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There are several isoxazoles in general medical practice and their metabolic fate and disposition is well known, and thus, this heterocyclic ring is often considered among the privileged scaffolds or templates for drug design and discovery. Many examples can be found of 3-aryl-isoxazoles which in theory have a chiral axis, yet actual experimental examples of direct determinations of isoxazole rotational barriers are few and far between. The dihedral angle of the 3-aryl to isoxazole bond in antibacterials of the oxacillin series increased with substitution in the 2- and 6- positions of the phenyl. Although his calculated barrier was low, this implied that atropisomers are possible for unsymmetrical substitution. The chirality of these systems differs from that of other compounds as their configuration is inverted by rotation about single bonds and can be accomplished by thermal equilibration. Thus, depending on the barrier to rotation, some of these atropisomers may only be isolated at low temperatures, if at all.

Recognition of the chiral elements, helicity and sugar morphology, of DNA by a small chiral molecule has long been an area of interest for the design of new antitumor medicines. It is expected that chiral atropisomers would exhibit a significant eudismic ratio when the functional groups of the chiral small molecule addresses the chiral portion of the molecular target. Our working hypothesis is based on docking studies with our putative molecular target G4-DNA, and indicates a eudismic ratio for atropisomers which arises precisely from the functional group which renders the molecule axially chiral. The study described herein propose to characterize at atomic resolution the first such atropisomerc isoxazole interacting with G4, an interaction that spans the domain interface from the G-tetrad deck to the sugar phosphate backbone, thus providing a rigorous framework for the development of selectivity among the major classes of G4 structures.

The goal is rational design of therapeutic aryl isoxazoles in which the barrier to stereochemical inversion (rotation) can be tailored to the application. By this means, we can probe the efficacy (binding efficiency) of potential drugs locked in a particular atropisomeric form vs freely/restricted rotating at physiological temperatures. In the course of these studies we have prepared analogs with enhanced bioactivity in the sub-micromolar to nanomolar range, which in principle contain a chiral axis. It is important for our current study to experimentally elucidate these conformational dynamics, and knowledge of such dynamics will be useful in the broader impact sense of providing energetic benchmarks for others in the field.
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## Chapter 1

## Isoxazoles in the PDB

### 1.1 Introduction

It is commonly accepted that a majority of the published work in organic chemistry involved at least one heterocyclic ring. Heterocycles can play a pivotal role not only as goals in synthesis, but as mediators of synthetic transformations. Isoxazoles are unique in their chemical behavior not only among heterocyclic compounds in general but also among related azoles. This is because isoxazoles possess the typical properties of aromatic systems, which are in fact rather pronounced in these derivatives, together with high lability of the ring under certain conditions, particularly route to liberate the latent functionality. From a purely formal point of view isoxazole can be considered an analog of pyridine just as furan is an analog of benzene. Such formal analogy is to some extent valid; for isoxazole resembles pyridine more than other heterocyclic compounds as far as chemical properties are concerned. It differs from pyridine in undergoing more readily electrophilic substitutions reactions and possessing a more labile ring this relationship thus resembles that between furan and benzene.

The isoxazole ring appears in several drugs in general medical practice, and is found routinely in drug discovery leads, to the point where some consider it a privileged scaffold. The literature on crystallography has continued to expand at an accelerating pace in recent years, and it seemed that a summary of the observed interactions of the isoxazole moiety with biological targets could be useful to those involved in design and discovery. Especially intriguing in light of the fact that most medicinal chemists use some form of molecular modelling, is that a collection of the observed interactions could prove useful in assessment of hypothesis generation.

The current review covers isoxazole ligands in the Protein data bank (PDB) reviewed April 27, 2015, there were 174 isoxazole containing ligands and 215 discreet drug-receptor interactions deposited and released to the PDB. There has been classic work on topics such as sulfamethoxazole, beta-lactams, antivirals, and the AMPA receptor, as well as intense recent interest in topics such as BRD, FXR, kinases and HSP90. We have attempted to describe here the most essential interactions defining the potential role of an isoxazole in drug-receptor interactions. The full analysis of the data set is summarized in the Table, and a more detailed comprehensive discussion will appear in an invited review to Future Medicinal Chemistry.

### 1.2 Types of interactions

Our general discussion of interactions will begin with common modes of interaction that have been observed in coordination chemistry ${ }^{1}$.

$\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}$

$\mathrm{O}_{\text {ring }}$


LB


Mode A:
$\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {ring }}$
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Mode D: N-Aryl bridging
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Chart 1-1. Isoxazole binding modes observed in metal complexes, generalized to interactions with Lewis Acids $\partial+$. In the Munsey review, conjugated amino groups were considered in the coordination chemistry with metals, here generalized to conjugated Lewis bases (LB). Reprinted with permission from reference 1 .

The depiction of three dimensional shape in two dimensions is often a difficult undertaking, however, the Stierand and Rarey notation used on the PDB is the best current solution ${ }^{2}$.


Chart 1-2. The ligand interactions in the protein data bank are based on the graphic nomenclature. Reprinted with permission from reference 2.

### 1.3 Sulfamethoxazole

Dihydropteroate synthase enzyme in bacteria has been a target for many years to help combat bacterial infections in both gram-positive and gram-negative organisms. Since bacteria have to synthesize folic acid for DNA synthesis, targeting this enzyme would allow for selective toxicity to bacterial cells. Sulfa drugs interrupt this essential folate pathway by competing with


Figure 1-1. Sulfamethoxazole interactions with dihydropteroate synthase. Left, image from the RCSB PDB of PDB ID 3TZF. Right, Ligand Explorer reveals hydrophobic interactions with the isoxazole. Yun, M.K., Wu, Y., Li, Z., Zhao, Y., Waddell, M.B., Ferreira, A.M., Lee, R.E., Bashford, D., White, S.W. Catalysis and sulfa drug resistance in dihydropteroate synthase. Science. 2012. 335: 1110-1114.
the 4-Aminobenzoic acid (PABA) precursor. Sulfamethoxazole was the first isoxazole containing drug to hit the market. The first dihydropteroate synthase crystallized with sulfamethoxazole appeared in 2012. The 5-methyl-3- sulfonamide isoxazole plays a role as a spacer for anchoring two hydrogen bonds with one each of the carbonyl groups of the sulfone to Ser222B ${ }^{3}$, and Ligand Explorer reveals direct isoxazole hydrophobic interactions that are seen for the C 3 and C 4 carbons of the isoxazole with Proline 64 (Figure 1-1).

### 1.4 Beta-lactamase

$\beta$-Lactamases are one of the most recognizable and most widely prescribed antibiotics used to treat a number of bacterial infections. $\beta$-Lactamases, such as penicillins, cephalosporins,


Figure 1-2. Cloxacillin interactions with $\beta$-lactamase. Left, image from the RCSB PDB of PDB ID 1FCM. Left, interaction map and Right, Ligand Explorer reveals hydrophobic interactions with the isoxazole. Patera, A., Blaszczak, L.C., Shoichet, B.K. Crystal structures of Substrate and Inhibitor Complexes with AmpC-Lactamase: Possible Implications for Substrate-Assisted Catalysis. J.Am.Chem.Soc. 2000, 122: 10504-10512.
and carbapenems work by inhibiting cell wall synthesis inhibiting of the peptidoglycan layer in the cell wall. $\beta$-lactam analogs preserve the $\beta$-lactam core of the drug but explore diverse functionality of the amide bond substituents to help understand the different pharmacological profiles and different bacterial spectra of action. However, different levels of resistance to $\beta$ lactamases comes with such a diverse pharmacophore, as well as, the added concern of overuse and misuse of these drugs. ${ }^{4,5}$

Lactamases are categorized into three classes (A, B, and C) with many subclasses. While class B enzymes use a zinc-based mechanism for hydrolysis as opposed to a nucleophilic serinebased two-step mechanism for class A and C, the potential problem lies in the covalent bond that $\beta$-lactams form with such diverse $\beta$-lactamases ${ }^{4,5}$. However, as more classes of $\beta$-lactamases
being discovered and characterized, the diversity in their substrate selectivity profiles became apparent.

With many $\beta$-lactamases having been crystallized with antibiotics containing isoxazoles (Chart 1-3), a ligand interactions diagram can start unfolding. Many of the interactions that occur with isoxazole in the binding pocket are aliphatic hydrophobic interactions of the C5-methylisoxazole and isoxazole-aryl, although direct isoxazole interactions were noted in 1NYY (Figure 1-2). Another interesting case comes from Patera (2000) when they crystallized cloxacillin (open-form) with in beta-lactamase not only showing hydrophobic interactions of the C5-methylisoxazole with Tyr218, but also the first known resolved axially chiral 3-aryl- isoxazole found in the literature. With continued efforts, the structural bases for isoxazole containing $\beta$-lactamase antibiotics structure-activity-relationship will continue to grow and become well understood and remain areas of intense investigation.


1U3, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$
105, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$
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Chart 1-3. Ligands containing isoxazole moieties of $\beta$-lactamase.

### 1.5 Rhinovirus

Small icosahedral RNA viruses that are a part of the Picornaviridae family, including the rhinoviruses are the most common and leading viral infection in humans for upper respiratory tract infections, also known as the common cold. Human rhinoviruses are composed of a capsid, that contains four viral proteins VP1, VP2, VP3 and VP4 consisting of 60 copies of each. VP1, VP2, and VP3 form the major part of the protein capsid with 8 anti-parallel-barrels. The smaller VP4 protein has a polypeptide chain and lies at the internal surface of the capsid. Rhinoviruses have a surface "canyon" which is the binding site for many surface molecules. Below the floor of the "canyon" within the VP-1 barrel, lies a hydrophobic pocket which is hypothesized to play a role in maintaining the capsid stability. Thus, effort into building a small molecule to stabilize the capsid via binding in the hydrophobic space (Figure 1-3, shown below) to an extent that the

virus cannot release its RNA
Figure 1-3. W56 ligand interactions of Antiviral human rhinovirus 14. Left, image from the RCSB PDB of PDB ID 2RS5. Right, Ligand Explorer reveals $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$-Bond -Asn219 and p-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Leu106/Phe124 interactions with the isoxazole. Badger, J., Minor, I., Oliveira, M.A., Smith, T.J., Rossmann, M.G. Proteins 1989, 6: 1-19.
into the target cell is underway. A new development in antiviral drugs came when Pleconaril
made to clinical trials. Although the FDA did reject the new-drug application due to safety concerns, although it is under further investigation, it is a breakthrough in the sense that small molecules could be designed to bind in the hydrophobic pocket to prevent RNA release (Chart 14 and 1-5). ${ }^{6,7}$


W33. $R_{1}=5, R_{2}=H$
W43. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=7, \mathrm{R}_{2}=(\mathrm{S})-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$


Chart 1-4. Isoxazole ligands for rhinovirus.



W54. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=5, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$



Chart 1-5. Isoxazole ligands for rhinovirus.

### 1.6 MMP

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are part of a large family of proteases that are zincdependent endopeptidases. Collectively, MMPs degrade all kinds of extracellular matrix proteins and participate in connective tissue remodeling and in numerous other physiological processes ${ }^{8}$.

With MMPs being implicated in multiple pathways, extensive efforts to develop new and more



Figure 1-4. R47 ligand interactions with matrix metalloproteinase 12. Left, image from the RCSB PDB of PDB ID 4GQL. Right, Ligand Explorer reveals $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-His218; C3-PhTyr240/His218 interactions with the isoxazole. Czarny, B., Stura, E.A., Devel, L., Vera, L., Cassar-Lajeunesse, E., Beau, F., Calderone, V., Fragai, M., Luchinat, C., Dive, V. J.Med.Chem. 2013, 56: 1149-1159.
potent inhibitors is a target for a variety of therapeutic applications with the initial broadspectrum inhibitor, a synthetic inhibitor, showed disappointing results in clinical trials ${ }^{9}$. The first MMP inhibitor containing an isoxazole was shown in 2007 for MMP9, since then only literature has been seen for MMP12 with the most recent derivatives by Czarny ${ }^{10}$ (Chart 1-6) showing sub-nano-molar to pico-molar activity is attainable. The isoxazole side chain extends deep into the cavity of MMP-12 and ring stacks on the His218 imidazole ring (Figure 1-4, shown above).









Chart 1-6. Isoxazole ligands at the matrix metalloproteinases (MMP).

### 1.7 Heat Shock Protein 90 (HSP90)

Heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) has a complex function involving homodimerization, assisting protein folding, stabilizes proteins against heat stress and aids in protein degradation.


Figure 1-5. 2JG ligand interactions with HSP90. Left, image from the RCSB PDB of PDB ID 2VCI. Right, Ligand Explorer reveals $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$-Bond-Thr184 interactions with the isoxazole. Brough, P.A., Aherne, W., Barril, X., Borgognoni, J., Boxall, K., Cansfield, J.E., Cheung, K.M., Collins, I., Davies, N.G.M., Drysdale, M.J., Dymock, B., Eccles, S.A., Finch, H., Fink, A., Hayes, A., Howes, R., Hubbard, R.E., James, K., Jordan, A.M., Lockie, A., Martins, V., Massey, A., Matthews, T.P., Mcdonald, E., Northfield, C.J., Pearl, L.H., Prodromou, C., Ray, S., Raynaud, F.I., Roughley, S.D., Sharp, S.Y., Surgenor, A., Walmsley, D.L., Webb, P., Wood, M., Workman, P., Wright, L. J.Med.Chem. 2008, 51: 196.

The chaperone cycle is driven by hydrolysis of ATP to ADP with a binding pocket in the N terminal domain, in which most inhibitors are bound within. The dysregulation of pathways involving stabilizing a number of proteins that play a key role in assisting survival, proliferation, invasion and metastasis is why HSP90 inhibitors are investigated as potential anti-cancer drugs. HSP90 is expressed in normal cell homeostatis, comprising 1-2\% of total cellular protein, however, many theories have been proposed for rationales for selectively of HSP90 in cancer cells versus normal cells ${ }^{11}$. A group from Vernalis ${ }^{11}$, found that bioisoteric replacement of a pyrazole with 3,4-diaryl isoxazole-5-carboxamides resulted in potent anticancer activity (Figure
$1-5$, shown above). The crystal structure revealed binding at the ATP binding pocket of heat shock protein 90 (HSP90). Key interactions include Oring-hydrogen bond with Thr184 and hydrophobic interactions of Met98 with the isoxazole ring (Chart 1-7).
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Chart 1-7. Isoxazole ligands for chaperone protein Heat Shock Protein 90 (HSP90).

