Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics(JPRM) ISSN: 2395-0218



Volume 12, Issue 5

Published online: November 15, 2017

Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics www.scitecresearch.com/journals

Lyapunov-type inequalities for fractional differential equations

Sha Li*, Xu Han, Qiaoluan Li

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei Normal University

Shijiazhuang, 050024, China

*Corresponding author, Email: 905642060@qq.com

Abstract

The principal aim of this paper is to discuss Lyapunov-type inequalities for fractional differential equations with fractional boundary conditions. Some new Lyapunov's inequalities are established, which almost generalize and improve some earlier results in the literature.

Keywords: Lyapunov-type inequalities; Fractional differential equations; Riemann-Liouville derivative; Green's function; Boundary conditions.

1. Introduction

We recall the well-known Lyapunov inequality for Hill's equation

$$x''(t) + q(t)x(t) = 0 (1.1)$$

where $q(t) \in L^1[a,b]$ is a real-valued function. If x(t) is a nontrivial solution of Eq. (1.1) such that x(a) = x(b) = 0, where a < b are two consecutive zeros of x(t), then the following inequality holds:

$$\int_{a}^{b} |q(t)| dt > \frac{4}{b-a},\tag{1.2}$$

where the constant 4 is sharp [1], and Ineq. (1.2) is known as Lyapunov inequality.

The Lyapunov-type inequality and its generalizations have been used as a useful tool in oscillation theory, eigenvalue problems, disconjugacy, and many other areas of differential and difference equations, see for instance [2-5, 8-10] and the references cited therein.

The study of Lyapunov-type inequalities for fractional differential functions has begun recently. Ferreira ^[7] first study Lyapunov inequality in this direction, where he derived a Lyapunov-type inequality as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (see [7]) Consider the fractional boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}_{a}D^{\alpha}x(t) + q(t)x(t) = 0, a < t < b, \\ x(a) = x(b) = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (1.3)

where $_aD^{\alpha}$ is the (left) Riemann-Liouville derivative of order $\alpha \in (1,2]$ and $q(t):[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. If Eq. (1.3) has a nontrivial solution, then

$$\int_{a}^{b} \left| q(s) \right| ds > \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{4}{b-a}\right)^{\alpha-1}. \tag{1.4}$$

In 2017, Agarwall et al. [6] studied Lyapunov type inequalities for mixed nonlinear fractional differential equations with a forcing term

$$\begin{cases} {}_{a}D^{\alpha}(x(t)) + p(t) \big| x(t) \big|^{\mu-1} x(t) + q(t) \big| x(t) \big|^{\gamma-1} x(t) = f(t), \\ x(a) = x(b) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \alpha \le 2, 0 < \gamma < 1 < \mu < 2$.

In this paper, we obtain Lyapunov-type inequalities for the Riemann-Liouville fractional nonlinear differential equations with a forcing term

$$\begin{cases}
D_{a^{+}}^{\beta}(r(t)D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}x(t)) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}(t) |x(t)|^{\mu_{k}-1} x(t) = f(t), a < t < b, \\
x(a) = x'(a) = x'(b) = 0, D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}x(a) = D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}x(b) = 0,
\end{cases}$$
(1.5)

where $0 < \mu_k < 2, 1 \le k \le n, \alpha \in (2,3], \beta \in (1,2].p_k(t), f(t):[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $r(t) \in C[a,b]$ such that r(t) > 0.

The organization of the rest of this paper is as follows: the next Section recalls some definitions and Lemmas which will play an important role in the proof of our main results. In Section 3, we establish some new Lyapunove-type inequalities for Eq. (1.5), and a example illustrating the result is also given in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some preliminaries.

Definition 2.1 The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha \ge 0$ is defined by

$$(D_{a^{+}}^{0}f)(t) = f(t)$$

and

$$(D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} (\frac{d}{dt})^{n} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha-n+1}} ds, t \in [a,b],$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

Lemma 2.2^[6] If A is positive, and B, z are nonnegative, then

$$Az^{2} - Bz^{\alpha} + (2 - \alpha)\alpha^{\alpha/(2 - \alpha)}2^{2/(\alpha - 2)}A^{-\alpha/(2 - \alpha)}B^{2/(2 - \alpha)} \ge 0$$

for any $\alpha \in (0,2)$ with equality holding if and only if B=z=0.

