ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Association between Serum Uric Acid and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Meta-Analysis

Guntur Darmawan¹, Laniyati Hamijoyo¹, Irsan Hasan²

¹ Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Padjadjaran University – Hasan Sadikin Hospital, Bandung, Indonesia.

² Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia – Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia.

Corresponding Author:

Guntur Darmawan, MD. Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Padjadjaran University – Hasan Sadikin Hospital. Jl. Pasteur no 38, Bandung 40173, Indonesia. email: guntur_d@yahoo.com.

ABSTRAK

Latar belakang: perlemakan hati non-alkoholik (PHNA) berhubungan dengan berbagai penyakit metabolik. Penelitian terbaru menunjukkan peranan asam urat pada PHNA melalui proses oksidatif dan inflamasi. Laporan ini bertujuan mengevaluasi hubungan antara kadar asam urat serum dengan PHNA. Metode: tinjauan pustaka sistematik dilakukan dengan menggunakan Pubmed dan Cochrane library. Kualitas dari setiap studi dikaji dengan menggunakan the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE). Semua data dianalisis dengan menggunakan REVIEW MANAGER 5.3. Hasil: didapatkan 11 studi dari Amerika dan Asia yang secara keseluruhan melibatkan 100.275 subjek. Pooled adjusted OR untuk NAFLD adalah 1,92 (95% CI: 1,66-2,23; p<0,00001). Analisis subgroup dilakukan berdasarkan desain studi, gender, subjek non diabetes, subjek non obese. Semua analisa subgroup menunjukkan adjusted OR yang bermakna secara statistic dan heterogenitas yang rendah hingga sedang pada mayoritas analisis subgrup. Dua studi menunjukkan hubungan antara kenaikan serum asam urat dengan tingkat keparahan PHNA. Bias publikasi tidak ditemukan pada laporan ini. Kesimpulan: laporan ini menunjukkan hubungan antara kadar serum asam urat dengan PHNA. Temuan ini dapat memberikan pandangan yang baru terhadap asam urat dalam praktik klinis. Peningkatan kadar serum asam urat dapat menjadi pemicu bagi dokter untuk melakukan skrining PHNA.

Kata kunci: asam urat, perlemakan hati non-alkoholik, meta-analisis.

ABSTRACT

Background: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is known to be associated with some metabolic disorders. Recent studies suggested the role of uric acid in NAFLD through oxidative stress and inflammatory process. This study is aimed to evaluate the association between serum uric acid and NAFLD. **Methods:** a systematic literature review was conducted using Pubmed and Cochrane library. The quality of all studies was assessed using the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE). All data were analyzed using REVIEW MANAGER 5.3. **Results:** eleven studies from America and Asia involving 100,275 subjects were included. The pooled adjusted OR for NAFLD was 1.92 (95% CI: 1.66-2.23; p<0.00001). Subgroup analyses were done based on study design, gender, non-diabetic subjects, non-obese subjects. All subgroup analyses showed statistically significant adjusted OR and most of which having low to moderate heterogeneity. Two studies revealed relationship between increased serum uric acid levels and severity of NAFLD. No publication bias was observed. **Conclusion:** our study demonstrated association between serum uric acid

level and NAFLD. This finding brings a new insight of uric acid in clinical practice. Increased in serum uric acid levels might serve as a trigger for physician to screen for NAFLD.

Keywords: uric acid, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, meta-analysis.

INTRODUCTION

METHODS

Non alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is one of the most common chronic liver diseases. The prevalence of NAFLD has doubled during last 20 years, ranging from 24% to 42% in Western countries and 5% to 30% in Asian countries, depending on the studied population.1-5 NAFLD is diagnosed when daily alcohol consumption is ≤ 20 g/day in women and ≤ 30 g/ day in men and exclude other causes of disease (autoimmune, viral, steatogenic drugs, etc).^{4,5} It is pathologically characterized by excessive accumulation of triglyceride (more than 5%) in the hepatocytes, ranging from simple steatosis, non alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), fibrosis, and liver cirrhosis which may progress to hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Multiple "hits", having metabolic syndrome as a major role and inflammation process involving cytokines, adipokines, oxidative stress are hypothesized to explain the complex pathogenesis and progression of NAFLD.^{3,4} NAFLD, widely considered as liver manifestation of metabolic syndrome, is associated with some clinical conditions. Obesity, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia are the most reviewed factors associated with NAFLD.4,6,7

Uric acid, the final oxidation product of purine metabolism in humans, is allied with metabolic disorders. It is widely known that increased serum uric acid levels often coexist with insulin resistance, atherosclerosis, hypertension, and obesity. Inflammation and oxidative stress are hypothesized to be the essential link in this relationship.^{8,9} Moreover, there is an increasing of evidence that uric acid relates with NAFLD. Petta, et al.¹⁰ showed hyperuricemia related with the severity of liver damage. Recently, many observational studies were done to explore the correlation between serum uric acid level and NAFLD.^{9,11-25}

Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis study to evaluate the association between serum uric acid levels and NAFLD in adult.