### 1.8 Farnesoid X receptor (FXR)

The farnesoid X receptor (FXR), also known as, the bile acid receptor (BAR), is a part of a large nuclear receptor family which regulates gene transcription. Just like other nuclear receptors, FXR, when activated, translocated to the nucleus, forms a dimer and binds to a response element of DNA, which up- or down-regulates the expression of certain genes. FXR is expressed highly in the liver and intestine and to a less extent in gallbladder, kidney and adrenal


Figure 1-6. 034 ligand interactions with FXR. Left, image from the RCSB PDB of PDB ID 3RVF. Right, Ligand Explorer reveals Bifurcated- $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$-bond-Asn447A, $\pi$-Ring-C3-Cl $2_{2}$ $\mathrm{Ph}-\pi$-Phe329A and C5-iPr-Leu387A interactions with the isoxazole. Akwabi-Ameyaw, A., Caravella, J.A., Chen, L., Creech, K.L., Deaton, D.N., Madauss, K.P., Marr, H.B., Miller, A.B., Navas, F., Parks, D.J., Spearing, P.K., Todd, D., Williams, S.P., Wisely, G.B. Bioorg.Med.Chem.Lett. 2011, 21: 6154-6160.
glands. FXR is a key controller of bile acid homeostasis, as well as, helps maintain glucose homeostasis. With such diverse functions, FXR has the potential in many aspects of health practices, such as: inflammatory bowel disease, diabetes, obesity, and liver cancer among other possibilities. This first isoxazole continuing FXR inhibitor in the literature was found to be from Akwabi-Ameyaw ${ }^{12}$ in 2008. Since then, many other groups have come out with additional potent isoxazole containing inhibitors for $\mathrm{FXR}^{13-17}$ (Chart 1-8). Interesting binding interactions came
from Akwabi-Ameyaw ${ }^{14}$ (Figure 1-6) showing a bifurcated $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$-bond with Asn447A and additional hydrophobic interactions with $\pi$-Ring-C3-Cl $2-\mathrm{Ph}-\pi, \pi$-Phe329A and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{iPr}-$ Leu387A.
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Chart 1-8. Isoxazole ligands at the Farnesoid X Receptor (FXR).

### 1.9 Human angiotensin-I converting enzyme (hACE)

Human angiotensin-I converting enzyme (hACE) is a well-accepted agent used for the treatment of hypertension, electrolyte homeostasis, and related cardiovascular diseases. ACE enzyme is a membrane-bound zinc metalloprotease having two primary functions: the first, catalyzing the conversion of a peptide hormone that acts a potent vasoconstrictor, and two, degrades a potent vasodilator. ${ }^{18}$ With a majority of the commercially available ACE inhibitors being designed back in the 1970s, a second generation of ACE inhibitors (Chart 1-9) that has enhanced selectively without the undesirable side effects will be boosted by the availability of high resolution structures currently being published. One example comes from Masuyer ${ }^{19}$ showing hydrophobic interactions to both Val380A and Val518A (Figure 1-7).


Figure 1-7. 3EF ligand interactions with hACE. Left, image from the RCSB PDB of PDB ID 4CA5. Right, Ligand Explorer reveals Val380A and Val518A hydrophobic interactions with the isoxazole. Masuyer, G., Akif, M., Czarny, B., Beau, F., Schwager, S.L., Sturrock, E.D., Isaac, R.E., Dive, V., Acharya, K.R. FEBS J. 2014, 281: 943.
3ES



Chart 1-9. Isoxazole ligands at the human angiotensin converting enzyme (hACE).

### 1.10 Bromodomain (BRD)

The bromodomain protein module, which binds to acetylated lysine, is emerging as an important epigenetic therapeutic target. Conway and colleagues reported the structure-guided optimization of 3,5-dimethylisoxazole derivatives to develop potent inhibitors of the BET (bromodomain and extra terminal domain) bromodomain family with good ligand efficiency ${ }^{20}$.

The first bromodomain crystallized with an isoxazole ligand appeared in 2011, and since then there has been intense activity in the area, with 28 structures. The 3, 5-dimethyl isoxazole plays a bioisosteric role for the endogenous acetyl lysine group at the BRDs (Chart 1-10), and the


Figure 1-8. 2LO ligand interactions with bromodomain. Left, image from the RCSB PDB of PDB ID 4NR5. Right, Ligand Explorer reveals bifurcated- $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$-bond-Asn1168A and C5-Me-Pro1110A interactions with the isoxazole. Filippakopoulos, P., Picaud, S., Felletar, I., Hay, D., Fedorov, O., Martin, S., Pike, A.W., Von Delft, F., Brennan, P., Arrowsmith, C.H., Edwards, A.M., Bountra, C., Knapp, S. 2015, TBP.
structures in the literature at the time of this review contain this moiety. Commonly found is an anchoring hydrogen bond to the isoxazole ring oxygen (Figure 1-8, shown above), although considering distances in many of the structure indicate that both oxygen and nitrogen may lie
within interaction distance, as in 2LO-4NR7, which shows a bifurcated Nring-Oring Mode A interaction with an aspartame residue which usually binds the acetylated lysine.



3P2


2LK $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{p}^{-\mathrm{C}_{6}} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$
2LL R $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-N-Morpholine
$\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$
2LO $\mathrm{R}_{1}=(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ - N -Morpholine $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-3-\mathrm{Cl}, 4-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$

Chart 1-10. Isoxazole ligands of Bromodomains (BRD).

### 1.11 AMPA Receptor

The $\alpha$-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor (AMPA receptor or AMPAR) is a transmembrane receptor for glutamate that is part of a large ionotropic glutamate receptor (iGluR) family that mediates fast synaptic transmission in the central nervous system (CNS). AMPARs are comprised of four subtypes of iGluRs: GluR1 through GluR4, which combine to form tetramers consisting of symmetric 'dimer of dimers' of GluR2 and either GluR1, GluR3 or GluR4. Each AMPAR has four sites to which an agonist (i.e. glutamate) can bind, one for each subunit. The structural studies performed by Gouaux (among others) has led to a very thorough Structure Activity Relationship (SAR) for ligands binding to GluR2 based on cocrystallographic studies on the S1S2J construct. ${ }^{21}$ The structural studies performed by Gouaux (among others) has led to a very thorough Structure Activity Relationship (SAR) for ligands binding (Char 1-11) to GluR2 based on co-crystallographic studies on the S1S2J construct. ${ }^{22}$ AMPA crystallized at GluR2 is perhaps the classic bridging Mode $B$ interactions of the $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}-$ Bond with Glu193C with additional C3-OH-H-Bond with Thr143C (Figure 1-9, shown below).


Figure 1-9. AMPA ligand interactions with AMPAR. Left, image from the RCSB PDB of PDB ID 1FTM. Right, Ligand Explorer reveals $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bond}$-Glu193C; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr143C interactions with the isoxazole. Armstrong, N., Gouaux, E. Neuron 2000, 28: 165-181.


AMQ. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
AM1. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
SHI. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
CE2. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$
BN1. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=2$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrazol-5-yl
MP9. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=2$-(phenylmethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrazol-5-yl]-1,2-oxazol-4-yl



AT1

Chart 1.11. Isoxazole ligands at AMPAR.

### 1.12 Kinases

Over the past 15 years, protein kinases have become and proven to be an important class of drug targets for the pharmaceutical industry. With many already FDA approved and hundreds more undergoing development and clinical trials to treat an assortment of disease ranging from: cancer, anti-inflammatory diseases, and signaling networks that control the immune system, there is plenty of drive that suggests that there will be a surge of interest in this area for many years to come (Chart 1-12 a-d). One example being ERK2, small molecule inhibitors have been targeted in oncology clinical development across multiple disease indications. Kang, Stuckey


Figure 1-10. E75 ligand interactions with ERK2. Left, image from the RCSB PDB of PDB ID 4FUX. Right, Ligand Explorer reveals C3-Ph-Ala50A interactions with the isoxazole. Kang, Y.N., Stuckey, J.A., Xie, X. TBP.
and Xie have solved two ERK2 crystal structures containing inhibitors with isoxazole groups, although they are in press. One of them 4FUX (Figure 1-10) contains an amino-pyrimidine moiety analogous to that reported by $\mathrm{W} \operatorname{ard}^{23}$ for covalent adduct 4ZZM, and both show a similar backbone interaction with methionine. A hydrophobic interaction of VAL47 with the C-3 of the
isoxazole ring was observed, as well as interactions of the LYS52 methylenes with the C3 phenyl.




E75, Erk2

RIP1

Chart 1-12a. Ligands containing isoxazole moieties of kinase proteins.



6C3 c-FMS
Chart 1-12b. Ligands containing isoxazole moieties of kinase proteins.



$\mathrm{R}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$ FGFR

Chart 1-12c. Ligands containing isoxazole moieties of FGFR kinase proteins.


Chart 1-12d. Ligands containing isoxazole moieties of p38 kinase proteins.

### 1.13 Conclusion

The isoxazole ring appears in several drugs in general medical practice, and is found routinely in drug discovery leads, to the point where some consider it a privileged scaffold. The literature on crystallography has continued to expand at an accelerating pace in recent years, and it seemed that a summary of the observed interactions of the isoxazole moiety with biological targets could be useful to those involved in design and discovery. Especially intriguing in light of the fact that most medicinal chemists use some form of molecular modelling, is that a collection of the observed interactions could prove useful in critical assessment of hypothesis generation. Isoxazoles play four major roles as seen in the PDB: first, they serve as bioisoteres as seen in sulfamethoxazole; second, the serve as a spacer as seen in HSP90 derivatives with hydrophobic interactions; third, the isoxazole ring as direct interaction in the binding site, the most notably a bifurcated $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {ring }}$ hydrogen bond in AMPA, FXR and HIV integrase; and fourth, an isoxazole being used as a prodrug as seen in Leflunomide (Chapter 5), a immunosuppressive diseasemodifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD). There are several isoxazoles in general medical practice, and their metabolic fate and disposition is well known (Chapter 5), and thus, this heterocyclic ring is often considered among the privileged scaffolds or templates for drug design and discovery.
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Table 1.-1 Crystallography or NMR of Isoxazole Binding Proteins.

| PDB Ligand No. | Target | PDB <br> Accession No. | Resolution | Ligand Interactions | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AMQ | AMPAR-GluR2 | 1FTM | 1.7 | N $\mathrm{r}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bond}$-Glu193C; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr143C | Armstrong, 2000 |
|  | GluR2-L483Y | 1LB8 | 2.3 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$-Bond-Glu193B; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr143B | Sun, 2002 |
|  |  | 1MY2 | 1.8 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bond}$-Glu193C; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr143C | Jin, 2003 |
|  | GluR2-L650T | 1P1Q | 2.0 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bond}$-Glu193C; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr143C | Armstrong, 2003 |
|  | GluR2-L650T | 1P1U | 2.0 | C3-OH-H-Bond-Ser142B | Armstrong, 2003 |
|  | GluR2-L483Y-L650T | 1P1W | 1.8 | N ${ }_{\text {ring }}$-Glu193B; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr143B | Armstrong, 2003 |
|  | GLuR3 | 3DP4 | 2.11 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bond}$-Glu193A; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr143A | Ahmed, 2008 |
|  | GLuR4 | 3FAT | 1.90 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bond}$-Glu191A; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr141A | Kasper, 2008 |
| AM1 | GLuR2 | 1M5E | 1.46 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$-Bond -Glu193A; C3-COOH-H-Bond-Thr143A | Hogner, 2002 |
|  | GLuR2 | 1M5F | 1.95 | C3-COOH-H-Bond-Thr143A | Hogner, 2002 |
| AT1 | GLuR2 | 1N0T | 2.10 | Ring-Isox-Glu193 | Hogner, 2003 |
|  | GLuR5 | 1VSO | 1.85 | Bridged $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {ring }} / \mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}$ | Hald, 2007 |
| BRH | GLuR2 | 1M5C | 1.65 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bond}$-Thr143A | Hogner, 2002 |
|  | GLuR2 | 1M5D | 1.73 | N $\mathrm{r}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bond}$-Thr143A; C3-OH-H-Bond-Glu193A | Hogner, 2002 |
| CE2 | GLuR2 | 1NNK | 1.85 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$-Bond -Thr140A; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr140A(OH | NH) Lunn, 2003 |
|  | GLuR2 | 1NNP | 1.90 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$-Bond -Thr140A; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr140A(OH | (NH) Lunn, 2003 |
| MP9 | GLuR2 | 2P2A | 2.26 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$-Bond -Thr140B; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr140A(NH) | Vogenson, 2007 |
| SHI | GLuR2 | 1MQD | 1.46 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bond}$-Glu190A; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr140A | Kasper, 2002 |
|  | GLuR2 | 1MS7 | 1.97 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bond}$-Glu190A; C3-OH-H-Bond-Thr140A | Kasper, 2002 |
| BN1 | AMPAR-GluR2 | 1M5B | 1.85 | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$-Bond-Thr143(OH); C3-OH-H-Bond-Backbone-NH-Thr143 | Hogner, 2002 |
|  |  | 1MXV | 1.95 | AMPA, BrW 10mM | Jin, 2003 |
|  |  | 1MXW | 1.9 | AMPA, BrW 1mM | Jin, 2003 |
|  |  | 1MXX | 2.0 | AMPA, BrW 100uM | Jin, 2003 |
|  |  | 1MXY | 1.95 | AMPA, BrW 10uM | Jin, 2003 |
|  |  | 1MXZ | 1.9 | AMPA, BrW 1uM | Jin, 2003 |
|  |  | 1MY0 | 1.9 | AMPA, BrW 100nM | Jin, 2003 |
|  | $\omega$ | 1MY1 | 1.9 | AMPA, BrW 10nM | Jin, 2003 |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 1MY2 | 1.8 | AMAPA, Zn2+ | Jin, 2003 |
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| 3EF | hACE | 4CA5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | hACE | 4CA6 |
|  | AnCE, drosophilia | 4CA7 |
| 3ES | hACE | 2XY9 |
|  | hACE | 2XYD |
|  | AnCE, drosophilia | 4CA8 |
| 1A6 | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 4HXO |
| 1 H 2 | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 4J0R |
| 1H3 | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 4J0S |
| 1XA | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 4LR6 |
| 1GH | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 3ZYU |
|  | Bromodomain hBRD2 | 4ALG |
| KRG | Bromodomain hCREBBP | 3SVH |
| 0Q1 | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 4GPJ |
| $36 Z$ | Bromodomain-ATAD2A | 4TTE |
| 37N | Bromodomain ATAD2A | 4TU4 |
| A9N | Bromodomain hBRD2 | 4A9N |
| A90 | Bromodomain hBRD2 | 4A90 |
| A9P | Bromodomain hBRD2 | 4ALH |
| P9M | Bromodomain hBRD2 | 4A9M |
| S5B | Bromodomain hBRD2 | 4AKN |
|  | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 4BW1 |
| 3P2 | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 4WIV |
| UTH | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 4BW2 |
| WDR | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 3SVF |
| ODR | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 3SVG |
| 2LK | Bromodomain hCREBBP | 4NR4 |
| 2LL | Bromodomain hCREBBP | 4NR5 |
|  | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 4NR8 |

