### Contributions to Discrete Mathematics

Volume 9, Number 1, Pages 46–62 ISSN 1715-0868

# DETERMINATION OF THE PRIME BOUND OF A GRAPH

#### ABDERRAHIM BOUSSAÏRI AND PIERRE ILLE

ABSTRACT. Given a graph G, a subset M of V(G) is a module of G if for each  $v \in V(G) \setminus M$ , v is adjacent to all the elements of M or adjacent to none of them. For instance, V(G),  $\emptyset$  and  $\{v\}$  ( $v \in V(G)$ ) are modules of G called trivial. Given a graph G,  $\omega_M(G)$  (respectively  $\alpha_M(G)$  denotes the largest integer m such that there is a module M of G which is a clique (respectively a stable) set in G with |M| = m. A graph G is prime if  $|V(G)| \ge 4$  and if all its modules are trivial. The prime bound of G is the smallest integer p(G) such that there is a prime graph H with  $V(H) \supseteq V(G)$ , H[V(G)] = G and  $|V(H) \smallsetminus$ V(G)| = p(G). We establish the following. For every graph G such that  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \ge 2$  and  $\log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)))$  is not an integer,  $p(G) = [\log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)))]$ . Then, we prove that for every graph G such that  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2^k$  where  $k \ge 1$ , p(G) = k or k+1. Moreover p(G) = k+1 if and only if G or its complement admits exactly  $2^k$  isolated vertices. Lastly, we show that p(G) = 1 for every non prime graph G such that  $|V(G)| \ge 4$  and  $\alpha_M(G) = \omega_M(G) = 1$ .

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

A graph G = (V(G), E(G)) is constituted by a finite vertex set V(G)and an edge set  $E(G) \subseteq \binom{V(G)}{2}$ . Given a set finite  $S, K_S = (S, \binom{S}{2})$  is the complete graph on S whereas  $(S, \emptyset)$  is the empty graph. Let G be a graph. With each  $W \subseteq V(G)$  associate the subgraph  $G[W] = (W, \binom{W}{2} \cap E(G))$  of G induced by W. Given  $W \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $G[V(G) \setminus W]$  is also denoted by G - W and by G - w if  $W = \{w\}$ . A graph H is an extension of G if  $V(H) \supseteq V(G)$  and H[V(G)] = G. Given  $p \ge 0$ , a p-extension of G is an extension H of G such that  $|V(H) \setminus V(G)| = p$ . The complement of G is the graph  $\overline{G} = (V(G), \binom{V(G)}{2} \setminus E(G))$ . A subset W of V(G) is a clique (respectively a stable set) in G if G[W] is complete (respectively empty). The largest cardinality of a clique (respectively a stable set) in G is the clique number (respectively the stability number) of G, denoted by  $\omega(G)$ (respectively  $\alpha(G)$ ). Given  $v \in V(G)$ , the neighbourhood  $N_G(v)$  of v in Gis the family  $\{w \in V(G) : \{v, w\} \in E(G)\}$ . We consider  $N_G$  as the function

Received by the editors November 15, 2011, and in revised form January 28, 2014. 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 05C69.

*Key words and phrases.* Module, prime graph, prime extension, prime bound, modular clique number, modular stability number.

from V(G) to  $2^{V(G)}$  defined by  $v \mapsto N_G(v)$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ . A vertex v of G is *isolated* if  $N_G(v) = \emptyset$ . The number of isolated vertices of G is denoted by  $\iota(G)$ .

We use the following notation. Let G be a graph. For  $v \neq w \in V(G)$ ,

$$(v,w)_G = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \{v,w\} \notin E(G), \\ 1, & \text{if } \{v,w\} \in E(G). \end{cases}$$

Given  $W \not\subseteq V(G)$ ,  $v \in V(G) \setminus W$  and  $i \in \{0,1\}$ ,  $(v,W)_G = i$  means  $(v,w)_G = i$ for every  $w \in W$ . Given  $W, W' \not\subseteq V(G)$ , with  $W \cap W' = \emptyset$ , and  $i \in \{0,1\}$ ,  $(W,W')_G = i$  means  $(w,W')_G = i$  for every  $w \in W$ . Given  $W \not\subseteq V(G)$  and  $v \in V(G) \setminus W$ ,  $v \longleftrightarrow_G W$  means that there is  $i \in \{0,1\}$  such that  $(v,W)_G = i$ . The negation is denoted by  $v \nleftrightarrow_G W$ .

Given a graph G, a subset M of V(G) is a module of G if for each  $v \in V(G) \setminus M$ , we have  $v \leftrightarrow_G M$ . For instance, V(G),  $\emptyset$  and  $\{v\}$  ( $v \in V(G)$ ) are modules of G called *trivial*. Clearly, if  $|V(G)| \leq 2$ , then all the modules of G are trivial. On the other hand, if |V(G)| = 3, then G admits a nontrivial module. A graph G is then said to be *prime* if  $|V(G)| \geq 4$  and if all its modules are trivial. For instance, given  $n \geq 4$ , the *path* ( $\{1, \ldots, n\}, \{\{p, q\} : |p-q| = 1\}$ ) is prime. Given a graph G, G and  $\overline{G}$  share the same modules. Thus G is prime if and only if  $\overline{G}$  is.

Given a set S with  $|S| \ge 2$ ,  $K_S$  admits a prime  $\lceil \log_2(|S|+1) \rceil$ -extension (see Summer [8, Theorem 2.45] or Lemma 3.2 below). This is extended to any graph in [3, Theorem 3.7] and [2, Theorem 3.2] as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** A graph G, with  $|V(G)| \ge 2$ , admits a prime  $\lceil \log_2(|V(G)| + 1) \rceil$ -extension.

We now introduce the notion of prime bound. Let G be a graph. The prime bound of G is the smallest integer p(G) such that G admits a prime p(G)-extension. Observe that  $p(G) = p(\overline{G})$  for every graph G. By Theorem 1.1,  $p(G) \leq \lfloor \log_2(|V(G)| + 1) \rfloor$ . By considering the clique number and the stability number, Brignall [3, Conjecture 3.8] conjectured the following.

**Conjecture 1.2.** For a graph G with  $|V(G)| \ge 2$ ,

 $p(G) \leq \left[\log_2(\max(\alpha(G), \omega(G)) + 1)\right].$ 

We answer the conjecture positively by refining the notions of clique number and of stability number as follows. Given a graph G, the modular clique number  $\omega_M(G)$  of G is the largest cardinality of a clique in G which is also a module of G. The modular stability number of G is  $\alpha_M(G) = \omega_M(\overline{G})$ . The following lower bound is simply obtained.

Lemma 1.3. For every graph G such that  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \ge 2$ ,  $p(G) \ge \lceil \log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))) \rceil.$ 

Theorem 3.2 of [2] is proved by induction on the number of vertices. Using the main arguments of this proof, we improve Theorem 1.1 as follows.

**Theorem 1.4.** For every graph G such that  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \ge 2$ ,  $p(G) \le [\log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) + 1)].$ 

Theorem 1.4 is proved using an induction argument as well. A direct construction of a suitable extension is provided in [1, Theorem 2]. The following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

**Corollary 1.5.** For every graph G such that  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \ge 2$ ,

 $\left[\log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)))\right] \le p(G) \le \left[\log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) + 1)\right].$ 

Let G be graph such that  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \ge 2$ . On the one hand, it follows from Corollary 1.5 that

$$p(G) = \left[\log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)))\right]$$

when

$$\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \notin \{2^k : k \ge 1\}.$$

On the other, if  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2^k$ , where  $k \ge 1$ , then p(G) = k or k + 1. The next theorem allows us to determine this.

**Theorem 1.6.** For every graph G such that  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2^k$ where  $k \ge 1$ ,

$$p(G) = k + 1$$
 if and only if  $\iota(G) = 2^k$  or  $\iota(\overline{G}) = 2^k$ .

Lastly, we show that p(G) = 1 for every non prime graph G such that  $|V(G)| \ge 4$  and  $\alpha_M(G) = \omega_M(G) = 1$  (see Proposition 5.2).

