decisional Roles:	Entrepreneur, Disturbance handler, Conflict handler, Resource allocator, Task master, Staffer, Negotiator, Problem solver
Operational Roles:	Organizer, Analyzer, Controller, Operator, Technical expert, Consultant
Strategic Roles:	Coordinator, Innovator, Planner, Vision setter, Strategist, Transformer, Creator and maintainer of culture
Diplomacy Roles:	Link/statesperson, Figurehead, Liaison

2.3 Research Design

This study was non-experimental, quantitative, and utilized an e-mailed survey using Qualtrics, a survey tool available through the School of Education at Colorado State University. The survey was e-mailed to approximately 28,000 CEOs in the United States. All participants received the same survey via e-mail. There is not a control group. Data were analyzed with quantitative methods including one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) when assumptions were normal and Kruskal-Wallis, a non-parametric tool, when assumptions were skewed.

2.4 Sample

A primary concern at the beginning of this research project was gaining access to enough CEOs to make the study useful. One of the reasons CEOs are studied infrequently may be due to difficulty in accessing them (Thomas, 1995; Useem, 1995). Many CEOs are reluctant to participate in survey research and many e-mailed surveys may not actually reach the CEO. Executive assistants frequently pre-screen an executive's e-mail causing surveys to be deleted before the CEO even sees them. In order to achieve a desired sample size of 384 (Dillman, 2007) several strategies were employed to insure a successful study. The primary source of CEO e-mail addresses was a database purchased from Lead411.net. At the time of purchase this database was estimated to contain approximately 100,000 companies with 30,000 CEO e-mail addresses. The actual database contained almost 28,000 CEO e-mail addresses and all 28,000 were included in the study, thus, the sample represented the entire *accessible* population of CEOs. An additional sample was snowballed from the researcher's personal contacts. This snowballed sample resulted in approximately 125 accessible CEOs.

After receiving IRB approval, an e-mail was sent to the sample including the appropriate IRB consent information and a link to the on-line survey in Qualtrics. The results included 1,768 surveys started, 1,237 completed, and 1,202 usable surveys. The response rate was 4.29%, considered good for an on-line survey (Dillman, Smyth, & Christian, 2009).

Non-respondents totaled 26,784, a very substantial number but not unexpected. Nonresponse error is only one kind of error that may result from survey research (Dillman, 2007). Other errors include sampling error, coverage error, and measurement error. If any one of these types of errors is encountered the study may "become increasingly suspect and decreasingly valuable as evidence of the characteristics in other audiences" (Dooley & Lindner, 2003, p. 100). Several solutions are recommended for controlling nonresponse error, including ignoring it, comparing respondents to the population, comparing respondents to non-respondents, and comparing early to late respondents (Dooley & Lindner, 2003).

For purposes of this study the last wave of 30 respondents was compared to early respondents. An independent sample *t* test of the first 30 and last 30 respondents resulted in findings indicating no statistically significant differences on agreement with role categories or time spent in the role categories. This is a "generally accepted procedure(s) for handling nonresponse error as a threat to external validity of research findings" (Dooley & Lindner, 2003, p. 103). Data were analyzed using ANOVA (agreement with roles) and Kruskal-Wallis (time spent in role categories).

2.5 External Validity

The purpose of sampling is to select a sample that represents the total population (Gall, Gall, & Borg, 2005; Gliner, Morgan & Leech, 2009). A representative sample allows the researcher to make inferences from the sample to the population and a representative sample is more important than overall sample size. Furthermore, it is a critical aspect for the evaluation of external validity (Gliner, et al., 2009). Internal validity was not evaluated because the purpose of this study is not to infer a causal

relationship between the constructs (CEO Tenure) and the CEO's perception of and time spent in specific role categories.

2.6 Data Collection

The survey asked participants to rate their agreement with 31 CEO roles using a five-point Likert scale of *Strongly Agree* (1) to *Strongly Disagree* (5). The survey also asked the CEOs to estimate the amount of time spent each week in six categories of roles: Informational, Interpersonal, Decisional, Strategic, Operational, and Diplomacy. The following demographic data were also collected: age, gender, years in current job, years as CEO, last degree earned, major of last degree earned, company size in employee numbers and in sales revenues, type of company/industry, private or publicly held, any additional titles, other C-level executives reporting to the CEO and the titles held by these C-level executives.

Tenure as a CEO was coded into four categories for the purpose of this research. The four categories are: Category One – 0 to 10 years as a CEO; Category Two – 11 to 20 years as a CEO; Category Three – 21 to 30 years as a CEO and Category Four – Over 31 years as a CEO.

