

Volume 3, Number 1, Pages 39–46 ISSN 1715-0868

# CONSTRUCTION OF A 3-DIMENSIONAL MDS-CODE

#### ANGELA AGUGLIA AND LUCA GIUZZI

Dedicated to the centenary of the birth of Ferenc Kárteszi (1907–1989).

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we describe a procedure for constructing q-ary [N,3,N-2]-MDS codes, of length  $N \leq q+1$  (for q odd) or  $N \leq q+2$  (for q even), using a set of non-degenerate Hermitian forms in  $PG(2,q^2)$ .

### 1. Introduction

The well–known Singleton bound states that the cardinality M of a code of length N with minimum distance d over a q–ary alphabet always satisfies

$$(1.1) M \le q^{N-d+1};$$

see [8]. Codes attaining the bound are called maximum distance separable codes, or MDS codes for short.

Interesting families of maximum distance separable codes arise from geometric and combinatorial objects embedded in finite projective spaces. In particular linear [N, k, N-k+1]-MDS codes, with  $k \geq 3$ , and N-arcs in PG(k-1,q) are equivalent objects; see [1].

A general method for constructing a q-ary code is to take N polynomials  $f_1, \ldots, f_N$  in n indeterminates, defined over GF(q), and consider the set C given by

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ (f_1(x), \dots, f_N(x)) \mid x \in \mathcal{W} \},\$$

where W is a suitable subset of  $GF(q)^n$ . In this paper, we deal with the case  $|W| = q^t$  and also assume that the evaluation function

$$\Theta : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$
  
 $x \mapsto (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_N(x))$ 

is injective.

If C attains the Singleton bound then the restrictions of all the codewords to any given t = N - d + 1 places must all be different, namely in any t positions all possible vectors occur exactly once. This means that a necessary condition for C to be MDS is that any t of the varieties  $V(f_m)$  for  $m = 1, \ldots, N$  meet in exactly one point in W. Here V(f) denotes the algebraic variety associated to f.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 05B25, 11E39.

Applying the above procedure to a set of non–degenerate Hermitian forms in  $PG(2, q^2)$  we construct some q–ary [N, 3, N-2]–MDS codes, of length  $N \leq q+1$  (for q odd) or  $N \leq q+2$  (for q even). The codes thus obtained can also be represented by sets of points in PG(3, q); this representation is used in Section 4 in order to devise an algebraic decoding procedure, based upon polynomial factorisation; see [10].

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a set containing q elements. For any integer  $N \geq 1$ , the function  $d_H : \mathcal{A}^N \times \mathcal{A}^N \mapsto \mathbb{N}$  given by

$$d_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = |\{i : x_i \neq y_i\}|,$$

is a metric on  $\mathcal{A}^N$ . This function is called the *Hamming distance* on  $\mathcal{A}^N$ . A q-ary (N, M, d)-code  $\mathcal{C}$  over the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  is just a collection of M elements of  $\mathcal{A}^N$  such that any two of them are either the same or at Hamming distance at least d; see [4, 6]. The elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  are called *codewords* whereas the integers d and N are respectively the *minimum distance* and the *length* of  $\mathcal{C}$ 

If  $\mathcal{A} = GF(q)$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a k-dimensional vector subspace of  $GF(q)^N$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be a linear [N, k, d]-code. Under several communication models, it is assumed that a received word  $\mathbf{r}$  should be decoded as the codeword  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}$  which is nearest to  $\mathbf{r}$  according to the Hamming distance; this is the so-called maximum likelihood decoding. Under these assumptions the following theorem, see [4, 6], provides a basic bound on the guaranteed error correction capability of a code.

**Theorem 2.1.** If C is a code of minimum distance d, then C can always either detect up to d-1 errors or correct  $e = \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$  errors.

Observe that the theorem does not state that it is not possible to decode a word when more than e errors happened, but just that in this case the correction may fail. Managing to recover from more than e errors for some given received codewords is called "correcting beyond the bound".