$\begin{array}{lc}\text { Mode A: Bifurcated- } \mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H} \text {-bond-Asn1168A } & \text { Flippakopoulos, TBP } \\ \text { C3-Me-Val1174A; C5-Me-Pro1110A } & \\ \mathrm{O}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H} \text {-bond-Asn140A } & \text { Mirguet, 2014 } \\ \mathrm{O}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H} \text {-bond-Asn140A; C3-Me-Pro82A } & \text { Mirguet, 2014 }\end{array}$
Akwabi-Ameyaw, 2011
Akwabi-Ameyaw, 2008 Akwabi-Ameyaw, 2008 Akwabi-Ameyaw, 2009

[^0]C3-Cl2-Ph-Met265A, Met290A, Ala291A, Met328A, Ile335A
$\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}$-H-bond-His447A, C5-iPr-Leu287A Akwabi-Ameyaw, 2011
$\pi$-Ring-C3-Cl2-Ph- $\pi$-Phe329A; C3-Cl 2 -Ph-Met290A
$\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}$-H-bond-His447A; C5-iPr-Leu287A Akwabi-Ameyaw, 2011

Feng, 2009

Bass, 2009

 $\pi$-Ring-C3-Cl2-4-pyridyl- $\pi$-Phe333A; $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-4$-pyridyl-H $\square$ bond-Tyr373A;
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| 2 LO | Bromodomain hCREBBP | 4NR7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9 \mathrm{B6}$ | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 4BW4 |
| 9BM | Bromodomain hBRD4 | 4BW3 |
| 34 | Farnesoid X Receptor | 3RVF |
| 064 | Farnesoid X Receptor | 3DCT |
| 062 | Farnesoid X Receptor | 3DCU |
| 82X | Farnesoid X Receptor | 3HC5 |
| 088 | Farnesoid X Receptor | 3HC6 |
| 37G | Farnesoid X Receptor | 3RUU |
| 59G | Farnesoid X Receptor | 3RUT |
| 643 | Farnesoid X Receptor | 3FXV |
| 708 | Farnesoid X Receptor | 3GD2 |
| P88 | Farnesoid X Receptor | 3P88 |
| 89P | Farnesoid X Receptor | 3P89 |
| 2EQ | HSP90 | 2VCJ |
| 2GJ | HSP90 | 2 VCI |
| 2GG | HSP90 | 2UWD |
| 9UN | HSP90 | 4B7P |
| XKL | HSP90 | 4BQJ |
| XQK | HSP90 | 2YEI |
|  | HSP90 | $2 \mathrm{2YEJ}$ |
| 2D3 | 4HSP90 | 2YE8 |
| YJW | HSP90 | 2YJW |
|  | HSP90 | 2YK2 |

## カLOZ カLOZ カLOZ カLOZ <br> تَتَتْتَ تَ

2LOZ＇имодя

| てเOZ ‘әрие！｜｜Оつ <br>  |
| :---: |
| عLOZ＇s |
|  |
| ャレ0Z＇u！̣｜＞ |
| ヤレ0Z ‘u！̣｜＞ |
| 己10Z ueuxon |
| 己10Z Uemion |
| LOOZ ‘uəqnyos |
| G10Z ‘uosiolod |
| G10Z＇uosiəlod |
| 乙，0乙 ‘buè |
| て10乙 ‘6uey |
| てLOZ ‘se！słəneg |
| 010Z ‘se！słəへеg |

## Spacer or 3，4 and 5 substituents   <br> $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$－bond－Thr184A；

## 

Xャャトus $\forall$－spuog－ $\mathrm{H}^{-2} \mathrm{HN}=87$ ：я әроW
$\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$－Bond－Arg97X


$\stackrel{+}{\dot{H}} \stackrel{+}{\dot{+}}$
©


##  <br> Yd甘W e8\＆d＇əseu！

 Kinase－p38aKinase－p38a

Kinase，Aurora－A Kinase，Aurora－A Kinase，ERK2 Kinase，ERK2 Kinase c－Met Kinase c－Met | $\infty$ |
| :---: |
| $\sum_{1}^{\infty}$ |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
|  |
|  |





 Kinase－RIP1

3SD Proteosome 20S

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { W56 } & \text { Human Rhinovirus } 14 \\
\text { W59 } & \text { Human Rhinovirus } 14 \\
\text { W8R } & \text { Human Rhinovirus } 14 \\
\text { W35 } & \text { Human Rhinovirus } 14
\end{array}
$$

$\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr197/Leu106 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr197/Met214 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr190/Leu100 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr197/Leu106 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Leu106 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Phe186/Tyr152 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr197/Leu106 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr197/Leu106

 | 0 |
| :---: |
|  |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Leu106 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr190/Leu100/Met214 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr190/Leu100/Met214

$\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr190/Leu100/Met214 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr190/Met214 Kim 1993 Kim 1993 Kim 1993 Kim 1993 Hadfield 1999 Hadfield 1999 6661 P|ə! peH Plevka 2013 Plevka 2013 6661 Кириән
 6861 ৷əбрея $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Phe186/Try152; C3-Me-Ser175/Val176 Hadfield 1995 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Phe186/Try152; C3-Me-Ser175/Val176 Hadfield 1995
 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Phe186/Try152; C3-Me-Ser175/Val176 Badger 1989 Wu 2013
Costenaro 2011
Wang 2011
Wang 2011
Wang 2011
Lu 2011
Lu 2011
Matthews 1999 Wang 2003
Wang 2002 no interactions
$\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr105; C5-Me-Ala237/Gly238/Met69 no interactions
$\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr105; C5-Me-Ala237/Gly238/Met69 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Phe170; C5-Me-Phe170
$\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Phe170; C5-Me-Ile125/Phe170 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Leu125/Phe170;
C5-Me-Leu125/Phe170
$\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Leu125/Phe170;
C5-Me-Leu125/Phe170
$\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Leu125/Phe170
$\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Leu125; C5-Me-Leu125
$\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Phe170; C5-Me-Phe170
$\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Phe170; C5-Me-Ile125/Ph $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Phe170; C5-Me-Leu125
$\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Leu125/Phe170;
C5-Me-Leu125/Phe170 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Leu125/Phe170; C5-Me-Leu125 C5-Me-Leu125/Phe170 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Phe155/Val190; C3-Me-Ile24/Val179 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Phe155; C3-Me-Ile24/Val179
 $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr197


|  |  | 1FSY | 1.75 | C5-Me-Tyr221 | Caselli 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 156 | Beta-lactamase | 4JXG | 1.65 | C5-Me-Tyr221 | Docter TBP |
|  |  | 4MLL | 1.37 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Leu255; C5-Me-Gly216; C3-Ph-Gly238/Ser237 | June 2014 |
|  |  | 4F94 | 2.40 | C5-Me-Trp221; C3-Ph-Leu 168 | June TBP |
| 1U3 | Beta-lactamase-glysine | 4KZ5 | 1.35 | C5-Ph-Tyr221; $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}-\mathrm{H}$-bond-Asn152; Bridged $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {ring }}$ | Barelier 2014 |
| CXU | Beta-lactamase | 4R1G | 1.92 | C5-Me-Trp720/Thr893; C3-Ph-Trp791/His718 | Filippova TBP |
|  |  | 1FCM | 2.46 | C5-Me-Tyr218 | Patera 2000 |
| OWO | Beta-lactamase | 4EYB | 1.16 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Gly69; C5-Me-Leu65/Trp93 C3-Ph-His122/Met154/GIn123 | King 2012 |
| OW0 | Penicillin binding protein | 4FSF | 2.20 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Val333; Bridged $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {ring }} / \mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}$ | Mitton-Fry 2012 |
| CXV | Penicillin binding protein | 3MZD | 1.90 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Ser86 | Nicola 2010 |
| BI7 | Factor Xa | 2JKH | 1.25 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Val333; Bridged $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}$ | Salonen 2009 |
| IIA | Factor Xa | 2 BOH | 2.20 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Gln192/Cys191 | Nazare 2005 |
| IIB | Factor Xa | 2BQ6 | 3.00 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Gln192 | Nazare 2005 |
| XWG | Factor Xa | 2Y5F | 1.29 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Cys191; Bridged $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {ring }}$ | Salonen 2012 |
| VYR | Factor Xa | 4BTT | 2.59 | no interactions | Meneyrol 2013 |
| 2FN | Factor Xa | 4N3L | 1.94 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Cys191 | Belviso 2014 |
| 5MR | MMMP9 | 2OVZ | 2.00 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-His401; C3-Ph-His401/Tyr423/Met422 | Tochowicz 2007 |
| EEA | MMP12 | 3LIL | 1.80 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-His218/Try240; C3-Ph-Tyr240/Val235 | Devel 2010 |
| EEC | MMP12 | 3LIR | 1.90 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-His218/Try240; C3-Ph-Lys241/Tyr240/ | Val235 Devel 2010 |
| R47 | MMP12 | 4GQL | 1.15 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-His218; C3-Ph-Tyr240/His218 | Czarny 2013 |
| R4B | MMP12 | 4GR0 | 1.50 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-His218; C3-Ph-Tyr240/His218 | Czarny 2013 |
| R4C | MMP12 | 4GR8 | 1.30 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-His218; C3-Ph-Thr239/Thr240/His218 | Czarny 2013 |
| R45 | MMP12 | 4GR3 | 1.49 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-His218/ Tyr240; C3-Ph-Tyr240/Thr239 | Czarny 2013 |
| A2Y | Influenza A | 2LY0 | NMR | $\pi$-Ring-lsox- $\pi$-Val27 | Wang 2013 |
| OMM | Influenza A | 3TG6 | 3.00 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr52/Trp104; C3-Ph-Tyr52/ Tyr313 | Edavettal TBP |
| OMF | Influenza A | 4DYA | 2.75 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr52/Trp104; C3-Ph-Tyr52/ Tyr313 | Lewis TBP |
| OMH | Influenza A | 4DYB | 2.80 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr52/Trp104; C3-Ph-Tyr313 | Lewis TBP |
| OMR | Influenza A | 4DYN | 2.40 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr52/Trp104; C3-Ph-Tyr52/ Tyr313 | Lewis TBP |
| OMS | Influenza A | 4DYP | 2.82 | $\pi$-Ring-Isox- $\pi$-Tyr52/Trp104; C3-Ph-Tyr52/ Tyr313 | Lewis TBP |

Wielens 2013
Wielens 2013
Wielens 2013





| MOK | HIV integrase |
| :--- | :--- |
| MPK | HIV integrase |
| 0NK | HIV integrase |
| AF8 |  |
| F7IV protease |  |
| HIV protease |  |

## Chapter 2

## Synthesis of New Quinolinequinone Derivatives and Preliminary Exploration of their Cytotoxic Properties

Reprinted with permission from Charles M. Keyari, Alison K. Kearns, Nathan S. Duncan*,
Emily A. Eickholt, Geoffrey Abbott, Howard D. Beall, and Philippe Diaz. Synthesis of New
Quinolinequinone Derivatives and Preliminary Exploration of their Cytotoxic Properties. J. Med.
Chem. 2013, 56, 3806-3819. dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm301689x. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society.
*Role of author was contribution of computational modeling

### 2.1 Introduction

Lavendamycin (Figure 2-1) is a quinolinequinone antibiotic with antitumor activity first isolated from Streptomyces lavendulae by Balitz et al. in 1982. ${ }^{1,2}$ It is structurally related to streptonigrin, which was first isolated from Streptomyces flocculus. ${ }^{3,4}$ Streptonigrin is known for its potent cytotoxic properties, antitumor activity, in vitro and in vivo antiviral properties, and


Lavendamycin


Streptonigrin



Figure 2-1. Natural quinolinequinone antibiotics.
potent, broad-spectrum antimicrobial properties. Although lavendamycin is not suitable for clinical use due to its toxicity, its analogues are less toxic and hence have potential as antitumor agents. ${ }^{5}$ Recent findings ${ }^{6-11}$ suggest that some indolequinones and quinolinequinones are excellent substrates for the quinone reductase enzyme $\mathrm{NAD}(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{H}$ :quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) and are selectively cytotoxic to cancer cell lines that overexpress NQO1. NQO1 is a ubiquitous flavoenzyme that catalyzes the two-electron reduction of quinones to hydroquinones, and it is highly expressed in many solid tumors. ${ }^{12}$ This forms the basis for the synthesis of novel quinolinequinones structurally related to lavendamycin as potential NQO1-directed antitumor agents.

Behforouz et al. ${ }^{13}$ first demonstrated that 7 -aminoquinoline-5,8-diones can be efficiently prepared from commercially available 8-hydroxy-2-methylquinoline. Fryatt et al. ${ }^{7}$ also showed that, by starting with 6-methoxyquinoline, 6-methoxy-2-chloroquinoline-5,8-dione was prepared, and subsequent palladium(0)-catalyzed reaction with boronic acids gave novel quinolinequinones under reflux for 24 h . Furthermore, in 2004, ${ }^{14}$ arylboronic acids were shown to be more reactive than their counterparts, the arylpinacolboronate esters, when reacted with indole bromides in Suzuki couplings under reflux. The lower reactivity was attributed to steric factors in the arylpinacolboronate esters. Also, 3-arylindazoles have been synthesized by the reaction of haloindazoles (3-bromoindazole and 3-iodoindazole) with arylboronic acids under $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalysis in Suzuki-type cross couplings. ${ }^{15}$ The reaction times ranged from 1 to 18 h under reflux conditions. In this study we report a direct, more efficient approach to 7 -aminoquinoline quinones starting from commercially available 7 -amino-8-hydroxyquinoline under microwave conditions where the reaction times are shorter. Computational, metabolism, and cytotoxicity studies on the quinoline-5,8-diones are also described.

