Lehigh Valley Health Network LVHN Scholarly Works

Department of Emergency Medicine

Impact Study of a Central Lines Simulation Training Program Using Kirkpatrick's Four-Level Evaluation Model

James P. Orlando EdD Lehigh Valley Health Network

Andrew C. Miller DO

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Andrew C.Miller@lvhn.org

William Bond MD, MS
Lehigh Valley Health Network, University of South Florida, william.bond@osfhealthcare.org

Valerie A. Rupp RN, BSN

Lehigh Valley Health Network, Valerie.Rupp@lvhn.org

Bryan G. Kane MD Lehigh Valley Health Network, bryan.kane@lvhn.org

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/emergency-medicine
Part of the Emergency Medicine Commons, Medical Education Commons, Nursing Commons, and the Surgery Commons

Published In/Presented At

Orlando, J., Miller, A., Bond, W., Rupp, V., & Kane, B. (2010, March 4-7). *Impact study of a central lines simulation training program using kirkpatrick's four-level evaluation model.* Poster presented at: The 2010 ACGME Annual Educational Conference, Nashville, TN.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

Authors James P. Orlando EdD; Andrew C. Miller DO; William Bond MD, MS; Valerie A. Rupp RN, BSN; Bryan G. Kane MD; Cindy Umbrell RN, MSN; and Michael Pasquale MD	

Impact Study of a Central Lines Simulation Training Program Using Kirkpatrick's Four-Level Evaluation Model

James P. Orlando, Ed.D; Andrew Miller, DO; William Bond, MD, MS; Valerie Rupp, RN, BSN; Bryan Kane, MD; Cindy Umbrell, RN, MSN; Michael Pasquale, MD
Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pennsylvania

Background:


- The breakneck speed of medical science and technology far outpaces the current capabilities of our healthcare delivery system and theprofessionals who work in them to prevent medical errors.
- Central line-associated infections are preventable events that harm patients and generate significant costs.
- Key contributing factors to these adverse events include insufficient training, lack of provider collaboration and uneven application of evidencebased patient safety protocols.
- There is a paucity of evidence regarding the long-term impact of simulation training on patient outcomes.

Objectives:

The study evaluates the impact of central lines simulation training program from 2006-2009 on learner and patient outcomes within the framework of Kirkpatrick's four-level evaluation model.


Methods:

- Assessing training effectiveness often entails using the four-level model developed by Donald Kirkpatrick. As shown in Figure 1, Kirkpatrick's model is based upon the premise that training programs can be evaluated across four levels:
 - Level 1 (reaction)
 - Level 2 (knowledge)
 - Level 3 (application)
 - Level 4 (impact)
- According to this model, information from each prior level serves as a base for the next level's evaluation. Thus, each successive level represents a more precise measure of the effectiveness of the training program, but at the same time requires a more rigorous and timeconsuming analysis.
- The study utilizes a mixed methods, quantitatiave/qualitative approach to:
 - (a) determine reliability of evaluation instruments
 - (b) understand perceptions of resident course participants and nurses
 - (c) determine the relationships between course outcomes, operator practices, and patient outcomes
 - (d) validate the accuracy of data collected on the procedural checklist
 - (e) determine how changes in training, policies and protocols impact a hospital's central line-associated infections trend rate.



Results:

- Level 1 course evaluations indicated that simulation, small group teaching, and feedback were useful, relevant and motivating to participants.
- Level 2 t-tests and ANCOVA analyses of knowledge scores showed significant knowledge gains and retention within and between cohorts.
- Level 3 chi-square and linear regression analyses suggested that operators' Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI) central lines bundle compliance rate predicted their complications rate.
- Focus group data suggested that having a nurse in the room had an unanticipated effect of reducing the number of attempts by course taking residents and therefore, lowering complications rate.
- Cronbach's Alpha on the Level 3 procedural checklist instruments showed "good" reliability.
- As shown in Figure 2, Level 4 time-series analysis suggested that central lines training and changes in policies and practices had significantly reduced the hospital's central line-associated infection trend rate since April 2005.



Significance:

- This study produced evidence suggesting that interprofessional simulation training contributes to better resident adherence with IHI Central Lines Bundle and lower complication/infection rates than if the course did not exist.
- Performance support mechanisms introduced in the course and present in the clinical setting, such as a central lines checklist and peer support, reinforce course learnings and enable skill transfer.
- Kirkpatrick's Four-Level Evaluation Model is a promising framework for evaluating the impact of clinical training programs on resident performance.

A PASSION FOR BETTER MEDICINE.