We conducted this study according to the meta-analysis PRISMA guideline (see PRISMA checklist).²⁶ We did systematic literature search using Cochrane and PubMed database (up to December 2015). The following search terms were used for searching relevant literature with research subjects limited to humans and adult:"uric acid" OR"serum uric acid" OR "hyperuricemia" AND "non-alcoholic fatty liver disease" OR "NAFLD" OR "non-alcoholic steatohepatitis" OR "NASH" OR "fatty liver" OR "liver steatosis" AND "observational study" OR "cross sectional" OR "prospective study" OR "retrospective study". Additional manual search was performed to look for additional relevant studies. Article selection and assessment were done by reviewers. We contacted the correspondence authors via email to obtain the required information when relevant information was not available in the published article.

Eligibility Criteria

The inclusion criteria were: (i) published observational studies with large sample size (more than 1000 subjects); (ii) study providing SUA and NAFLD risk factors; (iii) the outcome was NAFLD; (iv) the diagnostic criteria of outcome was clearly defined; (v) study had adjusted odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) for NAFLD risk comparing the highest to lowest SUA. For studies with data published more than once or using the same subjects, only the article with larger number of subjects and adequate study strategy was chosen.

Data Extraction

Data were extracted independently by authors from original studies as follows: author's name, publication year; origin country, study design, participant characteristics (total number, gender, and age); category of SUA levels, NAFLD definition, incidence or prevalence of NAFLD, adjusted OR with 95% CI.

Quality Assessment

We assessed the quality of each selected study by scoring 22-item Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE). The quality levels then were graded as good, fair, and poor. Only studies with good quality were included in our final analysis review. Discrepancies and disagreements were resolved by consensus.

Statistical Analysis

We used the fully adjusted OR with 95% CI and pooled it. The Mantel-Haenszel method was used to weight the studies included. A fixedeffect model approach was use if there was no heterogeneity; otherwise, a random-effect model was used. Heterogeneity was assessed using I². Negative value of I² was put equal to 0. I² values ranged from 0% (no observed heterogeneity) to 100%, and interpreted according to Cochrane Consumers and Communication Review Group.

For subgroup analyses, we grouped the studies based on study design, gender, non-diabetic subjects, non-obese subjects and pooled the fully adjusted OR with 95% CI. Publication bias was assessed by funnel plot. Statistical analysis was performed using Review Manager 5.3.

RESULTS

Our initial search yielded 53 studies. After the final screening, 11 studies met our criteria.^{9,14-17,19-23,25} Within the 11 studies, one study by Wu, et. al. consisted of 2 sub studies with different subjects, place, and study designs (cross-sectional and longitudinal study). We further decided to include only the longitudinal sub study due to inaccurate data reporting in the cross-sectional sub study.⁹ The total number of subjects in the included studies was 100,725. The flowchart showed the process of studies selection (**Figure 1**).

Study Characteristics

The studies were published between 2009 and 2015, and the characteristics of which are summarized in **Table 1**. Studies were done in various countries, including China $(n=4)^{9,15,16,21}$, Korea $(n=4)^{14,19,21,22}$, Japan $(n=1)^{19}$, India $(n=1)^{25}$,



Figure 1. Flow chart of study selection process

Table	a1. Study c	haracteri	stic								
No	Author	Year	Country	Design	Subjects	Age	Method for NAFLD diagnosis	Comparison	Prevalence/ Incidence NAFLD	Adjusted covariates	HR or OR (95% CI)
-	Hwang	2011	South Korea	Cross-sectional	M: 4632 F: 4387 Normal serum uric acid	~ 20	S S D	Highest tertilevs lowest tertile M: 6.4-7.2 mg/dl vs <5.1 mg/dl F: 4.6-5.7 mg/dl vs <3.5 mg/dl	23.5% (2124/9019)	Age Smoking status Regular exercise BM BP FPG Total Cholesterol Triglyceride HDL ALT AST GGT	OR M: 1.46 (1.17-1.82) F: 2.13 (1.42-3.18)
Ν	×	2010	China, Ningbo	Prospective observational 3 years follow up	M: 4492 F: 2398	44.4 (12.7)	S S	Highest quintile vs lowest quintile M: ≥410 µmo//L vs ≤295 µmo//L vs F: ≥299 µmo//L vs ≤205 µmo//L	11.79% (813/6890)	Age Gender Alcohol BMI Waist circumference SBP DBP AST ALT GGT Triglyceride Total Cholesterol HDL LDL LDL FPG Creatinine BUN	HR 1.62 (1.26-2.08: p 0.003)
б	Cai	2013	China	Cross sectional	4157 Uyghur 6448 Han	43.24 (12.91) 42.24 (12.91)	9 C	Highest quintilevs lowest quintile M: ≥417 µmo//L vs ≤281.68 µmo//L F ≥357 µmo//L vs ≤194 µmo//L	36.69% (3906/10645)	Age Gender Hypertension Diabetes Dyslipidemia Obesity	OR Uyghur: 3.253 (2.304- 4.594; p 0.00) Han: 3.053 (2.321- 4.015; p 0.00)