### 2. Preliminaries

Given a graph G, the family of the modules of G is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ . Furthermore set  $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G) = \{M \in \mathcal{M}(G) : |M| \geq 2\}$ . We begin with the well known properties of the modules of a graph (for example, see [4, Theorem 3.2, Lemma 3.9]).

#### **Proposition 2.1.** Let G be a graph.

- (1) Given  $W \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $\{M \cap W : M \in \mathcal{M}(G)\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(G[W])$ .
- (2) Given a module  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(G[M]) = \{N \in \mathcal{M}(G) : N \subseteq M\}$ .
- (3) Given  $M, N \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  with  $M \cap N = \emptyset$ , there is  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $(M, N)_G = i$ .

Given a graph G, a partition P of V(G) is a modular partition of G if  $P \subseteq \mathcal{M}(G)$ . Let P be such a partition. Given  $M \neq N \in P$ , there is  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $(M, N)_G = i$  by (3) of Proposition 2.1. This justifies the following definition: The quotient of G by P is the graph G/P defined on V(G/P) = P by  $(M, N)_{G/P} = (M, N)_G$  for  $M \neq N \in P$ . We use the following properties of the quotient (for example, see [4, Theorems 4.1–4.3, Lemma 4.1]).

**Proposition 2.2.** Given a graph G, consider a modular partition P of G.

(1) Given  $W \subseteq V(G)$ , if  $|W \cap X| = 1$  for each  $X \in P$ , then G[W] and G/P are isomorphic.

- (2) For every  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ ,  $\{X \in P : M \cap X \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{M}(G/P)$ .
- (3) For every  $Q \in \mathcal{M}(G/P)$ ,  $\bigcup Q \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ .

The following strengthening of the notion of module is introduced to present the modular decomposition theorem (see Theorem 2.4 below). Given a graph G, a module M of G is said to be *strong* provided that for every  $N \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ , if  $M \cap N \neq \emptyset$ , then  $M \subseteq N$  or  $N \subseteq M$ . The family of the strong modules of G is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ . Furthermore set

$$\mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) = \{ M \in \mathcal{S}(G) : |M| \ge 2 \}.$$

We recall the following well known properties of the strong modules of a graph (for example, see [4, Theorem 3.3]).

**Proposition 2.3.** Let G be a graph. For every  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ ,

 $\mathcal{S}(G[M]) = \{ N \in \mathcal{S}(G) : N \not\subseteq M \} \cup \{ M \}.$ 

With each graph G, we associate the family  $\Pi(G)$  of the maximal proper and nonempty strong modules of G under inclusion. For convenience set

 $\Pi_1(G) = \{ M \in \Pi(G) : |M| = 1 \} \text{ and } \Pi_{\geq 2}(G) = \{ M \in \Pi(G) : |M| \ge 2 \}.$ 

The modular decomposition theorem is stated as follows.

**Theorem 2.4** (Gallai [5, 6]). For a graph G with  $|V(G)| \ge 2$ , the family  $\Pi(G)$  realizes a modular partition of G. Moreover, the corresponding quotient  $G/\Pi(G)$  is complete, empty or prime.

Let G be a graph with  $|V(G)| \ge 2$ . As a direct consequence of the definition of a strong module, we obtain that the family  $\mathcal{S}(G) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  endowed with inclusion is a tree called the *modular decomposition tree* [7] of G. Given  $M \in \mathcal{S}_{\ge 2}(G)$ , it follows from Proposition 2.3 that  $\Pi(G[M]) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G)$ . Furthermore, given  $W \subseteq V(G)$ , the family  $\{M \in \mathcal{S}(G) : M \supseteq W\}$  endowed with inclusion is a total order. Its smallest element is denoted by  $\widehat{W}$ .

Let G be a graph with  $|V(G)| \ge 2$ . Using Theorem 2.4, we label  $S_{\ge 2}(G)$  by the function  $\lambda_G$  defined as follows. For each  $M \in S_{\ge 2}(G)$ ,

 $\lambda_G(M) = \begin{cases} \bullet & \text{if } G[M]/\Pi(G[M]) \text{ is complete,} \\ \bigcirc & \text{if } G[M]/\Pi(G[M]) \text{ is empty,} \\ \sqsubset & \text{if } G[M]/\Pi(G[M]) \text{ is prime.} \end{cases}$ 

### 3. Some prime extensions

**Lemma 3.1.** Let S and S' be disjoint and finite sets such that  $|S| \ge 2$  and  $|S'| = \lceil \log_2(|S|+1) \rceil$ . There exists a prime graph G defined on  $V(G) = S \cup S'$  such that S and S' are stable sets in G.

*Proof.* If |S| = 2, then |S'| = 2 and we can choose a path on 4 vertices for G. Assume that  $|S| \ge 3$ . As  $|S'| = \lceil \log_2(|S|+1) \rceil$ ,  $2^{|S'|-1} \le |S|$  and hence  $|S'| \le |S|$ . Thus there exists a bijection  $\psi_{S'}$  from S' onto  $S'' \le S$ . Consider the injection  $f_{S''}: S'' \longrightarrow 2^{S'} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  defined by  $s'' \mapsto S' \setminus \{(\psi_{S'})^{-1}(s'')\}$ . Since

 $|S'| = \lceil \log_2(|S|+1) \rceil$ ,  $|S| < 2^{|S'|}$  and there exists an injection  $f_S$  from S into  $2^{S'} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  such that  $(f_S)_{\uparrow S''} = f_{S''}$ . Lastly, consider the graph G defined on  $V(G) = S \cup S'$  such that S and S' are stable sets in G and  $(N_G)_{\uparrow S} = f_S$ . We prove that G is prime. If |S| = 3, then |S'| = 2 and G is a path on 5 vertices which is prime. Assume that  $|S| \ge 4$  and hence  $|S'| \ge 3$ . Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\ge 2}(G)$ .

First, if  $M \subseteq S$ , then we would have  $f_S(u) = f_S(v)$  for any  $u \neq v \in M$ . Thus  $M \cap S' \neq \emptyset$ .

Second, suppose that  $M \subseteq S'$ . Recall that for each  $s \in S$ , either  $M \cap N_G(s) = \emptyset$  or  $M \subseteq N_G(s)$ . Given  $u \in M$ , consider the function  $f : S \longrightarrow 2^{(S' \setminus M) \cup \{u\}} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  defined by

$$f(s) = \begin{cases} N_G(s), & \text{if } M \cap N_G(s) = \emptyset, \\ (N_G(s) \smallsetminus M) \cup \{u\}, & \text{if } M \subseteq N_G(s), \end{cases}$$

for every  $s \in S$ . Since  $(N_G)_{\uparrow S}$  is injective, f is also and we would obtain that  $|S| < 2^{|S'|-1}$ . It follows that  $M \cap S \neq \emptyset$ .

Third, suppose that  $S' \\ M \neq \emptyset$ . We have  $(S \cap M, S' \\ M)_G = (S' \cap M, S' \\ M)_G = 0$ . Given  $s' \\ \in S' \\ \cap M, N_G(\psi_{S'}(s')) = S' \\ S' \\ M \\ \subseteq N_G(\psi_{S'}(s'))$  and hence  $\psi_{S'}(s') \\ \in S \\ M$ . Furthermore  $(\psi_{S'}(s'), S' \cap M)_G = 0$ . Therefore  $S' \\ \cap M = \{s'\}$ . Similarly, we prove that  $|S' \\ M| = 1$  which would imply that |S'| = 2. It follows that  $S' \\ \subseteq M$ .

Lastly, suppose that  $S \setminus M \neq \emptyset$ . For each  $s \in S \setminus M \neq \emptyset$ , we would have  $(s, S')_G = (s, S \cap M)_G = 0$  and hence  $N_G(s) = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $S \subseteq M$  and  $M = S \cup S'$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let C and S' be disjoint and finite sets such that  $|C| \ge 2$  and  $|S'| = \lceil \log_2(|C|+1) \rceil$ . There exists a prime graph G defined on  $V(G) = C \cup S'$  such that C is a clique and S' is a stable set in G.

