

Journal of Tropical Crop Science

(ISSN 2356-0169; e-ISSN 2356-0177) is published four-monthly by Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Bogor Agricultural University, INDONESIA.



Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:
www.j-tropical-crops.com

Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by the Publisher. The accuracy of the Content should be independently verified with primary sources of information. The publisher shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of a work published in Journal of Tropical Crop Science is granted for personal or educational/classroom use provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage.

©Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Bogor Agricultural University, INDONESIA. All rights reserved.

Journal of Tropical Crop Science

Volume 1

Number 1

June 2014

ON THE COVER

The cover image shows sunflowers by Darda Effendi

EDITORIAL BOARD

Krisantini
Sintho Wahyuning Ardie
Sandra A. Aziz
Robert J. Hampson
Satriyas Ilyas
Tri Koesoemaningtyas
Rohana P Mahaliyanaarachchi
Awang Maharijaya
Maya Melati
Roedhy Poerwanto
Bambang Sapto Purwoko
Sudarsono
Muhamad Syukur
Hugo Volkaert
Malcolm Wegener

Managing Editor

Krisantini

Graphic Design

Syaiful Anwar

Features Editor

Damayanti Buchori
Dadang
Sisir Mitra
Agus Purwito
Ernan Rustiadi

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Tropical and Subtropical Fruits in India

Sisir Mitra

Heliconia Cultivar Registration

Dave Skinner, Jan Hintze, Bryan Brunner

RESEARCH ARTICLES

Estimation of Genetic Parameter for Quantitative Characters of Pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

Muhamad Syukur, Syaidatul Rosidah

Irrigation Volume Based on Pan Evaporation and Their Effects on Water Use Efficiency and Yield of Hydroponically Grown Chilli

Eko Sulistyono, Abe Eiko Juliana

Evaluation of Commercial Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) Cultivars in Bogor, Indonesia, for Ornamental and Nursery Production

Syarifah Iis Aisyah, Khotimah, Krisantini

Different Growth Partitioning and Shoot Production of *Talinum triangulare* Treated with Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer

Sandra Arifin Aziz, Leo Mualim, Sitta Azmi Farchany

Cloning and Characterization of P5CS1 and P5CS2 Genes from *Saccharum officinarum* L. under Drought Stress

Hayati Minarsih Iskandar, Dwiyantari Widyaningrum, Sony Suhandono

Journal of Tropical Crop Science (ISSN 2356-0169; e-ISSN 2356-0177) is published four-monthly (one volume per year) by Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Bogor Agricultural University, IPB Darmaga Campus, Bogor, Indonesia 16680. Send all inquiries regarding printed copies and display advertising to info@j-tropical-crops.com or to Secretary, Department of Agronomy and Horticulture; telephone/fax 62-251-8629353.

Permission to Reprint: Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of a work published in *Journal of Tropical Crop Science* is granted for personal or educational/classroom use provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear the full citation and the following notice on the first page: "Copyright Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Bogor Agricultural University". For all other kinds of copying, request permission in writing from Head of School, Department of Agronomy and Horticulture office, IPB Darmaga Campus, Bogor, Indonesia 16680.



© Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Bogor Agricultural University. All rights reserved.
Printed in the Republic of Indonesia.

Different Growth Partitioning and Shoot Production of *Talinum triangulare* Treated with Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer

Sandra A. Aziz^{AB}, Leo Mualim^A, Sitta Azmi Farchany^A

^ADepartment of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University, Darmaga Campus, Bogor, Indonesia 16680

