#### Lehigh Valley Health Network LVHN Scholarly Works

Department of Family Medicine

#### Primary Care Clinicians Use of Genomics and Pharmacogenomic Testing

Heather Bittner-Fagan MD, MPH

Brian Stello MD Lehigh Valley Health Network, Brian.Stello@lvhn.org

Christopher V. Chambers MD

Geoffrey Mills MD, PhD

Beth Careyva MD Lehigh Valley Health Network, beth\_a.careyva@lvhn.org

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/family-medicine Part of the <u>Medical Genetics Commons</u>, <u>Medical Pharmacology Commons</u>, and the <u>Primary</u> <u>Care Commons</u>

#### Published In/Presented At

Bittner, H., Stello, B., Chambers, C., Mills, G., Careyva, B., Johnson, M., Axell-House, D., & Rosenthal, M. (2014, June 6-7). *Primary care clinicians use of genomics and pharmacogenomic testing*. Poster presented at: The Delaware Academy of Family Physicians (DAFP) Annual Scientific Assembly, Newark, DE.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

#### Authors

Heather Bittner-Fagan MD, MPH; Brian Stello MD; Christopher V. Chambers MD; Geoffrey Mills MD, PhD; Beth Careyva MD; Melanie B. Johnson MPA; Dierdre B. Axell-House BA; and Michael P. Rosenthal MD



## **INTRODUCTION**

- The Human Genome Project has led to an exponential increase in the potential use of genetic information in health care.
- The clinical utility of most of this testing is undetermined
- Healthcare professionals do not have substantial education in genetic medicine.
- Despite these barriers, genomics will continue to integrate into clinical practice.

## PURPOSE

To assess the awareness, experience, and preparedness of regional primary care providers regarding genomic tests.

## **METHODS**

- A convenience sample of primary care physicians at JeffCare, the Lehigh Valley Health Network, and the Christiana Care Health System. Initial postcards were sent to describing project. Two weeks later, packets with a link to the survey, a printed copy, a stamped/addressed return envelope and a \$5 gift card were sent. Surveys were anonymous. A total of 315 responses out of 833 were received; response rate was 37.89%
- A novel survey consisting of 20 questions,
- Data analysis using Excel for age, gender, practice type, specialty, affiliation and EMR use. Bivariate associations were made comparing either personal characteristics or perceived barriers to genomic testing implementation, and confidence in performing genetic testing-based tasks.

# Primary Care Clinicians' Use of Genomic and Pharmacogenomic Testing Heather Bittner Fagan, M.D., MPH<sup>1</sup>, Brian Stello, M.D.<sup>2</sup>, Christopher V. Chambers, M.D.<sup>3</sup>, Geoffry Mills, M.D., PhD<sup>3</sup>, Beth Careyva, M.D.<sup>2</sup>, Melanie Johnson, MPA, Dierdre Axell-House, BA<sup>3</sup>, Michael Rosenthal, MD<sup>1</sup> Christiana Care Health Systems<sup>1</sup>; Lehigh Valley Health Network<sup>2</sup>; Thomas Jefferson University<sup>3</sup>

Table 1: Characteristics of the Re	Table 3	Table 3: Confidence of PCPs based on experience.							
Personal Characteristics	n	%							
Gender				Take a	Build a	Assess risk	Order	Discuss risks,	Counsel
Male	166	54.1		thorough family	family medical	of hereditary	genetic testing for	benefits, limits to genetic testing	patients about whether or not
Female	141	45.9							
Age				history	history	disease	hereditary	for hereditary	to have
25-34	48	15.6			pedigree chart		cancers	cancers	genetic counseling
35-44	78	25.3							
45-54	86	27.9							-
55-64	79	25.6	Ordered GT						
65-74	11	3.6	(n= )						
$\geq 75$	6	1.9	Yes	78 3%	65.0%	75.0%	55.0%	56 7%	70.0%
Clinical Role			105	10.370	00.070	12.070	55.070	50.170	10.070
Family Medicine Physician	204	65.8	No	64.6%	53.1%	52.0%	23.6%	37.0%	47.2%
Internal medicine Physician	70	22.6	<i>p-value</i>	< 0.05	0.09	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.05	< 0.05
Other	36	11.6							
Practice Setting			Consulted						
Rural	20	10.0	w/ genetics						
Suburban	108	54.0	counselor						
Urban	66	35.0	(n= )						
Multiple	22	11.0	Yes	75.9%	70.7%	60.3%	43.1%	53.4%	72.4%
Practice Type			No	65 20%	52 00%	55 50%	76 6%	37 00%	16 0%
Academic	92	29.2	INU	03.270	52.070	55.570	20.070	31.970	40.970
Private	106	33.7	p-value	0.11	< 0.05	0.49	$<\!0.05$	< 0.05	< 0.001
Both	12	3.8							
Neither	105	33.3							
Healthcare Affiliate									
JeffCare	68	22.3							
CCHS/DAFP	129	42.3	Table 4:	Perceive	d barriers	s to aenetic	: testina i	n primary care	(N= 315)
LVHN	108	35.4				3-1-0		,	
Use EMR	275	89.0							