Proof. There exists a bijection  $\psi_{S'}$  from S' onto  $S'' \subseteq C$ . Consider the injection  $f_{S''}: S'' \longrightarrow 2^{S'} \setminus \{S'\}$  defined by  $s'' \mapsto \{(\psi_{S'})^{-1}(s'')\}$ . Let  $f_C$  be any injection from C into  $2^{S'} \setminus \{S'\}$  such that  $(f_C)_{\uparrow S''} = f_{S''}$ . Lastly, consider the graph G defined on  $V(G) = C \cup S'$  such that C is a clique in G, S' is a stable set in G and  $N_G(c) \cap S' = f_C(c)$  for each  $c \in C$ . We prove that G is prime. Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G)$ . As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have  $M \cap C \neq \emptyset$  and  $M \cap S' \neq \emptyset$ .

Now, suppose that  $S' \\ M \neq \emptyset$ . We have  $(C \cap M, S' \\ M)_G = (S' \cap M, S' \\ M)_G = 0$ . Given  $t' \\ \in S' \\ M$ ,  $N_G(\psi_{S'}(t')) \\ \cap S' = \{t'\}$ . Thus  $\psi_{S'}(t') \\ \in C \\ M$ . But  $(\psi_{S'}(t'), S' \cap M)_G = (\psi_{S'}(t'), C \cap M)_G = 1$  which contradicts  $N_G(\psi_{S'}(t')) \\ \cap S' = \{t'\}$ . It follows that  $S' \\ \subseteq M$ .

Lastly, suppose that  $C \setminus M \neq \emptyset$ . For each  $c \in C \setminus M \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $(c, S')_G = (c, C \cap M)_G = 1$  and hence  $N_G(c) \cap S' = S'$ . It follows that  $C \subseteq M$  and  $M = C \cup S'$ .

The question of prime extensions of a prime graph is not detailed enough in [2]. For instance, the number of prime 1-extensions of a prime graph given in [2] is not correct. Moreover, Corollary 3.4 below is used without a precise proof.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let G be a prime graph. Given  $a \notin V(G)$ , there exist exactly

$$2^{|V(G)|} - 2|V(G)| - 2$$

distinct prime extensions of G to  $V(G) \cup \{a\}$ .

*Proof.* Consider any graph H defined on  $V(H) = V(G) \cup \{a\}$  such that H[V(G)] = G. We prove that H is not prime if and only if

$$N_H(a) \in \{\emptyset, V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) : v \in V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) \cup \{v\} : v \in V(G)\}.$$

To begin, assume that  $N_H(a) \in \{\emptyset, V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) : v \in V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) \cup \{v\} : v \in V(G)\}$ . If  $N_H(a) = \emptyset$  or V(G), then V(G) is a nontrivial module of H. If there is  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $N_H(a) \setminus \{v\} = N_G(v)$ , then  $\{a, v\}$  is a nontrivial module of H.

Conversely, assume that H admits a nontrivial module M. By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \setminus \{a\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . As G is prime,  $M \setminus \{a\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $M \not\subseteq V(H)$ , either  $|M \setminus \{a\}| = 1$  or M = V(G). In the second instance,  $N_H(a) = \emptyset$  or V(G). In the first, there is  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $M = \{a, v\}$ . Thus  $N_H(a) = N_G(v)$  or  $N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ . To conclude, observe that

$$|\{\emptyset, V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) : v \in V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) \cup \{v\} : v \in V(G)\}| = 2 + 2|V(G)|$$

because G is prime.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let G be a prime graph. For any  $a \neq b \notin V(G)$ , there exists a prime extension H of G to  $V(G) \cup \{a, b\}$  such that  $(a, b)_H = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $|V(G)| \ge 4$ ,  $2^{|V(G)|} - 2|V(G)| - 2 \ge 2$ . Consequently there is an extension H of G to  $V(G) \cup \{a, b\}$  such that  $(a, b)_H = 0$ ,  $N_H(a) \ne N_H(b)$  and

$$N_H(a), N_H(b) \notin \{\emptyset, V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) : v \in V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) \cup \{v\} : v \in V(G)\}$$

By the proof of Lemma 3.3, H - a and H - b are prime. We show that H is prime also. Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(H)$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \setminus \{a\} \in \mathcal{M}(H-a)$ . As H - a is prime and  $M \setminus \{a\} \neq \emptyset$ , either  $|M \setminus \{a\}| = 1$  or  $M \setminus \{a\} =$  $V(H) \setminus \{a\}$ . In the first, there is  $v \in V(G) \cup \{b\}$  such that  $M = \{a, v\}$ . If v = b, then  $N_H(a) = N_H(b)$ . If  $v \in V(G)$ , then  $\{a, v\}$  would be a nontrivial module of H - b. Consequently  $M \setminus \{a\} = V(H) \setminus \{a\}$ . Since H - b is prime,  $a \nleftrightarrow_H V(G)$  and hence  $a \in M$ . Thus M = V(H).

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let G be a graph with  $|V(G)| \ge 2$ . By [2, Theorem 3.2], there exists a prime extension H of G such that

$$2 \le |V(H) \setminus V(G)| \le \left\lceil \log_2(|V(G)| + 1) \right\rceil$$

and  $V(H) \setminus V(G)$  is a stable set in H. We can consider the smallest integer q(G) such that  $q(G) \ge 2$  and G admits a prime q(G)-extension H such that  $V(H) \setminus V(G)$  is a stable set in H.

The results below, from Proposition 4.1 to Corollary 4.4, are suggested by the proof of [2, Theorem 3.2].

We introduce a basic construction. Consider a graph G and a modular partition P of G such that  $P \subseteq S(G)$  and  $P \cap S_{\geq 2}(G) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $X \in P \cap S_{\geq 2}(G)$  such that

$$q(G[X]) = \max(\{q(G[Y]) : Y \in P \cap \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)\}).$$

Consider a set S such that  $S \cap V(G) = \emptyset$  and |S| = q(G[X]). There exists a prime q(G[X])-extension  $H_X$  of G[X] to  $X \cup S$  such that S is a stable set in  $H_X$ . Since X is not a module of  $H_X$ , there is  $s_X \in S$  such that  $s_X \nleftrightarrow_{H_X} X$ . Furthermore, if there is  $v \in S$  such that  $(v, X)_{H_X} = 0$ , then  $V(H_X) \setminus \{v\}$  would be a nontrivial module of  $H_X$ . Thus  $\{v \in S : v \leftrightarrow_{H_X} X\} = \{v \in S : (v, X)_{H_X} = 1\}$ . As S is a stable set in  $H_X$ ,  $\{v \in S : (v, X)_{H_X} = 1\}$  is a module of  $H_X$ . It follows that

$$\begin{cases} \{v \in S : v \longleftrightarrow_{H_X} X\} = \{v \in S : (v, X)_{H_X} = 1\}, \\ |\{v \in S : v \longleftrightarrow_{H_X} X\}| \le 1, \\ s_X \in S \smallsetminus \{v \in S : v \longleftrightarrow_{H_X} X\}. \end{cases}$$

Now, for each  $Y \in (P \cap S_{\geq 2}(G)) \setminus \{X\}$ , there is a prime q(G[Y])-extension  $H_Y$  of G[Y] to  $Y \cup S_Y$  such that  $\{v \in S : v \longleftrightarrow_{H_X} X\} \subseteq S_Y \subseteq S$  and  $S_Y$  is a stable set in  $H_Y$ . Consider the extension H of G and of  $H_X$  to  $V(G) \cup S$  satisfying

- for each  $Y \in (P \cap \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)) \setminus \{X\}, H[Y \cup S_Y] = H_Y;$
- for each  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $\{v\} \in P$ ,  $(v, S \setminus \{s_X\})_H = 0$  and  $(v, s_X)_H = 1$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** Given a graph G, consider a modular partition P of G such that  $P \subseteq S(G)$  and  $P \cap S_{\geq 2}(G) \neq \emptyset$ . If the corresponding extension H is not prime, then all the nontrivial modules of H are included in  $\{v \in V(G) : \{v\} \in P\}$ .

*Proof.* Let M be a nontrivial module of H. By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \cap (X \cup S) \in \mathcal{M}(H[X \cup S])$ . Since  $H[X \cup S]$  is prime, we have  $M \supseteq X \cup S$ ,  $|M \cap (X \cup S)| = 1$  or  $M \cap (X \cup S) = \emptyset$ .

