

El siguiente artículo ha sido aceptado para ser publicado en el vol. 30, no. 1 de la revista Ciencia e Ingeniería Neogranadina. Esta versión es preliminar y puede contener algunos errores.

Cómo citar:

Castillo, J. A., Granados, Y. C., & Fajardo Ariza, C. A. (2019). Patient-Specific Detection of Atrial Fibrillation in Segments of ECG Signals using Deep Neural Networks. *Ciencia E Ingeniería Neogranadina*, 30(1). <https://doi.org/10.18359/rcin.4156>

Patient-Specific Detection of Atrial Fibrillation in Segments of ECG Signals using Deep Neural Networks

Detección de fibrilación auricular en señales ECG usando Redes Neuronales para pacientes específicos

Jeyson A. Castillo*
Yenny C. Granados**
Carlos A. Fajardo ***

Fecha de recepción: 14 de junio de 2019

Fecha de aprobación: 1 de octubre de 2019

Cómo citar:

Castillo, J. A., Granados, Y. C., & Fajardo Ariza, C. A. (2019). Patient-Specific Detection of Atrial Fibrillation in Segments of ECG Signals using Deep Neural Networks. *Ciencia E Ingeniería Neogranadina*, 30(1). <https://doi.org/10.18359/rcin.4156>

* Universidad Industrial de Santander. E- jeison.castillo@correo.uis.edu.co. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1425-1516>

** Universidad Industrial de Santander. E- yenny.granados@correo.uis.edu.co. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5418-0076>

*** Universidad Industrial de Santander. E- cafajar@uis.edu.co. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8995-4585>

Resumen

La fibrilación auricular (FA) es la arritmia cardíaca más común en todo el mundo. Se asocia con una reducción de la calidad de vida y aumenta el riesgo de accidente cerebrovascular e infarto de miocardio. Desafortunadamente muchos casos de FA son asintomáticos, lo cual aumenta el riesgo para los pacientes. Debido a su naturaleza paroxística, la detección de la FA requiere la evaluación, por parte de un cardiólogo, de señales ECG de larga duración. En Colombia, es difícil tener diagnóstico temprano de la FA debido a los costos asociados a la detección de la FA y la distribución geográfica de los cardiólogos. Este trabajo es parte de un proyecto macro que tiene como objetivo desarrollar un dispositivo portátil para pacientes específicos que permita detectar la FA, el cual estará basado en una red neuronal convolucional (CNN). Nuestro objetivo es encontrar un modelo CNN adecuado, que luego se pueda implementar en hardware. Se aplicaron diversas técnicas para mejorar la respuesta con respecto a la exactitud, la sensibilidad, la especificidad y la precisión. El modelo final alcanza una exactitud del 97,44%, una especificidad del 97,76%, una sensibilidad del 96,97% y una precisión del 96,80%. Durante el desarrollo del modelo, el costo computacional y los recursos de memoria se tuvieron en cuenta para obtener un modelo de hardware eficiente en una futura implementación del dispositivo.

Palabras clave: Detección automática; ECG; Fibrilación Auricular; Redes Neuronales Convolucionales; Redes Neuronales Profundas.

Abstract

Atrial Fibrillation (AF) is the most common cardiac arrhythmia worldwide. It is associated with reduced quality of life and increases the risk of stroke and myocardial infarction. Unfortunately, many cases of AF are asymptomatic and undiagnosed, which increases the risk for the patients. Due to its paroxysmal nature, the detection of AF requires the evaluation, by a cardiologist, of long-term ECG signals. In Colombia, it is difficult to have access to an early diagnosis of AF because of the associated costs to the detection and the geographical distribution of cardiologists. This work is part of a macro project that aims to develop a specific-patient portable device for the detection of AF. This device will be based on a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). We aim to find a suitable CNN model, which later could be implemented in hardware. Diverse techniques were applied to improve the answer regarding accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and precision. The final model achieves an accuracy of 97.44%, a specificity of 97.76%, a sensitivity of 96.97% and a precision of 96.80%. During the development of the model, the computational cost and memory resources were taking into account in order to obtain an efficient hardware model in a future implementation of the device.

Keywords: Atrial Fibrillation; Automatic Detection; Convolutional Neural Networks; Deep Neural Networks; ECG.

