Lehigh Valley Health Network LVHN Scholarly Works

USF-LVHN SELECT

Benefits of a Sepsis Program.

Nikos Karakashian *USF MCOM- LVHN Campus,* nikos.karakashian@lvhn.org

Matthew McCambridge MD Lehigh Valley Health Network, Matthew.Mccambridge@lvhn.org

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/select-program Part of the <u>Medical Education Commons</u>

Published In/Presented At

Karakashian, N. McCambridge, M. (2017, March). *Benefits of a Sepsis Program*. Poster Presented at: 2017 SELECT Capstone Posters and Presentations Day. Kasych Family Pavilon, Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

Benefits of a Sepsis Program

Nikos Karakashian and Matthew McCambridge, MD

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA

Results

Introduction

- The mortality rate of admission for sepsis stands around 8 times that of the general hospital mortality rate, about 16%.¹
- In 2011 it was the single most expensive medical



Discussion

There was a large increase in the rate of bundle performance after the intervention period started, which was not explained by normal variation (p = 0.0065). Baseline performance was 4.2%. The post-intervention average was 15.6%, within the established range found at other institutions (see Table 2).

- condition to treat, costing \$20 billion nationally.²
- Significant increases in the sepsis mortality rate started appearing at the Lehigh Valley Health Network (LVHN) in 2014. Sepsis mortality rate was targeted as a priority for the network.
- Organized interventions to improve sepsis management at LVHN started May 1, 2016 in the emergency departments.
- This project attempted to determine the effects of the Sepsis Task Force's quality improvement interventions on sepsis management.
- This project also took advantage of the availability of sepsis management data at LVHN to look into two dimensions of quality: (1) equity across personal characteristics and (2) consistency of management across disease presentations.

Methods

 Data was gathered from EPIC for 599 patients who were documented to have sepsis in LVHN Emergency Departments from April through August 2016 (see Table 1).

- Men and women experienced 17.1% and 11.2% bundle follow-through, respectively. This difference was found to be statistically significant (p = 0.046) (Table 3).
- General Symptoms of Sepsis (18.4%), Integumentary System (17.6%), and Regional Pain (15.8%) received better care, while Gastrointestinal (0%) and Cardiovascular (0%) diagnoses received poorer care. The influence of primary diagnosis on treatment was found to be statistically significant (p = 0.012) (Table 3).
- There was no association between bundle performance and subcategories of age (p = 0.985), disease severity (p = 0.096), emergency department (p = 0.247), and day of the week (p = 0.420) (Table 3).

Conclusions

- Adherence to sepsis resuscitation was evaluated as a bundle – a selected set of actions that, as a group, "have an effect on outcomes beyond implementing the individual elements alone". ³
- Each of the following were performed for a bundle to be considered completed:
 - triage to lactate drawn
 <90 min,
 - 2. triage to blood cx <90 min,
 - 3. adequate fluid resuscitation,
 - 4. correct initial antibiotics selected,
 - 5. antibiotics administered <90 min.
- To investigate changes correlating with the network's sepsis interventions, bundle

Table 1. Number of Patients for AllCategories						
	# Patients					
Variable	Total	Pre- Interven- tion	Post- Interven- tion			
emale	286	26	261			
/ale	310	45	265			
<20 y.o.	6	1	5			
20-29 y.o.	34	2	32			
	29	3	26			
	49	4	45			
50-59 y.o.	98	12	86			
60-69 y.o.	127	16	112			
0-79 y.o.	113	12	101			
80-89 y.o.	95	12	83			
10-99 y.o.	44	8	36			
>99 y.o.	1	1	0			
April	71	71	n/a			
Лау	137	n/a	137			
une	118	n/a	118			
uly	140	n/a	140			
ugust	131	n/a	131			
londay	85	8	77			
uesday	101	77	89			
Vednesday	79	13	66			
'hursday	91	14	77			
riday	84	8	76			
Saturday	63	4	59			
unday	93	12	81			
astrointestinal	22		e to Calc.			
ntegumentary	17	Unable to Calc.				
ardiovascular	10	Unable to Calc.				
enito-Urinary	48	Unable to Calc.				
General Sepsis Sx	343	Unable to Calc.				
Respiratory	98	Unable to Calc.				
Regional Pain	13	Unable to Calc.				
ther	41		e to Calc.			
rgan Dysfunction, o SIRS	18	11	7			
Severe Sepsis	270	37	233			
SIRS or Sepsis	191	16	175			
7th St ED	29	0	29			
Cedar Crest ED	408	49	357			
Iuhlenberg ED	161	22	139			