For a first contradiction, suppose that  $M \supseteq X \cup S$ . Given  $v \in V(G)$ , if  $\{v\} \in P$ , then  $v \nleftrightarrow_H S$  so that  $v \in M$ . Thus  $\{v \in V(G) : \{v\} \in P\} \subseteq M$ . Let  $Y \in P \cap S_{\geq 2}(G)$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \cap (Y \cup S_Y) \in \mathcal{M}(H[Y \cup S_Y])$ . Since  $H[Y \cup S_Y]$  is prime and since  $S_Y \subseteq M \cap (Y \cup S_Y)$ ,  $Y \subseteq M$ . Therefore  $\bigcup (P \cap S_{\geq 2}(G)) \subseteq M$  and we would have M = V(H).

For a second contradiction, suppose that  $|M \cap (X \cup S)| = 1$ . Consider  $v \in S \cup X$  such that  $M \cap (X \cup S) = \{v\}$ . Suppose that  $v \in X$ . We have  $M \subseteq V(G)$  and  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  by Proposition 2.1.(1). As  $X \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  and  $v \in X \cap M$ ,  $X \subseteq M$  or  $M \subseteq X$ . In both cases, we would have  $|M \cap (X \cup S)| \ge 2$ .

Suppose that  $v \in S$ . There is  $Y \in P \setminus \{X\}$  such that  $Y \cap M \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $y \in Y \cap M$ . Since  $y \longleftrightarrow_G X$ ,  $v \longleftrightarrow_{H_X} X$  and hence  $v \neq s_X$ . If  $Y \in P \cap S_{\geq 2}(G)$ , then  $v \in S_Y$  and  $M \cap (Y \cup S_Y)$  would be a nontrivial module of  $H[Y \cup S_Y]$ . If  $Y = \{y\}$ , then  $(y, s_X)_H = 1$ . Thus  $(v, s_X)_H = 1$  and S would not be a stable set in H.

It follows that  $M \cap (X \cup S) = \emptyset$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . Suppose for a contradiction that there is  $Y \in (P \cap S_{\geq 2}(G)) \setminus \{X\}$  such that  $Y \cap M \neq \emptyset$ . As  $Y \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ ,  $Y \subseteq M$  or  $M \subseteq Y$ . In both cases,  $M \cap (Y \cup S_Y)$  would be a nontrivial module of  $H[Y \cup S_Y]$ . It follows that  $Y \cap M = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $M \subseteq \{v \in V(G) : \{v\} \in P\}$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** Given a graph G such that  $G/\Pi(G)$  is prime, we have

$$q(G) \leq \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } \Pi_{\geq 2}(G) = \varnothing, \\ \max(\{q(G[X]) : X \in \Pi_{\geq 2}(G)\}), & \text{if } \Pi_{\geq 2}(G) \neq \varnothing. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If G is prime, then q(G) = 2 by Corollary 3.4. Assume that G is not prime, that is,  $\Pi_{\geq 2}(G) \neq \emptyset$ . Let H be the extension of G associated with  $\Pi(G)$ . Suppose that H admits a nontrivial module M. By Proposition 4.1,  $\{\{u\} : u \in M\} \subseteq \Pi_1(G)$ . Thus  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  by Proposition 2.1.(1). By Proposition 2.2.(2),  $\{\{u\} : u \in M\}$  would be a nontrivial module of  $G/\Pi(G)$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** Given a graph G such that  $G/\Pi(G)$  is complete or empty, we have

$$q(G) \leq \max(2, \lceil \log_2(|\Pi_1(G)| + 1) \rceil),$$

or

$$q(G) \le \max(\{q(G[X]) : X \in \Pi_{\ge 2}(G)\}).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $G/\Pi(G)$  is empty. If  $\Pi(G) = \Pi_1(G)$ , then G is empty by Proposition 2.2.(1), and it suffices to apply Lemma 3.1. Assume that  $\Pi_{\geq 2}(G) \neq \emptyset$  and set

$$W_2 = \bigcup \prod_{\geq 2} (G).$$

Let *H* be the extension of *G* associated with  $\Pi(G)$ . Recall that  $V(H) = V(G) \cup S$ ,  $V(G) \cap S = \emptyset$  and |S| = q(G[X]) where  $X \in \Pi_{\geq 2}(G)$  such that  $q(G[X]) = \max(\{q(G[Y]) : Y \in \Pi_{\geq 2}(G)\})$ . Moreover  $H[X \cup S]$  is prime.

If  $|\Pi_1(G)| \leq 1$ , then *H* is prime by Proposition 4.1 so that  $q(G) \leq \max(\{q(G[Y]): Y \in \Pi_{\geq 2}(G)\})$ . Assume that  $|\Pi_1(G)| \geq 2$  and set

$$W_1 = V(G) \smallsetminus W_2.$$

By Lemma 3.1, there exists a prime extension  $H_1$  of  $G[W_1]$  to  $W_1 \cup S_1$ such that  $|S_1| = \lceil \log_2(|W_1| + 1) \rceil$  and  $S_1$  is stable in  $H_1$ . As  $G/\Pi(G)$  is empty,  $\Pi_{\geq 2}(G) \in \mathcal{M}(G/\Pi(G))$ . By Proposition 2.2.(3),  $W_2 \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . Thus  $\Pi_{\geq 2}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G[W_2])$  by Proposition 2.3. It follows from Proposition 4.1 that  $H[W_2 \cup S]$  is prime. We construct suitable extensions of G according to whether  $|S_1| \leq |S|$  or not. To begin, suppose  $|S_1| \leq |S|$ . We can assume that

$$\{v \in S : v \longleftrightarrow_{H[X \cup S]} X\} \subseteq S_1 \subseteq S$$

and consider an extension H' of  $H_1$  and  $H[W_2 \cup S]$  to  $V(G) \cup S$ . We show that H' is prime. Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(H')$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) \in \mathcal{M}(H[W_2 \cup S])$ . Since  $H[W_2 \cup S]$  is prime,  $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) = \emptyset$ ,  $|M \cap (W_2 \cup S)| = 1$ or  $M \supseteq (W_2 \cup S)$ .

- Suppose for a contradiction that  $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) = \emptyset$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1), M would be a nontrivial module of  $H_1$ .
- Suppose for a contradiction that  $|M \cap (W_2 \cup S)| = 1$  and consider  $w \in W_2 \cup S$  such that  $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) = \{w\}$ . First, suppose that  $w \in W_2$  and consider  $Y \in \prod_{\geq 2}(G)$  such that  $w \in Y$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . As  $Y \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  and  $w \in X \cap M$ ,  $X \subseteq M$  or  $M \subseteq X$ . In both cases, we would have  $|M \cap (W_2 \cup S)| \ge 2$ . Second, suppose that  $w \in S$  and consider  $v \in W_1 \cap M$ . Since  $v \longleftrightarrow_G X$ ,  $w \longleftrightarrow_{H[W_2 \cup S]} X$  and hence  $w \in S_1$ . It follows from Proposition 2.1.(1) that M would be a nontrivial module of  $H_1$ .

Consequently  $M \supseteq (W_2 \cup S)$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1) \in \mathcal{M}(H_1)$ . As  $H_1$  is prime and  $M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1) \supseteq S_1$ ,  $M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1) = (W_1 \cup S_1)$  so that M = V(H').

Now, assume that  $|S_1| > |S|$ . We can assume that  $S \not\subseteq S_1$  and we consider the unique extension H'' of  $H_1$  and  $H[W_2 \cup S]$  to  $V(G) \cup S_1$  such that

$$(4.1) (W_2, S_1 \times S)_{H''} = 0.$$

We show that H'' is prime. Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(H'')$ . We obtain  $M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1) = \emptyset$ ,  $|M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1)| = 1$  or  $M \supseteq (W_1 \cup S_1)$ . If  $M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1) = \emptyset$ , then M would be a nontrivial module of  $H[W_2 \cup S]$ .

Suppose for a contradiction that  $|M \cap (W_1 \cup S)_1| = 1$  and consider  $w \in W_1 \cup S_1$  such that  $M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1) = \{w\}$ . There is  $v \in W_2 \cap M$ . Let  $Y \in \prod_{\geq 2} (G)$  such that  $v \in Y$ .