### 2.2 Chemistry

The synthesis commenced with nitration of 5-chloro-8-hydroxyquinoline under $\mathrm{HNO}_{3} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ according to a procedure reported by Musser et al. ${ }^{16}$ to give the 5-chloro-7-nitro-8hydroxyquinoline (1) in good yield (79\%). Hydrogenation under $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalysis at $40-50 \mathrm{psi}$


Scheme 2-1. a.Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{HNO}_{3} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 40-50 \mathrm{psi}$, overnight; (iii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCl}$, DIEA, THF, 2 h ; (iv) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 1 h ; (v) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (vi) mCPBA, $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$; (vii) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, reflux, 2 h ; (viii) $\mathrm{BCl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, overnight; (ix) Fremy's salt, rt, 1 h ; (x) $\mathrm{RB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 110-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mu \mathrm{W} 20-25 \mathrm{~min}$.
not only reduced the nitro group to the free amine but also removed the chloride to provide the desired 7-amino-8-hydroxyquinoline (2) in excellent yield (99\%). A direct approach to the amino alcohol 2 involves heating a mixture of 8-hydroxyquinoline and N -methyl-N-phenylhydrazine at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, albeit very low yields were obtained. ${ }^{17}$ Our attempt to synthesize the amino alcohol by heating in a microwave between 130 and $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ did not improve the yield.

Acetylation proceeded smoothly where both the amino and hydroxyl groups were protected. The resulting diacetylated product (3) was hydrolyzed in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ under reflux to
form 7-acetamido-8-hydroxyquinoline. Subsequent benzylation of the free hydroxyl was effected by reaction with $\mathrm{BnBr} / \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide (DMF) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h to give the


Table 2-1. Suzuki Couple Products. Asterisk indicates Stille coupling reaction.

7-acetamido-8-benzyloxyquinoline (4) in $90 \%$ yield. Oxidation with m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (mCPBA) in 1,2-dichloroethane at room temperature (rt) for 48 h gave the N -oxide (5) in $82 \%$ yield. ${ }^{18}$ The key intermediate in the synthesis, 2-chloro-7- acetamido-8-benzyloxyquinoline
(6), was obtained in $62 \%$ yield by refuxing the N -oxide with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3 .}{ }^{19}$ The high regioselectivity of the reaction can be rationalized in terms of sterics as well as formation of an oxyphosphorane adduct anion in a rapid concerted mechanism. ${ }^{20}$ We also attempted to reflux the N -oxide 5 with SO 2 Cl 2 as reported in literature, ${ }^{9}$ but this only resulted in massive decomposition of the starting material. Deprotection of the benzyl group was effected with $\mathrm{BCl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and subsequent oxidation with Fremy's salt [potassium nitrosodisulfonate, $\left(\mathrm{KO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NO}$ ] gave 7 -acetamido-2-chloroquinoline-5,8-dione (8) in $71 \%$ yield. ${ }^{7}$ The results are summarized in Scheme 2.1 above.

After successful formation of quinolinequinone 8, the stage was now set for Suzuki coupling chemistry. This was accomplished by reaction with different boronic acids under $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalysis in a microwave as illustrated in Table 2-1. Generally, the reactions were complete within $20-30 \mathrm{~min}$ in good yields except for the arylboronate ester, where only $27 \%$ of the product (16) was obtained. The mechanistic details of the reaction have been well studied, with oxidative addition, transmetalation, and reductive elimination being the most critical steps. ${ }^{21}$ Interestingly, 7-amino-2-(2-pyridyl)quinoline-5,8-dione was prepared in nine steps starting from 3-hydroxybenzoic acid where the key step was a Friedlander condensation of 2-acetylpyridine and 2-amino-3-benzyloxy-4-bromobenzaldehyde to give 8-benzyloxy-7-bromo-2-(2'pyridyl)quinoline. ${ }^{22}$ Although this seems an attractive strategy, the method lacks the flexibility needed to create a library of lavendamycin analogues.

The final step in the synthesis involved removal of the acetate protecting group, which was effected by reaction with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ at rt . The tert-butyloxycarbonyl (Boc)-protected derivatives were also subjected to trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at rt for 2 h to provide the 7-acetamido derivatives.
$\mathbf{2 3}$

Table 2-2. Reduction Ratesa and Oxygen Consumptionb as a Result of Quinoline-5,8-Dione Metabolism by Recombinant Human NQO1 and Electrochemical Reduction Potentials versus Ferrocenec,d. aSpectrophotometric assay with cytochrome c as terminal electron acceptor ( 550 nm ). bOxygen concentration monitored via an oxygen electrode. cE1/2 values calculated as (Epc+Epa)/2 are average values from voltammograms recorded atpotential sweep rate of $50 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s} . \mathrm{Epc}=$ cathodic peak potential; Epa $=$ anodic peak potential. $\mathrm{dnr}=$ nonreversible, anodic peak only.

### 2.3 Electrochemistry

Eletrochemistry was performed to compare the electrochemical behavior of the quinolinequinones with their reduction rates by NQO1, and the data are shown in Table 2-2. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was used as the solvent for all compounds except 15, which was run in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The compounds were run against an $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ electrode and cathodic and anodic peak potentials, Epc and Epa, respectively, were measured at a potential sweep rate of $50 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$, and the midpoint of the peak potentials was used to determine E1/2 values, $($ Epc + Epa)/2. Unfortunately, many of the analogues did not show reversible electrochemistry, and in some cases there were multiple somewhat difficult, but some conclusions can be drawn. For instance, most of the acetylated quinolinequinones had a reduction peak between -1.08 and -1.18 V , an indication that they are easier to reduce than the nonacetylated compounds due to the presence of this electron-withdrawing group. This is consistent with what we reported previously for lavendamycins. However, there was no correlation between reduction potentials and reduction rates by NQO1, in line with previous publications on this topic. ${ }^{6-8,23,24}$ This suggests that steric interactions are more likely to be predictive of substrate efficiency than reduction potentials.

### 2.4 NMR Spectroscopy and Spectrophotometry

Complexation of zinc(II) triflate by compounds $13,19,22$, and 23 was studied by 1 H NMR spectroscopy. No new peaks were observed in the NMR spectra, indicating that free and complexed forms of zinc(II) triflate were in a rapid exchange relative to NMR time scale. The aromatic region of the NMR spectrum of compound 19 in THF-d8 at room temperature is shown in Figure 2-2.

There was a small difference in chemical shifts of $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}$ (moving upfield) and $\mathrm{H}-3$ (moving downfield) after addition of 1 equiv of zinc(II) triflate to the NMR solution (Table S1, Supporting Information, and Figure 2-2) whereas the changes in $\delta$ of the other protons were barely noticeable. The biggest change in $\delta$ of $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}(-0.04 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}(+0.07 \mathrm{ppm})$ occurs after addition of 10 equiv of $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{SO} 3 \mathrm{CF} 3) 2$. This suggests that weak binding occurs at low $\mathrm{Zn} 2+$ concentration.


Figure 2-2. proton region of NMR spectrum of 19 upon addition of increasing equivalents of $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{SO} 3 \mathrm{CF} 3) 2$ in THF-d8. Note the change in $\delta$ of $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-3$ upon addition of $\mathrm{Zn} 2+$.
Equivalents of $\mathrm{Zn} 2+: \mathrm{A}=0, \mathrm{~B}=1, \mathrm{C}=2, \mathrm{D}=3, \mathrm{E}=4, \mathrm{~F}=5$, and $\mathrm{G}=10$.

In contrast, addition of only 1 equiv of $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{SO} 3 \mathrm{CF} 3) 2$ to compound 22 caused larger chemical shift variations of all the protons (Table S2, Supporting Information, and Figure 2-3).


Figure 2-3. Aromatic protons region NMR spectra of 22 upon addition of increasing equivalents of $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{SO} 3 \mathrm{CF} 3) 2$ in THF-d8. Equivalents of $\mathrm{Zn} 2+: \mathrm{A}=0, \mathrm{~B}=1, \mathrm{C}=2, \mathrm{D}=3, \mathrm{E}=4, \mathrm{~F}=5$, and $\mathrm{G}=10$.

Increasing the amount of $\mathrm{Zn} 2+(2-10$ equiv) added to compound 22 made little or no difference in $\delta$ afterward ( $>0.01 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). This means that the quinoline derivative binds the $\mathrm{Zn} 2+$ more efficiently than compound 19 and only 1 equiv of $\mathrm{Zn} 2+$ is enough to cause chemical shift variations.


Figure 2-4. Aromatic proton region NMR spectra of 23 upon addition of increasing equivalents of $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{SO} 3 \mathrm{CF} 3) 2$ in THF-d8. Equivalents of $\mathrm{Zn} 2+\mathrm{A}=0, \mathrm{~B}=1, \mathrm{C}=2, \mathrm{D}=3, \mathrm{E}=4, \mathrm{~F}=5$, and $\mathrm{G}=10$.

Similar observations were made with compounds 23 (Table S3, Supporting Information, and Figure 2-4) and 13 (Table S4, Supporting Information). This is consistent with the results reported by Long and Harding, ${ }^{25}$ where they demonstrated that the $1: 1$ bipyridyl complex of streptonigrin was the major complex formed at room temperature by performing an NMR study in THF-d8 with addition of $\mathrm{Zn} 2+$. Titration of compound 23 with $\mathrm{Zn} 2+$ in a mixture of dimethyl sulfoxide/methanol (1:3) was monitored by a spectrophotometer as reported in literature. ${ }^{26}$ A plot
of $\Delta \lambda 355$ against $\mathrm{Zn} 2+$ concentration gave a moderate affinity constant of $1.41 \times 104 \mathrm{M}-1$ for compound 23 binding with $\mathrm{Zn} 2+$.

### 2.5 Results and Discussion

Quinolinequinone metabolism by recombinant human NQO1 was examined via a spectrophotometric assay that employs cytochrome c as the terminal electron acceptor. Initial rates of reduction (micromoles of cytochrome c reduced per minute per milligram of NQO1) were calculated from the linear portion $(0-30 \mathrm{~s})$ of the reaction graphs. The 7-acetamido-2-(2pyridinyl) compound 13 displayed the highest reduction rate by NQO1 (Table 2-2), although it was the only acetylated analogue with a high reduction rate. In all other cases, 7-amino compounds had much higher reduction rates than corresponding 7-acetamido compounds with identical substituents at the quinolone 2-position. Although unusual, higher rates for acetylated analogues have been observed in other series.6,11 With regard to the aromatic substituents at the quinoline 2-position, no clear trend in reduction rates was observed except that bulkier groups generally decreased reduction rates. Oxygen consumption is a measure of the ability of the reduced quinone (hydroquinone) to redox-cycle following reduction by NQO1. This could lead to production of toxic reactive oxygen species and ultimately to cell death. Oxygen consumption was measured for select quinolinequinones, and the trend, if not the magnitude, mirrored the reduction rates (Table 2-2).

Cell survival wasmeasured by the [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) colorimetric assay. In previous work, we demonstrated that IC50 values generated from standard clonogenic assays and MTT assays were positively correlated, suggesting that the MTT assay is a reliable indicator of cytotoxicity. 6 We utilized MDA-MB-468 human breast cancer cells stably transfected with human NQO1 cDNA
(19)

Table 2-3. Cytotoxicity of Quinoline-5,8-diones toward MDA468-WT (NQO1-deficient) and MDA468-NQ16 (NQO1-rich) Human Breast Cancer Cell Lines.
(MDA468-NQ16) along with the nontransfected wild-type cells (MDA468) to compare the cytotoxicity of the quinolinequinones (Table 2-3). ${ }^{27}$

Quinolinequinone cytotoxicity (IC50) to MDA468 cells was generally in the single-digit micromolar range following 2-h exposures, with some in the high nanomolar range (11, 13, and 22). Surprisingly, selectivity ratios [IC50 (MDA468)/IC50 (MDA468-NQ16)] were generally <1, meaning that the quinolinequinones were less cytotoxic to the NQO1-rich MDA468-NQ16 cells rather than more cytotoxic. This suggests that NQO1 was protective to the cells rather than functioning as an activating enzyme. ${ }^{27}$ Only two compounds (11 and 23) were selectively cytotoxic to the MDA468-NQ16 cells. The reason for the general absence of selective cytotoxicity with this particular series of compounds is unclear, but it is consistent with NQO1's primary role as a detoxification enzyme. ${ }^{27}$

Molecular docking of the quinolinequinones in the NQO1 active site was performed by use of Sybyl 8.1.1 and GOLD 5.1 for scoring. Three good NQO1 substrates (13, 20, and 24) and three poor NQO1 substrates ( 9,11 , and 17) were docked and scored with ChemPLP and ChemScore (Table 2-4). The highest scores representing a good fit for the model were found for 20 and 24 , consistent with the metabolism data. The exception again was 13 , which scored the lowest but was the best substrate. Interatomic distances between quinolinequinone carbonyl groups and flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) atom N5 and His161 were shortest for 20, but all were within a reasonable distance for hydride transfer from FAD when the dynamic effects of the quinone-enzyme interaction are considered. Figure 2-5 shows possible docking conformations for 20 and 11 with NQO1. All quinolinequinones orient with the quinone ring above the FAD isoalloxazine ring as needed for hydride transfer.