HR or OR (95% CI)	or OR M: 2.31 (1.34- 4.01) F: 1.82 (1.17- 2.84)	OR M: 1.54 (1.11- 2.13; p.0.009) F: 1.5 (1.15-1.95; p.0.003)	OR 1.84 (1.25-2.71; p 0.002)
Adjusted	Age BMI Increase in BMI fo 5 years SBP Triglyceride FPG Smoking	Age Race Hypertension Waist circumferen Triglycerides HDL eGFR HOMA AST	Age Gender FPG ALT Fasting insulin Bilitubin Alcohol Smoking status Regular exercise Educational background
Prevalence/ Incidence	NAFLD 10.51% (433/4118)	48.85% (954/1953)	Incidence 13% (644/4954)
Comparison	Highest vs lowest quartile M: >6.5 mg/dl vs ≤5.0 mg/dl F: ≥4.9 mg/dl vs <3.7 mg/dl	Highest vs lowest quartile M: > 6.9 mg/dl vs ≤ 5.2 mg/dl F: > 5.3 mg/dl vs ≤ 3.7 mg/dl	Highest vs lowest quartile 5.9-12.6 mg/dLvs 0.6- 3.9 mg/dL
Method for NAFLD	diagnosis	USG Graded severity	DSG
Age	M: 51.4 (11.2) F: 51.82 (9.2)	20-74 41.81 (0.4)	40 (5.9)
Subjects	M: 1042 F: 3076	M: 4924 F: 5808 Non-diabetic subjects	M: 2502 F: 2452 Non-diabetic subjects
Design	Retrospective	Cross sectional	Retrospective cohort (5 years)
Country	Japan	USA	South Korea
Year	2011	2013	2010
Author	Yamada	Sirota	ee
No	4	сл	۵

Table 1. Study characteristic

	HR or OR (95% CI)	OR 1.34 (1.15-1.55; p 0.001)	OR 3.71 (2.83-4.88; p<0.001)	HR M: 1.249 (0.975- 1.601) F: 2.355 (1.702- 3.259)
	Adjusted covariates	Age BMI Smoking Alcohol Exercise Total cholesterol HDL Triglycerides Glucose SBP Insulin hsCRP Metabolic syndrome	Age Gender BMI SBP DBP Total Cholesterol HDL LDL LDL Log Triglyceride Log ALT Log AST	Age BMI SBP FPG Albumin ALT AST AST BUN Creatinine Triglyceride HDL LDL
	Prevalence/ Incidence NAFLD	29.9% (1717/5741)	23.35% (5091/21798)	Pros: 8.99% (1022/11363)
	Comparison	Highest vs lowest quartile <5.2 mg/dl vs< ≥6.5 mg/dl	Highest vs lowest quartile >363.6 µmol/L vs <223.7 µmol/L	Highest vs lowest quartile M: ≥ 436 µmol/L vs ≤ 330 µmol/L vs ≤ F: ≥ 311 µmol/L vs ≤ 230 µmol/L
	Method for NAFLD diagnosis	DSG L	DSG L	DSG L
	Age	36.7 (4.9)	41.1 (18- 90)	M: 43.5 (13.2) F: 39.1 (11.6)
	Subjects	5741 (all men)	21798	M: 4851 F: 6512
	Design	Cohort 7 years	Cross sectional	Prospective (median: 23.6 months)
stic	Country	South Korea	China	China
characteris	Year	2011	2015	2015
1. Study c	Author	Ryu	Liang	R R
Table	No	۲	<u>∞</u>	<u>ි</u>