Data collection was conducted between October 28, 2010 and November 24, 2010. E-mails were sent out in groups of approximately 7,000 over the course of two weeks. Reminder e-mails were sent within seven days of the original e-mail.

2.7 Measurement Validity and Reliability

Three types of evidence support measurement validity of an instrument: content validity, criterion-related validity, and construct validity (Gall et al., 2005; Gliner et al., 2009). It is more difficult to obtain measurement validity than reliability and reliability and consistency are both necessary for validity (Gliner et al., 2009). There is content evidence available when instruments have been used previously however the instrument used in this research was new. It can be argued there was some content evidence because the instrument was developed from research on CEO roles over the past 40 years. Content analysis or constant comparative analysis was used to create the six categories of roles from the detailed role descriptions. Construct evidence can be obtained by analysis of the instrument's internal structure via factor analysis. Cronbach's alpha was used to test internal consistency reliability of the roles within the six role categories: informational, interpersonal, decisional, operational, strategic, and diplomacy. A description of the Cronbach's alpha score follows.

Because the instrument was developed specifically for this study it was tested using factor analysis. Factor analysis is used to determine the items in an instrument that result in consistent responses from participants. Items receiving consistent responses are an indication that the items may be measuring the same construct and fit the categories (Gall et al, 2005). The alpha is based on the average correlation of each item with every other item and is used because it provides a measure of reliability based on one administration of a questionnaire or survey (Gliner et al., 2009).

Exploratory factor analysis resulted in findings that appear to be reliable and valid scores of CEO role perceptions and time spent in role categories, (Glick, 2013). Internal consistency for each factor was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, considered the normal test of reliability. Cronbach's alpha was .88 for

all 31 components. To provide support for internal consistency reliability alpha should be .70 or larger, and a positive number (Morgan, Leech, Gloeckner, & Barrett, 2011). By component Cronbach's alpha ranged from .43 (component #7) to .82 (component #1). Confirmatory factor analysis was not run because this is the first time the instrument was utilized in a research study

3. Discussion

3.1 Findings

Research hypothesis one, *CEOs in four different levels of tenure will not differ significantly in terms of role agreement*, was tested using ANOVA because these data were normally distributed. A statistically significant difference was found among the four levels of tenure and agreement with the strategic roles, $F(3, 1116) = 3.217, p = .022$ (Table 3), however post hoc Games-Howell indicated there were no statistically significant differences in role agreement between any of the four categories of CEO job tenure.

Table 3. One-way Analysis of Variance Summary Table Comparing a CEO's Tenure with Agreement on Six Role Categories

Source		df	SS	MS	F	P
Strategic Roles	Between Groups	3	2.082	.694	3.217	.022
	Within Groups	1116	240.730	.216		
	Total	1119	242.812			

Bold = significance

Research hypothesis two, *CEOs in four different levels of tenure will not differ significantly in terms of how they spend their time*, was tested using a Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test because these data were skewed. The test indicated that the four levels of tenure differed significantly in four of the six role categories: time spent in interpersonal roles, $X^2(3, N = 1178) = 10.722, p = .013$; time spent in decisional roles, $X^2(3, N = 1178) = 14.096, p = .003$; time spent in operational roles $X^2(3, N = 1178) = 16.335, p = .001$; and time spent in strategic roles $X^2(3, N = 1178) = 10.670, p = .014$. There were no statistically significant differences in time spent in the informational or diplomatic role categories among the four levels of CEO tenure. Post hoc Mann-Whitney tests compared the four levels of tenure (Table 4). The Mann-Whitney results in Table 4 indicate tenure in the CEO role seems to have an impact on how much time is spent in six categories of roles. It should be noted most effect sizes were small.

Table 4. Kruskal-Wallis/Mann-Whitney Summary Table Comparing CEO Tenure with Time Spent in Role Categories.

	df	N	X ²	Mean Rank	p	z	r
<i>Interpersonal Roles:</i>	3	1178	10.722		.013		
Between 0-10 years tenure and over 31 years tenure		672 55		369.19 300.63	.018	-2.359	.09
Between 11-20 years tenure and 21-30 years tenure		336 115		234.04 202.51	.024	-2.263	.11
Between 11-20 years tenure and over 31 years tenure		336 55		201.79 160.64	.012	-2.526	.13
<i>Decisional Roles:</i>	3	1178	14.096		.003		
Between 0-10 years tenure and over 31 years tenure		672 55		370.53 284.26	.003	-2.972	.11
Between 11-20 years tenure and 21-30 years tenure		336 115		218.05 249.22	.025	-2.246	.11
Between 11-20 years tenure and over 31 years tenure		336 115		200.89 166.10	.032	-2.146	.11
Between 21-30 years tenure and over 31 years tenure		115 55		93.75 68.25	.001	-3.202	.25
<i>Diplomacy Roles:</i>							
Between 0-10 years tenure and over 31 years tenure		672 55		368.34 311.00	.047	-1.984	.07