The mechanism of action of lavendamycin and streptonigrin is not clearly understood. However, previous studies demonstrated that quinone moieties are reduced by NQO1 to the
corresponding hydroquinones, which undergo autoxidation to produce activated oxygen species
$\mathbf{2 3}$

Table 2-4. Computational Parameters for Selected Quinoline-5,8-diones. including not only semiquinone derivatives but also superoxide and hydroxyl radicals. ${ }^{28}$ In addition, both streptonigrin and lavendamycin chelate divalent cationic metal ions. This property might confer to streptonigrin and lavendamycin the ability to shuttle iron cations into the cells, which in turn can catalyze production of reactive oxygen species through a Fenton reaction. On the other hand, this chelation can result in depletion of intracellular cationic metals, which might result in cell death. ${ }^{29}$ Generation of the semiquinone radical, after reduction of the quinone to the hydroquinone followed by autoxidation, results in a decrease of activity in nine compounds. The
best NQO1 substrates are less active compounds (13, 20, and 24) in NQO1 expressing cells. In contrast, poor NQO1 substrates such as compound 22 or 11, exhibit the best activity in both


Figure 2-5. Quinolinequinones docked in NQO1 active site: 20, cyan; 11, magenta; FAD, green.
cancer cells expressing NQO1 and those not expressing NQO1. According to the NMR experiments, quinoline derivative 22 and compound 13 bind the $\mathrm{Zn} 2+$ more efficiently than
compound 19 , and only 1 equiv of $\mathrm{Zn} 2+$ is enough to cause important chemical shift variations. Similar observations were made with compound 23, which was less cytotoxic than compound 22. Even though metal chelation by these compounds is still a plausible mechanism to explain their activity against breast cancer cells, another mode of action cannot be discarded. Most active compounds (11, 13, and 22) are potential tridentate ligands for metals. Compound 23 exhibits lower activity than the corresponding acetylated amino analogue 13. It was proposed that metals can assist tautomeric shift from the active quinone analogues to the quinoid analogue, which has a structure isoelectronic with the biologically inactive azastreptonigrin. ${ }^{24}$ This tautomeric shift can explain the decrease of activity of the amino derivative compared to the amido derivative. In our series of aryl-substituted quinonequinolines, the active molecules are the quinone derivatives and not the semiquinone derivatives. A similar mode of action to the bidentate metal ligand derivative 8-hydroxyquinoline is currently under investigation. ${ }^{30,31}$

### 2.6 Conclusions

A ten-step synthetic scheme led to good yields for quinolinequinone analogues of lavendamycin projected as NQO1-directed antitumor agents. Unexpectedly, 10 of 11 analogues demonstrated excellent cytotoxicity (IC50 values of single-digit micromolar or better) toward MDA468 breast cancer cells, but only two were selectively cytotoxic to NQO1-expressing MDA468-NQ16 cells. Compounds 22 and 11 are poor NQO1 substrates and exhibit the best activity against breast cancer cells. In our novel series of aryl-substituted quinonequinolines, the active molecules appears to be the quinone derivatives and not the semiquinone derivatives resulting from NQO1 reduction, suggesting that the mode of action of this novel series differs from that of lavendamycin and involves an unidentified target. Quinolinequinone derivatives 11, 13, and 22 cytotoxicities (IC50) to MDA468 cells were in the high nanomolar range. Our results
seem to indicate that compounds 11,13 , and 22 effects could be also, at least partially, mediated by metal chelation. These aryl quinonequinoline derivatives represent a promising class of cytotoxic agents with potential novel therapeutic value.

### 2.7 Experiments Section

### 2.7.1 Cell Culture.

MDA-MB-468 (MDA468) human breast cancer cells and stably NQO1-transfected MDA468-NQ16 ${ }^{32}$ were a gift from Dr. David Ross (University of Colorado-Denver, Denver, CO). MDA468 cells had no measurable NQO1 activity whereas activity in MDA468-NQ16 cells was $1070 \mathrm{nmol} \cdot \mathrm{min}-1$ ( mg of total cell protein) -1 , with dichlorophenolindophenol (DCPIP) as the standard electron acceptor. Cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium with L-glutamine and penicillin/streptomycin, supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS). Cell culture medium and supplements were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). The cells were incubated at 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

### 2.7.2 Spectrophotometric Cytochrome c Assay.

Quinolinequinone reduction was monitored by a spectrophotometric assay in which the rate of reduction of cytochrome c was quantified at 550 nm . Briefly, the assay mixture contained cytochrome c $(70 \mu \mathrm{M})$, reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide ( $\mathrm{NADH} ; 1 \mathrm{mM}$ ), recombinant human NQO1 ( $0.1-10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) (gift from Dr. David Ross, University of Colorado-Denver, Denver, $\mathrm{CO})$, and quinonlinequinones $(25 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in a final volume of 1 mL of Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(25 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4)$ containing $0.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ bovine serumalbumin (BSA) and $0.1 \%$ Tween-20. Reactions were carried out at room temperature and started by the addition of NADH. Rates of reduction were calculated from the initial linear part of the reaction curve ( $0-30 \mathrm{~s}$ ), and results were expressed in terms of micromoles of cytochrome c reduced per minute per milligram of NQO1 by use of a
molar extinction coefficient of $21.1 \mathrm{mM}-1 \cdot \mathrm{~cm}-1$ for cytochrome c . All reactions were carried out at least in triplicate.

### 2.7.3 Oxygen Consumption.

Oxygen concentration was monitored with a MI-730 micro-oxygen electrode (Microelectrodes, Bedford, NH) with concentrations adjusted for temperature ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Assay mixtures contained $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ quinonlinequinones, $200 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NADH}$, and $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ NQO1 in a 2 mL Tris-HCl/BSA/Tween (0.1\%) buffer system. Reactions were started with NADH and measured over 3-min intervals at room temperature. All reactions were carried out in triplicate.

### 2.7.4 Electrochemistry.

Cyclic voltammograms (CV) were collected for 10 analogues on a BAS CV-50W electrochemical analyzer using a standard three-electrode cell. Experiments were performed with an $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ reference electrode, a glassy carbon working electrode, and a to the ferrocene $(0 /+)$ couple in the solvent used, primarily THF, which occurs at +0.60 V versus $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$. The compounds were run at concentrations of 1 mMin THF, except compound 15 which was run in DMSO, with 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate as supporting electrolyte. All samples were purged and run under an Ar atmosphere during the course of the experiment, and the electrodes were washed and wiped down between each sample. Each CV was collected at a sweep rate of $50 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$ in the potential range of 0 to -2 Vat room temperature of $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.7.5 NMR Spectroscopy.

One-dimensional 1H NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature on a Bruker Avance IIITM spectrometer (The Woodlands, Texas) at 400 MHz using a $5-\mathrm{mm}$ probe and a simple pulse-acquire sequence. Acquisition parameters consisted of spectral width of 4000 Hz
with an acquisition time of 3.98 s , number of scans 128 , and relaxation delay 1 s . Complexes were prepared in a mixture of CDCl 3 and THF-d8.

### 2.7.6 Cell Viability Assay.

Growth inhibition was determined by the MTT colorimetric assay. Cells were plated in 96 -well plates at a density of 10000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and allowed to attach overnight ( 16 h ). Quinolinequinone solutions were applied in medium for 2 h , removed, and replaced with fresh medium, and the plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a humidified atmosphere containing 5\% $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for $3-5$ days. MTT $(50 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ was added and the cells were incubated for another 4 h . Medium/MTT solutions were removed carefully by aspiration, the MTT formazan crystals were dissolved in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO, and absorbance was determined on a plate reader at 560 nm . IC50 values (concentration at which cell survival equals $50 \%$ of control) were determined from semilog plots of percent of control versus concentration. Selectivity ratios were defined as IC50 value for the MDA468 cell line divided by IC50 value for the MDA468-NQ16 cell line.

### 2.7.7 Molecular Modeling.

For docking purposes, the crystallographic coordinates of the human NQO1 complex with

3-(hydroxymethyl)-5-(2-methylaziridin-1-yl)-1-methyl-2-phenylindole-4,7-dione
were obtained from the Brookhaven Database (PDB code $1 \mathrm{H} 69^{33}$ and resolution $1.86 \AA$ ) and the structure was edited accordingly to provide a monomer of the protein. The protein complex was then minimized within Sybyl 7.3 (Tripos Ltd., St. Louis, MO) while all heavy atoms were held stationary. The ligand was then removed to leave the receptor complex, which was used for the subsequent docking studies. For preparation of ligand structures, fragments from Sybyl 8.1.1 were used to construct the compounds and all symmetric compounds were prepared as
monoanionic ligands. Ligands were subject to 1000 iterations of energy minimization by the Powell method with MMFF94s force field. For computational docking, GOLD 5.1 software was used in combination with the ChemPLP ${ }^{34}$ scoring function (rescoring with ChemScore). ${ }^{35}$

The active site was defined as being any volume within $8 \AA$ of the quinone scaffold of 25 in its crystal pose in 1 H 69 . Each GA run used the default parameters of 100000 genetic operations on an initial population of 100 members divided into five subpopulations, with weights for crossover, mutation, and migration being set to 95,95 , and 10 , respectively. GOLD allows a user-definable number of GA runs per ligand, each of which starts from a different orientation. For these experiments, the number of GA runs was set to 10 , and scoring of the docked poses was performed with the ChemPLP scoring function with ChemScore rescore. Each GOLD run was saved and the strongest scoring binding pose of each ligand (subject to a rmsd default distance threshold of $1.5 \AA$ ) was compared to that of the reference ligand position observed in the crystal structure. The best output poses (orientations) of the ligands generated were analyzed on the basis of ChemPLP/ChemScore score, feasibility of hydride transfer process, and H-bonding to the enzyme. The best pose(s) were visualized with PyMOL Molecular Graphics System version 1.3.

### 2.7.8 Chemistry

All moisture sensitive reactions were performed in an inert, dry atmosphere of argon in flame dried glassware. Air sensitive liquids were transferred via syringe or cannula through rubber septa. Reagent grade solvents were used for extraction and flash chromatography. THF was distilled from $\mathrm{Na} /$ benzophenone under argon; dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ and chloroform $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ were distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ under argon. All other reagents and solvents which were purchased from commercial sources, were used directly without further purification. The
progress of reactions was checked by analytical thin-layer chromatography (Sorbent Technologies, Silica G TLC plates w/UV 254). The plates were visualized first with UV illumination followed by charring with ninhydrin ( $0.3 \%$ ninhydrin (w/v), 97:3 EtOH-AcOH). Flash column chromatography was performed using prepacked Biotage SNAP cartridges on a Biotage Isolera One instrument. Microwave reactions were performed using a Biotage Initiator instrument. The solvent compositions reported for all chromatographic separations are on a volume/volume (v/v) basis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ spectra were recorded at 400 or 500 MHz and are reported in parts per million ( ppm ) on the $\delta$ scale relative to tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ spectra were recorded at 100 or 125 MHz and are reported in parts per million (ppm) on the $\delta$ scale relative to CDCl 3 ( $\delta 77.00$ ). Melting points were determined on a Stuart melting point apparatus from Bibby Scientific Limited and are uncorrected. High Resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was performed on a Waters/Micromass LCT-TOF instrument. All compounds were more than $95 \%$ pure.

5-chloro-8-hydroxy-7-nitroquinoline (1). This compound was prepared according to the literature ${ }^{12}$ procedure to yield a yellow solid, $4.40 \mathrm{~g}(79 \%)$. M.p. $198-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, [lit. ${ }^{12}$, m.p. $\left.192-194{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 9.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), $7.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta 150.5,150.1,139.9,133.6$, 132.3, 128.5, 125.9, 122.0, 117.9. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}$(MH+) calcd. 225.0067, found 225.0055.

7-Amino-8-hydroxyquinoline (2). Compound $1(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 10.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in a hydrogenation apparatus equipped with a magnetic stir bar and methanol added. $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(150 \mathrm{mg})$ in a small amount of $\mathrm{MeOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirring commenced. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas was introduced at a pressure of 40-50 psi and reacted at rt overnight. TLC showed full conversion. The black
solution was filtered using a celite pad and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield $\mathbf{2}$ as a black oil, $99 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.0,137.9,136.6,136.1,132.1,122.4,119.3,118.5$, 117.7. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{MH}+)$ calcd. 161.0715, found 161.0707.

7-acetamido-8-acetyloxyquinoline (3). Compound $2(330 \mathrm{mg}, 2.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dried THF ( 10 mL ) and DIEA added with stirring. $\mathrm{AcCl}(176 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in 1 mL THF was added drop wise while stirring and reacted at rt for 2 hrs . Then concentrated under reduced pressure followed by redissolving in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 10 mL ). The two layers were allowed to partition and extracted 2x $20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Then purified on a Biotage SNAP cartridge ( 25 g ) at a flow rate of $25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ to yield an orange solid, $382 \mathrm{mg}(76 \%)$; m.p. $151-153^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.7,168.5,150.6,140.7,135.8,134.9,130.8,125.8,125.6$, 121.3, 120.6, 24.5, 21.0; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}$(MH+) calcd. 245.0926, found 245.0923.

7-acetamido-8-benzyloxyquinoline (4). To a solution of $\mathbf{3}(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 4.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added water ( 10 mL ) and the reaction stirred under reflux for 1 hr . The black solution was concentrated and in vacuo and flash chromatographed on a KP-Sil 100 g Biotage SNAP cartridge using MeOH: DCM as the solvent $(0-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH})$. A white solid $(0.9 \mathrm{~g})$ obtained and used for the next step directly. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.11\left(5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.

To a solution of 7-acetamido-8-hydroxyquinoline ( $2.27 \mathrm{~g}, 11.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 40 mL DMF was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.33 \mathrm{~g}, 16.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{BnBr}(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ respectively. The reaction was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hrs after which TLC showed almost all the starting material was consumed. The reaction mixture was diluted with $30 \mathrm{mLCH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, filtered with a pad of celite and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was loaded onto a 100 g Biotage SNAP cartridge by dissolving in a small amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and eluted with EtOAc:heptane gradient (0$50 \%)$. Yield $2.95 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of a yellow oil was obtained. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.50$ ( $60 \%$ EtOAc:heptane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}$, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.3,150.0,142.0,141.0,137.4,136.2,132.0,128.9$, $128.8,128.8,126.0,124.0,120.0,120.0,77.3,24.6$. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$ (MH+) calcd. 293.1290, found 293.1264.