- Suppose that  $w \in W_1$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . Since  $Y \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  and since  $Y \cap M \neq \emptyset$  and  $w \in M \setminus Y$ ,  $Y \subseteq M$ . It follows from Proposition 2.1.(1) that  $M \cap (W_2 \cup S)$  would be a nontrivial module of  $H[W_2 \cup S]$ .
- Suppose that  $w \in S_1$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) \in \mathcal{M}(H[W_2 \cup S])$ . As  $H[W_2 \cup S]$  is prime,  $v \in M \cap W_2$  and  $M \cap S \subseteq \{w\}$ ,  $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) = \{v\}$  hence  $w \in S_1 \setminus S$ . For every  $u \in W_2 \setminus \{v\}$ , we have  $(u, v)_G = (u, w)_{H''} = 0$  by (4.1). Since  $(v, W_1)_G = 0$ , we would have  $N_G(v) = \emptyset$  and hence  $\{v\} \in \Pi_1(G)$ .

It follows that  $M \supseteq (W_1 \cup S_1)$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) \in \mathcal{M}(H[W_2 \cup S])$ . As  $H[W_2 \cup S]$  is prime and  $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) \supseteq S$ ,  $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) = (W_2 \cup S)$  so that M = V(H'').

Finally, observe that when  $G/\Pi(G)$  is complete, we can proceed as previously by replacing (4.1) by  $(W_2, S_1 \times S)_{H''} = 1$ . The next result follows from Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 by induction on the number of vertices.

**Corollary 4.4.** Given a graph G with  $|V(G)| \ge 2$ ,

- q(G) = 2 if for every  $X \in S_{\geq 2}(G)$  such that  $\lambda_G(X) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\}$ , we have  $|\Pi_1(G[X])| \leq 1$ ;
- $q(G) \leq \max(\{ \lceil \log_2(|\Pi_1(G[Y])| + 1) \rceil : Y \in S_{\geq 2}(G), \lambda_G(Y) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\} \})$ if there is  $X \in S_{\geq 2}(G)$  such that  $\lambda_G(X) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\}$  and  $|\Pi_1(G[X])| \geq 2$ .

Given the second assertion of Corollary 4.4, Theorem 1.4 follows from the next transcription in terms of the modular decomposition tree. Let G be a graph. Denote by  $\mathbb{M}(G)$  the family of the maximal elements of  $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G)$  under inclusion which are cliques or stable sets in G.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let G be a graph. Given  $M \subseteq V(G)$ , we have  $M \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ if and only if  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G)$ ,  $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\}$  and  $M = \{v \in \widehat{M} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\}.$ 

*Proof.* To begin, consider  $M \in \mathbb{M}(G)$  and assume that M is a stable set in G. By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}])$ . Set

$$Q = \{X \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}]) : X \cap M \neq \emptyset\}.$$

By definition of  $\widehat{M}$ ,  $|Q| \ge 2$  and hence  $M = \bigcup Q$  because  $Q \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G[\widehat{M}])$ . Furthermore,  $Q \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G[M])$  by Proposition 2.3. As all the strong modules of an empty graph are trivial, we obtain |X| = 1 for each  $X \in Q$ , that is,

 $M \subseteq \{v \in \widehat{M} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\}.$ 

By Proposition 2.2.(2),  $Q \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}]))$ . For a contradiction, suppose that  $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) = \square$ . Since  $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}]))$ ,  $Q = \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])$  and hence  $M = \widehat{M}$ . As |X| = 1 for each  $X \in Q$ ,  $G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}])$  and  $G[\widehat{M}]$  are isomorphic by Proposition 2.2.(1). It would follow that G[M] is prime. Consequently  $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\}$ . Given  $v \neq w \in M$ , we have  $(\{v\}, \{w\})_{G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}])} = (v, w)_G = 0$ . Thus

$$\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) = \bigcirc$$
.

Since  $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) = \bigcirc$ , we have  $\Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}]) \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}]))$ . By Proposition 2.2.(3),  $\bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}]) \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}])$  and hence  $\bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}]) \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  by Proposition 2.1.(2). Given  $v \neq w \in \bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])$ , we have

$$(v,w)_G = (\{v\},\{w\})_{G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}])} = 0$$

Therefore  $\bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])$  is a stable set of G. As  $M \subseteq \bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}]), M = \bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])$  by maximality of M. It follows that

$$M = \{ v \in \widehat{M} : \{ v \} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}]) \}.$$

Conversely, consider  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G)$  such that  $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) = \bigcirc$  and  $M = \{v \in \widehat{M} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\}$ . As  $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) = \bigcirc, \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}]) \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}]))$ .

By Proposition 2.2.(3),  $M = \bigcup \prod_1 (G[\widehat{M}]) \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}])$  and hence  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  by Proposition 2.1.(2). Since  $(v, w)_G = (\{v\}, \{w\})_{G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}])} = 0$ for all  $v \neq w \in M$ , M is a stable set in G. There is  $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$  such that  $N \supseteq M$ . As M is a stable set in G, N is as well. By what precedes, N = $\{v \in \widehat{N} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{N}])\}$ . We have  $\widehat{M} \subseteq \widehat{N}$  because  $M \subseteq N$ . Furthermore  $\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{S}(G[\widehat{N}])$  by Proposition 2.3. Given  $v \in M$ , we obtain  $\{v\} \not\subseteq \widehat{M} \subseteq \widehat{N}$ . Since  $\{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{N}]), \ \widehat{M} = \widehat{N}$ . Therefore M = N because  $M = \{v \in \widehat{M} :$  $\{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\}$  and  $N = \{v \in \widehat{N} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{N}])\}$ .  $\Box$ 

Let G be a graph such that  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \ge 2$ . Consider  $M \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ . By Proposition 4.5,  $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\}$  and  $|\Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])| = |M| \ge 2$ . By Corollary 4.4,

 $p(G) \leq q(G) \leq \max(\{ \lceil \log_2(|\Pi_1(G[Y])|+1) \rceil : Y \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G), \lambda_G(Y) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\} \}).$ By Proposition 4.5,

$$\max(\{ \lceil \log_2(|\Pi_1(G[Y])|+1) \rceil : Y \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G), \lambda_G(Y) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\} \})$$

equals

$$\max(\{ [\log_2(|M|+1)] : M \in \mathbb{M}(G) \}).$$

Clearly

 $\max(\{ \lceil \log_2(|M|+1) \rceil : M \in \mathbb{M}(G) \}) = \lceil \log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) + 1) \rceil$ 

and consequently we recover Theorem 1.4,

 $p(G) \leq \left[\log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) + 1)\right].$ 

To obtain Corollary 1.5, we prove Lemma 1.3.

Proof of Lemma 1.3. Let G be a graph such that  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \ge 2$ . There exists  $S \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  such that  $|S| = \max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))$  and S is a clique or a stable set in G. Given an integer  $p < \log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)))$ , consider any p-extension H of G. We must prove that H is not prime. We have  $2^{|V(H) \setminus V(G)|} < |S|$  so that the function  $S \longrightarrow 2^{V(H) \setminus V(G)}$ , defined by  $s \mapsto N_H(s) \cap (V(H) \setminus V(G))$  is not injective. There are  $s \neq t \in S$  such that  $v \leftrightarrow_H \{s,t\}$  for every  $v \in V(H) \setminus V(G)$ . As S is a module of G, we have  $v \leftrightarrow_H \{s,t\}$  for every  $v \in V(G) \setminus S$ . Since S is a clique or a stable set in G,  $\{s,t\}$  is a nontrival module of H.

When a graph or its complement admits isolated vertices, we obtain the following.

**Lemma 4.6.** Given a graph G, if  $\iota(G) \neq 0$  or  $\iota(\overline{G}) \neq 0$ , then

$$p(G) \ge \left[\log_2(\max(\iota(G), \iota(G)) + 1)\right].$$

*Proof.* By interchanging G and  $\overline{G}$ , assume that  $\iota(G) \geq \iota(\overline{G})$ . Given  $p < \lceil \log_2(\iota(G) + 1) \rceil$ , consider any *p*-extension H of G. We have  $2^{|V(H) \setminus V(G)|} \leq \iota(G)$  and we verify that H is not prime.

