#### Lehigh Valley Health Network LVHN Scholarly Works

Department of Medicine

#### A Retrospective, Single Center Experience with the SharkCore Fine Needle Biopsy System: A New Bite in to Gastrointestinal Histological Sampling

Bonnie Patek DO *Lehigh Valley Health Network,* bonnie.patek@lvhn.org

Joan Collette CRN Lehigh Valley Health Network, Joan.Collette@lvhn.org

Hope Kincaid MPH, CPH Lehigh Valley Health Network, Hope.Kincaid@lvh.com

Jennifer E. Macfarlan MPH Lehigh Valley Health Network, jennifer\_e.macfarlan@lvhn.org

Shashin Shah MD Lehigh Valley Health Network, Shashin.Shah@lvhn.org

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarlyworks.lvhn.org/medicine Part of the <u>Medical Sciences Commons</u>

#### Published In/Presented At

Patek, B., Collette, J., Kincaid, H., Macfarlan, J., Shah, S., & Shah, H. (2016, May 23). A Retrospective, Single Center Experience with the SharkCore Fine Needle Biopsy System: A New Bite in to Gastrointestinal Histological Sampling. Poster presented at: Digestive Disease Week, San Diego, CA.

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by LVHN Scholarly Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in LVHN Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact LibraryServices@lvhn.org.

#### Authors

Bonnie Patek DO; Joan Collette CRN; Hope Kincaid MPH, CPH; Jennifer E. Macfarlan MPH; Shashin Shah MD; and Hiral N. Shah MD

# A Retrospective, Single Center Experience with the SharkCore Fine Needle Biopsy System: A New Bite in to Gastrointestinal Histological Sampling Bonnie L. Patek, DO; Joan Collette, CRN; Hope Kincaid, MPH, CPH; Jennifer Macfarlan, MPH; Jennifer Macfarlan, MPH; Shashin Shah, MD; Hiral Shah, MD Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, Pennsylvania

### Background

- Sharkcore Fine Needle Biopsy (FNB) system allows for interchangeability of all needle sizes through a universal delivery system for rapid needle exchange and passes and for the possible collection of histological samples.
- Studies suggest that diagnostic accuracy/adequacy can be enhanced with the use of rapid onsite evaluation (ROSE).

### Advantage of FNB vs FNA

- Accurate diagnosis of an otherwise undifferentiated tumor with tissue acquisition
- Options involving surgical and oncologic care can be guided by the results
- Prevent inappropriate treatment

## **Study Aims**

- Assess the adequacy of tissue samples obtained from the SharkCore FNB
- Determine if location of the mass/ lesion effects adequacy
- Assess if ROSE is necessary in assisting with adequacy
- Determine if the SharkCore FNB system can produce core tissue specimens for histological sampling

### • Study type:

teaching hospital for 6 months.

### Equipment and Endoscopic Ultrasound (EUS) Procedure:

### Sampling Process:

- department.
- final pathology report.
- normal tissue.
- All biopsy needles are rinsed in CytoLyt.