The weight of an element  $\mathbf{x} \in GF(q)^N$  is the number of non-zero components  $x_i$  of  $\mathbf{x}$ . For a linear code the minimum distance d equals the minimum weight of the non-zero codewords.

The parameters of a code are not independent; in general it is difficult to determine the maximum number of words a code of prescribed length N and minimum distance d may contain. For any arbitrary linear [N,k,d]-code, condition (1.1) may be rewritten as

$$(2.1) d \le N - k + 1;$$

thus  $\mathcal{C}$  is a linear MDS code if and only if equality holds in (2.1).

In Section 3 we shall make extensive use of some non–degenerate Hermitian forms in  $PG(2, q^2)$ .

Consider the projective space  $PG(d, q^2)$  and let V be the underlying vector space of dimension d + 1. A sesquilinear Hermitian form is a map

$$h: V \times V \longrightarrow GF(q^2)$$

additive in both components and satisfying

$$h(k\mathbf{v}, l\mathbf{w}) = kl^q h(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$$

for all  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$  and  $k, l \in GF(q^2)$ . The form is degenerate if and only if the subspace  $\{\mathbf{v} \mid h(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = 0 \ \forall \mathbf{w} \in V\}$ , the radical of h, is different from  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Given a sesquilinear Hermitian form h, the associated Hermitian variety  $\mathcal{H}$  is the set of all points of  $PG(d, q^2)$  such that  $\{\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle \mid \mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{v} \in V, \ h(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = 0\}$ . The variety  $\mathcal{H}$  is degenerate if h is degenerate; non-degenerate otherwise. If h is a sesquilinear Hermitian form in  $PG(d, q^2)$  then the map  $F: V \longrightarrow GF(q)$  defined by

$$F(\mathbf{v}) = h(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}),$$

is called the Hermitian form on V associated to h. The Hermitian form F is non-degenerate if and only if h is non-degenerate. Complete introductions to Hermitian forms over finite fields may be found in [2, 7].

### 3. Construction

Let S be a representative system for the cosets of the additive subgroup  $T_0$  of  $GF(q^2)$  given by

$$T_0 = \{ y \in GF(q^2) : T(y) = 0 \},$$

where

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{T} & : & \mathbf{GF}(q^2) & \to & \mathbf{GF}(q) \\ & y & \mapsto & y^q + y \end{array}$$

is the trace function. Denote by  $\Lambda$  a subset of  $GF(q^2)$  satisfying

(3.1) 
$$\left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\gamma - \beta}\right)^{q - 1} \neq 1$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Lambda$ . Choose a basis  $B = \{1, \varepsilon\}$  of  $GF(q^2)$ , regarded as a 2-dimensional vector space over GF(q); hence, it is possible to write each element  $\alpha \in GF(q^2)$  in components  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in GF(q)$  with respect to B. We may thus identify the elements of  $GF(q^2)$  with the points of AG(2,q), by the bijection which maps  $(x,y) \in AG(2,q)$  to  $x + \varepsilon y \in GF(q^2)$ . Condition (3.1) corresponds to require that  $\Lambda$ , regarded as point-set in AG(2,q), is an arc. Thus, setting  $N = |\Lambda|$ , we have

(3.2) 
$$N \leq \begin{cases} q+1 & \text{for } q \text{ odd,} \\ q+2 & \text{for } q \text{ even;} \end{cases}$$

see [5, Theorem 8.5].

Now, consider the non–degenerate Hermitian forms  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(X,Y,Z)$  on  $GF(q^2)^3$ 

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(X,Y,Z) = X^{q+1} + Y^q Z + Y Z^q + \lambda^q X^q Z + \lambda X Z^q,$$

as  $\lambda$  varies in  $\Lambda$ . Label the elements of  $\Lambda$  as  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N$  and let  $\Omega = GF(q^2) \times S$ .