No	Author	Year	Country	Design	Subjects	Age	Method for NAFLD diagnosis	Comparison	Prevalence/ Incidence NAFLD	Adjusted covariates	HR or OR (95% CI)
10	Lee	2009	Korea	Cross sectional	Non obese M: 4127 F: 4683 Obese	Adult	DSU	Hyperuricemiavs normal	11.9% (1045/8810)	Age BP HDL Triglycerides	OR Non obese M: 1.4 (1.1-1.7) F: 2.2 (1.1-4.2) Obese
					M: 3094 F: 1717					AS I ALT GGT	M: 1.8 (1.5-2.1) F: 2.3 (1.5-3.6)
					M: 1066 F: 818		NSG	Highest vs lowest	%V 0C	Age	OR M: 2.07 (1.37-
7	Valiyakath	2015	India	Cross sectional	Non diabetic, non dyslipidemia, non obese subjects	21-65	Graded severity	gaanoo M: >7 mg/dl vs ≤5 mg/dl F: >6 mg/dl vs ≤4 mg/dl	(554/1884)		2.81) F: 1.99 (1.23- 3.09)
M, n GG1 rate;	nale; F, fem <i>e</i> 「, gamma-glı HOMA, hon	ale; BMI, utamyltra neostasis	body mas Insferase; s model as	s index; BP, blood SBP, systolic blood ssessment; hsCRP	pressure; FPG, fasting 1 pressure; DBP, diastol 1, high sensitivity C- reac	plasma gluco lic blood pres ctive protein; (se; HDL, high c sure; LDL, low OR, odds ratio;	density lipoprotein; ALT, ala density lipoprotein; BUN, b HR, hazard ratio; CI, conf	inine aminotran; blood urea nitroç idence interval	sferase; AST, aspartate jen; eGFR, estimated ç	e aminotransferase; glomerular filtration

Table 1. Study characteristic

and the USA (n=1).²³ There were six cross sectional studies, three prospective studies, and two retrospective studies. Three studies had non-diabetic subjects only^{20,22,23}, one study separated the subjects into obese and non-obese¹⁹, 1 study included non-obese, non-diabetic, non hypertensive, non dyslipidemia subjects only.²⁵

Meta-analysis Result

The total event of NAFLD was 18,303. The pooled adjusted OR for NAFLD from 11 studies was 1.92 (95% CI: 1.66-2.23; p<0.00001) (**Figure 2**) We performed subgroup analysis based on study design, showing pooled adjusted OR was 1.55 (95% CI: 1.23-1.96; p<0.0002) in three prospective studies^{9,15,22}, 2.06 (95% CI: 1.70-2.51; p<0.00001) in six cross sectional studies^{14,16,19,21,23,25}, and 1.93 (95% CI: 1.49-2.49; p<0.00001) in two retrospective studies.^{8,20} (**Figure 2**)

In subgroup analysis based on gender, the pooled adjusted OR was 1.52 (95% CI: 1.35-1.72;

p<0.00001) in men^{8,9,14,19,22,23,25} and 1.93 (95% CI: 1.67-2.23; p<0.00001) in women.^{8,9,14,19,23,25} Moderate heterogeneity (I²=47%) was found in men group but no heterogeneity (I²=0%) in women group. (**Figure 3**) Four studies in non-diabetic subjects^{20,22,23,25} and two studies in non-obese subjects^{19,25} revealed statistically significant adjusted OR (OR 1.56; 95% CI: 1.34-1.82; p<0.0001 and OR 1.73; 95% CI: 1.36-2.2; p<0.0001, respectively) with no substantial heterogeneity (I²=28% in both subgroup). (**Figure 4** and **Figure 5**)

For the overall 11 studies, no evidence of publication bias was observed in the funnel plot (**Figure 6**).

DISCUSSION

In our meta-analysis of 11 studies, we found a significant association between serum uric acid and NAFLD. The risk of NAFLD was increased almost 2-fold in the highest serum uric acid

				Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% CI
1.4.1 Prospective study					
Ryu 2011, prospective	0.2927	0.078	6.4%	1.34 [1.15, 1.56]	-
Wu 2015, prospective, female	0.8565	0.1657	5.1%	2.35 [1.70, 3.26]	
Wu 2015, prospective, male	0.2223	0.1264	5.7%	1.25 [0.97, 1.60]	
Xu 2010, prospective	0.4824	0.1282	5.7%	1.62 [1.26, 2.08]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			22.9%	1.55 [1.23, 1.96]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Chi ² = 11.72, df =	3 (P = 0.008); I ² =	74%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.69 (P = 0.0002)					
1.4.2 Cross sectional study					
Cai 2013, cross sectional, Han	1.1161	0.1399	5.5%	3.05 [2.32, 4.02]	
Cai 2013, cross sectional, Uyghur	1.1796	0.176	5.0%	3.25 [2.30, 4.59]	
Hwang 2011, cross sectional, female	0.7561	0.2069	4.5%	2.13 [1.42, 3.20]	
Hwang 2011, cross sectional, male	0.3784	0.113	5.9%	1.46 [1.17, 1.82]	
Lee K 2009, cross sectional, female	0.7885	0.3537	2.7%	2.20 [1.10, 4.40]	
Lee K 2009, cross sectional, female, obese	0.8329	0.2181	4.3%	2.30 [1.50, 3.53]	
Lee K 2009, cross sectional, male	0.3365	0.123	5.8%	1.40 [1.10, 1.78]	
Lee K 2009, cross sectional, male, obese	0.5878	0.093	6.2%	1.80 [1.50, 2.16]	
Liang J 2015, cross sectional	1.311	0.1381	5.6%	3.71 [2.83, 4.86]	
Sirota 2013, cross sectional, female	0.4055	0.1356	5.6%	1.50 [1.15, 1.96]	
Sirota 2013, cross sectional, male	0.4318	0.1671	5.1%	1.54 [1.11, 2.14]	the second se
Valiyakath 2015, cross sectional, female	0.6881	0.2455	4.0%	1.99 [1.23, 3.22]	
Valiyakath 2015, cross sectional, male Subtotal (95% CI)	0.7275	0.2106	4.5% 64.6%	2.07 [1.37, 3.13]	T
Heterogeneity: Tour= 0.10: Chiz= 61.63 df=	12 /P < 0.00001\·I	Z- 01%	ONON	2100 [11 0, 210 1]	•
Test for overall effect: $Z = 7.30$ (P < 0.00001)	12 (1 ~ 0.00001), 1	- 01 /0			
1.4.3 Retrospective study					
Lee JW 2010, retrospective	0.6098	0.1973	4.7%	1.84 [1.25, 2.71]	
Yamada 2010, retrospective, female	0.5988	0.2254	4.2%	1.82 [1.17, 2.83]	
Yamada 2010, retrospective, male	0.8372	0.2779	3.5%	2.31 [1.34, 3.98]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			12.4%	1.93 [1.49, 2.49]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 0.54, df = 2 Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.01$ (P < 0.00001)	! (P = 0.76); I ^z = 0%	6			
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.92 [1.66, 2.23]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.08; Chi ² = 92.76, df =	19 (P < 0.00001); I	² = 80%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 8.66 (P < 0.00001)					Eavours (control) Eavours (experimente)
Test for subgroup differences: Chi2 = 3.54, df =	= 2 (P = 0.17), I ² =	43.4%			

Figure 2. Forest plot describing association between serum uric acid and NAFLD in overall studies and subgroup analysis based on study method

				Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.2.1 Male					
Hwang 2011, cross sectional, male	0.3784	0.113	9.5%	1.46 [1.17, 1.82]	-
Lee K 2009, cross sectional, male	0.3365	0.123	8.9%	1.40 [1.10, 1.78]	
Lee K 2009, cross sectional, male, obese	0.5878	0.093	10.8%	1.80 [1.50, 2.16]	-
Ryu 2011, prospective	0.2927	0.078	11.8%	1.34 [1.15, 1.56]	-
Sirota 2013, cross sectional, male	0.4318	0.1671	6.6%	1.54 [1.11, 2.14]	
Valiyakath 2015, cross sectional, male	0.7275	0.2106	5.0%	2.07 [1.37, 3.13]	
Wu 2015, prospective, male	0.2223	0.1264	8.7%	1.25 [0.97, 1.60]	-
Yamada 2010, retrospective, male	0.8372	0.2779	3.3%	2.31 [1.34, 3.98]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			64.7%	1.52 [1.35, 1.72]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² = 13.18, df =	7 (P = 0.07); I ² = 47	'%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.72 (P < 0.00001)					
1.2.2 Female					
Hwang 2011, cross sectional, female	0.7561	0.2069	5.1%	2.13 [1.42, 3.20]	
Lee K 2009, cross sectional, female	0.7885	0.3537	2.2%	2.20 [1.10, 4.40]	
Lee K 2009, cross sectional, female, obese	0.8329	0.2181	4.7%	2.30 [1.50, 3.53]	
Sirota 2013, cross sectional, female	0.4055	0.1356	8.2%	1.50 [1.15, 1.96]	-
Valiyakath 2015, cross sectional, female	0.6881	0.2455	4.0%	1.99 [1.23, 3.22]	
Wu 2015, prospective, female	0.8565	0.1657	6.7%	2.35 [1.70, 3.26]	-
Yamada 2010, retrospective, female	0.5988	0.2254	4.5%	1.82 [1.17, 2.83]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			35.3%	1.93 [1.67, 2.23]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 5.99, df = 6	$6 (P = 0.42); I^2 = 0\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 8.80 (P \le 0.00001)$					
T-1-1 (05%) OB			100.00	4 07 14 50 4 075	
lotal (95% CI)			100.0%	1.67 [1.50, 1.87]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 27.99, df =	$14 (P = 0.01); I^2 = 5$	50%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 9.03 (P < 0.00001)					Favours [control] Favours [experimental]
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 5.91, df	= 1 (P = 0.02), I ² = 8	33.1%			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Figure 3. Forest plot describing association between serum uric acid and NAFLD based on gender