For each  $x \in V(G)$  such that  $N_G(x) = \emptyset$ , we have  $N_H(x) \subseteq V(H) \setminus V(G)$ . Thus  $(N_H)_{\restriction \{v \in V(G): N_G(v) = \emptyset\}}$  is a function from  $\{v \in V(G): N_G(v) = \emptyset\}$  to  $2^{V(H) \setminus V(G)}$ . As observed in the proof of Lemma 3.1, if  $(N_H)_{\restriction \{v \in V(G): N_G(v) = \emptyset\}}$  is not injective, then  $\{x, y\}$  is a nontrivial module of H when  $x \neq y \in \{v \in V(G): N_G(v) = \emptyset\}$  with  $N_H(x) = N_H(y)$ . So assume that

 $(N_H)_{\upharpoonright \{v \in V(G): N_G(v) = \emptyset\}}$  is injective.

As  $2^{|V(H) \setminus V(G)|} \leq \iota(G)$ , we obtain that  $(N_H)_{\uparrow \{v \in V(G): N_G(v) = \emptyset\}}$  is bijective. Thus there is  $x \in \{v \in V(G): N_G(v) = \emptyset\}$  such that  $N_H(x) = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $V(H) \setminus \{x\}$  is a nontrivial module of H and H is not prime.  $\Box$ 

The next result is a simple consequence of Proposition 4.5 which is useful in proving Theorem 1.6.

**Corollary 4.7.** Given a graph G such that  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \ge 2$ , the elements of  $\mathbb{M}(G)$  are pairwise disjoint.

Proof. Consider  $M, N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$  such that  $M \cap N \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $v \in M \cap N$ . Since  $\widehat{M}, \widehat{N} \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  and  $v \in \widehat{M} \cap \widehat{N}, \ \widehat{M} \subseteq \widehat{N}$  or  $\widehat{N} \subseteq \widehat{M}$ . For instance, assume that  $\widehat{M} \subseteq \widehat{N}$ . By Proposition 2.3,  $\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{S}(G[\widehat{N}])$ . Furthermore  $\{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{N}])$  by Proposition 4.5. As  $\{v\} \not\subseteq \widehat{M} \subseteq \widehat{N}$ , we obtain  $\widehat{M} = \widehat{N}$ . Lastly,  $M = \{w \in \widehat{M} : \{w\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\}$  and  $N = \{w \in \widehat{N} : \{w\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{N}])\}$  by Proposition 4.5. Thus M = N.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.6

Given a graph G, denote by  $\mathbb{P}(G)$  the family of  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  such that G[M] is prime. For every  $M \in \mathbb{P}(G)$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  because G[M] is prime. It follows that the elements of  $\mathbb{P}(G)$  are pairwise disjoint. Thus the elements of  $\mathbb{M}(G) \cup \mathbb{P}(G)$  are also by Corollary 4.7. Set

$$I(G) = V(G) \setminus ((\lfloor \mathsf{JM}(G)) \cup (\lfloor \mathsf{JP}(G))).$$

We prove Theorem 1.6 when  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** For every graph G such that  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2$ ,

$$p(G) = 2$$
 if and only if  $\iota(G) = 2$  or  $\iota(G) = 2$ .

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 that p(G) = 1 or 2. To begin, assume that  $\iota(G) = 2$  or  $\iota(\overline{G}) = 2$ . By Lemma 4.6,  $p(G) \ge 2$  and hence p(G) = 2. Conversely, assume that p(G) = 2. Let  $a \notin V(G)$ . As  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2, |N| = 2$  for each  $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ . Let  $N_0 \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{P}(G), G[N]$  is prime. By Lemma 3.3, G[N] admits a prime extension  $H_N$  defined on  $N \cup \{a\}$ . We consider any 1-extension H of G to  $V(G) \cup \{a\}$ satisfying the following.

- (1) For each  $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ ,  $a \nleftrightarrow_H N$ .
- (2) For each  $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$ ,  $H[N \cup \{a\}] = H_N$ .
- (3) Let  $v \in I(G)$ . There is  $i \in \{0,1\}$  such that  $(v, N_0)_G = i$ . We require that  $(v, a)_H \neq i$ .

To begin, we prove that  $S_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \emptyset$ . Given  $M \in S_{\geq 2}(G)$ , we have to verify that  $a \nleftrightarrow_H M$ . Let N be a minimal element under inclusion of  $\{N' \in S_{\geq 2}(G) : N' \subseteq M\}$ . By Proposition 2.3,  $\Pi(G[N]) \subseteq S(G)$ . By minimality of N,  $\Pi(G[N]) = \Pi_1(G[N])$  so that G[N] and  $G[N]/\Pi(G[N])$ are isomorphic by Proposition 2.2.(1). We distinguish the following two cases.

- Assume that  $\lambda_G(N) = \square$ . We obtain that G[N] is prime, that is,  $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$ . As  $H[N \cup \{a\}]$  is prime,  $a \nleftrightarrow_H N$ .
- Assume that  $\lambda_G(N) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\}$ . By Proposition 4.5,  $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ . Thus |N| = 2 and  $a \nleftrightarrow_H N$  by definition of H.

In both cases,  $a \nleftrightarrow_H N$  and hence  $a \nleftrightarrow_H M$ .

Now we prove that  $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \emptyset$ . Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G)$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \emptyset$ , assume that  $M \notin \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$ . Set  $Q = \{X \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}]) : X \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}])$ . By definition of  $\widehat{M}$ ,  $|Q| \geq 2$ . Thus  $M = \bigcup Q$  because  $\Pi(G[\widehat{M}]) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G[\widehat{M}])$ . Furthermore  $Q \neq \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])$  because  $M \notin \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$ . By Proposition 2.2.(2),  $Q \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}]))$ . As  $2 \leq |Q| < |\Pi(G[\widehat{M}])|$ ,  $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\}$ . If there is  $X \in Q \cap \Pi_{\geq 2}(G[\widehat{M}])$ , then  $a \nleftrightarrow_H X$  by what precedes and hence  $a \nleftrightarrow_H M$ . Assume that  $Q \subseteq \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])$ . We obtain that M is a clique or a stable set in G. Since  $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2$ ,  $M \in \mathbb{M}(G)$  and  $a \nleftrightarrow_H M$  by definition of H.

As p(G) = 2, H admits a nontrivial module  $M_H$ . We have  $a \in M_H$  because  $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \emptyset$ .

First, we show that  $N \subseteq M_H$  for each  $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M_H \cap (N \cup \{a\}) \in \mathcal{M}(H[N \cup \{a\}])$ . Since  $H[N \cup \{a\}]$  is prime and  $a \in M_H \cap (N \cup \{a\})$ , we obtain either  $(M_H \setminus \{a\}) \cap N = \emptyset$  or  $N \subseteq M_H \setminus \{a\}$ . Suppose for a contradiction that  $(M_H \setminus \{a\}) \cap N = \emptyset$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M_H \setminus \{a\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . There is  $i \in \{0,1\}$  such that  $(M_H \setminus \{a\}, N)_G = i$  by Proposition 2.1.(3). Therefore  $(a, N)_H = i$  which contradicts the fact that  $H[N \cup \{a\}]$  is prime. It follows that  $N \subseteq M_H$ . Thus

$$(5.1) \qquad \qquad \bigcup \mathbb{P}(G) \subseteq M_H.$$

Second, we show that  $N \cap M_H \neq \emptyset$  for each  $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ . Otherwise consider  $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$  such that  $N \cap M_H = \emptyset$ . There is  $i \in \{0,1\}$  such that  $(M_H \setminus \{a\}, N)_G = i$ . Thus  $(a, N)_H = i$  which contradicts  $a \nleftrightarrow_H N$ . Therefore

(5.2) 
$$N \cap M_H \neq \emptyset$$
 for each  $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ .

