Study of the weld ability of Aluminum Alloy 5083 H116 with Pulsed Arc GMAW (GMAW-P)

Estudio de soldabilidad de aleación de aluminio 5083 H116 con arco pulsado GMAW (GMAW-P)

F. Cueca 1E. Solano 1A. Patarroyo 1A. Morales 2F. Rojas 1R. Muñoz 3

43

Abstract

This research was based on the analysis of the weldability of aluminum joints, Alloy GL AW 5083 H116, with filler AWS 5.10 ER 5183 by GMAW-P process to determine the conditions of the heat-affected zone in the base material, depending on the heat input for the GMAW-P process with different pulsed technologies available in Colombia. The variables considered within this study were: welding positions (horizontal, vertical up, and overhead), type of welded joints (butt and fillet), and parameters for welding equipment (voltage, current, speed, power supply, speed development), and protective gas used (Argon, 100%). Non-destructive and destructive testing techniques were used to characterize the discontinuities found and the criteria to accept or reject the AWS D1.2 code (STRUCTURAL WELDING CODE - ALUMINUM by the AMERICAN WELDING SOCIETY). As a result, the investigation yielded the conditions for the application of filler material (ER 5183) on base material (alloy AW5083 GL H116), supported by Welding Procedure Specifications Documents (WPS) and Procedure Qualification Record (PQR) to implement in aluminum welding at the COTECMAR shipyard.

Key words: Welding, Pulsed arc. Pulsed MIG, HAZ, Discontinuities, Synergic Curves.

Resumen

Esta investigación se basó en el análisis de la soldabilidad de las uniones de aluminio, Aleación GL AW 5083 H116, con relleno AWS 5.10 ER 5183 mediante proceso de soldadura por arco metálico con gas (GMAW-P) para determinar las condiciones de la zona afectada por calor en el material base, dependiendo de la entrada de calor para el proceso GMAW-P con diferentes tecnologías de impulsos disponibles en Colombia. Las variables consideradas dentro de este estudio fueron: posiciones de soldadura (horizontal, vertical hacia arriba y por encima), tipos de uniones de soldadura (a tope y filete) y parámetros para equipo de soldadura (voltaje, corriente, velocidad, suministro de potencia, velocidad de desarrollo) y gas de protección utilizado (Argón, 100%). Se utilizaron técnicas de pruebas destructivos y no destructivas para caracterizar las discontinuidades halladas y los criterios para aceptar o rechazar el código AWS D1.2 (CÓDIGO DE SOLDADURA ESTRUCTURAL - ALUMINIO de la SOCIEDAD AMÉRICANA DE SOLDADURA). Como resultado, la investigación arrojó las condiciones para la aplicación del material de relleno (ER 5183) sobre material base (aleación AW5083 GL H116), apoyado por los documentos de Especificaciones de Procedimientos de Soldadura (WPS, por el término en inglés) y Registro de Calificación del Procedimiento (PQR, por el término en inglés) para implementar en soldadura en aluminio en el astillero de COTECMAR.

Palabras claves: Soldadura, arco pulsado. MIG pulsado, HAZ, Discontinuidades, Curvas Sinérgicas.

Date Received: October 22th, 2010 - Fecha de recepción: 22 de Octubre de 2010 Date Accepted: January 16th, 2012 - Fecha de aceptación: 16 de Enero de 2012

¹ Servicio Nacional de Aprendizaje SENA. e-mails: apatarroyo@misena.edu.co; elsomon7@misena.edu.co; facum64@hotmail.com; hfrojas@misena.edu.co

² Corporación de Ciencia y Tecnología para el Desarrollo de la Industria Naval, Marítima y Fluvial COTECMAR. e-mail: amorales@cotecmar.com ³ Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering. Bogotá, Colombia. e-mail: rmuñoz@unal.edu.co

Problem

The heat-affected zone (HAZ) is the section in the base material in which the mechanical properties are affected by the arc during the welding process in any metallic material. Depending on the amount of heat input, the magnitude of the HAZ increases or decreases as temperature on the material increases or decreases.

In aluminum alloys, the mechanical properties are seriously diminished by the effect of heat introduced by the welding process. It is more critical for the 5083 series alloys, which are heat-treatable alloys commonly used in the maritime industry and whose mechanical properties are assigned to their main alloying element, magnesium, and the residual stresses generated by a given hardening by cold work.