^B corresponding author; e-mail address sandraaziz@yahoo.com

Abstract *Talinum triangulare* or waterleaf is an underutilized tropical plant, mostly found as weeds, and has been used more as medicinal plant than as vegetable in Indonesia. The study of *Talinum triangulare* cultivation has been explored to increase the shoot production as functional vegetables. The effects of organic fertilizer applications at 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, 1.25 and 1.50 of the standard rate on waterleaf growth were tested in a Leuwikopo research station, Bogor, West Java, Indonesia. Standard rate of organic fertilizer consisted of 12.3 t.ha⁻¹ of cow manure, 226.8 kg.ha⁻¹ of guano, and 5.5 t.ha⁻¹ of rice hull ash that is equal to 100 kg urea, 60 kg SP-36 and 100 kg KCl.ha⁻¹. Net assimilation rate (NAR) of the organic fertilizer-treated plants was lower than the inorganic fertilizer-treated with plant at two to four weeks after planting (WAP). However, the plants treated with 0.75-1.25 organic fertilizer had a higher NAR than those treated with inorganic fertilizer at four to six WAP. Plants treated with 0.75 rate of organic fertilizer had similar relative growth rate (RGR) to plants treated with the inorganic fertilizer at two to four WAP, whereas plants treated with 1.00, 1.25 and 1.50 standard rate had higher RGR than plants treated with inorganic fertilizer at 4-6 WAP. Plants treated with 1.50 organic fertilizer rate had 34.55% more marketable shoots compared to those treated with inorganic fertilizer whereas those treated with 0.50 rate of organic fertilizer had 179.54% at 6 WAP. The percentage of marketable shoots to total fresh weight of the organic fertilizer-treated plants was lower than the inorganic fertilizer-treated plants.

Keywords: *Talinum triangulare*, leafy vegetables, organic

Introduction

Talinum triangulare or waterleaf is an underutilized tropical plant from Portulacaceae family found mostly as weeds, and has been used more as medicinal plant than as vegetable in Indonesia. The name of waterleaf came from the high water content of the plant, which is 95-98 % (Susanti et al., 2008; Mualim et al., 2009). Waterleaf is classified into functional vegetables. The edible part of the plants are the leaves which contain primary metabolites such as protein and vitamin C, and secondary metabolites such as phenolic compounds that have high anti-oxidant activities (Yang et al., 2006; Andarwulan et al., 2010). Phytochemical study by Aja et al. (2010a) reported that each 100g dry weight of waterleaf contain flavonoid (0.070%), alkaloid (0.056%),

saponin (0.001%), tannin (0.001%) and a total phenol of 0.489 mg eq galic acid (GAE).g⁻¹ fresh weight with lipid peroxidation inhibition of 97.1%. DPPH, ABTS and FRAP tests showed trolox equivalent (TE) values of 7.4, 1.03 and 28.3 TE/ g.ha⁻¹ fresh weight, respectively (Andarwulan et al., 2010). Waterleaf methanol extract showed TEAC test value of 79 µmol TE/g (Yang et al., 2006). The leaves of waterleaf contains carbohydrate (10.87 mg.g⁻¹), steroid (106.61 mg.g⁻¹), protein (35.20 mg.g⁻¹), fat (35.20 mg.g⁻¹), β-karoten (114.15 mg.g⁻¹), and crude fiber (120 mg.g⁻¹) (Aja et al., 2010 b).

To date there is still no available information on the effects of organic fertilizer application on waterleaf growth partitioning and shoot production. Organic farming is believed to produce better quality vegetables over conventional farming that uses inorganic fertilizers. Organically produced vegetables had a higher concentration of polyphenol antioxidants (Carbonaro et al., 2002; Young et al., 2005; Benbrook et al., 2008; Abu-Zahra et al., 2007), vitamin C, Fe, Mg, and P than those produced by conventional systems (Worthington, 2001). In addition, organic farming products had high sugar content (Stern, 2005; Hallmann and Rembialkowska, 2007). Vegetable quality is indicated by the content of vitamin, antioxidant, minerals and functional components including pigments and polyphenols (Ali et al., 2009). Studies on the effect of manure (Ibeawuchi et al., 2006; Susanti et al., 2008), and NPK (Mualim et al., 2009; Mualim and Aziz, 2011) on waterleaf growth has been reported. Application of 5 ton chicken manure.ha⁻¹ resulted in high phytochemical waterleaf quality (Susanti et al., 2009). Potassium was reported to be the limiting factor in waterleaf anthocyanin production; the highest anthocyanin (39.60 mol.plant⁻¹) was obtained from application of 100 kg SP-36.ha⁻¹ dan 100 kg KCl.ha⁻¹ (Mualim et al., 2009). The aims of this study were (1) to determine the relative growth rate (RGR) and net assimilation rate (NAR); (2) to determine if application of organic fertilizer can increase marketable shoots; (3) to investigate the effects of organic and inorganic fertilizer application on the proportion of marketable shoots to other part of the waterleaf.