7-acetamido-8-(benzyloxy)quinoline-1-oxide (5). The starting material (4) (428 mg, 1.46 mmol ) was dissolved in 4.3 mL 1,2-dichloroethane with stirring. The $m$ CPBA ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added $(0.5 \mathrm{M})$ and the reaction stirred at rt for 48 hrs . TLC showed almost all the starting material was consumed. The precipitated $m$ CPBA was filtered and washed with $5 \mathrm{~mL} 1,2$ dichloroethane. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and flash chromatographed on a KP-sil 100 g Biotage SNAP cartridge using a $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ : DCM gradient at a flow rate of 25 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ to yield a yellow solid, $373 \mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$. M.p. $145-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.24(5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{DCM}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 9.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.50(2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.30$ (aromatic, 4 H$).{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 168.9,139.8,138.1,137.1,136.4,133.3,129.8,129.1,128.1,128.0$,
124.7, 124.4, 120.8, 77.7, 23.8. HRMS (TOF MS ES+ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}$(MH+) calcd. 309.1239, found 309.1227.

7-acetamido-8-benzyloxy-2-chloroquinoline (6). Phosphoryl chloride ( $280 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a stirred solution of the oxide $5(770 \mathrm{mg}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 21 mL $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and stirred for 15 min . The mixture was then refluxed for 2 hrs , cooled and poured into ice ( 50 g ) and the pH adjusted to 12 with NaOH (aq.). The aq. layer was extracted with $2 \times 50$ mL $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{O}$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a brown oil. Then purified on a HP-Sil 25 g Biotage SNAP cartridge using EtOAc:heptane gradient (0-50\%) as the solvent. Yield 504 mg ( $62 \%$ ) of an off-white solid was obtained. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.58\left(60 \%\right.$ EtOAc:heptane); M.P. $92-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-$ $7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $168.4,150.5,141.4,140.3,139.0,137.2,133.0,128.9,128.8,128.8,124.3,123.3,121.1,120.1$, 77.4, 24.7. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{MH}+$ ) calcd. 327.0900, found 327.0936. 7-acetamido-2-chloro-8-hydroxyquinoline (7). To a solution of 6 ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an Ar atmosphere was added $\mathrm{BCl}_{3} \bullet \mathrm{SMe}_{2}(10.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ via a syringe and stirred at rt overnight. TLC showed the reaction was complete. The reaction was then quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (aq.) and extracted with $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on 50 g KP -Sil Biotage SNAP cartridge using a $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gradient $(0-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH})$ at a flow rate of $25 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute to give a yellow solid, $198 \mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$. M.P. $176-178^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.50$ ( $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}$,

3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 168.6, 149.7, 138.9, 138.2, 137.1, 124.4, 123.3, 121.5, 121.3, 118.0, 24.9. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$(MH+) calcd. 237.0431, found 237.0424.

7-Acetamido-2-chloroquinoline-5,8-dione (8). To a solution of 7 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of Fremy's salt in $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ buffer ( $0.3 \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the mixture stirred at rt for 1 hr . A further solution of Fremy's salt in the buffer ( $0.3 \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and stirring continued for 2 hrs . The acetone was removed under reduced pressure and the residue extracted with $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ phases were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a 25 g HP-Sil Biotage SNAp cartridge using EtOAc:heptanes gradient (0-60\%) to obtain a yellow solid, $225 \mathrm{mg}(71 \%$ over 2 steps); m.p. $224-226^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes into a black mass), $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.49$ ( $60 \%$ EtOAc:heptane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 183.4,178.1,169.5,156.7,145.9$, 140.4, 137.2, 129.9, 128.0, 116.3, 25.1. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}$(MH+) calcd. 251.0223, found 250.0203.

General procedure for Suzuki coupling under microwave conditions. The 7-acetamido-2-chloroquinoline-5,8-dione $\mathbf{8}$ ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 4 mL dimethoxyethane (DME) and degassed under reduced pressure. The palladium (0) catalyst, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(10 \mathrm{mg}$, 0084 mmol ) was added and the solution degassed further. The mixture was stirred under Ar atmosphere for 10 minutes. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{M})$ was added followed by the boronic acid ( 0.126 mmol ). The mixture was then heated using a Biotage microwave initiator at 110 $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes. After cooling, TLC showed all the starting material was consumed. The reaction mixture was poured into DCM and washed with $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ water. Then dried over
$\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on HP-Sil 25 g Biotage SNAP cartridge using EtOAc:heptane gradient (0-50\%) at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. For very polar products, $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH})$ was used as solvent for purification. 7-acetamido-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)quinoline-5,8-dione (9). Yield 21 mg (70\%) of a yellow solid was obtained. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.47$ ( $50 \%$ EtOAc:heptane); m.p. $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $184.1,179.1,169.5,160.1,146.1,140.6,135.7,128.3,128.0,126.0,126.0,126.0,125.3,116.5$, 25.2; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{MH}+$ ) calcd. 361.0800, found 361.0834. 7-acetamido-2-(3-pyridinyl))quinoline-5,8-dione (10). Yield 21 mg (41\%) of a yellow solid obtained, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.19$ ( $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{DCM}$ ); m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 184.2,179.0,170.4,158.9,150.7,148.1,146.1,140.9,135.7,135.7,128.1,125.3,116.6,24.6$; HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+} \mathrm{MH}+$ ) calcd. 294.0879, found 294.0914. 7-amino-2-(3-pyridinyl)quinoline-5,8-dione: $6 \mathrm{mg}(12 \%)$ of a red solid was obtained. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.13(5 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{DCM}) ;$ m.p. $195-197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes, turns black); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.29$ (d, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,2.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,4.9,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 181.9,179.8,157.0,150.4,149.6,147.6,146.3,135.4,135.0,133.5$, 129.4, 124.7, 123.8, 102.1. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+} \mathrm{MH}+$ ) calcd. 252.0773, found 252.0795 .

7-acetamido-2-(8'-quinolinyl)quinoline-5,8-dione (11). Yield $31 \mathrm{mg}(51 \%)$ of a yellow solid was obtained. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.25$ ( $70 \%$ EtOAc:heptane), crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; m.p. $295^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 184.8,179.1,170.9,161.9,150.5,145.7,145.1$, $140.9,136.7,136.5,133.3,131.9,131.7,130.2,128.4,127.6,126.3,121.4,116.5,24.2$. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{MH}+$ ) calcd. 344.1035, found 344.1022.

7-acetamido-2-(2-(1-tert-butoxycarbonylindolyl))quinoline-5,8-dione (12). Yield 63mg (67\%) of an orange was obtained. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.40\left(50 \%\right.$ EtOAc:heptane); m.p. $191-193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97$ (s, 1H), $7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 9.1, $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 184.2$, $179.0,169.6,157.4,149.7,145.3,140.4,138.1,137.5,134.2,128.6,127.9,127.6,126.0,123.3$, $121.5,116.5,115.2,114.0,84.2,27.8,25.1$. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{MH}+)$ calcd. 432.1559, found 432.1568 .

7-acetamido-2-(2-pyridinyl)quinoline-5,8-dione (13). Yield 37 mg (71\%) of a yellow solid was obtained. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.19$ ( $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl} 2$ ), crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; m.p. $255-258^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta 10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.8,1.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.58(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.5,4.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta$ 184.6, 178.4, $171.5,158.6,153.6,149.8,146.4,142.5,137.8,135.0,128.5,125.5,124.6,121.7,115.3,24.7$. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{MH}+)$ calcd. 294.0879, found 294.0914.

7-acetamido-2-(2-(1-tert-butoxycarbonylpyrrolyl))quinoline-5,8-dione (14). Yield 36 mg (53\%) of a yellow solid was obtained. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.30$ ( $50 \%$ EtOAc:heptane); m.p. 191-193 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes), recrystallized from methanol; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{t}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $184.3,179.2,169.5,156.9,148.8,145.3,140.3,134.0,132.5,128.0,127.3,125.5,118.6,116.4$, 111.2, 84.4, 27.7, 25.1. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{+}$(MH+) calcd. 382.1403, found 382.1381.

7-acetamido-2-(4-pyrazolyl))quinoline-5,8-dione (15). Yield 31 mg (42\%) of a brown solid was obtained. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33$ ( $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); m.p. $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes), recrystallized from methanol; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O\right) ~ \delta 13.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) \delta 184.6,178.6,171.4,156.1,146.6,142.1,134.2,126.1,123.8,121.2,115.1,24.6$. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{MH}+$ ) calcd. 283.0831, found 283.0846.

7-acetamido-2-(3-(2-acetamido-pyridinyl))quinoline-5,8-dione (16). The quinone 8 ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28$ mmol ) was dissolved in 2 mL 1,4-dioxane and degassed under reduced pressure. $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})(20$ mg ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(238 \mathrm{mg})$ and the boronate were added and the solution degassed further. The mixture was stirred under Ar atmosphere for 10 minutes. The mixture was then heated heated using a Biotage microwave initiator at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured into $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ water and extracted $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ DCM. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a HP-Sil 25 g Biotage SNAP cartridge using $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gradient ( $0-5 \%$ ) at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Yield $23 \mathrm{mg}(23 \%)$ of a brown solid
was obtained. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.32\left(5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; m.p. $249^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 10.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 2.14 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 184.6, 178.5, 171.5, 169.7, 157.4, 153.6, 147.3, 146.6, 142.4, 137.0, 134.8, 128.0, 127.2, 124.1, 115.3, 113.0, 24.7, 24.0. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{MH}+)$ calcd. 351.1093, found 351.1064. 7-acetamido-2-(2-indolyl)quinoline-5,8-dione (17). The starting material 12 ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using an ice bath. Trifluoroacetic acid (140 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) was the added dropwise and reacted at rt for 2 hrs . TLC showed full conversion. Then quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a HP-Sil 25 g Biotage SNAP cartridge using EtOAc:heptane gradient (0-70\%) at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Yield 17 mg (59\%) of a red solid was obtained after recrystallization from MeOH . M.p. $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, decomposes; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.38$ ( $70 \%$ EtOAc:heptane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34$ (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 184.2,180.4,170.5,154.7,145.4,140.3,137.8,134.7$, 134.4, 128.3, 126.6, 124.4, 124.4, 121.5, 120.2, 117.0, 111.7, 104.4, 24.4. HRMS (TOF MS ES+ $) \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{MH}+$ ) calcd. 332.1035, found 332.1030.

7-acetamido-2-(2-(pyrrolyl))quinoline-5,8-dione (18). The starting material 14 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08$ mmol) was dissolved in $3 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using an ice bath. Trifluoroacetic acid $(150 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was the added dropwise and reacted at rt for 2 hrs . TLC showed full conversion. Then quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were
combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a HP-Sil 25 g Biotage SNAP cartridge using EtOAc:heptane gradient (0-50\%) at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Yield 21 mg (93\%) of a red solid was obtained after recrystallization from MeOH. M.P. $255^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, decomposes. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.11$ ( $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}:$ heptane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 11.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.28-6.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta$ 184.6, 178.7, 171.4, 154.0, 146.6, 141.9, 133.9, 130.1, 125.3, 123.8, 121.8, 115.2, 111.9, 110.4, 24.7. HRMS (TOF MS ES+ $) \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}$(MH+) calcd. 282.0879, found 282.0909.

General procedure for removal of the acetate group with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. To the starting material ( 0.1 mmol ) in a 20 mL vial was added $175 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in 3.0 mL MeOH and stirred at rt for 3 hrs. The red solution was then neutralized with $5 \mathrm{~mL} 5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (aq.) and extracted with 5 X $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Then purified on a HP-Sil 25 g Biotage SNAP cartridge using EtOAc:heptanes ( $0-70 \%$ ) or $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gradient ( $0-5 \%$ ) at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

7-Amino-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)quinoline-5,8-dione (19). The general procedure was used to obtain $6.0 \mathrm{mg}(67 \%)$ of a red solid; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.38$ ( $60 \%$ EtOAc:heptane); m.p. $151-153^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes, turns black); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 182.2,180.1,158.7,150.3,146.3,140.6,135.1,129.4,127.7,125.5,125.5$, 125.1, 105.8, 102.4. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$(MH+) calcd. 319.0694, found 319.0666.

7-amino-2-(3-pyridinyl)quinoline-5,8-dione (20). The general procedure was used to obtain 10 $\mathrm{mg}(83 \%)$ of a red solid. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.16\left(5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; m.p. $195-197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes, turns
black). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.58(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,2.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ (ddd, $J=8.0,4.9,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 181.9,179.8,157.0,150.4$, 149.6, 147.6, 146.3, 135.4, 135.0, 133.5, 129.4, 124.7, 123.8, 102.1. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+} \mathrm{MH}+$ ) calcd. 252.0773, found 252.0795.

7-amino-2-(2-indolyl)quinoline-5,8-dione (21). The general procedure was used to obtain 19 mg ( $63 \%$ ) of a dark-brown solid. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.22$ ( $70 \%$ EtOAc:heptane); m.p. $235^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decomposes. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 182.6,181.6,153.6$, $149.5,145.9,137.6,135.0,134.2,128.3,128.0,124.1,124.0,121.3,120.0,111.7,103.5,102.9$. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{MH}+)$ calcd. 290.0930, found 290.0900.

7-amino-2-(8-quinolinyl)quinoline-5,8-dione (22). The general procedure was used to obtain 55 $\mathrm{mg}(71 \%)$ of a brown solid. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.29\left(5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; m.p. $243-245^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, recrystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 182.8,180.1,160.5,150.3,150.2,146.2,145.2,136.7,136.7,133.2$, 131.6, 131.5, 129.8, 129.1, 128.4, 126.3, 121.2, 102.4. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$ (MH+) calcd. 302.0930, found 302.0939.

7-amino-2-(2-pyridinyl)quinoline-5,8-dione (23). The general procedure was used to obtain 16 $\mathrm{mg}(76 \%)$ of a red solid. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.25\left(20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, recrystallized from MeOH. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) \delta 8.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{MH}+)$ calcd. 252.0773 found 252.0749.