Between 11-20 years tenure and over 31 years tenure	336 55	201.25 163.91	.021	-2.302	.12		
Operational Roles:	3	1178	16.335	.001			
Between 0-10 years tenure and over 31 years tenure	672 55	371.99 266.43	.000	-3.616	.13		
Between 0-10 years tenure and 11-20 years tenure	672 336	518.71 476.09	.027	-2.212	.07		
Between 11-20 years tenure and over 31 years tenure	336 115	201.98 159.49	.009	-2.611	.13		
Between 21-30 years tenure and over 31 years tenure	115 55	92.22 71.45	.009	-2.602	.20		
Strategic Roles:	3	1178	10.670	.014			
Between 0-10 years tenure and over 31 years tenure	672 55	370.85 280.26	.002	-3.113	.12		
Between 11-20 years tenure and over 31 years tenure	336 55	201.31 163.54	.020	-2.324	.12		
	df	N	X²	Mean Rank	p	z	r
Between 21-30 years tenure and over 31 years tenure		115 55		91.36 73.25	.024	-2.262	.17
Informational Roles:							
Between 0-10 years tenure		671		369.36	.008	-2.672	.10

and over 31 years tenure	55	292.04			
Between 21-30 years tenure	114	91.68	.010	-2.584	.20
and over 31 years tenure	55	71.16			
Between 11-20 years tenure	333	199.97	.017	-2.396	.12
and over 31 years tenure	55	161.35			

3.2 Research Limitations

This study was limited to CEOs in the United States so the study cannot be considered applicable to middle or lower-level managers or to CEOs in other countries. The results of this study cannot be generalized to the entire United States population of CEOs and it is unclear if results would be consistent with CEOs outside the United States. This study was also limited by the response rate which impacts the ability to generalize the results. The study may also have been limited by socially responsible responses as it is possible that CEOs chose responses they believed were desirable rather than answering the questions accurately. The responses to questions regarding time spent in role categories were estimates and it is not possible to know how accurate those estimates were. The study incorporates the use of a new survey instrument causing some concern about validity and reliability.

3.3 Conclusions and Future Research

Tenure as a CEO did not seem to impact CEO role perception in this study's participants however tenure did influence the way CEOs spend their time. The majority of statistically significant differences in time spent in roles occurred between CEOs with over 31 years of tenure and those with between zero and ten years and 11 and 20 years of tenure. There may be a variety of reasons for these differences. CEOs in the role over 31 years may not need to work as many hours to do the job effectively. Additionally, CEOs with over 31 years of tenure may not work as many hours in a week as their younger counterparts, for reasons including increased family obligations and changing priorities. CEOs with 31 years or more of tenure may also be spending time in roles that were not listed on the survey. Future research should include interviews with CEOs from different categories of tenure to provide deeper understanding of how CEOs spend their time. Such interviews could also be used to add new roles CEOs engage in that were missed in the first version of the survey instrument.

The results of this research cannot be generalized to the entire population of CEOs and that was not the intent of this study. The purpose of this study was to explore differences in CEOs with varying levels of tenure in terms of their perception of the CEO role and the time they spend in six categories of roles. It seems clear that CEOs who have spent more time in the role allocate their time differently than CEOs with less tenure. Future studies are needed to develop our understanding about how tenure impacts the role of CEO.