Third, let  $v \in I(G)$ . By (5.2),  $N_0 \cap M_H \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $(v, N_0 \cap M_H)_G \neq (v, a)_H$ ,  $v \in M_H$ . Hence

$$(5.3) I(G) \subseteq M_H.$$

58

By (5.1) and (5.3),

(5.4)  $V(G) \smallsetminus M_H \subseteq \mathbb{M}(G).$ 

To conclude, consider  $v \in V(H) \setminus M_H$ . By (5.4), there is  $N_v \in \mathbb{M}(G)$  such that  $v \in N_v$ . By interchanging G and  $\overline{G}$ , assume that  $N_v$  is a stable set in G. Since  $v \longleftrightarrow_H M_H$  and  $(v, N_v \cap M_H)_G = 0$ , we obtain  $(v, M_H)_H = 0$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{M}(G) \setminus \{N_v\}$ . By Corollary 4.7,  $N \cap N_v = \emptyset$ . As  $N \cap M_H \neq \emptyset$  by (5.2), we have  $(v, N \cap M_H)_G = 0$  and hence  $(v, N)_G = 0$ . It follows that  $N_G(v) = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $(N_v, V(G) \setminus N_v)_G = 0$  because  $N_v \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . Since  $N_v$  is a stable set in G, we obtain  $N_v \subseteq \{u \in V(G) : N_G(u) = \emptyset\}$ . Clearly  $\{u \in V(G) : N_G(u) = \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  and  $\{u \in V(G) : N_G(u) = \emptyset\}$  is a stable set in G. Thus  $\iota(G) \leq \max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2$ . Consequently  $N_v = \{u \in V(G) : N_G(u) = \emptyset\}$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Consider a graph G such that

$$\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2^k$$

where  $k \ge 1$ . It follows from Corollary 1.5 that p(G) = k or k + 1.

To begin, assume that  $\iota(G) = 2^k$  or  $\iota(\overline{G}) = 2^k$ . By Lemma 4.6,  $p(G) \ge k+1$  and hence p(G) = k+1.

Conversely, assume that p(G) = k + 1. If k = 1, then it suffices to apply Proposition 5.1. Assume that  $k \ge 2$ . For convenience set

$$\mathbb{M}_{\max}(G) = \{ N \in \mathbb{M}(G) : |N| = \max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \}.$$

With each  $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$  associate  $w_N \in N$ . Set  $W = \{w_N : N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)\}$ .

We prove that  $\max(\alpha_M(G-W), \omega_M(G-W)) = 2^k - 1$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$ . By Corollary 4.7, the elements of  $\mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$  are pairwise disjoint. Thus  $N \setminus W = N \setminus \{w_N\}$ . Clearly  $N \setminus \{w_N\}$  is a clique or a stable set in G - W. Furthermore  $N \setminus \{w_N\} \in \mathcal{M}(G - W)$ . Therefore  $2^k - 1 = |N \setminus \{w_N\}| \leq |W \setminus \{w_N\}| \leq |W \setminus \{w_N\}| \leq |W \setminus \{w_N\}|$  $\max(\alpha_M(G-W), \omega_M(G-W))$ . Now consider  $N' \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G-W)$ . We show that  $N' \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . We have to verify that for each  $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G), w_N \longleftrightarrow_G$ N'. Let  $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$ . First, asume that there is  $v \in (N \setminus \{w_N\}) \setminus N'$ . We have  $v \leftrightarrow_G N'$ . As N is a clique or a stable set in  $G, \{v, w_N\} \in \mathcal{M}(G[N])$ . By Proposition 2.1.(2),  $\{v, w_N\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . Thus  $w_N \leftrightarrow_G N'$ . Second, assume that  $N \setminus \{w_N\} \subseteq N'$ . Clearly  $w_N \longleftrightarrow_G N'$  when  $N \setminus \{w_N\} = N'$ . Assume that  $N' \setminus (N \setminus \{w_N\}) \neq \emptyset$ . By interchanging G and  $\overline{G}$ , assume that N' is a clique in G - W. As  $N \setminus \{w_N\} \subseteq N'$  and  $|N \setminus \{w_N\}| \ge 2$ , we obtain that N is a clique in G. Since  $(N \setminus \{w_N\}, N' \setminus N)_G = 1$  and since  $N \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ , we have  $(w_N, N' \setminus N)_G = 1$ . Furthermore  $(w_N, N \setminus \{w_N\})_G = 1$ because N is a clique in G. Therefore  $(w_N, N')_G = 1$ . Consequently  $N' \in$  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ . As N' is a clique in G, there is  $M \in \mathbb{M}(G)$  such that  $M \supseteq N'$ . If  $M \notin \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$ , then  $|N'| \leq |M| < \max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))$ . If  $M \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$ , then  $N' \subseteq M \setminus \{w_M\}$  and hence  $|N'| < |M| = \max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))$ . In both cases, we have  $|N'| = \max(\alpha_M(G-W), \omega_M(G-W)) < \max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)).$ It follows that  $\max(\alpha_M(G-W), \omega_M(G-W)) = 2^k - 1$ .

By Corollary 1.5, p(G-W) = k and hence there exists a prime k-extension H' of G - W. We extend H' to  $V(H') \cup W$  as follows. Let  $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$ . Consider the function  $f_N : N \setminus \{w_N\} \longrightarrow 2^{V(H') \setminus V(G-W)}$  defined by  $v \mapsto N_{H'}(v) \setminus V(G-W)$  for  $v \in N \setminus \{w_N\}$ . Since H' is prime,  $f_N$  is injective. As  $|N \setminus \{w_N\}| = 2^k - 1$  and  $|2^{V(H') \setminus V(G-W)}| = 2^k$ , there is a unique  $X_N \subseteq V(H') \setminus V(G-W)$  such that  $f_N(v) \neq X_N$  for every  $v \in N \setminus \{w_N\}$ . Let H be the extension of H' to  $V(H') \cup W$  such that  $N_H(w_N) \cap (V(H') \setminus V(G-W)) = X_N$  for each  $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$ . As p(G) = k + 1, H is not prime. Consider a nontrivial module  $M_H$  of H.

Observe the following. Given  $N \neq N' \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$ ,

(5.5) 
$$\begin{cases} N \cap M_H \neq \emptyset \\ \text{and} \\ N' \cap M_H \neq \emptyset \end{cases} \Longrightarrow M_H \supseteq V(H').$$

Indeed, by Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M_H \cap V(G) \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . Since  $\widehat{N}, \widehat{N'} \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ and since  $(M_H \cap V(G)) \cap \widehat{N} \neq \emptyset$  and  $(M_H \cap V(G)) \cap \widehat{N'} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $M_H \cap V(G)$ is comparable to  $\widehat{N}$  and  $\widehat{N'}$  under inclusion. Suppose for a contradiction that  $M_H \cap V(G) \subsetneq \widehat{N}$  and  $M_H \cap V(G) \subsetneq \widehat{N'}$ . It follows that  $N' \cap \widehat{N} \neq \emptyset$  and  $N \cap \widehat{N'} \neq \emptyset$ . As  $\widehat{N'} \in \mathcal{S}(G), \widehat{N'} \subsetneq N$  or  $N \subseteq \widehat{N'}$ . In the first instance, it follows from Proposition 2.3 that  $\widehat{N'}$  would be a nontrivial strong module of G[N] which contradicts the fact that N is a clique or a stable set in G. Thus  $N \subseteq \widehat{N'}$  and hence  $\widehat{N} \subseteq \widehat{N'}$ . Similarly  $N' \subseteq \widehat{N}$  and  $\widehat{N'} \subseteq \widehat{N}$ . Therefore  $\widehat{N} = \widehat{N'}$  and it would follow from Proposition 4.5 that N = N'. Consequently  $\widehat{N} \subseteq (M_H \cap V(G))$  or  $\widehat{N'} \subseteq (M_H \cap V(G))$ . For instance, assume that  $\widehat{N} \subseteq$  $(M_H \cap V(G))$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M_H \cap V(H') \in \mathcal{M}(H')$ . Furthermore  $(M_H \cap V(H')) \supseteq (N \smallsetminus W)$  and  $N \smallsetminus W = N \smallsetminus \{w_N\}$  by Corollary 4.7. Since H' is prime, we have  $V(H') \subseteq M_H$ . It follows that (5.5) holds.