Theorem 3.1. The set

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ (\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1}(x, y, 1), \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}(x, y, 1), \dots, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_N}(x, y, 1)) | (x, y) \in \Omega \}$$

is a q-ary linear [N, 3, N-2]-MDS code.

*Proof.* We first show that C consists of  $q^3$  tuples from GF(q). Let  $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1) \in \Omega$  and suppose that for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(x_0, y_0, 1) = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(x_1, y_1, 1).$$

Then,

(3.3) 
$$T(\lambda(x_1 - x_0)) = x_0^{q+1} - x_1^{q+1} + T(y_0 - y_1).$$

In particular,

(3.4) 
$$T(\lambda(x_1 - x_0)) = T(\alpha(x_1 - x_0)) = T(\gamma(x_1 - x_0))$$

for any  $\alpha, \lambda, \gamma \in \Lambda$ .

If it were  $x_1 \neq x_0$ , then (3.4) would imply

$$\left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\gamma - \beta}\right)^{q - 1} = 1,$$

contradicting the assumption made on  $\Lambda$ . Therefore,  $x_1 = x_0$  and from (3.3) we get  $T(y_0 - y_1) = 0$ . Hence,  $y_0$  and  $y_1$  are in the same coset of  $T_0$ ; by definition of S, it follows that  $y_0 = y_1$ , thus C has as many tuples as  $|\Omega|$ .

We are now going to show that C is a vector subspace of  $GF(q)^N$ . Take  $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1) \in \Omega$ . For any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,

(3.5) 
$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(x_0, y_0, 1) + \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(x_1, y_1, 1) = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(x_2, y_2, 1),$$

where  $x_2 = x_0 + x_1$  and  $y_2 = y_0 + y_1 - x_0^q x_1 - x_1^q x_0$ . Likewise, for any  $\kappa \in GF(q)$ ,

(3.6) 
$$\kappa \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(x_0, y_0, 1) = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(x, y, 1),$$

where  $x = \kappa x_0$  and y is a root of

$$y^2 + y = (\kappa - \kappa^2)x_0^{q+1} + \kappa(y_0^q + y_0).$$

Therefore, C is a vector subspace of  $GF(q)^N$ ; as it consists of  $q^3$  tuples, C is indeed a 3-dimensional vector space.

Finally we prove that the minimum distance d of  $\mathcal{C}$  is N-2. Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a vector subspace of  $GF(q)^N$ , its minimum distance is N-z, where

$$z = \max_{\substack{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}, \\ \mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{0}}} |\{i : c_i = 0\}|.$$

Furthermore,  $z \ge 2$  because of Singleton bound (2.1). In order to show that z = 2 we study the following system

(3.7) 
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(x,y,1) = 0, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\beta}(x,y,1) = 0, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\gamma}(x,y,1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  distinct elements of  $\Lambda$ . Set  $U = x^{q+1} + y^q + y$ ,  $V = x^q$  and W = x; then, (3.7) becomes

(3.8) 
$$\begin{cases} U + \alpha^q V + \alpha W = 0, \\ U + \beta^q V + \beta W = 0, \\ U + \gamma^q V + \gamma W = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\gamma-\beta}\right) \neq 1$ , the only solution of (3.8) is U=V=W=0, that is x=0 and  $y+y^q=0$ . In particular, there is just one solution to (3.7) in  $\Omega$ , that is  $\mathbf{x}=(0,0)$ . This implies that a codeword which has at least three zero components is the zero vector, hence z=2 and thus the minimum distance of  $\mathcal{C}$  is N-2.