7-Amino-2-(2-pyrrolyl)quinoline-5,8-dione (24). The general procedure was used to obtain 11 $\mathrm{mg}(78 \%)$ of a red solid. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.37$ ( $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); m.p. $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomposes), recrystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.7,2.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.97 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 183.0,181.7,153.5,149.4,145.7,133.9$, 129.9, 126.6, 122.7, 122.3, 110.8, 110.3, 102.5. HRMS (TOF MS ES+) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}(\mathrm{MH}+)$ calc. 240.0773, found 240.0779.
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## Chapter 3

Improved design and biological activity of 10-alkoxy-anthracenyl-isoxazole derivatives for G-quadruplex antitumor agents

### 3.1 Introduction

G-quadruplex DNA (Figure 3-1) structures have been of serious interest as targets for cancer chemotherapeutics due to their novel structures, when compared to genomic DNA, and their


Figure 3-1. c-MYC G-quadruplex Pu22 sequence (PDB: 2L7V)
isolated locations in human genes. ${ }^{1-3}$ Additionally, inhibition of the c-MYC proto-oncogene, which is over expressed in up to $80 \%$ of tumor cells, ${ }^{1}$ has been correlated to quadruplex stabilization in the promoter region where these structures form. ${ }^{4,5}$ Molecules that stabilize these quadruplexes of DNA (G4-DNA) are typically medium sized planar aromatics often showing selectivity for G4-DNA over B-DNA. ${ }^{6-8}$

Given the conserved elements of the various G4-DNA ${ }^{1}$ structures as they occur in vitro and in vivo we designed a novel class of combilexin molecules based on two moieties known to interact with genomic DNA, an intercalator and minor-groove binder; yet pre-organized such
that intercalation and/or minor-groove binding with B-DNA would be highly disfavored.

Though, ideally suited for $\pi$-stacking and hydrogen bond donor-acceptor interactions with G4DNA.

### 3.2 Structural Features of a Q-quadruplex binder

G4-DNA binding molecules have been the subject of much study in the past decade with many examples showing a high degree of selectivity and binding stability. ${ }^{1,9-13}$ Parkinson has demonstrated that a competition dialysis study of many known DNA binding compounds reveals some striking and significant G4-DNA interactions. ${ }^{13}$ Most importantly, the Parkinson dialysis
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Figure 3-2. Quadruplex-DNA binding molecules
study shows a very distinct structure to activity relationship where the molecules with the highest affinity for G4-DNA share similar properties. These compounds all contain a large planar moiety
that is responsible for pi stacking interactions and side groups consisting of hydrogen bond donors and/or acceptors (Figure 3-2).

The anthracene isoxazole ester system (AIM) (Figure 3-3) was designed to stabilize gquadruplex DNA because of the orthogonal properties between the isoxazole and anthracene moieties to enhance $\pi-\pi$ stacking, and possible intercalation, with G-DNA structures. Hurley had previously shown that there were several possible binding modes for quindoline derivatives to


Figure 3-3. Combining functionalities to form a selective G4-DNA ligand quadruplex DNA based on substitution patterns using molecular modeling, ${ }^{14} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and X-ray crystalography. ${ }^{12}$ Hurley showed that the porphyrns 5,10,15,20-tetra-(N-methyl-2pyridyl)pophine (TMPyP2) and 5,10,15,20-tetra-(N-methyl-2-pyridyl)pophine (TMPyP4), bind G-quadruplex structures externally atop the G-tetrad. ${ }^{15}$ Given these findings we felt the next step was to use computer based molecular modeling to determine which mode of binding best suited the AIM-2 system.

It is proposed that designing the optimal G4-DNA binder must also contain some mimic of a bio-molecule (e.g. peptide bonds) so as to minimize recognition as an antigen, quick metabolism (See Chapter 5), and excretion from the body before it can exert its function.

Because of the compact nature of duplex DNA a G4-DNA binding ligand would need to be preorganized so that unfavorable steric interactions would keep the required large planar group from intercalating between the base pairs. Figure 3-3 shows how an isoxazole system, containing an anthracene and peptide linked hydrogen bond acceptor, methyl pyrrole, could serve the purpose addressing all of the important factors (i.e. preorganization, hydrogen bond acceptor/donor, and small size). Variation of the peptide length and number of methyl pyrrole (0-2) units served to define a simple SAR as it relates to tumor growth inhibition ${ }^{16}$. Full description of the synthesis of G4-DNA binding isoxazoles is detailed below in this chapter.

### 3.3 Molecular Modeling of alkoxy series with Quadruplex DNA

A training set of AIMs was constructed and prepared using Accelrys Discovery Studio 4.0. The minimization during ligand preparation took into account both amide and imidate tautomers at the C-4 amide of the isoxazole. The coordinates for the Pu22 sequence of the human c-myc oncogene used were the NMR structure reported by Hurley and Yang, pdb accession number 2 L 7 V . The ligand docking was conducted using the CHARMm forcefield, at physiological pH , and docking at both binding sites, the top15 poses were obtained for each tautomer of the training set. Consensus scoring was evaluated using CDocker Interaction energy, comparing versions of the PLP, Jain, PMF and Ludi scoring protocols (10 total scoring functions), and compared to the Hurley and Wang quindoline as control. The best pose for the most active compound $\mathbf{8 c}$ in the present study is shown above (Figure 3.4), allowing the ligand to minimize within a $14 \AA$ binding sphere. The larger binding sphere enabled the AIM to tumble during the minimization process, to achieve substantially higher binding energies for the final poses.

In the best binding pose each functional group of the AIM interacts with the G-4, the dimethyl amino double tail lies along the sugar-phosphate backbone, the 10 -biphenyl moiety


Figure 3-4. Discovery Studio 4.0 best energy pose for $\mathbf{8 c}$, docked at site 1 of the human Pu22 sequence of the c-myc oncogene.
occupies the groove. The CDocker Interaction Energy prediction of $80.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ was substantially higher than that calculated for the literature quindoline (range of $46-49 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at sites 1 and 2), and also provides interactions which bridge between the $G$ tetrad and adjacent functional groups, and therefore could potentially provide enhanced sequence selectivity. The best site 2 pose was within an approximate strong hydrogen bond energy of site 1 (ca. 5 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$.

### 3.4 Synthesis to alkoxy anthracene pyrrole doubletails

### 3.4.1 Preparation of Anthryl-10-oxy-isoxazole-DTs (AAIMs)

The anthracenyl isoxazole DTs can be made by starting with commercially available 9anthraldehyde using bromine in dichloromethane achieved the 10-bromo substituted anthraldehyde $\mathbf{1}^{17}$ in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 3-1). Using a modified $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ (addition-elimination mechanism) procedure from Bair ${ }^{18}$, the nucleophilic aromatic substitution reaction using


Scheme 3-1. Synthesis of anthryl-oxy-DT conjugate 8a-e.
alkoxides as the nucleophile gave us $\mathbf{2}$ with yields greater than $90 \%$. Oxime formation of 10 -oxy substituted aldehyde was achieved using hydroxylamine HCl . The oxime then reacted with N chlorosuccinimide (NCS) to give the oximinoyl chloride. The formation of the isoxazole was accomplished via a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition to give the anthracene isoxazole ester $\mathbf{3}^{19}$. The double tail moiety was achieved through the acetylation of N -methyl pyrrole using trichloroacetyl chloride previously described ${ }^{16}$. Subsequent nitration gave product in $75 \%$ yield
when performed on a molar scale. Next, the nitro pyrrole could be coupled with 3dimethylaminopropylamine and hydrogenated. Once the ester was characterized, it was hydrolyzed to the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{5}$. Then using thionyl chloride gave acid chloride $\mathbf{6}$ which were then reacted with the amine-pyrrole double tail 7 using a modified Schotten-Baumann reaction to give the final product 8a-e.

### 3.4.2 Crystal Structure of 8a

Our previous report of CD melting point increase and selective NMR anisotropy indicates that certain structural features of the AIMs correspond to increased anti-tumor activity ${ }^{20}$, namely, a dihedral angle between the mean plane of the isoxazole (all atoms) and the mean plane of the anthracene (all atoms) shown to be $70.47^{\circ}$, while the ester carbonyl and ether atom is virtually co-planar with the isoxazole mean plane having a dihedral angle of $4.51^{\circ}$. The anthracene ring is virtually planar as evident by the sum of the eighteen intra-ring torsion angle of $5.40^{\circ}$. These values are similar to other sc-xrd of isoxazole-3-anthracenes ${ }^{21-26}$ and isoxazole-3anthroquinones ${ }^{27}$. Furthermore, pairs of weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}---\mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds link the molecules into dimers, and weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}---\pi$ interactions further link these molecules. Full sc-xrd data and parameters are given in the Supplementary Data.


Figure 3-5. Single crystal x-ray diffractometry of 4a.

### 3.5 MTT Cell Viability Assay

Growth inhibition was determined by the MTT colorimetric assay. Cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 10,000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and allowed to attach overnight (16-18h). AAIM solutions were applied in medium for 24 h , removed, and replaced with fresh medium, and the plates were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for $3-5$ days.



Table 3-1. Cytotoxicity activity of 8a-e against human glioma SNB-19 cells MTT ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added and the cells were incubated for another 4 h . Medium/MTT solutions were removed carefully by aspiration, the MTT formazan crystals were dissolved in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO, and absorbance was determined on a plate reader at $560 \mathrm{~nm} . \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (concentration
at which cell survival equals $50 \%$ of control) were determined from semilog plots of percent of control versus concentration. The results are shown in Table 3-1.

Compounds shown in Table 3-1 have low micromolar binding affinities, which some are much better than the previously reported analogues. ${ }^{20}$ The phosphate backbone chain in the NMR structure is solvent exposed (Figure 3-1) and can be accessed with lipophilic groups to increase binding affinity. For example, Compound 8a lacking any corresponding ring system in the 10 -position greatly decrease the cytotoxicity of the group, reinforcing the importance of the $\pi$ - $\pi$ stacking and $\pi$-face interactions between the phenyl group and the phosphate backbone. Substitution of this phenoxy by a naphthyl (compound 8d and 8e), phenyl (compound $\mathbf{8 b}$ ) or biphenyl (compound 8c) was well tolerated and in general decreased the IC50 values with the addition on each phenyl ring. These alkoxy derivatives are all good hydrogen bond acceptors and gave increasing potencies.

Summary: Anthryl-10-alkoxy-isoxazole-pyrrole-doubletails can be readily made and easily substituted to enlarge the oxy-ether library series. Current studies are focused on whether the AAIMs may represent useful tools for the study of quadruplex DNA, and ultimately lead to clinically useful inhibitors.
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## Supplementary Material

Experimental Section. General. All chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors and were used without any further purification unless otherwise indicated. Solvents were reagents grade and dried just prior to use by standard methods. All reactions were performed under inert atmosphere. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was dried over sodium/benzophenone and distilled prior to use. Triethylamine $\left(\mathrm{EtN}_{3}\right)$ was dried with calcium hydride $\left(\mathrm{CaH}_{2}\right)$. Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes on a Melt-Temp apparatus and are uncorrected. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained using a Micromass electrospray ionization (ES)/time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LCTOF). Mass spectrometer samples were introduced using a Waters model 2690 separations module HPLC fitted with a C-18 reversed phase column ( 2.1 mm i.d., 5 cm ). Flash chromatography was performed using Sorbent Technologies standard silica gel ( $60 \AA$ ) with reagent grade solvents using in house compressed air.

Cell Viability Assay. Growth inhibition was determined by the MTT colorimetric assay. Cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 10000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and allowed to attach overnight (16 h). Anthryl-10-oxy-isoxazole-DT solutions were applied in medium for 2 h , removed, and replaced with fresh medium, and the plates were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \%$ CO2 for $3-5$ days. MTT ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added and the cells were incubated for another 4 h . Medium/MTT solutions were removed carefully by aspiration, the MTT formazan crystals were dissolved in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO, and absorbance was determined on a plate reader at 560 nm . IC50 values (concentration at which cell survival equals $50 \%$ of control) were determined from semilog plots of percent of control versus concentration.

NMR. The 1H and 13C NMR high-resolution spectra were obtained with a Bruker AC200 (UltraShield ${ }^{\text {TM }} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) using X-Win NMR (3.1) at ambient temperature in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ unless otherwise specified. The signal assignments were performed on the basis of a series of 2D experiments with $z$-gradient selection: $1 \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{H}$ COSY (Correlation Spectroscopy), 1H-13C HMQC ((Heteronuclear Multiple Quantum Coherence) and 1H13C HMBC (Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation).


Scheme 3-1. Synthesis of anthryl-oxy-DT conjugate 8a-e.

## Method of 10-methoxy anthryl isoxazole ester formation

To a suspension of anthraldehyde (4.175g, 20.244mmol; Sigma-Aldrich, $97 \%$ ) in methylene chloride ( 120 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ( 1.1 eq., $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.428 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) diluted in methylene chloride ( 5 mL ) drop wise over 5 minutes. The reaction was covered with septa and guard column (charged with $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{s})$ ) and allowed to stir at $63^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until TLC showed no starting material remained (ca. 5 hours). Once the solution reached room temperature, $25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ in $200 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to neutralize excess $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$. The solution was then transferred to a separatory funnel, washed with 50 mL metheylene chloride and the organic layer extracted and dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield $1(\mathrm{Rf}=0.34,10: 1$ Hex/EtOAc). Recrystallized from chloroform/hexanes.

10-Bromoanthracene-9-carbaldehyde (1). (83\%) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.90-8.93$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.69-8.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 193.28,131.94,131.82$, $130.29,129.02,128.91,128.29,128.29,127.47,127.40,125.70,123.84 . \mathrm{mp} 205-208^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Spectral data are in accord with those reported previously. ${ }^{28,29}$

## General Procedure for 10-alkoxy aldehyde.

The bromo-aldehyde $1(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5261 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken up in 2 mL of DMF (dried over sieves) under a nitrogen atmosphere. Freshly distilled methanol ( 1.2 eq., 0.03 mL ) was added via syringe. Sodium hydride ( $1.2 \mathrm{eq} ., 0.0253 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added with a water condenser. The solution was allowed to stir at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 hours under an argon atmosphere. Once the solution cooled to room temperature, 50 mL DI $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 50 mL diethyl ether was added and allowed to stir for 15 minutes. The solution was transferred to a separatory funnel and washed with 50 mL diethyl ether. The combined organic layers were washed with 50 mL Brine, dried over sodium sulfate
and concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid was taken up in minimal methylene chloride and ran on a prepared hexanes silica column in 12:1 (Hexanes:EtOAc) until all desired product 2 was collected.

10-Methoxyanthracene-9-carbaldehyde (2a). (85\%) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.09(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=9.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \quad \delta 191.93,159.18,134.12,133.89,129.34,127.23,125.60,124.21,123.96$, 123.11, 121.17, 63.96. MS (ESI) $m / z 236$ (22.37, M+), 237(100, M+1), 238 (18.80, M+2). $(\mathrm{Rf}=0.46$ 4:1:1 Hex/EtOAc/DCM $)$.