			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio] SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% CI
Lee JW 2010, retrospective	0.7885 0.3537	4.5%	2.20 [1.10, 4.40]	_
Ryu 2011, prospective	0.2927 0.078	38.1%	1.34 [1.15, 1.56]	-
Sirota 2013, cross sectional, female	0.4055 0.1358	21.5%	1.50 [1.15, 1.96]	-
Sirota 2013, cross sectional, male	0.4318 0.1671	16.1%	1.54 [1.11, 2.14]	-
Valiyakath 2015, cross sectional, female	0.6881 0.2455	8.7%	1.99 [1.23, 3.22]	
Valiyakath 2015, cross sectional, male	0.7275 0.2108	11.2%	2.07 [1.37, 3.13]	
Total (95% CI)		100.0%	1.56 [1.34, 1.82]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² = 6.93, df Test for overall effect: Z = 5.70 (P < 0.0000	= 5 (P = 0.23); I² = 28% 11)		μ	0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours (control) Favours (experimental)

Figure 4. Forest plot describing association between serum uric acid and NAFLD in non-diabetic subjects

			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Odds Ratio] Sl	: Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Lee K 2009, cross sectional, female	0.7885 0.353	10.5%	2.20 [1.10, 4.40]	
Lee K 2009, cross sectional, male	0.3365 0.12	45.9%	1.40 [1.10, 1.78]	
Valiyakath 2015, cross sectional, female	0.6881 0.245	5 19.3%	1.99 [1.23, 3.22]	
Valiyakath 2015, cross sectional, male	0.7275 0.210	5 24.3%	2.07 [1.37, 3.13]	
Total (95% CI)		100.0%	1.73 [1.36, 2.20]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 4.19, df	= 3 (P = 0.24); I ² = 28%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.46 (P < 0.0000)$	11)			Favours [control] Favours [experimental]

Figure 5. Forest plot describing association between serum uric acid and NAFLD in non-obese subjects

group compared to the lowest group. This finding was in line with previous meta-analysis study by Liu, showing a dose-response relationship of serum uric acid with incidence of NAFLD in two prospective studies.²⁷ Although the pathogenesis is still not fully understood, several mechanisms are hypothesized to explain the relationship. Uric acid stimulated inflammation through production of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK), cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), chemokinemonocyte chemoattractant protein-1. Moreover, serum uric acid within



Figure 6. Funnel plot

the normal range correlated positively with interleukin-18 (IL-18), IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α). It also induced oxidative stress in adipocytes and vascular cells. Uric acid amplified the lipogenic effects of fructose by increasing ketohexokinase (KHK) expression which resulted in triglycerides accumulation in hepatocytes. The co-presence of insulin resistance in NAFLD might increase the serum uric acid through reduction of uric acid clearance in the renal proximal tubule.^{17,28}

We did subgroup analyses to explore the association within the similar study design, gender and subject characteristics. Cross sectional studies showed significant association between serum uric acid and NAFLD. Longitudinal studies, intended to further investigate the causal relationship, also revealed significant association, with better value in heterogeneity. Men and women have different serum uric acid levels, influenced by the uricosuric effect of estrogens. Our study revealed that the association between serum uric acid and NAFLD were significant in both genders, with higher risk in women. The higher impact in women was in accordance with other studies observing the relationship between gender-specific hyperuricemia and the development of cardiovascular metabolic disorders.^{8,29-31} Although it is still cannot be fully explained, the difference in sex hormones, gender-specific effects of uric acid production, life style are proposed to be the underlying mechanism.9,30

Some of the well known risk factors for NAFLD are diabetes and obesity.^{3,7,32,33} Although

there were adjustment for body mass index and blood glucose in the included studies, we performed two subgroup analyses evaluating studies using non-diabetic subjects and studies using non-obese subjects. Analyses of 4 studies in non-diabetic subjects using different approaches (retrospective, prospective, and cross-sectional) revealed a significant association with moderately low heterogeneity. Similar result was found in analyses of 2 studies in non-obese subjects. These, strengthen the relationship between uric acid and NAFLD regardless diabetes or obesity status.