As H' is prime and  $M_H \cap V(H') \in \mathcal{M}(H')$ , we have either  $|M_H \cap V(H')| \leq 1$ or  $M_H \supseteq V(H')$ . For a contradiction, suppose that  $|M_H \cap V(H')| \leq 1$ . There is  $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$  such that  $w_N \in M_H$ . It follows from (5.5) that  $M_H \cap W = \{w_N\}$ . Thus there is  $v \in V(H')$  such that  $M_H \cap V(H') = \{v\}$ . Clearly  $M_H = \{v, w_N\}$  and we distinguish the following two cases to obtain a contradiction.

- Suppose that  $v \in V(G W)$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $\{v, w_N\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . Therefore there is  $N' \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$  such that  $N' \supseteq \{v, w_N\}$ . By Corollary 4.7, N = N' and we would obtain  $N_H(w_N) \cap (V(H') \smallsetminus V(G - W)) = f_N(v)$ .
- Suppose that  $v \in V(H') \setminus V(G W)$ . There is  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $(w_N, N \setminus \{w_N\})_G = i$ . We obtain  $(v, N \setminus \{w_N\})_{H'} = i$  because  $\{v, w_N\} \in \mathcal{M}(H)$ . Since  $f_N$  is injective, the function  $g_N : N \setminus \{w_N\} \longrightarrow 2^{((V(H') \setminus V(G W)) \setminus \{v\})}$ , defined by  $g_N(u) = f_N(u) \setminus \{v\}$  for  $u \in N \setminus \{w_N\}$ , is injective as well. We would obtain  $2^k 1 \le 2^{k-1}$ .

Consequently  $V(H') \subseteq M_H$ . As  $M_H$  is a nontrivial module of H, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$  such that  $w_N \notin M$ . By interchanging G and  $\overline{G}$ , assume that N is a stable set in G. We have  $(w_N, N \setminus \{w_N\})_G = 0$  and hence  $(w_N, V(H'))_H = 0$ . In particular  $(w_N, V(G - W))_G = 0$ . Given  $N' \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G) \setminus \{N\}$ , we obtain  $(w_N, N' \setminus \{w_{N'}\})_G = 0$ . Since  $N' \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ ,  $(w_N, w_{N'})_G = 0$ . It follows that  $N_G(w_N) = \emptyset$ . As at the end of the proof of Proposition 5.1, we conclude by  $N = \{u \in V(G) : N_G(u) = \emptyset\}$ .

Lastly, we examine the non prime graphs G such that

$$\alpha_M(G) = \omega_M(G) = 1.$$

**Proposition 5.2.** For every non prime graph G such that  $|V(G)| \ge 4$  and  $\alpha_M(G) = \omega_M(G) = 1$ , we have p(G) = 1.

Proof. Consider a minimal element  $N_{\min}$  of  $S_{\geq 2}(G)$ . By Proposition 2.3,  $\Pi(G[N_{\min}]) \subseteq S(G)$ . By minimality of  $N_{\min}$ ,  $\Pi(G[N_{\min}]) = \Pi_1(G[N_{\min}])$ . Thus  $G[N_{\min}]$  and  $G[N_{\min}]/\Pi(G[N_{\min}])$  are isomorphic by Proposition 2.2.(1). If  $\lambda_G(N_{\min}) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\}$ , then  $N_{\min}$  is a clique or a stable set in Gand there would be  $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$  such that  $N \supseteq N_{\min}$ . Therefore  $\lambda_G(N_{\min}) = \Box$ and  $N_{\min} \in \mathbb{P}(G)$ .

Let  $a \notin V(G)$ . For each  $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$ , G[N] is prime. By Lemma 3.3, G[N] admits a prime 1-extension  $H_N$  to  $N \cup \{a\}$ . We consider the 1-extension H of G to  $V(G) \cup \{a\}$  satisfying the following.

- (1) For each  $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$ ,  $H[N \cup \{a\}] = H_N$ .
- (2) Let  $v \in I(G)$ . There is  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $(v, N_{\min})_G = i$ . We require that  $(v, a)_H \neq i$ .

We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 5.1, to show that  $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \emptyset$ . To begin, we prove that  $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \emptyset$ . Given  $M \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$ , we have to verify that  $a \nleftrightarrow_H M$ . Let N be a minimal element under inclusion of  $\{N' \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) : N' \subseteq M\}$ . We obtain that  $\Pi(G[N]) = \Pi_1(G[N])$  so that G[N] and  $G[N]/\Pi(G[N])$  are isomorphic by Proposition 2.2.(1). If  $\lambda_G(N) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\}$ , then N is a clique or a stable set in G and there would be  $N' \in \mathbb{M}(G)$  such that  $N' \supseteq N$ . Thus  $\lambda_G(N) = \Box$ . We obtain that G[N] is prime, that is,  $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$ . Since  $H[N \cup \{a\}]$  is prime,  $a \nleftrightarrow_H N$  and hence  $a \nleftrightarrow_H M$ .

Now we prove that  $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \emptyset$ . Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G)$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \emptyset$ , assume that  $M \notin \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$ . Set  $Q = \{X \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}]) : X \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$ . We obtain that  $M = \bigcup Q$ ,  $|Q| \ge 2$  and  $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) \in \{\bigcirc, \bullet\}$ . If  $|\Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])| \ge 2$ , then we would have  $\{v \in \widehat{M} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\} \in \mathbb{M}(G)$  by Proposition 4.5. Consequently  $|\Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])| \le 1$  and there is  $X \in Q \cap \Pi_{\geq 2}(G[\widehat{M}])$ . By what precedes  $a \nleftrightarrow_H X$  and hence  $a \nleftrightarrow_H M$ .

Lastly, we establish that H is prime. Let  $M_H \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(H)$ . As previously shown,  $a \in M_H$ . We show that  $N \subseteq M_H$  for each  $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M_H \cap (N \cup \{a\}) \in \mathcal{M}(H[N \cup \{a\}])$ . Since  $H[N \cup \{a\}]$  is prime and  $a \in M_H \cap (N \cup \{a\})$ , we obtain either  $(M_H \setminus \{a\}) \cap N = \emptyset$ 

or  $N \subseteq M_H \setminus \{a\}$ . Suppose for a contradiction that  $(M_H \setminus \{a\}) \cap N = \emptyset$ . By Proposition 2.1.(1),  $M_H \setminus \{a\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . There is  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $(M_H \setminus \{a\}, N)_G = i$  by Proposition 2.1.(3). Therefore  $(a, N)_H = i$  which contradicts the fact that  $H[N \cup \{a\}]$  is prime. It follows that  $N \subseteq M_H$  for each  $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$ . In particular  $N_{\min} \subseteq M_H$ . Let  $v \in I(G)$ . As  $(v, N_{\min})_G \neq (v, a)_H$ ,  $v \in M_H$ . Consequently  $M_H = V(H)$ .

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referee and the managing editor for their helpful comments.

#### References

- 1. A. Boussaïri and P. Ille, Prime bound of a graph, ArXiv e-prints (2011).
- 2. R. Brignall, Simplicity in relational structures and its application to permutation classes, Ph.D. thesis, University of St. Andrews, 2007.
- R. Brignall, N. Ruškuc, and V. Vatter, Simple extensions of combinatorial structures, Mathematika 57 (2011), 193–214.
- 4. A. Ehrenfeucht, T. Harju, and G. Rozenberg, *The theory of 2-structures, a framework for decomposition and transformation of graphs*, World Scientific, 1999.
- 5. T. Gallai, Transitiv orientierbare Graphen, Acta Math. Hungar. 18 (1967), 25-66.
- F. Maffray and M. Preissmann, A translation of Tibor Gallai's paper: Transitive orientierbare Graphen, pp. 25–66, Wiley, 2001.
- R. McConnell and F. de Montgolfier, Linear-time modular decomposition of directed graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 145 (2005), 198–209.
- 8. D.P. Sumner, Indecomposable graphs, Ph.D. thesis, University of Massachusetts, 1971.

Faculté des Sciences Aïn Chock, Département de Mathématiques et Informatique, Km 8 route d'El Jadida, BP 5366 Maarif, Casablanca,

Morocco

#### $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{aboussairiQhotmail.com}$

Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M, UMR 7373, 13453 Marseille, France

AND

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA T2N 1N4 *E-mail address:* pierre.ille@univ-amu.fr