Materials and methods

Treatments

The experiment was set in randomized block design with

five rates of organic fertilizer treatment, i.e. 0.50, 0.75, 1.00 (the standard rate), 1.25 and 1.50 of the standard rate, which is 12.3 t.ha⁻¹ of cow manures + 226.8 kg.ha⁻¹ of guano + 5.5 t.ha⁻¹ of hulls. One rate of organic fertilizer treatment equals to 100 kg urea, 60 kg SP-36 and 100 kg KCl.ha⁻¹ and was set as control. Plant spacing was 100 cm x 50 cm. Rice hull charcoal at 2 t.ha⁻¹ was applied at the same time with the organic fertilizer treatment application (Mualim et al., 2009). Net assimilation rate (NAR) and relative growth rate /RGR (South, 1995) were measured at two, four and six WAP, and shoot harvesting at six WAP. Marketable shoots are shoots of a minimum of 15-cm length with leaf buds and were harvested at six WAP. Data obtained from organic and inorganic fertilizer treatments were compared using t-Dunnet test.

Results

The experiment was conducted at Leuwikopo experimental farm of Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia (GPS). The soil type is latosol with flat topography, light intensity of 302 cal/cm²/minute, average

temperature of 25.8°C, and rainfall intensity of 6.5 mm per week. Soil pH (H₂O) and (KCl) was 4.6 (acidic) and 4.1 (very acidic), respectively, C/N ratio of 15 (low), CEC of 8.97 Cmol(+)/kg, soil base saturation of 57%, and soil texture consisting of sand, loam, and clay of 19%, 13% and 68%, respectively.

Talinum plants flowered at three to six WAP. The C-organic and N levels of the organic vs inorganic fertilizer-treated leaves at six WAP were 49.3 vs 50.3% and 1.81 vs 2.42%, (P<0.01), respectively; the P and K levels were 0.27 vs 0.21 and K 5.56 vs 4.92% (P<0.05), respectively.

Organic fertilizer-treated plants had a lower plant biomass (Figure 1A) and leaf photosynthetic activity (Figure 2A) than inorganic fertilizer-treated plants up to four WAP, and the plants treated with a half rate of organic fertilizer had the lowest of both. However, the biomass of the organic fertilizer-treated plants showed an increase at four to six WAP (Figure 1B). At six WAP the plants treated with a standard, 1.25, and 1.5 standard rates of organic fertilizer had a greater biomass than those treated with inorganic fertilizer (Figure 1B).




Figure 1. Relative growth rate (g.day⁻¹) of organic fertilizer-treated vs to inorganic fertilizer-treated plants at (A) two to four WAP (0.09g.day⁻¹); (B) four to six WAP (0.02g.day⁻¹).

Plants treated with 1.5 standard rate of organic fertilizer had the greatest fresh weight of marketable shoots at six WAP (Figure 3A). However, the dry weight was lower than those treated with the inorganic fertilizer (Figure 3B).

This indicated that the plants treated with 1.5 standard rate of organic fertilizer had the highest water content.

The organic fertilizer-treated plants had a higher percentage of marketable shoots to the total biomass

than the inorganic fertilizer-treated at four WAP; and plants treated with the standard rate had the highest (Figure 4B), i.e. 20.42% higher than inorganic fertilizer-

treated. At two and six WAP the proportion was dominated by other parts of the plant.