Introduction

Atrial Fibrillation (AF) is the most common cardiac arrhythmia in clinical practice. Its global prevalence is around 2% in the community, around 5% in patients older than 60 years and 10% in people over 80 years old. The AF is associated with reduced quality of life and also increases the risk of stroke and myocardial infarction. In Colombia, some studies show that the incidence and mortality of AF have increased especially in people over the age of 70 [1, 2].

AF is caused due to various health complications. During an episode of AF, the contraction of the atria is asynchronous because of the fast firing of electrical impulses. The main characteristics of an episode of AF are: the absence of a sinus P wave, irregular and fast ventricular contraction, presence of an abnormal and variable RR interval, atrial heart rate oscillates between 350 to 600 beats per minute (bpm) and narrow QRS complexes ($< 120\text{ milliseconds}$) [1].

By using electrodes placed on the skin is possible to record the heart's electrical activity. A voltage vs time graph is known as an electrocardiogram (ECG signal). These electrical changes are a consequence of cardiac muscle depolarization followed by repolarization during each cardiac cycle (heartbeat). The ECG morphology contains important information about the conditions of the heart. Thus, the ECG signals are used to detect abnormalities in heart activity [3].

The ECG signals are interpreted manually by cardiologists in order to detect cardiac abnormalities. The detection of AF demands to analyze long recordings because of its paroxysmal nature. These analyses are time-consuming, expensive, and some times could be subjective. Figure 1 shows typical ECG signals. The blue representation is a normal ECG signal and other (red) is an Atrial Fibrillation ECG.




Figure 1 : Signals from MIT BIH AF Database. Normal ECG , Atrial Fibrillation ECG. [4]

Source: Self made.

Note that the abnormal activity of the atria produces variations in heart rate and a faster ventricular response, although there is also the opposite case, where the ventricular response becomes slower.

The FA is generally asymptomatic or shows nonspecific symptoms [5]. However, several medical reports call attention to the importance of early diagnosis in order to start an early treatment [6, 7]. However, these early diagnostics are quite difficult to do in countries like Colombia, where there is an irregular distribution of cardiologists around the country. For example, more than 80% of cardiologists are localized only in seven of the main cities in Colombia [8]. Thus, the intermediate cities, small towns, and rural zones have not the possibility to have access to an early cardiology service.

Convolution Neural Networks (CNN) have been used to detect cardiac arrhythmias. In [9] a 1-D CNN approach is used, which classifies the ECG signal for the detection of ventricular ectopic beats and supraventricular ectopic beats with a very high accuracy.

The [10] Georgia Institute of Technology, in Atlanta has developed a CNN approach to detect AF. In this work, the cardiac signals are recorded by a Pulsatile photoplethysmographic sensor. The approach uses a Wavelet Transform before fed the CNN. Finally, a multinomial regression is used to establish the presence or absence of an episode of AF. This approach obtained 91.8% of accuracy. In [11] signal quality index SQI technique was combined with CNN following by a post-processing feature-based to classify AF. The accuracy for the PhysioNet/CinC database was of 80%.

This work is part of a macro project that seeks to develop a medical device, which is based on a CNN. The macro project aims to offer an alternative for diagnosis in areas where there is no cardiology service.

The aim is to find a suitable CNN model, which later could be implemented in hardware. We apply diverse techniques regarding batch, learning rate, and optimizer function in order to improve the accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and precision of the network. Our proposed model achieves an accuracy of 97.44%, a specificity of 97.76%, a sensitivity of 96.97% and a precision of 97.88% for specific patients. We used the MIT BIH Atrial Fibrillation Database [4]. Besides the proposed model performs the best sensitivity with a lower computational cost.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 shows a description of the database. Section 3 introduces the convolutional neural networks. In Section 4, we develop the training methodology and the tests done for different topologies regarding optimization techniques. In Section 5 the results are summarized. Finally, the conclusions close the article.

Database

We use ECG signals from a free public arrhythmia database called MIT BIH Atrial Fibrillation Database [4]. This database includes 25 long-term ECG recordings, which were sampled at

250 samples per second with a 12 bits resolution in a range of $\pm 10[mV]$. The recordings were made at Beth Israel Hospital in Boston of people with atrial fibrillation (AF), which include more than 300 episodes of AF. The database has non-numerical annotations, which are given according to a convention. An *A* indicates an AF episode and a *N* indicates a normal episode. The annotations were taken when there was a change of state, either from *A* to *N* or vice versa.