Documentation of the process of gas metal arc welding (GMAW) and pulsed-spray transfer is limited by these types of aluminum alloys in marine applications. The generation of pores and discontinuities can be attributed to the use of gas mixtures, lack of qualified technical welding personnel in this type of material, and nonupdating of standard skills for applications with GMAW and pulsed technology.

Introduction

Aluminum is a material with excellent mechanical properties and corrosion resistance; with its implementation in the shipbuilding industry, there is a decrease in fuel consumption and investment in vessel maintenance.

The GMAW process is a semi-automatic or automatic process, where an electric arc is maintained between a solid wire electrode that functions as continuous and the work piece. This process has different modes of mass transfer, *short circuit, globular, and spray.*

The shipbuilding industry is using Colombian high-strength materials like aluminum-magnesium

alloys (GL AW Alloy 5083 H116) welded with filler 5183 AWSER 5.10 and shielding gas (100% argon (Ar)) that meet the requirements of tensile strength, as specified in codes.

State-of-the-art

Designation of alloys

The designation of aluminum and its alloys are based on the quality of forged or cast products (molded). Table 1 shows the system for designating wrought alloys.

Table 1. Designation of wrought aluminum alloys

Designation	Major Alloy Elements	
1XXX	None, aluminum 99.00% min	
2XXX	Copper (Cu)	
3XXX	Manganese (Mn)	
4XXX	Silicon (Si)	
5XXX	Magnesium (Mg)	
6XXX	Magnesium and silicon	
7XXX	Zinc (Zn)	
8XXX	Other components	
9XXX	No uses	

Source: Materials Science - selection and design, Pat L. Mangonon, Prentice Hall

Characteristics of the forged alloy 5083 H116

Chemical composition

The chemical composition of aluminum alloys must meet the requirements of the International Association of Classification Societies (IACS) Section W25 (Table 2).

Mechanical properties

The mechanical properties must meet the requirements furnished in Table 3.

Grade	Si	Fe	Си	Mn	Mg	Cr	Zn	Ti	Other Elements	
					8				Each	Total
5083	0,40	0,40	0,10	0,40-1,0	4,0-4,9	0,05-0,25	0,25	0,15	0,05	0,15
5383	0,25	0,25	0,20	0,7-1,0	4,0-5,2	0,25	0,40	0,15	0,055)	0,155)
5059	0,45	0,50	0,25	0,6-1,2	5,0-6,0	0,25	0,40-0,90	0,20	0,05%	0,156)
5086	0,40	0,50	0,10	0,20-0,7	3,5-4,5	0,05-0,25	0,25	0,15	0,05	0,15
5754	0,40	0,40	0,10	0,50 ³⁾	2,6-3,6	0,30 ³⁾	0,20	0,15	0,05	0,15
5456	0,25	0,40	0,10	0,5-1,0	4,7-5,5	0,05-0,20	0,25	0,20	0,05	0,15
6005A	0,50-0,9	0,35	0,30	0,54)	0,40-0,7	0,30 ⁴⁾	0,20	0,10	0,05	0,15
6061	0,40-0,8	0,70	0,15-0,40	0,15	0,8-1,2	0,04-0,35	0,25	0,15	0,05	0,15
6082	0,7-1,3	0,50	0,10	0,40-1,0	0,6-1,2	0,25	0,20	0,10	0,05	0,15

Table 2. Requirements in the chemical composition of aluminum alloys for hull construction and marine structures

Notes:

¹⁾ Composition in percentage mass by mass maximum unless shown as a range or as a minimum.

²⁾ Includes Ni, Ga, V and listed elements for which no specific limit is shown. Regular analysis need not to be made.

³⁾ Mn + Cr: 0,10 - 0,60

⁴⁾ Mn + Cr: 0,12 - 0,50

⁵⁾ Zr: maximum 0,20. The total for other elements does not include Zirconium

⁶⁾ Zr: 0,05-0,25. The total for other elements does not include Zirconium

Source: IACS - Section W25

Table 3. Requirements of the mechanical properties of rolled aluminum products for the construction of hulls and marine structures

Crada	Temper	Th: down of	Yield Strenght	Tensile Strenght	Elongation, % min.		
Grade	condition	Thickness, t	N/mm^2	N/mm ²	A _{50mm}	A _{5d}	
	0	$3 \le t \le 50 \text{ mm}$	125	275 - 350	16	14	
5002	H112	$3 \le t \le 50 \text{ mm}$	125	275	12	10	
2085	H116	$3 \le t \le 50 \text{ mm}$	215	305	10	10	
	H321	$3 \le t \le 50 \text{ mm}$	215 - 295	305 - 385	12	10	

Notes:

1) Elongation in 50 mm apply for thicknesses up to including 12.5 mm and in 5d for thicknesses over 12.5 mm.