Table 2. Data Characteristics					
	Bundle Performed	Bundle Not Performed	Percent of Patients with Full Bundle Performance		
Pre-Intervention (April 2016)	3	68	4.2		
Post-Intervention (May - August 2016)	82	443	15.6		

Increase in rate of bundle follow-through from the pre-intervention period to the post-intervention period is very statistically significant (p = 0.0065)

Table 3. Overall Bundle Follow-Through by Demographics, Disease **Characteristics, Day, and Location** # Patients for | # Patients for Whom Percent of Patients with **Patient Category** undle was N Full Bundle Performance was Performe Performe Intergroup differences are not statistically significant (p = 0.985) 33.3 20's 29 14.7 5 30's 25 13.8 40's 12.2 43 50's 15 83 15.3 60's 110 17 13.4 70's 16 97 14.2 80's 81 14.7 14 90's 13.6 100's Sex - Sex is significantly associated with bundle follow-through (p = 0.046) 257 11.2 254 32 17.1 Disease Severity - Intergroup differences are not statistically significant (p = 0.096) 17.3 **SIRS or Sepsies** 158 218 19.3 Severe Sepsis 52 Organ Dysfunction without SIRS 28 Gastrointestinal

- 1. The sepsis quality improvement interventions were effective.
- 2. A patient's sex was found to influences their treatment.
- 3. A patient's primary diagnosis was found to influence their treatment.
- 4. There was no association between bundle performance and subcategories of age, disease severity, emergency department, and day of the week.

Implications:

- Maintaining and expanding the Sepsis Task Force quality improvement interventions will continue to yield benefits for patients and the network.
- There may be system or provider biases based on sex and primary diagnosis. A more detailed investigation into these discrepancies is warranted.

performance was calculated by month.

 To investigate consistency of sepsis management, bundle performance was calculated based on subgroups of age (in decades), sex, day of the week, type of primary diagnosis, and severity of presenting diagnosis

	, and the second s	-•	-		
Integumentary	3	14	17.6		
Cardiovascular	0	10	0		
Respiratory	12	86	12.2		
General Symptoms of Sepsis	63	280	18.4		
Genitourinary	4	44	8.3		
Regional P ain	2	11	15.4		
Other	1	41	2.4		
Department - Intergroup differences a	are not statistically sig	gnificant (p = 0.247)			
17th Street	7	22	24.1		
Cedar Crest	54	354	13.2		
Muhlenberg	24	137	14.9		
Day of the Week - Intergroup differences are not statistically significant ($p = 0.420$)					
Sunday	15	78	16.1		
Monday	10	75	11.8		
Tuesday	9	92	8.9		
Wednesday	12	67	15.2		
Thursday	16	75	17.6		
Friday	16	68	19.0		
Saturday	7	56	11.1		

References:

- 1. Hall MJ, Williams SN, DeFrances CJ, Golosinskiy A. (2011). Inpatient Care For Septicemia Or Sepsis: A Challenge For Patients And Hospitals. National Center for Health Statistics. Page last reviewed: November 6, 2015. https:// www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/databriefs/db62.htm
- 2. National inpatient hospital costs: the most expensive conditions by payer, 2011. (August 2013). Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project Statistical Brief No. 160. https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb160.jsp
- 3. Surviving Sepsis Campaign bundles. Available at: http://www. survivingsepsis.org/bundles/Pages/default.aspx.

© 2017 Lehigh Valley Health Network



Experiences for a lifetime. A network for life.™