**Example 3.2.** When q is odd, a representative system S for the cosets of  $T_0$  is given by the subfield GF(q) embedded in  $GF(q^2)$ . In this case it is then extremely simple to construct the code. For q=5, a computation using GAP [3], shows that in order for  $\Lambda$  to satisfy property (3.1), we may take  $\Lambda = \{\varepsilon^3, \varepsilon^4, \varepsilon^8, \varepsilon^{15}, \varepsilon^{16}, \varepsilon^{20}\}$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a root of the polynomial  $X^2 - X + 2$ , irreducible over GF(5). The corresponding Hermitian forms are

A generator matrix for the [6,3,4]-MDS code obtained applying Theorem 3.1 to these Hermitian forms is

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 3.3. In  $PG(2,q^2)$ , take the line  $\ell_{\infty}: Z=0$  as the line at infinity. Then, in the affine plane  $AG(2,q^2)=PG(2,q^2)\setminus \ell_{\infty}$ , any two Hermitian curves  $V(F_{\lambda})$  have  $q^2$  affine points in common, q of which in  $\Omega\subset AG(2,q^2)$ . Likewise, the full intersection

$$\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V(F_{\lambda})$$

consists of the q affine points  $\{(0,y) \mid y^q + y = 0\}$ , corresponding to just a single point in  $\Omega$ .

Remark 3.4. Denote by  $A_i$  the number of words in  $\mathcal{C}$  of weight i. Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is an MDS code, we have

$$A_{i} = {N \choose i} (q-1) \sum_{j=0}^{i-N+2} (-1)^{j} {i-1 \choose j} q^{i-j-N+2};$$

see [9]. Thus,

$$A_{N-2} = \frac{1}{2}(N^2 - N)(q - 1),$$

$$A_{N-1} = Nq^2 - (N^2 - N)q + N^2 - 2N,$$

$$A_N = q^3 - Nq^2 + \frac{1}{2}((N^2 - N)q - N^2 + 3N).$$

# 4. Decoding

In this section it will be shown how the code  $\mathcal{C}$  we constructed may be decoded by geometric means.

Our approach is based upon two remarks:

(1) Any received word  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_N)$  can be uniquely represented by a set  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$  of N points of PG(3,q)

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{r}} = \left\{ \left( \lambda_i^1, \lambda_i^2, r_i, 1 \right) : \lambda = \lambda_i^1 + \varepsilon \lambda_i^2 \in \Lambda \right\}.$$

These points all lie on the cone  $\Psi$  of basis

$$\Xi = \left\{ \left(\lambda_i^1, \lambda_i^2, 0, 1\right) : \lambda = \lambda_i^1 + \varepsilon \lambda_i^2 \in \Lambda \right\}$$

and vertex  $Z_{\infty} = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ .

(2) For any  $a, b \in GF(q^2)$ , the function

$$\phi_{(a,b)}(x,y,z,t) = \left(a^{q+1} + T(b)\right)t + T((x + \varepsilon y)a)$$

is a homogeneous linear form with domain  $GF(q)^4$ .

Recall that the codeword **c** corresponding to a given  $(a, b) \in \Omega$  is

$$\mathbf{c} = (\phi_{(a,b)}(\lambda_1^1, \lambda_1^2, 0, 1), \phi_{(a,b)}(\lambda_2^1, \lambda_2^2, 0, 1), \dots, \phi_{(a,b)}(\lambda_N^1, \lambda_N^2, 0, 1));$$

thus,  $\widetilde{\mathbf{c}}$ , the set containing the points  $(\lambda_i^1, \lambda_i^2, c_i, 1)$ , is the full intersection of the plane  $\pi_{a,b}: z = \phi_{(a,b)}(x,y,z,t)$  with the cone  $\Psi$ .

It is clear that knowledge of the plane  $\pi_{(a,b)}$  is enough to reconstruct the codeword  $\mathbf{c}$ . In the presence of errors, we are looking for the nearest codeword  $\mathbf{c}$  to a vector  $\mathbf{r}$ ; this is the same as to determine the plane  $\pi_{(a,b)}$  containing most of the points of  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$ . In order to obtain such a plane, we adopt the following approach. Assume  $\ell$  to be a line of the plane  $\pi_{0,0}: z=0$  external to  $\Xi$  and denote by  $\pi_{\infty}$  the plane at infinity of equation t=0. For any  $P \in \ell$ , let  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^P$  be the projection from P of the set  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$  on  $\pi_{\infty}$ . Write  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}^P$  for a curve of  $\pi_{\infty}$  of minimum degree containing  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^P$ . Observe that deg  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}^P \leq q+1$  and deg  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}^P = 1$  if, and only if, all the points of  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$  lie on a same plane through

P, that is  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$  corresponds to a codeword associated with that plane passing through P.