#### Table 2: Physicians' confidence in tasks related to genomic medicine (N=315)

					т 1
Confidence	Some	High	Very	Total	I have eno
			High		Most patie
Take a thorough family history	46.0%	17.8%	3.5%	67.3%	-
					In my prac
Build a family medical history pedigree chart	33 7%	17.8%	4.1%	55.6%	understand
	001170	111070	111/0		
Assess risk of hereditary disease	42.2%	11.7%	2.5%	56.5%	My hospitation by hos
Tissess fisk of hereditary disease					
Order genetic testing for hereditary cancers	23.8%	4.8%	1.3%	29.8%	I
order genetic testing for hereditary cancers	23.070			27.070	
Discuss risks benefits limits to genetic testing for	32.4%	7.9%	0.6%	41 0%	Note: Cont confidence
hereditary cancers				41.0 /0	
nereditary cancers					genetic tes
Counsel patients about whether or not to have genetic	39.4%	11.1%	1.3%	51.7%	
counseling					
Counsel patients about risk reduction strategies based on the	32.1%	19.4%	7.6%	59.0%	
results of genetic testing	02.170	17.170	,,		

Note: Confidence is defined as the percentage of respondents who had "some" high" or "very high" confidence in ability to perform tasks for genetic-based disease.

	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total
ugh time to counsel patients about genetic risk	18.1%	47.9%	66.0%
ents can understand the concept of risk	4.4%	34.9%	39.4%
ctice, I have adequate resources to help patients I genetic risk	28.9%	50.8%	79.7%
al or network has adequate resources to help iderstand genetic risk	11.1%	21.3%	32.4%

e: Confidence is defined as the percentage of respondents who had "some" high" or "very high" nfidence in ability to perform tasks for genetic-based disease if they had or had not ordered netic testing or consulted a genetics counselor in the past 6 months.

## Lehigh Valley **Health Network**

## DISCUSSION

- Physicians have low confidence for ordering and discussing genetic testing for hereditary cancers
- Ordering genomic testing was common
- Physicians who had previously ordered genetic testing were more confident on most tasks related to genetic testing
- A similar pattern is seen for prior consultation with a genetic counselors.
- Inadequate time and resources to counsel patients related to genetic risk were strong barriers
- Most physicians felt their hospital or network has adequate resources to help patients understand genetic risk and that patients were capable of understanding risk

### **FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND RESEARCH**

- The predominance of respondents who ordered testing/counseling being only "Somewhat Confident" suggest the need for educational development in primary care
- Evidence-based decision support, ideally integrated in HIT, is needed • The FDA suspended "23 and me" a Direct-to-Consumer (DTC)
- genetic-testing company for unsubstantiated clinical claims

# **Funding and Approval:** Medical college. [CTR line here]

Acknowledgements The authors would like to acknowledge the Delaware Academy of Family Physicians (DAFP) for their support their participation. We would also like to acknowledge CCHS Medical Imaging for their help in preparing this poster.

#### References

- Genet Couns. 2012;21(3):469–478. doi:10.1007/s10897-011-9471-9.
- Fam Med. 2013:45(4):257-62.
- Genet Couns. 2012;21(1):113–26. doi:10.1007/s10897-011-9390-9.



Jefferson

- The project was funded through a special grant through the Dean's Office of Jefferson
- The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of each institution. Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number U54GM104941. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Green ED, Guyer MS. Charting a course for genomic medicine from base pairs to bedside. *Nature*. 2011;470:204-213. doi:10.1038/nature09764 Bernhardt BA, Zayac C, Gordon ES, Wawak L, Pyeritz RE, Gollust SE. Incorporating direct-to-consumer genomic information into patient care: attitudes and experiences of primary care physicians. Per Med. 2012;9(7):683-692. doi:10.2217/pms.12.80.

Goldsmith L, Jackson L, O'Connor A, Skirton H. Direct-to-consumer genomic testing from the perspective of the health professional: a systematic review of the literature. J Community Genet. 2013;4(2):169–80. doi:10.1007/s12687-012-0135-8. Selkirk CG, Weissman SM, Anderson A, Hulick PJ. Physicians' preparedness for integration of genomic and pharmacogenetic testing into practice

within a major healthcare system. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers. 2013;17(3):219-25. doi:10.1089/gtmb.2012.0165. Powell KP, Christianson C a., Cogswell W a., et al. Educational Needs of Primary Care Physicians Regarding Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing. J

Mainous AG, Johnson SP, Chirina S, Baker R. Academic family physicians' perception of genetic testing and integration into practice: a CERA study

Passamani E. Educational challenges in implementing genomic medicine. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2013;94(2):192–5. doi:10.1038/clpt.2013.38. Burke W. Genetic testing in primary care. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2004;5:1–14. doi:10.1146/annurev.genom.5.061903.180029. Powell KP, Cogswell W a, Christianson C a, et al. Primary care physicians' awareness, experience and opinions of direct-to-consumer genetic testing. J