In addition, 2 studies evaluated the relationship between serum uric acid levels and severity of hepatic steatosis by ultrasonography examination.^{23,25} Both of the studies showed increasing severity of NAFLD in line with increased serum uric acid levels. This finding was in accordance with study by Lin, showing that liver fat content accumulation was associated with elevated serum uric acid.³⁴

The large number of subjects from different countries included in the meta-analysis was the strength of our study. Other strength was we separately evaluated the association between serum uric acid levels and NAFLD in based on study design and subjects' characteristic. The significant association between serum uric acid levels and NAFLD as shown in our study, might bring a new insight in clinical practice as a physician. First, although the role was not totally clear (e.g. as marker or etiology), increased serum uric acid may bring the physician to screen for the risk of NAFLD. Second, there is a potential therapeutic role of xanthin oxidase inhibitor, such as allopurinol, in NAFLD. Inhibition of xanthin oxidase would lower KHK levels and ameliorate the lipogenic effects of fructose in the liver, as shown in animal study by Lanaspa.²⁸

Several limitations in our study should be mentioned. First, almost all subjects were health check-up patients; therefore, selection bias might be present in the study since subjects participating in the study would be more healthconscious, having less severe disease than general population in community. Nonetheless, it would just underestimate the observed association between serum uric acid and NAFLD. Secondly, there was no adjustment for dietary factors, such as meat and fructose intake which might influence serum uric acid levels and NAFLD. Thirdly, since alcohol intake data was taken based on self-report questionnaire, it can underestimate the exact amount of alcohol consumed. Fourthly, NAFLD was determined by ultrasonography examination in all included studies with no histologic confirmation of fatty liver. None of the studies performed either liver biopsy or liver fibroscan examination. Although liver ultrasonography is not the gold standard, it is the first-line imaging technique for NAFLD. Liver ultransonography is non-invasive, safe, has an acceptable accuracy, and able to evaluate the severity of fatty liver either qualitatively or semiquantitatively.³⁹Lastly, there is a need to consider the menstrual cycle phase in premenopausal women since serum uric acid levels may varies throughout the menstrual cycle.⁴⁰

Further studies on community based subjects with prospective design are needed to demonstrate clearly the causal relationship between serum uric acid levels and NAFLD. Moreover, prospective studies using xanthine oxidase inhibitor as a potential treatment of NAFLD deserve should be conducted.

CONCLUSION

Our report showed an association between serum uric acid levels and NAFLD. This finding brings new insight into uric acid in clinical practice. Increase serum uric acid levels might serve as a trigger for physician to screen for NAFLD.

Conflict of interest and source of funding: all authors declare no conflict of interest. The study received no external funding.

REFERENCES

- Amarapurkar DN, Hashimoto E, Lesmana LA, Sollano JD, Chen P-J, Goh K-L. How common is non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in the Asia-Pacific region and are there local differences? J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2007; 22(6):788–93.
- Bedogni G, Nobili V, Tiribelli C. Epidemiology of fatty liver: an update. World J Gastroenterol. 2014; 20(27):9050–4.
- 3. Hu X, Huang Y, Bao Z, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in

shanghai work-units. 2012;12:123.

- LaBrecque DR, Abbas Z, Anania F, Ferenci P, Khan AG, Goh KL. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2012;48(6):467-73.
- Ratziu V, Bellentani S, Cortez-Pinto H, Day C, Marchesini G. A position statement on NAFLD/NASH based on the EASL 2009 special conference. J Hepatol. 2010;53(2):372–84.
- Fan JG, Saibara T, Chitturi S, Kim BI, Sung JJY, Chutaputti A. What are the risk factors and settings for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Asia-Pacific? J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2007;22:794–800.
- Miyake T, Kumagi T, Furukawa S, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: factors associated with its presence and onset. 2013;28:71–8.
- Yamada T, Fukatsu M, Suzuki S, Wada T, Joh T. Elevated serum uric acid predicts impaired fasting glucose and type 2 diabetes only among Japanese women undergoing health checkups. Diabetes &Metabolism. 2011;37(3):252–8.
- Wu S, Zhu GQ, Ye BZ, et al. Association between sexspecific serum uric acid and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Chinese adults. Medicine. 2015;94(17):1– 10.
- Petta S, Cammà C, Cabibi D, Di Marco V, Craxì A. Hyperuricemia is associated with histological liver damage in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2011;34(7):757–66.
- Cai W, Song JM, Zhang B, Sun YP, Yao H, Zhang YX. The prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and relationship with serum uric acid level in Uyghur population. The Scientific World Journal. 2014;2014:393628.
- Liang GW, Xu X, Liu Y, Liu L, Zhao N. Association between serum Uric acid and nonalcoholic fatty liver disese in Beijing adults. J Med Res. 2011;40(12)6–9.
- Shih MH, Lazo M, Liu SH, Bonekamp S, Hernaez R, Clark JM. Association between serum uric acid and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in the US population. J Formos Med Assoc. 2015;114(4):314–20.
- Hwang IC, Suh SY, Suh AR, Ahn HY. The relationship between normal serum uric acid and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J Korean Med Sci. 2011;386–91.
- Xu C, Yu C, Xu L, Miao M, Li YM. High serum uric acid increases the risk for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease : a prospective observational study. PLoS ONE. 2010;5(1):1–6.
- Cai W, Wu X, Zhang B, et al. Serum uric acid levels and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Uyghur and Han ethnic groups. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab. 2013;57(8):617-22.
- Yamada T, Suzuki S, Fukatsu M, Wada T, Yoshida T, Joh T. Elevated serum uric acid is an independent risk factor for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in Japanese undergoing a health checkup. Acta Gastroenterol Belg. 2010;73:12-7.