We now can apply the following algorithm using, for example, [3].

(1) Take  $P \in \ell$ ;

approaches are:

- (2) Determine the projection  $\mathbf{r}^P$  and compute the curve  $\mathcal{L}^P_{\mathbf{r}}$ ;
- (3) Factor \( \mathcal{L}\_{\mathbf{r}}^{P} \) into irreducible factors, say \( \mathcal{L}\_{1}, \mathcal{L}\_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}\_{v}; \)
  (4) Count the number of points in \( \widetilde{\mathbf{r}}^{P} \cap V(\mathcal{L}\_{i}) \) for any factor \( \mathcal{L}\_{i} \) of \( \mathcal{L}\_{\mathbf{r}}^{P} \) with  $\deg \mathcal{L}_i = 1$ ;
- (5) If for some i we have  $n_i > (N+1)/2$ , then return the plane spawned by P and two points of  $L_i$ ; else, as long as not all the points of  $\ell$ have been considered, return to point 1;
- (6) If no curve with the required property has been found, return failure. Remark 4.1. The condition on  $n_i$  in point (5) checks if the plane contains more than half of the points corresponding to the received word r; when this is the case, a putative codeword c is constructed, with  $d(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{r}) \leq (N-3)/2$ ; thus, when  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ , then it is indeed the unique word of  $\mathcal{C}$  at minimum distance from r. However, the aforementioned algorithm may be altered in several ways, in order to be able to try to correct errors beyond the bound; possible
  - (1) iterate the procedure for all the points on  $\ell$  and return the planes containing most of the points corresponding to the received vector;
  - (2) use some further properties of the cone  $\Psi$ ; in particular, when  $\Xi$  is a conic it seems possible to improve the decoding by considering also the quadratic components of the curve  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}^{P}$ .

Remark 4.2. The choice of P on a line  $\ell$  is due to the fact that any line of  $\pi_{0,0}$  meets all the planes of PG(3,q). In general, we might have chosen  $\ell$  to be just a blocking set disjoint from  $\Xi$ . If q is odd and  $|\Lambda| = q + 1$ , then the line  $\ell$  is just an external line to a conic of  $\pi_{0,0}$ .

# References

- 1. E. F. Assmus, Jr. and J. D. Key, Designs and their codes, Cambridge University Press,
- 2. S. N. Bose and I. M. Chakravarti, Hermitian varieties in a finite projective space  $PG(N, q^2)$ , Canad J. Math. **18** (1966), 1161–1182.
- 3. GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4, 2006, http://www. gap-system.org.
- 4. L. Giuzzi, Codici correttori, Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- 5. J. W. P. Hirschfeld, Projective geometries over finite fields, 2 ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- 6. R. McEliece, Theory of information and coding, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- 7. B. Segre, Forme e geometrie hermitiane, con particolare riguardo al caso finito, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **70** (1965), 1–201.
- 8. R. C. Singleton, Maximum distance q-nary codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 10 (1964), no. 2, 116-118.
- 9. L. Staiger, On the weight distribution of linear codes having dual distance d' > k, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 35 (1989), no. 1, 186–188.

10. M. Sudan, Decoding Reed Solomon codes beyond the error-correction bound, Journal of Complexity 13 (1997), no. 1, 180–193.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, POLITECNICO DI BARI, VIA G. AMENDOLA 126/B, 70126 BARI, ITALY E-mail address: a.aguglia@poliba.it E-mail address: l.giuzzi@poliba.it