References

- Biddle, B. J., & Thomas, E. J. (Eds.) (1966). *Role theory concepts and research*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.
- Boatright, J. R. (2009). From hired hands to co-owners: Compensation, team production, and the role of the CEO. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 19(4), 471-496.
- Breene, R. T. S., Nunes, P. F., & Shill, W. E. (2007). The chief strategy officer. *Harvard Business Review*, 85(10), 84-93.
- Brookman, J., & Thistle, P. D. (2009). CEO tenure, the risk of termination and firm value. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 15, 331-344.
- Castaldi, R. M. (1986). An analysis of the work roles of CEOs of small firms. *American Journal of Small Business*, 11(1), 53-64.
- Cunningham, P. W., Lynham, S. A., & Weatherly, G. (2006). National human resource development in transitioning societies in the developing world: South Africa. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 8(1), 62-83.
- Dillman, D. A. (2007). *Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., & Christian, L. M. (2009). *Internet, mail, and mixed-mode surveys: The tailored design method*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Dooley, L. M., & Lindner, J. R. (2003). The handling of nonresponse error. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 14(1), 99-110.
- Edersheim, E. H. (2007). Peter Drucker's "unfinished chapter:" The role of the CEO. *Leader to Leader*, 45, 40-46.
- Galambos, L. (1995). The authority and responsibility of the chief executive officer: Shifting patterns in large U.S. enterprises in the twentieth century. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 4(1), 187-203.
- Gall, J. P., Gall, M. D., & Borg, W. R. (2005). *Applying educational research: A practical guide* (5th ed.). Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Glick, M. B. (2013). The development of an instrument for measuring role perceptions of U.S. chief executive officers. *Modern Management Science & Engineering*, in press.
- Gliner, J. A., Morgan, G. A., & Leech, N. L. (2009). *Research methods in applied settings: An integrated approach to design and analysis* (2nd ed., p. 218). New York: NY: Taylor and Francis.
- Hales, C. P. (1986). What do managers do? A critical review of the evidence. *Journal of Management Studies*, 23(1), 88-115.
- Hart, S. L., & Quinn, R. E. (1993). Roles executives play: CEOs, behavioral complexity, and firm performance. *Human Relations*, 46(5), 543-574.
- Henderson, A. D., Miller, D., & Hambrick, D. C. (2006). How quickly do CEOs become obsolete? Industry dynamism, CEO tenure, and company performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 27, 447-460.

- Howe, M. (1988). *A test of Mintzberg's (1973) assertion that all managers perform all managerial roles, in addition to an investigation of the psychometric properties of the Pavett & Lau (1983) questionnaire* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada.
- Lafley, A. G. (2009). What only the CEO can do. *Harvard Business Review*, 87(5), 54-62.
- Lau, A. W., Pavett, C. M., & Newman, A. R. (1979). The nature of managerial work: A comparison of public and private sector jobs. *Academy of Management Proceedings, Detroit, MI*, 339-343.
- Matsumura, E. M. & Shin, J. Y. (2005). Corporate governance and CEO compensation: Intended and unintended consequences. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 62(2), 101-113.
- McClelland, P. L., Barker III, V. L., & Oh, W. (2012). CEO career horizon and tenure: Future performance implications under different contingencies. *Journal of Business Research*, 6, 1387-1393.
- Mintzberg, H. (1968). *The manager at work—Determining his activities, roles, and programs by structured observation* (Doctoral dissertation). Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Cambridge, MA.
- Mintzberg, H. (1973). *The Nature of Managerial Work*. New York, NY: Harper & Row, Publishers, Inc.
- Morgan, G. A., Leech, N. L., Gloeckner, G. W., & Barrett, K. C. (2011). *SPSS for introductory statistics use and interpretation* (4th ed.). New York: NY: Routledge.
- Musteen, M., Barker III, V. L., & Baeten, V. L. (2010). The influence of CEO tenure and attitude toward change on organizational approaches to innovation. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 46(3), 360-387.
- Richard, O. C., Wu, P., & Chadwick, K. (2009). The impact of entrepreneurial orientation on firm performance: The role of CEO position tenure and industry tenure. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 20(5), 1078-1095.
- Simsek, Z. (2007). CEO tenure and organizational performance: An intervening model. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28, 653-662.
- Sashkin, M., & Fulmer, R. M. (1988). Toward an organizational leadership theory. *Emerging Leadership Vistas*, 51-65.
- Stata, R. (1988). The role of the chief executive officer in articulating the vision. *Interfaces*, 18(3), 3-9.
- Thomas, R. J. (1995). Interviewing important people in big companies. In R. Hertz, & J. Imbers (Eds.), *Studying elites using qualitative methods* (pp. 3-17). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Useem, M. (1995). Reaching corporate executives. In R. Hertz, & J. Imber (Eds.), *Studying elites using qualitative methods* (pp. 19-39). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Walters, B. A., Kroll, M. J., & Wright, P. (2007). CEO tenure, boards of directors, and acquisition performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 60, 331-338.
- Wang, H. Davidson II, W. N., & Wang, X. (2010). The Sarbanes-Oxley act and CEO tenure, turnover, and risk aversion. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 50, 367-376.
- Wu, S., Levitas, E., & Priem, R. L. (2005). CEO tenure and company invention under differing levels

of technological dynamism. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(5), 859-873.