Figure 2. Net assimilation rate (g.cm⁻².day⁻¹) of organic fertilizer-treated compared to inorganic fertilizer-treated plants at (A) two to four WAP (1.22 g.cm⁻².day⁻¹) and (B) at four to six WAP (0.5 g.cm⁻².day⁻¹)




Figure 3. (A) Fresh weight of marketable shoots (g.plant⁻¹) of the organic fertilizer-treated plants compared to those treated with inorganic fertilizer (146.22 g.plant⁻¹) at six WAP; (B) Dry weight of marketable shoot weight of plants treated with organic fertilizer compared to those treated with inorganic fertilizer (9.06 g.plant⁻¹) at six WAP.




Figure 4. Percentage of marketable shoots to total biomass (fresh weight) of the organic fertilizer-treated vs inorganic-fertilizer treated plants at (A) two WAP; (B) four WAP; and (C) six WAP.

Table 1. Growth and Shoot Production of *Talinum triangulare* treated with organic and inorganic fertilizer

Cultivar	WAP	Rate of Organic Fertilizer					Inorganic fertilizer
		0.5	0.75	1.00	1.25	1.50	
Plant height (cm)	2	24.79	27.81	24.89	28.98	26.33	24.90
	3	31.41b	35.07ab	33.67ab	35.50ab	37.71a	34.53
	4	40.49c	43.09bc	43.14bc	44.60b	47.89a+	41.43
	5	47.41b	48.93b	49.25b	49.81b	54.85a+	46.47
	6	49.52c	53.12abc	53.17abc	56.57ab	58.73a	50.67
	6	49.52c	53.12abc	53.17abc	56.57ab	58.73a	50.67
Shoot diameter (cm)	2	30.15	30.69	34.12	31.87	34.14	32.59
	3	36.89b	40.67ab	43.57a	42.65a	44.03a	41.29
Marketable shoot weight (g)	6	70.38b	94.10b	108.34b	130.64b	196.74a	146.22
Stem weight (g)	6	26.75b	26.57b	25.52b	46.51a	45.84a	31.68
Leaf fresh weight (g)	2	38.42	34.00	48.98	78.70	37.11	40.12
	4	218.05	229.67	252.19	209.19	266.56	230.42
	6	124.91	140.42	166.26	208.89	296.99	205.61
Shoot fresh weight (g)	2	155.41	95.73	109.00	131.18	211.59	94.31
	4	460.30	520.80	547.90	464.90	596.50	515.10
	6	296.20	343.70	430.40	498.10	702.20	402.00
Root dry weight (g)	2	0.85	0.60	0.51	0.65	0.88	0.63
	4	1.66	3.00	1.88	2.05	2.34	2.14
	6	1.63	1.94	2.77	2.30	2.60	2.11
Stem dry weight (g)	2	2.56	2.33	1.84	2.23	2.13	2.86
	4	6.33	4.37	3.98	4.13	5.51	4.04
	6	3.87	4.41	4.55	5.14	4.30	4.26
Leaf dry weight (g)	2	5.55	4.21	4.96	4.89	6.18	4.78
	4	13.91	12.65	14.53	11.12	14.52	14.94
	6	11.00	13.00	14.65	12.51	15.18	18.05
Shoot dry weight (g)	2	8.67	6.28	7.52	8.06	9.46	7.52
	4	22.51	27.93	29.33	19.20	31.90	31.23
	6	32.22	34.79	44.13	38.82	42.72	42.56

Note: values followed by different letters in the same row indicate significant differences by Duncan's Multiple Range test, $P < 0.05$; value followed by (+) indicate significant difference by t-Dunnet test

Plants treated with 1.50 standard rate of organic fertilizer had better performance than plants treated with inorganic fertilizers or with other rates of organic fertilizer for all other variables. This treatment also produced 34.55% more marketable shoots than the inorganic fertilizer treatment, and 179.5% of the 0.50 organic fertilizer treatment (Table 1). The total marketable shoots of the organic fertilizer-treated plants were similar to those treated with inorganic fertilizer.