A pre-label function was created to label 500-samples segments. Then, each segment was normalized from 0 to 1. Finally, the normalized segments were randomized to guarantee the performance of the algorithm. The distribution of the database was made by the following terms:

- 20 patients for training equivalent to 358287 segments (86.93%)
 - 286593 segments for training (80%)
 - 71694 segments for training (20%)
- 3 patients for test equivalent to 53865 segments (13.06%)




Figure 2: Database Distribution.

Source: Self made.

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)

To the best of our knowledge, Japanese scientist Kunihiko Fukushima published the first CNN model in 1980 [12]. Then, the French scientist Yann LeCun improved this first model in 1988 [13]. LeCun's model uses three types of layers: Convolutional, sub-sampling and

fully connected layers. Convolutional layers extract features from the input image. Sub-sampling layers reduce both spatial size and computational complexity. Finally, the Fully connected layers classify the data. CNN has been implemented in various applications such as: object recognition [14,15], handwriting classification [16,17] and image classification [3,18,19], to name a few.

Recently some works have used the CNNs as a diagnostic tool of diseases such as heart attacks [20], colon cancer [18], melanoma [21], Alzheimer [22], cardiac arrhythmia's [3] and hemorrhage detection [19].

Figure 3 shows the basic configuration of a convolutional neural network, which is the combination of three basic ideas. First the Convolution Layers, which extract features of the data and reduce the number of weights. Second, the pooling layers (also known as subsampling layers), which reduce the number of connections. Finally, the fully connected layers, which develop the classification process. Note that every layer of convolution is coupled with a subsampling layer and an activation function, before reach the fully connected layers.




Figure 3: Basic configuration of CNN

Source: Self made.




Figure 4: Architecture 1

Source: Self made.

Selecting the CNN architecture: A hardware point of view.

As mentioned, several computational CNN architectures have been developed [3, 10, 11, 20], which focus on achieving higher accuracy. However, these works do not take into account issues regarding hardware and power consumption. We are interested in the development of a computational CNN architecture, which achieves the higher accuracy using the least number of parameters possible. Reducing the number of parameters allows the reduction of the power consumption.

The possible models for the solution of problem are:

- Dense Neural Network model (Multilayer Perceptron)
- Convolutional Neural Network model
 - 1D (Deep Learning)
 - 2D (Machine Learning): FFT / SFT / Wavelet

The kind of architecture selected is the Convolutional Neural Network in 1 dimension (CNN 1D) because the documentation available prove that present an excellent efficiency for time series [REFERENCIA]. Due to the fact that the heartbeat can be interpreted as a time series, it's more feasible to obtain good results. For the architecture selection, we tried with three different topologies, therefore, made it a test in a preliminary stage, using a part of the database and the same parameters for the optimization process and regularization

techniques in the three proposals, to determine which one could be more efficient in terms of accuracy. In resume, the advantages of 1D CNN model are:

- They perform extraction and reduction of characteristics.
- They allow to reduce the bidimensionality of the problems.
- Decrease in pre-processing requirements.
- The particular signal to be treated for the problem, in its natural form is a linear sequence of data
- It represents fewer parameters and reduces the number of operations compared to a 2D network, which is advantageous to reduce the computational cost, memory and energy of the project in future implementations in FPGA.

We developed three CNN architectures, which were implemented from low complexity to high complexity, in order to establish better results with the lowest complexity and number of parameters possible.

Figure 4 shows the architecture 1, which consists of 9 layers distributed as follows:

- Convolutional layer, with 3 kernels of size 4.
- Max-pooling layers of with stride = 2
- Convolutional layer, with 2 kernels of size 4.
- Max-pooling layers of with stride = 2
- Convolutional layer, with 1 kernel of size 4.
- Max-pooling layers of with stride = 2
- Flatten layer fully connected
- Fully connected layer with 10 neurons
- Fully connected layer with 1 neuron
- RELU activation function, used for the convolutional layers and middle fully connected layer.
- SIGMOID activation function, used for the output layer.