### Statistical analysis:

Table 3. ROSE EUS-FNB Adequacy Com	<ul> <li>The analysis was purely descriptive and exploratory in nature with descriptive statis the entire sample as a whole.</li> </ul>			
je)	<ul> <li>Means presented with the standard deviation for the continuous variables (age)</li> </ul>	ROSE Present ROSE Abs		
	<ul> <li>Percentages given for all cases that resulted in an adequate tissue sample overall and broken down</li> </ul>			
FNB Adequacy     Inadequate				
Less than optin		otimal 0 (0.0%) 1 (14.3%)		
Total Patients (n)	Table 1. FNB Background	26 7		
Exclusion Criteria	Study Inclusion Criteria			
Untreated coagulopathy	Indications for FNB <sup>1</sup> Contraindications <sup>1</sup> Complications <sup>2-3</sup> Age > 18 years old			
Active pancreatitis	<ul> <li>Pancreatic mass</li> <li>Severe thrombocytopenia</li> <li>Pancreatitis</li> <li>Post procedure</li> <li>Post procedure</li> </ul>			
different FNA system	<ul> <li>Mediastinal lymph node</li> <li>Inability to properly</li> <li>Seizure</li> <li>Seizure</li> </ul>	Table 4. Adequacy Based on Location of Mass		
	and/or mass visualize lesion/mass • Laryngospasm Mass/lesion composed of some solid components	FNB Adequacy		
Mass/lesion felt not to be safely accessible Location of Mass Adequate Inadequate	<ul> <li>Retroperitoneal lymph node and/or mass</li> <li>Perirectal lymph node</li> <li>Perirectal lymph node</li> <li>Post procedural abdominal pain</li> <li>EUS-FNB performed by one of two advanced endosonographers</li> </ul>	ite Less than Total Patie Optimal (n)		
Pancreatic 16 (84.2%) 2 (10.5%)	and/or mass	1 (5.3%) 19		
• Head 7 (87.5%) 0 (0.0%)	Lesion(s) in the left liver     Income Six CUTTING EDGE SURFACES	1 (12.5%)		
• Body 8 (88.9%) 1 (11.1%)	<ul> <li>Left adrenal mass</li> </ul>	0 (0.0%)		
• Tail 1 (50.0%) 1 (50.0%)	<ul> <li>Intestinal/gastric</li> </ul>	0 (0.0%)		
Subepithelial mass/lesion Intra-abdominal Lymph Node 6 (100%) 0 (0.0%)				
Hepatic         2 (100%)         0 (0.0%)	Single centered cutting luman Opposing "Catch bevel"	0 (0.0%) 2		
Gastric/Submucosa 3 (75.0%) 1 (25.0%)	Single Centered Cutting fumen	0 (0.0%) 4		
Biliary 2 (100%) 0 (0.0%)		0 (0.0%) 2		
Biliary         2 (100%)         0 (0.0%)	Figure 1. Shark Core fine needle biopsy system with 6 beve			

## **Materials and Methods**

- Retrospective, hypothesis-generating study conducted at a large, tertiary, single center

- Patients monitored under anesthesia care with procedures performed using a linear array echoendoscope in left lateral decubitus position. EUS guided FNB was done with the 22G and 25G FNB needle of stainless steel (ID 0.020", 0.014 "and OD 0.028" and 0.020"), respectively. - Localization of mass followed by needle puncture, stylet removed, and needle moved to-and-fro within the lesion four times. All tissue sampling performed with slow pull technique.<sup>4</sup> Specimen then expressed onto slides by flushing air into needle assembly.

- Sample is obtained from needle onto two slides, one for Diff Quick staining, one Papanicolaou stain. • If core biopsy present, tissue material placed into a formalin container. - Samples that not evaluated with ROSE were collected and sent directly to the pathology

Initial adequacy during ROSE determined by cytotechnologist and final adequacy verified by

Adequacy based on cells appearing to be malignant or a different architecture compared to

If thick tissue fragments present, cell block for histological processing was created.

Average Age -Male • Female Race/ethnicity Caucasian African Ame Other Location of mas Pancreas Body - Tail Intra-abdom Hepatic Gastric/Sub Biliary **FNB** Adequacy Inadequate Adequate Less than c FNB Results Benign/Non-Malignant Inconclusiv

\* Some percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding.

decrease tissue fracturing and penetration force while maintaining intact tissue structure.