2) 8% for thickness up to including 6.3 mm.

Source: IACS - Section W25

Requirements regarding materials and welds according to IACS - STANDARD W25

These requirements apply to aluminum alloys with thicknesses between 3 and 50 mm. The numerical designation (grade) of aluminum alloys and the description of basic statements are based on the designation of the Aluminum Association (AA), as shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Requirements of aluminum products for the construction of hull and marine structures

Rolled products (plates, strips, and panels)	Extruded Products (sections, plates, rods, and closed profiles)
5083, 5086, 5383,	Aluminum Alloys: 5083, 5383, 5059, 5086
5059, 5754, 5456 With the following statements:	With the following statements: O/H111, H112
O/H112, H116, H321	And alloys 6005A, 6061, 6082 with statements T5 or T6

weld aluminum alloys; remember that the solder joints in this investigation consist of 5083 H116 alloy plates 6.7 mm thick.

weid ardiningin arroys						
Base Metal Alloys	5083	5086	54541)	5456		
5083	5183	5356	5356	5183		
5086	5356	5356	5356	5356		
54541)	5356	5356	55541)	5356		
5456	5183	5356	5356	5556		
6061	5356	5356	5554 ²⁾	5356		

Table 5. Recommended filler materials to weld aluminum alloys

Notes:

¹⁾ 5454 aluminum alloy welded with 5554 filler metal is generally recommended for above 65°C (150°F) such as for smoke stacks and engine rooms enclosures.

²⁾ 5183 or equivalents may be used.

Source: IACS - Section W25

Required filler materials to weld aluminum alloy 5083 H116

The properties of consumables or filler material used to weld aluminum alloy 5083H116 comply with ABS code requirements and are characterized in the Metal Handbook, Volume 6, according to Table 6.

Source: IACS - Section W25

Recommended filler materials to weld aluminum alloys

Table 5 shows the input materials recommended by the American Bureau of Shipping (ABS) to

Composition in percent maximum, unless shown as a range or specified Other Silicon Elements Alloy Silicon Iron and Copper Manganese Magnesium Chromium Zinc Titanium Aluminum Iron Each Total 4043 4,5-6,0 0,3 0,05 0,05 0.1 0,8 0,5 0,2 0,05 0,15 Remainder 5183 0,5-1,0 4,3-5,2 0,05-0,25 0.25 0,15 0,05 0,4 0,4 0,4 0,10,15 Remainder 0,4 5356 0,05-0,20 4,5-5,5 0,60-0,20 0,15 Remainder 0,10,05-0,20 0,10,05 5554 0,1 0,50-1,0 2,4-3,0 0,05-0,20 0,25 0,05-0,20 0,05 0,15 Remainder

Table 6. Requirements in the chemical composition of aluminum welding consumables

* The maximum Beryllium content of all tiller wires is to be 0,008 %

Source: ABS (American Bureau of Shipping) - Part 2 Appendix 2/E

Required mechanical properties of aluminum welding consumables

The mechanical properties of aluminum welding consumables are shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Required mechanical properties of aluminum welding consumables

	Shear Strength					
Filler Alloy	Longit	udinal	Trans	verse		
	MPA	KSI	MPA	KSI		
5183	128	18,5	193	28,0		

Source: ASM Metals HandBook Volume 6 - Welding, Brazing, and Soldering - Pag. 1801

Requirements regarding materials and welds according to IACS - STANDARD W25

Within the development process used, different variables are presented below.

Essential variables of the process

These are the numerical values of the parameters that directly affect the geometry of the weld deposit and its quality. Knowledge and control of these parameters is essential for quality welds because these variables are not independent given that a change in one of them produces or involves changes in some of the others. Key parameters to become part of the characteristics of welding and, therefore, the quality of the weld are: welding current, arc voltage, electrode free length (Stickout), polarity, forward speed, electrode diameter, electrode orientation and shielding gases, whose requirements are shown in Table 8.

Types of forces acting on the process

Surface tension Gravitational force Electromagnetic force

Transfer of metal

Short Circuit Globular transfer Spray Pulsed Spray

Advantages and disadvantages of the welding process transfer by pulsed Spray

Table 9 shows the advantages and disadvantages of the welding process of transfer by PULSED SPRAY.