APPENDIX A

Role of CEO

Please participate in this confidential survey. Your perspective on the role of CEO is important to research on this topic. Please read each role description and indicate your level of agreement/disagreement as it relates to your role as a CEO. You are provided a space to add and describe any roles that are missing from the survey. Please indicate the approximate number of hours you spend on each role category per week. As a final step please provide some background demographic information about yourself and your company. Thank you for your participation in this research. Informational Roles:

1. I receive and collect information enabling me to develop a thorough understanding of my organization.

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

2. I transmit special information into the organization.

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

3. I give orders to employees.

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

4. I disseminate the organization's information into the business world.

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

Interpersonal Roles:

5. I lead and motivate my subordinates.

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

6. I create and set a sense of excitement and vitality in the organization, challenging people to gain new competencies and achieve higher levels of performance.

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

7. I make sure the right people are in the right place at the right time doing the right things.

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

Decisional Roles:

8. I initiate changes within the organization.

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

9. I take charge when my organization is threatened.

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

10. I handle conflicts that arise between individuals and outside organizations.

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree

- Strongly Disagree
11. I decide where my organization will expend efforts and resources.
- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree
12. I have a strong focus on results or getting the job done.
- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree
13. I make sure the right people are hired for the right positions.
- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree
14. I am compelled to enter negotiations on behalf of my organization.
- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree
15. I am the person who solves the organization's problems.
- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree
- Operational Roles:
16. I make sure deadlines are met.
- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree nor Disagree
- Disagree

Strongly Disagree

17. I focus on efficient management of the internal operating system in the interest of serving existing products/markets.

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Disagree

Strongly Disagree

18. I make sure projects are completed on time.

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Disagree

Strongly Disagree

19. I make sure that day-to-day operations are being completed in a satisfactory manner.

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Disagree

Strongly Disagree

20. I am the expert on product and market.

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Disagree

Strongly Disagree

21. I provide advice on issues that arise within the organization.

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Strategic Roles:

21. I make sure all efforts are coordinated towards the goals and strategic plan of the organization.

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

- Disagree
 - Strongly Disagree
23. I guide the organization into new cycles of innovation.
- Strongly Agree
 - Agree
 - Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - Disagree
 - Strongly Disagree
24. I do both short-term and long-term planning for the organization.
- Strongly Agree
 - Agree
 - Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - Disagree
 - Strongly Disagree
25. I create a sense of identity and mission for my organization.
- Strongly Agree
 - Agree
 - Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - Disagree
 - Strongly Disagree
26. I craft the organization's strategy.
- Strongly Agree
 - Agree
 - Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - Disagree
 - Strongly Disagree
27. I transform the organization as markets and the external environment change.
- Strongly Agree
 - Agree
 - Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - Disagree
 - Strongly Disagree
28. I establish and ensure the organization's culture is consistent with its strategic focus and plan.
- Strongly Agree
 - Agree
 - Neither Agree nor Disagree
 - Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Diplomacy Roles:

29. I link the external world to the world inside the organization.

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Disagree

Strongly Disagree

30. I represent the organization in formal matters.

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Disagree

Strongly Disagree

31. I interact with peers and others outside the organization to gain favors and information.

Strongly Agree

Agree

Neither Agree nor Disagree

Disagree

Strongly Disagree

Please feel free to describe any roles you engage in that are not listed above and please include an estimate of the time spent in these roles on a weekly basis:

Approximately how many hours do you spend in an average week on the individual role categories?

_____ Informational Roles

_____ Interpersonal Roles

_____ Decisional Roles

_____ Operational Roles

_____ Strategic Roles

_____ Diplomacy Roles

Please provide some demographic information about yourself and your organization:

My age is:

My gender is:

Male

Female

Years in current job

Years as CEO

Last degree earned

- High School
- Associates Degree
- Bachelors Degree
- Masters Degree
- PhD
- Professional
- Other _____

Major of Last degree earned or majority of industry experience

- Operations
- Finance
- Public Relations
- Technical/Engineering
- Other _____

Company Size (Employee Number)

Company Size (Sales Revenues)

What industry are you currently working in?

Is your company privately or publicly-held?

- Private
- Public

Do you have an additional title?

- Yes
- No

What other titles do you have?

Do you have other C-level executives working for you?

- Yes
- No

What are the titles of the other C-level executives that work for you? (for example, COO or CFO)