Discussions

The low RGR and NAR at two to four WAP might be related to the slow availability of the nutrients from the decomposing organic fertilizer, and to the fact that the plants had reached the generative phase. This condition showed that waterleaf had a good access to nutrients from the inorganic fertilizer at two to four WAP. The leaf C-organic and nitrogen content of the inorganic fertilizer-treated plants were initially lower than the organic fertilizer-treated, i.e. 49.3 vs 50.3%, and 1.81 vs 2.42% respectively ($P < 0.01$). However, at four to six WAP the nutrient accessibility from organic fertilizer increased. P and K levels of the organic fertilizer-treated leaves were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than the inorganic fertilizer-treated leaves at six WAP.

Conclusions

Out of all rates of organic fertilizers tested plants treated with 0.75 rates had similar RGR to the inorganic fertilizer-treated plants at two to four WAP. Plants treated with 1.00, 1.25 and 1.50 fertilizer rates had higher RGR than inorganic fertilizer at four to six WAP. Marketable shoots from 1.50 organic fertilizer-treated plants was 25.67% greater than those treated with inorganic fertilizer, and it was 179.54% of those treated with 0.50 rate of organic fertilizer at six WAP. All plants treated with organic fertilizer produced more marketable shoots than those treated with inorganic fertilizer.

References

Abu-Zahra, T.R., Al-Ismael, K. and Shatat, F. (2007). Effect of organic and conventional systems on fruit quality of strawberry (*fragaria x ananassaduch*) grown under plastic house conditions in the Jordan valley. *ISHS Acta Horticulturae* **741**, 159-171.

Aja, P.M., Okaka, A.N.C., Onu, P.N., Ibiam, U. and Urako, A.J. (2010a). Phytochemical composition of *Talinum triangulare* (water leaf) leaves. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* **9**, 527-530.

Aja, P.M., Okaka, A.N.C., Onu, P.N., Ibiam, U. and Urako, A.J. (2010b). Proximate analysis of *Talinum triangulare* (water leaf) leaves and its softening principles. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* **9**, 524-526.

Ali, M.B., Khandaker, L. and Oba, S. (2009). Comparative study on functional components, antioxidant activity, and color parameters of selected colored vegetables as affected by photoperiods. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment* **7**, 392-398.

Andarwulan, N., Batari, R., Sandrasari, D.A., Bolling, B. and Wijaya, H. (2010). Flavonoid content and antioxidant activity of vegetables from Indonesia. *Food Chemistry* **121**, 1231-1235.

Anwar, M., Patra, D.D., Chand, S., Alpeh, K., Naqvi, A.A. and Khanuja, S.P.S. (2007). Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizer on growth, herb and oil yield, nutrient accumulation, and oil quality of French basil. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* **36**, 1737-1746.

Benbrook, C., Zhao, X., Yanez, J., Davies, N. and Andrews, P. (2008). New evidence confirms the nutritional superiority of plant-based organic foods. State of Science Review. The Organic Center: Foster, RI.

Carbonaro, M., Mattera, M., Nicoli, S., Bergamo, P. and Cappelloni, M. (2002). Modulation of antioxidant compounds in organic vs conventional food (peach, *Prunus persica* L., and pear, *Pyrus scommunis* L.). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **50**, 9-11.

Hallmann, E. and Rembialkowska, E. (2007). Comparison of the nutritive quality of tomato fruits from organic and conventional production in Poland. Poster presented to the 3rd QLIF Congress: Improving sustainability in organic and low input food production systems. 20-23 March 2007. University of Hohenheim, Germany.