Lemma 2.3^[4] Let $\alpha > 0$, if $D_{a^+}^{\alpha} u \in C[a,b]$, then

$$I_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}u(t)=u(t)+\sum_{k=1}^{n}c_{k}(t-a)^{\alpha-k},$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

Lemma 2.4^[4] Let $2 < \alpha \le 3$ and $y \in C[a,b]$. Then the problem

$$\begin{cases} D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha} u(t) + y(t) = 0, a < t < b, \\ u(a) = u'(a) = u'(b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s) y(s) ds,$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \begin{cases} (\frac{b-s}{b-a})^{\alpha-2} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}, & a \le s \le t \le b, \\ (\frac{b-s}{b-a})^{\alpha-2} (t-a)^{\alpha-1}, & a \le t \le s \le b. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.5 Let $y \in C[a,b], 1 < \beta \le 2 < \alpha \le 3, r(t) > 0$ and $r(t) \in C[a,b]$. Then the problem

$$\begin{cases} D_{a^{+}}^{\beta}(r(t)D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}x(t)) + y(t) = 0, a < t < b, \\ x(a) = x'(a) = x'(b) = 0, D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}x(a) = D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}x(b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution

$$x(t) = -\int_a^b G(t,s) \left(\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_a^b H(s,\tau) y(\tau) d\tau \right) ds,$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \begin{cases} (\frac{b-s}{b-a})^{\alpha-2} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}, a \le s \le t \le b, \\ (\frac{b-s}{b-a})^{\alpha-2} (t-a)^{\alpha-1}, a \le t \le s \le b, \end{cases}$$

$$H(t,s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \begin{cases} (\frac{b-s}{b-a})^{\beta-1} (t-a)^{\beta-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-1}, a \le s \le t \le b, \\ (\frac{b-s}{b-a})^{\beta-1} (t-a)^{\beta-1}, a \le t \le s \le b. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.3 we have

$$r(t)D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}x(t) = -\int_{a}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} y(s)ds + c_{1}(t-a)^{\beta-1} + c_{2}(t-a)^{\beta-2},$$

where c_i , i=1,2, are real constants. The condition $D_{a^+}^{\alpha}x(a)=0$ implies that $r(a)D_{a^+}^{\alpha}x(a)=0$, which concludes $c_2=0$. Then the condition $D_{a^+}^{\alpha}x(b)=0$ implies that $r(b)D_{a^+}^{\alpha}x(b)=0$, which yields

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_a^b \left(\frac{b-s}{b-a}\right)^{\beta-1} y(s) ds.$$

Then

$$r(t)D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}x(t) = -\int_{a}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)}y(s)ds + \frac{(t-a)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)}\int_{a}^{b} (\frac{b-s}{b-a})^{\beta-1}y(s)ds,$$

this is,

$$r(t)D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}x(t) = \int_{a}^{b} H(t,\tau)y(\tau)d\tau.$$

Therefore,

$$D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}x(t) - \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{a}^{b} H(t,\tau)y(\tau)d\tau = 0.$$

Setting

$$y_1(t) = -\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_a^b H(t,\tau) y(\tau) d\tau,$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} D_{a^{+}}^{\alpha} x(t) + y_{1}(t) = 0, a < t < b, \\ x(a) = x'(a) = x'(b) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Finally, applying Lemma 2.4, we obtain the desired result.

3. Main results

Our main results are the following Lyapunov-type inequalities.

Theorem 3.1 If Eq. (1.5) has a nontrivial continuous solution, then

$$\left[\int_{a}^{b} \frac{(b-s)^{\alpha-2}(s-a)}{r(s)} ds\right]^{2} \int_{d}^{b} (b-s)^{\beta-1} (s-a)^{\beta-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| p_{k}(s) \right| ds \int_{a}^{b} (b-s)^{\beta-1} (s-a)^{\beta-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k} \left| p_{k}(s) \right| + \left| f(s) \right| ds > \frac{\Gamma^{2}(\alpha) \Gamma^{2}(\beta) (b-a)^{2\beta-2}}{4}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\gamma_k = (2 - \mu_k) \mu_k^{\mu_k/(2 - \mu_k)} 2^{2/(\mu_k - 2)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

Proof. Let x(t) be a nontrivial continuous solution, from Lemma 2.5, we have

$$x(t) = -\int_a^b G(t,s) \left(\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_a^b H(s,\tau) \left[\sum_{k=1}^n p_k(\tau) |x(\tau)|^{\mu_k - 1} x(\tau) - f(\tau)\right] d\tau \right) ds.$$