Table 9. Advantages and disadvantages of the welding process transfer by pulsed spray

	Advantages		Disadvantages
•	It allows	•	High initial
	implementations in all positions without		equipment cost
	splash 3 to 50mm	•	Acceptance of welder and process
•	Versatile and productive		knowledge
	programmable.	•	Difficulty to adjust the parameters.
•	Allows welding filler		
	materials greater	•	Limited application
	than 0.9mm.		in open meetings and poor fit

Source: PROCESS OF PULSED WELDING - EXSA-Juan Guardia G. - OERLIKON

m 11 /	0 D / /'	•		1 .	1.1.
'l'ahle à	8 Protection	requirements	ın	aluminum	welding gas
1 abic (0.1 1000000000	requirementos	111	arammun	worung gas

Base Metal	Protection Gas	Beneficts		
	100 % Ar	Penetration of 0 to 25 mm, better transfer and arc stability, less sizzle.		
Aluminum	35% Ar – 65% He	Penetration of 25 to 76 mm; most induced heat than with pure argon, best features of fusion with the series Al-Mg alloys 5XXX series.		
	25% Ar – 75% He	More than 76 mm of penetration, the maximum introduced heat and minimal porosity.		

Source: PROTECTIVE GAS WELDING - Publication Abello Linde

ER 5183 wire features

Welding ER 5183 are very good fluidity, low melting point (eutectoid point) and widely used in the shipbuilding industry.

Table 10. ER 5183 Wire features

Alambre de Aluminio ER5183				
	Si	0,40%		
	Fe	0,40%		
Composición	Cu	0,10%		
	Manganeso	0,50-1,0%		
	Magnesio	4,3-5,2%		
	Cr	0,05%-0,25%		
	Zn	0,25%		
	Ti	0,15%		
	Otros elementos	0,05%		
	La suma de los elementos	0,05%		
	Al	Resto		
Caracterización del metal depositado	σs: 140 MPa σb: 300 MPa δ: el 20%			
Temperatura de fusión	574/638°C			
Metales bajos preferidos	AlMg4,5Mn; AlMg4Mn; AlMg5Si; AlZnMgCu1,5; AlZnMgCu0,5 Protegido por el Argón de la pureza elevada, y utilizado para la aleación de aluminio de alta resistencia de la soldadura: AlMg4,5Mn; AlMg5Si; AlZnMgCu1 5: AlZnMgCu0 5			

Source: http://spanish.alibaba.com/product-gs/ aluminum-wire-er5183-356630685.html

Shielding gases (Argon, Ar, 100%)

In gas-shielded arc welding, the shielding gas can have a great influence on the properties of the weld metal. It is, therefore, necessary to check the solder in a controlled atmosphere. In welding with covered electrodes, the gases surrounding the arc come from the combustion of certain substances contained in the electrode coating. In the Metal Inner Gas process a protective atmosphere is achieved around the arc with a jet of gas, supplied through a nozzle, and from an external power source.

This gas has been used for many years as a means of protection in fusion welding. Argon is used in welding generally has a purity of 99.995%. When greater purity is required, the gas may be chemically purged at concentrations of 99.999%. One of the main qualities of argon is its low ionization potential. This means more stable arches, quiet, with few projections. It also reduces the arc voltage and, consequently, reduces the power of penetration. These properties make it highly recommended for small thickness welding. Pure Argon gas is rarely used as a safe protection in welding metals like aluminum, copper, nickel, and titanium.

Aluminum welded joints have been extensively studied for years. Many researchers have focused on the metallurgical melt or weld phenomenon (*Hermann et al., 1996; Hepples et al., 1992*), others have characterized the mechanical properties (*Debbouz and Navaï, 1997; Bloem et al., 2000*) and, however, there are few studies on the evolution of the heat affected zone in these HAZ13 alloys.

Fig. 1 shows the base-metal interface weld, increasing the energy of dissolution of the shielding

Fig. 1. Diagram Evolution of energy and temperature of dissolutions of Shielding Gases in distance function



Source: http://erevistas.saber.ula.ve/index.php/ cienciaeingenieria/article/viewFile/

gases, indicating a higher level of these changes on the aluminum matrix.

