

Washington Law Review

Volume 39 | Number 4

10-1-1964

Editor's Notes

Donald C. Cole

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wlr>

Recommended Citation

Donald C. Cole, Editors Notes, *Editor's Notes*, 39 Wash. L. Rev. xviii (1964).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.uw.edu/wlr/vol39/iss4/2>

This Editors Notes is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Reviews and Journals at UW Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington Law Review by an authorized editor of UW Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact cnyberg@uw.edu.

EDITORIAL BOARD OF THE LAW REVIEW

DONALD C. COLE, *Editor-in-Chief*

ROBERT M. KENNAN
Casenote Editor

JOHN S. STEPHAN
Articles Editor

GEORGE AKERS
Managing Editor

STANLEY A. CARLSON
Student Comment Editor

FREDERICK O. FREDERICKSON
Research Editor

MARTIN T. CROWDER
Symposium Editor

STAFF MEMBERS

RUSSELL A. AUSTIN, JR.

JAMES W. BORTNER, JR.

GERALD DAY

GUST S. DOCES

KEITH DYSART

RODGER W. PEGUES

ROSS R. RUNKEL

D. MCKAY SNOW

JACK G. STROTHER

THOMAS W. TOP

CHARLES E. WATTS

PHILIP A. TRAUTMAN, *Faculty Adviser*

MARIAN G. GALLAGHER, *Faculty Business Manager*

EDITOR'S NOTES

The Editorial Board of the *Review* has attempted in this issue to provide our readers with articles which not only have immediate utility in current practice but also give consideration to those emerging legal concepts which should be familiar to all members of the legal profession.

In an article on Discovery under the Federal Rules, Mr. Jeremiah Long has extensively explored a complex area of importance to the lawyer. Following this, Mr. Richard Steincipher surveys the legal aspects of medical malpractice in Washington. This will, we believe, be of value to both the attorney and the physician. Professor Morris, who represented the University of Washington professors in the recent loyalty oath case, *Baggett v. Bullitt*, 377 U.S. 360 (1964), presents the historical setting of the Washington loyalty oath and the position this case occupies in the modern constitutional law framework. Another constitutional law problem, having immediate importance in Washington, is presented by Professor Mitchell in his analysis of judicial restraint and legislative redistricting prior to *Baker v. Carr*.

It may be well to note that the January issue of the *Review* will be devoted to the Washington tax structure, an area which will provide the 1965 Washington Legislature with problems ranking second only to reapportionment. This forthcoming issue will also contain an analysis of the revised Probate Code which is to be presented to the 1965 legislature.

DONALD C. COLE
Editor-in-Chief