# Results

Table 2. Clinical Characteristics of Patients		
Variable	Patients (n=33)	
<u>+</u> SD, yrs	63.3 ± 16.8	
	16 (48.5%)	
	17 (51.5%)	
	29 (87.9%)	
erican	1 (3.0%)	
	3 (9.1%)	
ass*	10 (57 60/)	
	19 (57.6%) 8 (24.2%)	
	9 (27.3%)	
	2 (6.1%)	
ninal lymph node	6 (18.2%)	
	2 (6.1%)	
mucosa	4 (12.1%)	
	2 (6.1%)	
су У		
	3 (9.1%)	
	29 (87.9%)	
ptimal/inconclusive	1 (3.0%)	
-malignant	12 (36.4%)	
	16 (48.5%)	
9	5 (15.2%)	

- tissue samples.
- - still found to have adequate samples.
- Adequacy based on location of mass

  - low sample size

# Limitations

- Small sample size (n = 33), single center
- Short time period (6 months) for both advanced endosonographers to access and train with new FNB system
- Pathology protocol for core tissue biopsies

#### **References:**

- Canard, Jean Marc., Jean-Christophe Letard, Laurent Palazzo, Ian Penman, and Anne Marie. Lennon. "Endosonograph Gastrointestinal Endoscopy in Practice Expert Consult: Online and Print. London: Elsevier Health Sciences UK, 2011
- sampling of solid pancreatic mass lesions." *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* 76.2 (2012): 321-327. Hayashi, Tsuyoshi, et al. "Rapid on-site evaluation by endosonographer during endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle
- aspiration for pancreatic solid masses." *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 28.4 (2013): 656-663. 4. Nakai, Yousuke, et al. "Slow pull versus suction in endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration of pancreatic solid masses." Digestive Diseases and Sciences 59.7 (2014): 1578-1585.

### Discussion

### • Adequacy of samples determined by final pathological read was 87.9%.

- Factors to increase adequacy in sampling are ROSE availability, experience of the endosonographer and familiarity or continued exposure to EUS procedures.<sup>5-7</sup>

### • Our study indicated, based on the pathology protocol, that this needle system did not provide core

- Majority of samples underwent histological processing, but were done so as an afterthought. - One study reviewed the use of both FNA and FNB systems to obtain histological samples and revealed the FNB to be unsatisfactory in yielding core specimen compared to the FNA system.<sup>8</sup>

### • ROSE allows real time feedback to endosonographers to assist in adequacy samples for biological sampling with about a 10-15% increase in specimen yield in at least solid pancreatic masses.<sup>3</sup>

- 96.2% of cases were able to obtain adequate sample, but with ROSE absent, a majority of cases were

- Majority of cases were sampled from pancreas with an adequacy rate of 84.2%. - Intra-abdominal lymph nodes, hepatic masses and biliary samples had 100% adequacy rate but were a

- Our study is different in that it evaluates many different pathological sites not limited to solid pancreatic masses that are showing adequate sampling with the use of the SharkCore FNB system.

2. Bang, Ji Young, et al. "Randomized trial comparing the 22-gauge aspiration and 22-gauge biopsy needles for EUS-guided

# **Future Studies**

- Utilizing this technology for intra-thoracic malignancy
- Comparing ROSE adequacy with final pathology
- If increase familiarity with the system decreases the need for ROSE
- Change in how samples are processed by pathology
- Hikichi, Takuto, et al. "Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration of solid pancreatic masses with rapid on-site cytological evaluation by endosonographers without attendance of cytopathologists." Journal of Gastroenterology 44.4 (2009): 322-328.
- Collins, Brian T., et al. "Rapid on-site evaluation for endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle biopsy of the pancreas decreases the incidence of repeat biopsy procedures." *Cancer Cytopathology* 121.9 (2013): 518-524.
- Suzuki, Rei, et al. "Repeat endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration for solid pancreatic lesions at a tertiary referral center will alter the initial inconclusive result." J Gastrointestin Liver Dis 22.2 (2013): 183-7
- B. Bang, Ji Young, et al. "Randomized trial comparing the 22-gauge aspiration and 22-gauge biopsy needles for EUS-guided sampling of solid pancreatic mass lesions." *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy* 76.2 (2012): 321-327.

### © 2016 Lehigh Valley Health Network

A PASSION FOR BETTER MEDICINE.™

610-402-CARE LVHN.org