The architecture 2 consists of 9 layers in total, which are distributed as follows:

- Convolutional layer, with 3 kernels of size 15.
- Max-pooling layers of with stride = 2
- Convolutional layer, with 5 kernels of size 10.
- Max-pooling layers of with stride = 2
- Convolutional layer, with 10 kernels of size 10.
- Max-pooling layers of with stride = 2
- Flatten layer fully connected
- Fully connected layer with 10 neurons

- Fully connected layer with 1 neuron
- RELU activation function, used for the convolutional layers and middle fully connected layer.
- SIGMOID activation function, used for the output layer.

The the architecture 3. It consists of 11 layers in total, which are distributed as follows:

- Convolutional layer, with 3 kernels of size 27.
- Max-pooling layers of with stride = 2
- Convolutional layer, with 10 kernels of size 14.
- Max-pooling layers of with stride = 2
- Convolutional layer, with 10 kernels of size 3.
- Max-pooling layers of with stride = 2
- Convolutional layer, with 10 kernels of size 4.
- Max-pooling layers of with stride = 2
- Flatten layer fully connected
- Fully connected layer with 30 neurons
- Fully connected layer with 10 neurons
- Fully connected layer with 1 neuron
- RELU activation function, used for the convolutional layers and the two middle fully connected layer.
- SIGMOID activation function, used for the output layer.

Table 1 summarizes the composition of layers and their trainable parameters. This table indicates the size of the output feature maps of each layer. Note that, Max-pooling (sub-sampling) layers do not have trainable parameters.

Table 1: Architectures comparison

Architecture 1			Architecture 2			Architecture 3		
Layer type	Output shape	# parameters	Layer type	Output shape	# parameters	Layer type	Output shape	# parameters
in	(500,1)	0	in	(500,1)	0	in	(500,1)	0
convolutional	(497,3)	15	convolutional	(486,3)	48	convolutional	(474,3)	84
max pooling	(248,3)	0	max pooling	(243,3)	0	max pooling	(273,3)	0
convolutional	(245,2)	26	convolutional	(234,5)	155	convolutional	(224,10)	430
max pooling	(122,2)	0	max pooling	(117,5)	0	max pooling	(112,10)	0
convolutional	(119,1)	9	convolutional	(108,10)	510	convolutional	(110,10)	310

max pooling	(59,1)	0	max pooling	(54,10)	0	max pooling	(55,10)	0
flatten*	59	0	flatten*	540	0	convolutional	(52,10)	410
dense	10	600	dense	10	5410	max pooling	(26,10)	0
dense	1	11	dense	1	11	flatten*	60	0
						dense	30	7830
						dense	10	310
						dense	1	11


Source: Self made.

Training the architectures

We trained architecture 1 with the following algorithm:

- Optimization method: SGD
- Loss evaluation method: Binary crossentropy
- Activation function of the middle layers: Relu
- Activation function of the final layer: Sigmoid
- Epochs: 40

Figures 5 show the accuracy results for training (orange line) and validation (blue)



processes of the architectures 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

Figure 5: Training and validation process for a) Architecture 1 b) Architecture 2 c) Architecture 3

Source: Self made.

Architecture 1 does not increase accuracy during the training process. The constant behavior of the accuracy is due to the few amounts of parameters. Besides, there is a high bias and high variance. In the other hand, architecture 2 achieves better accuracy than architecture 1. However, during the validation process, a high variance and noise validation are observed. Finally, architecture 3 achieves better accuracy levels. However, there is still a high variance in this architecture, which demands to apply additional techniques to reduce this variance. Table 2 summarizes accuracy results for the three architectures. Besides, this table also shows the number of trainable parameters, which are related to the amount of memory required during the inference process.

Table 2: Architecture's trainable parameters VS Train Accuracy

	Architecture		
	1	2	3
trainable parameters	661	6134	9385
train accuracy	61.58%	80.58%	83.01%

Source: Self made.

Testing different batch values

We performed a test with different batch values for architecture 3, which achieved better accuracy in the previous test. This test is made to determine a value that improves the accuracy level for the training and validation process. Small batch values imply more operations in the calculus of gradient, but great values cause more error, regarding the validation rate. The batch values used were 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 100 and 200. For each one of these batch values, it was performed a training and testing processes with the following parameters:

- Optimization method: SGD
- Loss evaluation method: binary cross entropy
- Activation function of the middle layers: Relu
- Activation function of the final layer: Sigmoid
- Epochs: 40

Figure 6 shows the results for the training accuracy of different batch values. Notice for values over 50, the learning process is stable. Due exist multiple values that improve the training process, criteria for the selection of value is the tendency of the process with less

variance. Table 3 shows the result of the analysis of variance in each one of proves. Therefore, regarding the less variance, the batch value selected for the next test are 100.