Table 11. Chemical Composition Laboratory

Experimental Design

The present study considered a type comparative experiment of setting up such parameters welding process used such as: gas type, number of joints, and number of specimens, joint design and base material.

Methodology

The methodology carried out during the investigation was as follows:

Search and selection of power supplies for welding with GMAW-P., obtaining samples for chemical and mechanical characterization of the base material, chemical and mechanical characterization of the base material, joint design according to the AWS D1.2 code , consolidation of boards and equipment as selected variables, development of the encoding matrix, assurance process traceability of materials, preparation and machined seals, welding joints, test-granting ticket, verification stamp discontinuities through visual inspection techniques and NDT Penetrating, determining the number of samples to obtain welded joints AWS D1.2 code, Court stamp, Specimen preparation and machining, Mechanical testing, collection and analysis of results.

Results

Spectrometric analysis performed on the base material in the laboratory results from the study genre similar to those referenced by the IACS-W25; Mg decreased and Cr content could not be recorded by the computer (Table 11).

The results of the mechanical properties of the filler are shown in Tables 12 and 13 and in Figs. 2 and 3 for technology Y and Z, respectively; the values were greater than the efforts established by IACS-W25, registered in Table 7.

Chemical Composition Laboratory				
Element	% Weight			
Si	0,556			
Fe	0,283			
Cu	0,0312			
Mn	0,5322			
Mg	C,001			
Cr				
Zn	0,001			
Ti	0,0138			
Al	99,077			
Other elements	0,0037			

Source: Spectrometry Laboratory - Materials and Testing Center - SENA

Table 12. Mechanical Characteristics of Base Material

Mechanical Characteristics			
Yield strength (Mpa)	213,745		
Breaking strength (Mpa)	303,38		

Fig. 2. Diagram Curve formation efforts of filler material by using technology Y for butt-weld joint



Source: Materials Laboratory - Universidad Los Libertadores

Table 13. Mechanical characteristics of filler material by using technology Y for butt-weld joint

Mechanical Characteristics	
Yield strength (Mpa)	166,85
Breaking strength (Mpa)	243,815

Fig. 3. Diagram Curve formation efforts of filler material by using technology Z for butt-weld joint



Source: Materials Laboratory - Universidad Los Libertadores

For the designs of butt joints and fillet is served in accordance with the parameters set in Figs. 4 and 5.

Fig. 4. Scheme Joint design butt



Source: Project authors

The acceptance and rejection criteria applied in Non Destructive Testing inspection techniques and visual inspection and penetrating liquid were according to AWS D1.2, which evaluated surface discontinuities, Figs. 6 and 7 show the designs of the stamps to obtain the specimens and subsequent machining and bending test for both butt joints, and fracture for fillet joints.

Fig. 5. Scheme Joint design for fillet



Source: Project authors

Fig. 6. Scheme Sizing for specimens - Butt joints



Source: AWS - D1.2



Fig. 7. Scheme Sizing for specimens - Fillet joint

Macrography

Figs. 8, 9 and 10 show macrographies with the observation points of the specimens obtained

Fig. 8. Macrography code F2G2



Fig. 9. Macrography code F3G2



from the joint for Z technology, there is the base material, the heat affected zone (HAZ) and weld material; sections of macro-attack are also noted as indicated by the design.





Source: Project authors

Figs. 11, 12, and 13 show macrographies correspond to the **Y** technology with the same features listed above.

It should be noted that for all the specimens macroattack solution was used 200cc HNO3 and 50cc

Source: AWS - D1.2

HF at room temperature for 1 min to establish the dissolution of the precipitates and the recognition of discontinuities like rust, cracks, and inclusions.

Fig. 11. Macrography code M2G2



Fig. 12. Macrography code M3G2



Fig. 13. Macrography code M4G2



Source: Project authors

Bending test

The bend test for butt joints was made after verification of these macroscopic conditions and showed a brittle fracture behavior of welded specimens in all the Z technology with almost complete breakdown, as shown in Fig. 14, while as for **Y** technology applications and the Fig. 15 shows the generation of transverse cracks through on the side of the root.

Fig. 14. Macrography – Z technology



Fig. 15. Macrography – Y technology



Source: Project authors

Fracture test

The fracture test was performed to fillet welded joints, whose behavior for the entire application with Z technology was the generation of pores and the lack of significant fusion, as seen in Figs. 16, 17 and 18. The Y technology shows in Figs. 19, 20 and 21 a better condition in the generation of pores and lack of fusion.