Let $|x(c)| = \max_{t \in [a,b]} |x(t)|$. From [4] we have

$$0 \le G(t,s) \le G(b,s), (t,s) \in [a,b] \times [a,b],$$

$$0 \le H(t,s) \le H(s,s), (t,s) \in [a,b] \times [a,b].$$

As a consequence, we have

$$|x(c)| = \left| -\int_{a}^{b} G(c,s) \left(\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{a}^{b} H(s,\tau) \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}(\tau) |x(\tau)|^{\mu_{k}-1} x(\tau) - f(\tau) \right] d\tau \right) ds \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{b} \frac{G(c,s)}{r(s)} \int_{a}^{b} H(s,\tau) \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} |p_{k}(\tau)| |x(\tau)|^{\mu_{k}} + |f(\tau)| d\tau ds$$

$$\leq \int_{a}^{b} \frac{G(b,s)}{r(s)} \int_{a}^{b} H(\tau,\tau) \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} |p_{k}(\tau)| |x(\tau)|^{\mu_{k}} + |f(\tau)| d\tau ds$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \frac{G(b,s)}{r(s)} ds \int_{a}^{b} H(s,s) \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} |p_{k}(s)| |x(s)|^{\mu_{k}} + |f(s)| ds$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{k} |x(c)|^{\mu_{k}} + F,$$

$$(3.2)$$

where

$$Q_{k} = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{G(b,s)}{r(s)} ds \int_{a}^{b} H(s,s) |p_{k}(s)| ds, k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$F = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{G(b,s)}{r(s)} ds \int_{a}^{b} H(s,s) |f(s)| ds.$$

In Lemma 2.2 with A = B = 1, implies that

$$|x(c)|^{\mu_k} < |x(c)|^2 + \gamma_{\iota}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Using this inequality and Ineq. (3.2) we find the following quadratic inequality:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{k} |x(c)|^{2} - |x(c)| + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k} Q_{k} + F > 0.$$

But this is only possible when

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{k} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k} Q_{k} + F \right) > \frac{1}{4},$$

which is the same as (3.1). This completes the proof of Theoren 3.1.

Theorem 3.2 If Eq. (1.5) has a nontrivial continuous solution, then

$$\left[\int_{a}^{b} \frac{(b-s)^{\alpha-2}(s-a)}{r(s)} ds\right]^{2} \int_{a}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| p_{k}(s) \right| ds \int_{a}^{b} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k} \left| p_{k}(s) \right| + \left| f(s) \right| \right] ds > \frac{4^{2\beta-3} \Gamma^{2}(\alpha) \Gamma^{2}(\beta)}{(b-a)^{2\beta-2}}, (3.3)$$

where $\gamma_k = (2 - \mu_k) \mu_k^{\mu_k/(2 - \mu_k)} 2^{2/(\mu_k - 2)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

Proof. Let $\psi(s) = (b-s)(s-a), s \in [a,b]$. Observe that the function $\psi(s)$ has a maximum at the point $s_1 = \frac{a+b}{2}$, that is,

$$\psi_{\text{max}}(s) = \psi(s_1) = \frac{(b-a)^2}{4}.$$

The desired result follows immediately from the last equality and inequality (3.1). This completes the proof of Theoren 3.2.

Theoren 3.3 If Eq. (1.5) has a nontrivial continuous solution, then

$$\left(\int_{a}^{b} \frac{ds}{r(s)}\right)^{2} \int_{a}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| p_{k}(s) \right| ds \int_{a}^{b} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k} \left| p_{k}(s) \right| + \left| f(s) \right| \right] ds > \frac{4^{2\beta - 3} \Gamma^{2}(\alpha) \Gamma^{2}(\beta) (\alpha - 1)^{2\alpha - 2}}{(\alpha - 2)^{2\alpha - 4} (b - a)^{2(\alpha + \beta - 2)}}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\gamma_k = (2 - \mu_k) \mu_k^{\mu_k/(2 - \mu_k)} 2^{2/(\mu_k - 2)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

Proof. Let

$$\phi(s) = (b-s)^{\alpha-2}(s-a), s \in [a,b],$$

then

$$\phi'(s) = (b-s)^{\alpha-3}[b-s-(\alpha-2)(s-a)], s \in [a,b].$$

When $s_* = \frac{b + a(\alpha - 2)}{\alpha - 1}$, the function has $\phi'(s_*) = 0$. So the function $\phi(s)$ has a maximum at the point $s_* = \frac{b + a(\alpha - 2)}{\alpha - 1}$, this is

$$\phi_{\text{max}}(s) = \phi(s_*) = (\alpha - 2)^{\alpha - 2} \left(\frac{b - a}{\alpha - 1}\right)^{\alpha - 1}$$

The desired result follows immediately from the last equality and inequality (3.3). This completes the proof of Theoren 3.3.