- Xie YL, Wang MJ, Zhang YJ, et al. Serum uric acid and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in non-diabetic Chinese men. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(7):e67152.
- Lee K. Relationship between uric acid and hepatic steatosis among Koreans. Diab Metabolism. 2009;35:447-51.
- Lee JW, Cho YK, Ryan MC, et al. Serum uric acid as a predictor for the development of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in apparently healthy subjects: a 5-year retrospective cohort study. Gut and Liver. 2010;4(3):378–83.
- Liang J, Pei Y, Gong Y, et al. Serum uric acid and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in non-hypertensive Chinese adults : the cardiometabolic risk in Chinese (CRC) study. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2015;19:305–11.
- Ryu S, Chang Y, Kim SG, Cho J, Guallar E. Serum uric acid levels predict incident nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in healthy Korean men. Metabolism Clin Experiment. 2011;60(6):860–6.
- 23. Sirota JC, McFann K, Targher G, Johnson RJ, Chonchol M, Jalal DI. Elevated serum uric acid levels are associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease independently of metabolic syndrome features in the United States: liver ultrasound data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Metabolism. 2013;62(3):392–9.
- Kuo CF, Yu KH, Luo SF, et al. Gout and risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Scand J Rheumatol. 2010;39:466-71.
- Valiyakath S, Junise M. Association between serum uric acid and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in a tertiary care center in Northern Valiyakath. GJRA. 2015;4(12):177–9.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-Analyses: the PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6(6):e1000097.
- Liu Z, Que S, Zhou L. Dose-response relationship of serum uric acid with metabolic syndrome and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease incidence: a metaanalysis of prospective studies. Scientific Reports. 2015;5:14325.

- Lanaspa MA, Sanchez-Lozada LG, Cicerchi C, et al. Uric acid stimulates fructokinase and accelerates fructose metabolism in the development of fatty liver. PLoS One. 2012;7(10):e47948.
- 29. Kim SY, Guevara JP, Kim KM, Choi HK, Heitjan DF, Albert DA. Hyperuricemia and coronary heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arthritis Care Res. 2010;62(2):170–80.
- 30. Kawamoto R, Tabara Y, Kohara K, Kusunoki T, Abe M, Miki T. Serum uric acid is more strongly associated with impaired fasting glucose in women than in men from a community-dwelling population. PLoS One. 2013;8(6):1–5.
- 31. Meisinger C, Döring A, Stöckl D, Thorand B, Kowall B, Rathmann W. Uric acid is more strongly associated with impaired glucose regulation in women than in men from the general population: The KORA F4-study. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):3–9.
- 32. Shen HC, Zhao ZH, Hu YC, Chen YF, Tung TH. Relationship between obesity, metabolic syndrome, and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in the elderly agricultural and fishing population of Taiwan. Clin Interv Aging. 2014;9:501–8.
- 33. Zhang WJ, Chen LL, Zheng J, Lin L, Zhang JY, Hu X. Association of adult weight gain and nonalcoholic fatty liver in a cross-sectional study in Wan Song community, China. Brazilian J Med Biol Res. 2014;47(2):151–6.
- 34. Lin H, Li Q, Liu X, et al. Liver fat content is associated with elevated serum uric acid in the Chinese middleaged and elderly populations: Shanghai Changfeng study. 2015;175:1–11.
- Ballestri S, Romagnoli D, Nascimbeni F, Francica G, Lonardo A. Role of ultrasound in the diagnosis and treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and its complications. Expert Rev. Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2015;9(5):603-27.
- Mumford SL, Dasharathy SS, Pollack AZ, et al. Serum uric acid in relation to endogenous reproductive hormones during the menstrual cycle: findings from the BioCycle study. Hum Reprod. 2013;28(7):1853–62.