Fig. 16. Macrography – Z technology $\,$



Fig. 17. Macrography – Z technology



Fig. 18. Macrography – Z technology



Source: Project authors

Metallographic tests

Fig. 22 shows microstructures with the results of metallographic test for the design of butt joints and Fig. 23 presents the results for fillet joints. Items designated as "a" and "c" in the design correspond to the HAZ, point "a" is evaluated at the top of this area and point "c" at the bottom. "b" is valued in the filler and point "d" in the base material.

Fig. 19. Macrography - Y technology



Fig. 20. Macrography - Y technology



Fig. 21. Macrography - Y technology



Hardness tests

Fig.s 24 and 25 correspond to the hardness profiles for the designs of butt joints with Z and Y Technologies respectively, showing an asymmetry in the profiles for the Z Technology, while for the Y Technology and the tendency of these is to be symmetrical. The same behavior can be seen in Figs. 26 and 27 for the hardness profiles of the butt and fillet joints.

Fig. 22. Metallography Butt Joint M4G2 at 100X



c.

Fig. 23. Metallography Butt Joint M4G2 at 100X

Fig. 24. Diagram Hardness Profile Z Technology – Butt Joint



Fig. 25. Diagram Hardness Profile Y Technology -Butt Joint

b.

d.

b.

d.







c.





Fig. 24. Diagram Hardness Profile Z Technology – Fillet Joint



Fig. 25. Diagram Hardness Profile Y Technology -

Conclusions

We selected two applications of GMAW-P technologies, which were designated as **Y** and **Z**, respectively, and these designs were applied to butt joints and fillet, with welding positions 2G, 3G, and 4G to stop and 2F, 3F, and 4F for fillet.

The preliminary characterization of the base material and filler with spectroscopy and mechanical tests allowed establishing comparisons with the theoretical references considered. The values of the mechanical tests for tensile test of butt joints show an increase of 28.1% in the yield stress and 24.5% for breaking strength on the welded joints with Z technology.

The visual analysis showed the weld areas of the base material, heat affected zone (HAZ) and weld material. The fracture testing of fillet joints shows better behavior mechanical with Z technology than the Y technology.

The metallographic analysis showed in more detail the microstructure of the zones of welded joints and discontinuities such as pores and confirms lack of fusion. This procedure was performed with a metallographic optical microscope connected to an image analyzer with a 100x magnification of gray levels because the interests of the investigation was to determine the overall condition of filler material in front of the base, in the micrographs is reached to appreciate dendritic areas (white points) in the HAZ with anisotropic orientations because of the possible phases present as Si, Mg 2 Si, and Fe3SiAl12 Fe2Si2Al9 within a matrix of aluminum-rich solid solution based on the results of chemical analysis.

A hardness profile in the symmetry of the points it has the **Y** technology, while technology **Z** shows irregularity in their profiles. The magnitude of higher hardness presents the welded joints with **Y** technology with nominations F3G2 with 95, HB and F3F2 with 82 in the filler, while for the appointments M3G2 and M3F2 were 99HB and 88 HB respectively.

References

- Ingeniería de soldadura Efraín Tabares A. -Profesor asociado a la Universidad Nacional de Colombia - Abril 5 del 2001 - Departamento de ingeniería mecánica - Universidad Nacional de Colombia.
- Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering - Arc voltage behavior of one drop per pulse mode in GMAW-P - VOLUME 17- ISSUE 1-2 -July-August - 2006.
- SUNARC Tecnología en soldadura- www.sunarc. com

- GASES DE PROTECCIÓN PARA LA SOLDADURA Publicación Abello Linde.
- PROCESOS DE SOLDADURA POR ARCO PULSADO - EXSA- Juan Guardia G. -OERLIKON.
- MANUAL DEL SOLDADOR Germán Hernández Riesco - Asociación española de soldadura y Tecnología de unión.
- EL LIBRO DEL ALUMINIO, INDUSTRIA Y ARQUITECTURA - Alu-stock S.A.- capitulo 10 - información técnica.

- MANUAL DE SOLDADURA MODERNA -Tomo 1 - segunda edición - Howard B. Cary - Prentice Hall Hispanoamericana S.A.
- AMERICAN BUREAU OF SHIPPING -Requirements for Materials and Welding -PART 2 - Aluminum.
- HUEHL, ROBERT O. Diseño de experimentos 2ª edición Thomson Learning.
- AWS D1.2 Structural Welding Code Aluminum