Figure 6: Accuracy results for different batch values

Source: Self made.

Table 3: Statistical variance VS Batch size

Batch	10	25	50	100	200
Variance	0.7498e-03	0.3783e-03	0.2919e-03	0.2213e-03	0.4404e-03

Source: Self made.

Figure 7 shows the results of training and validation accuracy for a batch value of 100. Note that there is an improvement in the training process, however, the validation process stops the generalization since epoch 10.




Figure 7: Accuracy for a batch = 100.

Source: Self made.

Testing different learning rate values

For improving the response regarding learning rate values, a test was performed using SGD optimizer, and batch value for previous test. For improving the response regarding learning rate values, a test was performed using SGD optimizer, and the obtained batch value in the previous test. During the testing process, used several learning rate values, but in this section summarize just the range of the better results. The training and testing parameters used were:

- Optimization method: SGD
- Loss evaluation method: binary cross entropy
- Activation function of the middle layers: Relu
- Activation function of the final layer: Sigmoid
- Epochs: 100

Figure 8 shows the training and validation processes for learning rate values of 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3. Note that, for values of 0.1 and 0.2 the learning process takes more epochs but evidences a lower variance. On the other hand, for a learning rate of 0.3, the training process takes fewer epochs but a higher variance. Thus, a learning rate value of 0.1 was selected.




Figure 8: Training and validation accuracy for different Learning rate values test.

Source: Self made.

A new test was performed with the learning rate value selected. This test was performed and with the calculated parameters in the previous tests. In this case, we change the learning rate epoch by epoch with a constant decay factor. Figure 9 summarizes the results of this test. Note that, the accuracy reached is similar to the previous test but it takes a few numbers of epochs. However, the variance is increased when the number of epochs is over 60.




Figure 9: Accuracy for constant Decay Learning rate.

Source: Self made.

Testing different optimizers

We tested 3 different optimizers. The tests keep the learning rate and batch values as were calculated in the previous test. Figure 10 shows the training process using three optimizers,

a) SGD, b) RMSPROP and c) ADAM. For SGD optimizer, note that, the training process takes a lot of epochs to begin the learning process, which means more computational cost. In figure 10, b) shows the training process using a RMSPROP Optimizer. In this case, the training process takes fewer epochs, and reaches stability since 10 epochs, with low variance (less than 0.36%). In spite of the similarity of the SGD and RMSPROP algorithms, In figure 10, b) shows the advantages of the RMSPROP regarding the modification of the velocity function produced by the hyperparameter β . In figure 10, c) shows the training using ADAM Optimizer. The training process increases faster than in SGD and RMSPROP, and the accuracy that is achieved is similar to RMSPROP. Besides, the trend for both RMSPROP and ADAM optimizers are similar.




Figure 10: Training and validation process for different optimizers a) SGD b) RMSPROP c) ADAM

Source: Self made.

Table 4: Train and Validation Accuracy Vs Learning Rate

LR	0.1	0.2	0.3
train	83.91%	83.19%	83.59%
validation	83.39%	82.35%	82.24%

Source: Self made.

Table 5 summarizes the accuracy achieved by each optimizer, for both train and validation processes. Note that both, RMSPROP and ADAM optimizer achieves similar accuracy in training process. Regarding the less computational cost in the training process, RMSPROP show better efficacy, taking into account that the calculus of sensitivity is less complex.

Table 5: Comparison between the three optimizers

	optimizer		
	SGD	RMSPROP	ADAM
train accuracy	72.97%	97.58%	97.57%
validation accuracy	69.33%	97.22%	97.43%

Source: Self made

Results

For the training process, we use an Intel Core i5 with 2.9 GHz, and 8 Gb of RAM memory. The description language used was Python 3.5 in the Jupyter notebook application. Python environment was created using Anaconda Navigator with Tensor Flow Back-end and the Keras libraries for AI.

Figure 11 shows the confusion matrix for the RMSPROP-based learning algorithm for a specific patient. In this matrix is showed: the normal signal well and wrongly classified, fibrillated signals well and wrongly classified, the accuracy, the specificity, the sensitivity, true positives, true negatives, false positives, false negatives and precision.