For $\alpha = 3$, $\beta = 2$, Eq. (1.5) becomes

$$\begin{cases} (r(t)x^{(3)}(t))'' + \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k(t) |x(t)|^{\mu_k - 1} x(t) = f(t), a < t < b, \\ x(a) = x'(a) = x'(b) = 0, x^{(3)}(a) = x^{(3)}(b) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

In this case, taking $\alpha = 3$, $\beta = 2$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.4 If Eq. (3.5) has a nontrivial continuous solution, then

$$\left(\int_{a}^{b} \frac{(b-s)(s-a)}{r(s)} ds\right)^{2} \int_{a}^{b} (b-s)(s-a) \sum_{k=1}^{n} |p_{k}(s)| ds \int_{a}^{b} (b-s)(s-a) \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k} \left| p_{k}(s) \right| + \left| f(s) \right| \right] ds > (b-a)^{2},$$
(3.6)

where $\gamma_k = (2 - \mu_k) \mu_k^{\mu_k/(2 - \mu_k)} 2^{2/(\mu_k - 2)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

Corollary 3.5 If Eq. (3.5) has a nontrivial continuous solution, then

$$\left(\int_{a}^{b} \frac{ds}{r(s)}\right)^{2} \int_{a}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| p_{k}(s) \right| ds \int_{a}^{b} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k} \left| p_{k}(s) \right| + \left| f(s) \right| \right] ds > \frac{256}{(b-a)^{6}}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\gamma_k = (2 - \mu_k) \mu_k^{\mu_k/(2 - \mu_k)} 2^{2/(\mu_k - 2)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

4. Example

Example 4.1 Consider the fractional equation

$$\begin{cases} D_{0^{+}}^{\frac{5}{3}}(D_{0^{+}}^{\frac{7}{3}}x(t)) + p_{1}(t) | x(t)|^{-\frac{1}{3}} x(t) + p_{2}(t) | x(t)|^{\frac{1}{3}} x(t) = f(t), t \ge 0, \\ x(0) = x'(0) = x'(b) = 0, D_{0^{+}}^{\frac{7}{3}}x(0) = D_{0^{+}}^{\frac{7}{3}}x(b) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $p_1(t), p_2(t)$ and $f(t):[0,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions. If the solution x(t) has consecutive zeros at 0 and b > 0, in view of Theorem 3.1 the following inequality must be satisfied

$$\begin{split} & [\int_{0}^{b} (b-s)^{\frac{1}{3}} s ds]^{2} \int_{0}^{b} (b-s)^{\frac{2}{3}} s^{\frac{2}{3}} (|p_{1}(s)| + |p_{2}(s)|) ds \int_{0}^{b} (b-s)^{\frac{2}{3}} s^{\frac{2}{3}} (2 \times (\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{3}{2}} |p_{1}(s)| \\ & + \frac{4}{27} |p_{2}(s)| + |f(s)|) ds > \frac{\Gamma^{2}(\frac{7}{3}) \Gamma^{2}(\frac{5}{3}) b^{\frac{4}{3}}}{4}. \end{split}$$

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by NNSF of China (11571090), GCCHB (GCC2014052).

References

- Lyapunov, AM (1907). Probléme géneral de la stabilité du mouvement. Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ., Toulouse 2, 203-407.
- [2] Borg, G (1949). On a Liapunoff criterion of stability. Amer. J. Math., 71, 67-70.
- [3] Kwong, MK (1981). On Lyapunovs inequality for disfocality. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 83, 486-494.
- [4] Arifi, NA, Altun, I, Jleli, M, Lashin, A, Samet, B (2016). Lyapunov-type inequalities for a fractional p Laplacian equation. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2016(1), 189.

- [5] Napoli, PL, Pinasco, JP (2006). Estimates for eigenvalues of quasilinear elliptic systems. *J. Differential Equations.*, 227, 102-115.
- [6] Awarwal, RP, Özbekler, A (2017). Lyapunov type inequalities for mixed nonlinear Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with a forcing term. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 314, 69-78.
- [7] Ferreira, RAC (2013). A Lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional boundary value problem. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 16 (4), 978-984.
- [8] Reid, TW (1965). A matrix equation related to an non-oscillation criterion and Lyapunov stability. *Quart. Appl. Math. Soc.*, 23, 83-87.
- [9] Reid, TW (1970). A matrix Lyapunov inequality. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 32, 424-434.
- [10] Nehari, Z (1959). Some eigenvalue estimates. J. Anal. Math., 7, 79-88.