Ibeawuchi, I.I., Onweremadu, E.U. and Oti, N.N. (2006). Effect of poultry manure on green (*Amarranthus cruentus*) and water leaf (*Talinum triangulare*) on degraded ultisols of Orwerri southeastern Nigeria. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances* **5**, 53-56.

Melati, M., Asiah, A. and Rianawati, D. (2008). Aplikasi pupuk organik dan residunya untuk produksi kedelai panen muda (Organic fertilizer application and its residue for vegetable soybean production). *Indonesian Journal of Agronomy* **37**, 204-213.

Mualim, L. and Aziz, S.A. (2012). Leaf, anthocyanin, and protein production of *Talinum triangulare* Jacq. Willd with various rates of P fertilizer. *Indonesian Journal of Agronomy* **39**, 200 -204.

Mualim, L., Aziz, S.A. and Melati, M. (2009). Kajian pemupukan NPK dan jarak tanam pada produksi antosianin daun kolesom (NPK fertilizer and plant

- spacing on leaf anthocyanin production of waterleaf). *Indonesian Journal of Agronomy* **37**, 55-61.
- Mualim, L., Aziz, S.A., Susanto, S. and Melati, M. (2012). Aplikasi pupuk inorganik meningkatkan produksi dan kualitas pucuk kolesom pada musim hujan (Better shoot production and quality in wet season with inorganic fertilizer application). *Indonesian Journal of Agronomy* **40**, 160-166.
- Mualim, S. (2010). Respon pertumbuhan kolesom terhadap pemupukan P (Waterleaf growth respond to P fertilizer). Fakultas Pertanian, Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor, Indonesia.
- Shipley, B. and Keddy, P.A. (1988). The relationship between relative growth rate and sensitivity to nutrients stress in twenty-eight species of emergent macrophytes. *Journal of Ecology* **76**, 1101-1110.
- Shipley, B. (2006). Net assimilation rate, specific leaf area and leaf mass ratio: which is most closely correlated with relative growth rate? A meta-analysis. *Functional Ecology* **20**, 565-574.
- South, D.B. (1995). Relative growth rates: a critique. *South African Forestry Journal* **173**, 43-48.
- Susanti, H., Aziz, S.A. and Melati, M. (2008). Produksi biomassa dan bahan bioaktif kolesom (*Talinum triangulare* (Jacq.) Willd.) dari berbagai asal bibit dan dosis pupuk kandang ayam. (Biomass and bioactive compound production of waterleaf (*Talinum triangulare* (Jacq.) Willd) from different propagule and chicken manure fertilizer rate). *Indonesian Journal of Agronomy* **36**, 48-55
- Susanti, H. (2012). Produksi protein dan antosianin pucuk kolesom (*Talinum triangulare* (Jacq.) Willd.) dengan pemupukan nitrogen+kalium dan interval panen (Protein and anthocyanin productions of waterleaf shoot (*Talinum triangulare*(Jacq.) Wild.) at different levels of nitrogen+potassium and harvest intervals). Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor, Indonesia.
- Susanti, H., Aziz, S.A., Melati, M. and Susanto, S. (2011). Protein and anthocyanin productions of waterleaf shoot (*Talinum triangulare* (Jacq.) Willd.) at different levels of nitrogen+ potassium and harvest intervals. *Indonesian Journal of Agronomy* **38**, 119-123.
- Worthington, V. (2001). Nutritional quality of organic versus conventional fruits, vegetables, and grains. *The Journal of Alternative & Complementary Medicine* **7**, 161-173.
- Yang, R.Y., Tsou, S., Lee, T.C., Wu, W.J., Hanson, P.M., Kuo, G., Engle, L.M. and Lai, P.Y. (2006). Distribution of 127 edible plant species for antioxidant activities by two assays. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **86**, 2395-2403.

The 29th INTERNATIONAL HORTICULTURAL CONGRESS
Sustaining Lives, Livelihoods and Landscapes
(<http://www.ihc2014.org>)

Brisbane, Australia, 17-22 August 2014