In this case, the sensitivity is measured when all the input signals are fibrillated (positive condition). The value of sensitivity is the rate between the number of fibrillated signals well classified over the total of input signals. The specificity is as the sensitivity case but for normal signals (negative condition).

The Positive predicted values (PPV) are the rate between the true positives (True Fibrillated signals), and the number of predicted as positives. The negative Predicted Values (NPV) are the rate similar to PPV, but with the negative (Normal signals). The False Positives Value are the rate between the false positive (Normal signals predicted as Fibrillated) and the number of condition negative signals (True normal signals). The false Negative Value is the rate between the false negative signals (Fibrillated predicted as normal) and the total of true positives conditions.

Normal well classified 23718 57.6%	Fibrillated wrongly classified 514 1.2%	NPV 97.1% Falses positives 2.1%
Normal wrongly classified 513 1.3%	Fibrillated well classified 16436 39.9%	Precision (PPV) 96.8% Falses negatives 3.2%
Specificity 97.8%	Sensitivity 97.0%	Accuracy 97.44% Error prediction 2.6%

Figure 11: Confusion matrix for the RMSPROP-based learning algorithm for specific patient.

Source: Self made

We developed two test, one for an specific patient and other for a general group, both using k-fold validation, 7 random selection of patients in the dev-set group. Table 6 summarizes the main results for the confusion matrix for the three optimizers used. Note that, the ADAM reaches the best specificity (negative condition - normal signals). On the other hand, the RMSPROP achieves the best values for accuracy, sensitivity, and precision. It is important to note that in the case of diseases diagnosis, one of the more important statistical value is the sensitivity (positive condition - fibrillated signals).

Table 6: Matrix of predictive parameters

Positive and negative predictive values			
Parameter	SGD	RMSPROP	ADAM
Accuracy	66.33%	97.44%	97.22%
Specificity	64.69%	97.76%	98.13%
Sensitivity	68.69%	96.97%	95.92%
Precision	74.73%	97.88%	97.18%

Source: Self made

Conclusions

We have developed a CNN model to automatically identify AF from 2 seconds ECG-signals (500 samples). We tested several batch and learning rate values and three different optimizers. Our results suggest that a batch and learning rate of 100 and 0.1 respectively improve the validation accuracy. On the other hand, the RMSPROP is the better optimizer in this case, because of its matrix confusion results and for its low computational cost. We aim to use this model in future work, which will focus on the implementation of a CNN-based portable device for automatic detection of AF in Colombia.

References

- [1] J. E. Forero-Gómez, J. M. Moreno, C. A. Agudelo, E. A. Rodríguez-Arias, and P. A. Sánchez-Moscoso, "Fibrilación auricular: Enfoque para el médico no cardiólogo," *Latreia*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 404-422, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.17533/udea.iatreia.v30n4a05>
- [2] M. Romero and D. Chávez, "Carga de enfermedad atribuible a fibrilación auricular en Colombia (2000-2009)," *Revista Colombiana de Cardiología*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 374-381, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rccar.2014.08.006>
- [3] U. R. Acharya, H. Fujita, O. S. Lih, Y. Hagiwara, J. H. Tan, and M. Adam, "Automated detection of arrhythmias using different intervals of tachycardia ECG segments with convolutional neural network" *Information Sciences*, vol. 405, pp. 81 - 90, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2017.04.012>
- [4] G. AL, "The MIT-BIH Atrial Fibrillation Database." <https://physionet.org/physiobank/database/afdb/>, June 2000. Accessed: 2019-01-26.
- [5] H. V. Bhatt and G. W. Fischer, "Atrial Fibrillation: Pathophysiology and Therapeutic Options," *Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 1333-1340, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jvca.2015.05.058>
- [6] P. Kirchhof, S. Benussi, D. Kotecha, A. Ahlsson, D. Atar, B. Casadei, M. Castella, H.-C. Diener, H. Heidbuchel, J. Hendriks, et al., "2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS" *European journal of cardio-thoracic surgery*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. e1-e88, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.5603/KP.2016.0172>
- [7] J. K. Galvez-Olortegui, M. L. Álvarez-Vargas, T. V. Galvez-Olortegui, A. Godoy-Palomino, and L. Camacho-Saavedra, "Current clinical practice guidelines in atrial fibrillation: a review," *Medwave*, vol. 16, no. 01, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.5867/medwave.2016.01.6365>
- [8] A. L. Pérez C, Bllanco M, Toledo D, "Cardiólogos en Colombia," *Educación en Cardiología*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 378-385, 2003.

- [9] S. Kiranyaz, T. Ince, and M. Gabbouj, "Real-Time Patient-Specific ECG Classification by 1-D Convolutional Neural Networks," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 63, pp. 664-675, March 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2015.2468589>
- [10] S. P. Shashikumar, A. J. Shah, Q. Li, G. D. Clifford, and S. Nemati, "A deep learning approach to monitoring and detecting atrial fibrillation using wearable technology," 2017 IEEE EMBS International Conference on Biomedical and Health Informatics, BHI 2017, pp. 141-144, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1109/BHI.2017.7897225>
- [11] J. Rubin, S. Parvaneh, A. Rahman, B. Conroy, and S. Babaeizadeh, "Densely Connected Convolutional Networks and Signal Quality Analysis to Detect Atrial Fibrillation Using Short Single-Lead ECG Recordings," *Computing in Cardiology*, vol. 44, pp. 2-5, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.22489/CinC.2017.160-246>
- [12] K. Fukushima, "Neocognitron: A self-organizing neural network model for a mechanism of pattern recognition unaffected by shift in position," *Biological cybernetics*, vol. 36, pp. 193-202, 02 1980. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00344251>
- [13] "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 86, pp. 2278-2324, Nov 1998. <https://doi.org/10.1109/5.726791>
- [14] S. Hayat, S. Kun, Z. Tengtao, Y. Yu, T. Tu, and Y. Du, "A deep learning framework using convolutional neural network for multi-class object recognition," in 2018 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Image, Vision and Computing (ICIVC), pp. 194-198, June 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIVC.2018.8492777>
- [15] T. Ishii, R. Nakamura, H. Nakada, Y. Mochizuki, and H. Ishikawa, "Surface object recognition with cnn and svm in landsat 8 images," in 2015 14th IAPR International Conference on Machine Vision Applications (MVA), pp. 341-344, May 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MVA.2015.7153200>
- [16] N. Yu, P. Jiao, and Y. Zheng, "Handwritten digits recognition base on improved lenet5," in The 27th Chinese Control and Decision Conference (2015 CCDC), pp. 4871-4875, May 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CCDC.2015.7162796>
- [17] S. Pan, Y. Wang, C. Liu, and X. Ding, "A discriminative cascade cnn model for offline handwritten digit recognition," in 2015 14th IAPR International Conference on Machine Vision Applications (MVA), pp. 501-504, May 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MVA.2015.7153240>
- [18] K. Sirinukunwattana, S. E. A. Raza, Y. Tsang, D. R. J. Snead, I. A. Cree, and N. M. Rajpoot, "Locality sensitive deep learning for detection and classification of nuclei in routine colon cancer histology images," *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 35, pp. 1196-1206, May 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2016.2525803>
- [19] M. J. J. P. van Grinsven, B. van Ginneken, C. B. Hoyng, T. Theelen, and C. I. Sánchez, "Fast convolutional neural network training using selective data sampling: Application to hemorrhage detection in color fundus images," *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, vol. 35, pp. 1273-1284, May 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2016.2526689>
- [20] Z. Liu, X. Meng, J. Cui, Z. Huang, and J. Wu, "Automatic identification of abnormalities in 12-lead ecgs using expert features and convolutional neural networks," in 2018

International Conference on Sensor Networks and Signal Processing (SNSP), pp. 163-167, Oct 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SNSP.2018.00038>

[21] A. Namozov and Y. I. Cho, "Convolutional neural network algorithm with parameterized activation function for melanoma classification," in 2018 International Conference on Information and Communication Technology Convergence (ICTC), pp. 417-419, Oct 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICTC.2018.8539451>

[22] B. Khagi, C. G. Lee, and G. Kwon, "Alzheimer's disease classification from brain MRI based on transfer learning from CNN," in 2018 11th Biomedical Engineering International Conference (BMEiCON), pp. 1-4, Nov 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1109/BMEiCON.2018.8609974>

ACEPTADO