10-phenoxyanthracene-9-carbaldehyde (2b). In 250 mL round-bottom, add 50 mL dry Benzene, phenol ( $0.2748 \mathrm{~g}, 2.920 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium ( $0.048 \mathrm{~g}, 2.088 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 18-crown-6 $(0.4632 \mathrm{~g}, 1.752 \mathrm{mmol})$ with 25 mL DMF. The reaction was heated to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hr until all sodium as dissolved. Brominated ( $405.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.432 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 15 mL dry Benzene was added to the hot alkoxide solution and stirred under heat for 1.5 hours. Once cool, 50 mLEtOAc and 50 $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{diH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to a sepratory funnel containing the reaction mixture. The contents were extracted with $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc, $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL} 10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ and finally $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ until a neutral pH. Dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to obtain $\mathbf{2 b}(0.4216 \mathrm{~g}, 98.7 \%){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Acetone-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $11.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.65,7.78,15.43 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.28,6.65,14.93 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.28,6.40,13.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Acetone-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 193.37, 161.00, 152.38, 134.19, 131.04, 130.27, 127.37, 125.47, 125.11, 124.20, 123.92, 123.43, 116.20. MS (ESI) m/z 299.1162 (100, $\mathrm{M}+1), 300.1200(23.40, \mathrm{M}+2)$. HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 299.1071, found 299.1072. ( $\mathrm{Rf}=0.34$ 1:1 Hex/DCM).

10-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yloxy)anthracene-9-carbaldehyde (2c). By the same procedure as that described for 2b, from 20 mL dry THF, [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ol ( $2.6325 \mathrm{~g}, 15.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium ( $0.3386 \mathrm{~g}, 14.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 18-crown-6 ( $3.8928 \mathrm{~g}, 14.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) refluxed for 2.75 hour. Add bromoaldehyde solid ( $3.3524 \mathrm{~g}, 11.757 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to reaction round bottom, cool to room temperature and stir overnight (ca. 18.5 hours). 50 mL EtOAc and $50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{diH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to a sepratory funnel containing the reaction mixture. The contents were extracted with $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ DCM, $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} 10 \%$ NaOH and finally $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ until a neutral pH . Dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to obtain 2c (3.5861 g, 90.71\%). (Rf=0.19 1:1 Hex/DCM). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 9.16 (d, J=9.03 Hz, 2H), 8.26 (d, J=8.66 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (m, 2H), 7.61 (m, 6H), 7.42 (t, J=7.40, $7.91,15.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.40,14.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Acetone-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ $193.39,160.61,152.31,141.09,136.41,135.27,134.18,130.30,129.81,129.52,128.00,127.80$, $127.55,127.47,125.46,125.13,124.32,123.91,116.55 . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / z 379.1668$ (100, M+1), 380.1725(38, M+2). HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 375.1385, found 375.1385 .

10-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)anthracene-9-carbaldehyde (2d). By the same procedure as that described for $\mathbf{2 b}$, from 22 mL dry THF, naphthalen-1-ol ( $2.2085 \mathrm{~g}, 15.319 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium ( $0.3784 \mathrm{~g}, 16.459 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 18 -crown-6 $(4.6613 \mathrm{~g}, 17.635 \mathrm{mmol})$ refluxed for 4.5 hours. Add bromo-aldehyde ( $3.3524 \mathrm{~g}, 11.757 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) solid to reaction round bottom, cool to room temperature and stir overnight (ca. 17 hours). 50 mL EtOAc and 50 mL diH 2 O was added to a sepratory funnel containing the reaction mixture. The contents were extracted with $3 x 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ DCM, $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} 10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ and finally $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ until a neutral pH . Dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to obtain $2 \mathbf{d}(3.3524 \mathrm{~g}, 81.84 \%)$. $(\mathrm{Rf}=0.541: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{DCM}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 11.58 (s, 1H), 9.10 (d, J=8.91 Hz, 2H), 8.84 (d, J=8.03 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, J=8.66 Hz, 2H), 7.97 (d,
$\mathrm{J}=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.78,8.03,15.81$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 192.18,155.83,152.47,134.89,133.53$, $129.47,127.89,127.05,126.30,126.23,125.72,124.65,124.54,123.89,123.29,122.73,122.07$, 121.68. HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 349.1229 , found 349.1232.

10-(naphthalen-2-yloxy)anthracene-9-carbaldehyde (2e). By the same procedure as that described for $\mathbf{2 b}$, from 20 mL dry THF, naphthalen-2-ol ( 2.2751 g ), sodium ( 0.3386 g ), 18-crown-6 ( 3.8928 g ) refluxed for 4.5 hours. Add bromo-aldehyde $(3.0089 \mathrm{~g}, 10.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ solid to reaction round bottom, cool to room temperature and stir overnight (ca. 15.5 hours). 50 mL EtOAc and $50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{diH} \mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to a sepratory funnel containing the reaction mixture. The contents were extracted with $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ DCM, $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} 10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ and finally $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ until a neutral pH. Dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to obtain $\mathbf{2 e}(2.2676 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%)$. ( $\mathrm{Rf}=0.90$ DCM). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CHLOROFORM- $d$ ) $\delta \operatorname{ppm} 11.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CHLOROFORM- $d$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 193.44, 193.45, 192.25, $157.85,152.05,134.20,134.10,133.56,131.85,131.72,130.82,130.29,129.78,129.59,129.44$, $129.10,128.88,127.73,127.68,127.22,127.10,126.95,126.76,126.71,126.61,126.47,126.32$, $126.19,125.98,125.85,124.90,124.49,123.94,123.86,123.77,123.53,123.40,122.73,117.75$, 117.35, 109.98, 109.44.

## General Procedure of 10-alkoxy oxime

To a suspension of $\mathbf{2 a}(0.6066 \mathrm{~g}, 2.567 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}: T H F: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50: 25: 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was dissolved hydroxylamine hydrochloride $(0.4002 \mathrm{~g}, 6.206 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine ( $1.11 \mathrm{eq}, 0.23 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) The reaction was covered with a septa under an argon atmosphere let stir at room temperature for

1 hour. The solution was first concentrated under reduced pressure then transferred to a separatory funnel and washed $1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL} 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}$ (cold) and the combined aqueous layers washed $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent removed under vacuum.

10-Methoxyanthracene-9-carbaldehyde oxime (3a): (92\% yield). ( $\mathrm{Rf}=0.35$ 4:1:1 Hex/EtOAc/DCM). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), ~ 8.45-8.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.36-8.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.53-7.60(m, 4H), $4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.22,148.95,131.20,126.90,125.36$, $125.29,124.29,122.72,119.83,63.45 . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 234\left(100, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 235\left(28.54, \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{+}$, 252 (38.87, M+1). (Rf=0.34, 4:1:1 Hex/EtOAc/DCM).

10-phenoxyanthracene-9-carbaldehyde oxime (3b). By the same procedure as that described for 3a, from aldehyde $(0.4216 \mathrm{~g}, 1.413 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOH:THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40: 12: 12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was dissolved hydroxylamine hydrochloride $(0.5324 \mathrm{~g})$ and pyridine $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ The reaction was covered with a septa under an argon atmosphere and condenser and heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 hours, then stirred room temperature overnight. Washed $2 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL} 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}$ (cold) and the combined aqueous layers washed $3 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Obtained ( $0.4380 \mathrm{~g}, 98.92 \%$ ). $\left(\mathrm{Rf}=0.62\right.$ 4:1:1 Hex/EtOAc/DCM). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\right.$ Acetone- $\left._{6}\right) \delta 10.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.53,7.53,16.06$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.40,14.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Acetone-d $\left.{ }_{6}\right) \delta$ $161.09,147.62,147.55,131.93,130.91,127.80,127.06,126.78,125.54,124.05,123.41,123.05$, 116.08. MS (ESI) $m / z 314$ (100, M+1), 315 (25, M+2). HRMS (EI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{1}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 314.1181, found 314.1148.

10-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yloxy)anthracene-9-carbaldehyde oxime (3c).By the same procedure as that described for 3a, from aldehyde ( $1.8039 \mathrm{~g}, 4.814 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOH:THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100: 35: 35 \mathrm{~mL})$
was dissolved hydroxylamine hydrochloride $(1.8369 \mathrm{~g})$ and pyridine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ The reaction was covered with a septa under an argon atmosphere and condenser and heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours, then stirred room temperature overnight. Washed $1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL} 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}$ (cold) and the combined aqueous layers washed $4 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Obtained (1.6234 $\mathrm{g}, 86.59 \%) .\left(\mathrm{Rf}=0.364: 1: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Acetone- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 10.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.53$, $7.91,15.43 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.40,7.28,14.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 161.22,148.13,148.05,141.47,136.58,135.78,132.46,130.28,129.92,128.41$, 128.35, 128.02, 127.67, 127.33, 126.06, 124.70, 123.91, 117.05. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 390$ ( $100, \mathrm{M}+1$ ), 391 (23, M+2). HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 390.1494, found 390.1446 .

10-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)anthracene-9-carbaldehyde oxime (3d).By the same procedure as that described for 3a, from aldehyde ( 0.2289 g , 0.657 mmol ) in EtOH:THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $20: 20: 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was dissolved hydroxylamine hydrochloride $(1.0440 \mathrm{~g})$ and pyridine ( 8 mL ) The reaction was covered with a septa under an argon atmosphere and condenser and heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hours, then stirred room temperature overnight. Washed $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL} 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}$ (cold) and the combined aqueous layers washed $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Obtained $(0.2376 \mathrm{~g}$, 99.5\%). ( $\mathrm{Rf}=0.46$ 4:1:1 $\mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.03 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.28,7.91,15.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.53,15.06 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.78,8.03,15.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.80$, 148.77, 147.80, 134.85, 131.12, 127.81, 127.13, 126.87, 126.81, 12606, 125.97, 125.80, 125.71,
125.29, 124.79, 124.60, 122.94, 122.87, 121.85, 121.64, 121.43. HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 364.1338 , found 364.1374.

10-(naphthalen-2-yloxy)anthracene-9-carbaldehyde oxime (3e).By the same procedure as that described for 3a, from aldehyde ( $2.2676 \mathrm{~g}, 6.509 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH:THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(135: 50: 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was dissolved hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( 2.48370 g ) and pyridine ( 13.5 mL ) The reaction was covered with a septa under an argon atmosphere and stirred at room temperature overnight (19 hours). Concentrated, then washed $1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL} 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}$ (cold) and the combined aqueous layers washed $3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Obtained ( $2.3636 \mathrm{~g}, 99.93 \%$ ). $(\mathrm{Rf}=0.33$ 4:1:1 Hex/EtOAc/Et 2 O$)$. MS (ESI) 364.1190 (100).

The starting oxime $\mathbf{3 a}(0.6450 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5669 \mathrm{mmol})$ was taken up in 35 mL of chloroform at room temperature, to which the solution was added $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ pyridine $(0.490 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 5 M$)$ and recrystallized NCS ( $1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 0.3903 \mathrm{~g}, 2.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) over 5 minutes. The solution was allowed to stir at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon for 3 hours. The organic layer was washed with $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DI} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4 \mathrm{x}$ 25 mL Brine, then the aqueous layer washed $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, dried with sodium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The intermediate was purified only through extractive isolation using water and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and taken on to the next reaction as is. To a solution of the intermediate in absolute ethanol ( 40 mL ) was added 2.4 equivalents of ethyl acetoacetate ( $0.7701 \mathrm{~g}, 5.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 10 mL EtOH and sodium (2eq, $0.1133 \mathrm{~g}, 4.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) slowly. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature under argon for 2 hours until TLC in 4:1:1 $\mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{DCM}$ revealed all nitrile oxide had been consumed. Finally, the ethanol was removed via rotary evaporation and the solid dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, washed $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DI} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \mathrm{x}$ 50 mL Brine, and the aqueous layer washed $1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, dried sodium sulfate, and
concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid was then chromatographed using 1:1 $\mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$, then 1:2 and flushed with EtOAc until all desired product $\mathbf{4}$ was collected. N-hydroxy-10-methoxyanthracene-9-carbimidoyl chloride: Was not purified, carried on through in situ procedure only. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.78,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=15.06,7.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=15.31,8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 3-(10-methoxyanthracen-9-yl)-5-methylisoxazole-4-carboxylate (4a). Yield from two steps $77 \%$. (Rf=0.49 1:2 Hex/DCM. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{q}, J=7.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), $2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.10,161.40,160.34,153.91$, $131.64,126.29,125.71,125.01,123.90,122.30,118.62,111.32,63.55,59.90,14.01,13.30$, 12.74. HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 362.1392, found 362.1392.

## Ethyl 5-methyl-3-(10-phenoxyanthracen-9-yl)isoxazole-4-carboxylate (4b).

By the same procedure as that described for $\mathbf{4 a}$, from oxime $(0.2080 \mathrm{~g}, 0.6638 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform (20mL) was added $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ pyridine ( 1.33 mL from 5 M ) and NCS (1.15eq, 0.1023 g . The reaction was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hr under an argon atmosphere. Washed $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4$ x 15 mL Brine, $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried and taken onto next reaction. To a solution of the intermediate in absolute ethanol ( 15 mL ) was added 1.46 equivalents of ethyl acetoacetate ( 0.1260 g ) dissolved in 5 mL EtOH and sodium (1.22eq, 0.0186 g ) slowly. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature under argon for 1.5 hours until TLC in 4:1:1 $\mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{DCM}$ revealed all nitrile oxide had been consumed. Finally, the ethanol was removed via rotary evaporation and the solid dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, washed $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DI} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times$ 50 mL Brine, and the aqueous layer washed $1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, dried sodium sulfate, and
concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid was then chromatographed using dichloromethane until all desired product 4 was collected. Obtained (Yield from two steps $0.2177 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%) .(\mathrm{Rf}=0.42 \mathrm{DCM}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\right.$ Acetone- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 8.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H), 7.31 (t, J=7.65, 8.28, $15.94 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.05 (t, J=7.28, 7.40, $14.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.88 (d, J=8.03 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.15,14.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.03,7.15,14.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 177.62,161.86,161.11,160.91,147.86,132.60,130.89,127.66,127.01$, $126.88,125.26,123.23,123.08,122.14,116.08,112.15,60.72,13.57$. MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 236$ (22.37, $\mathrm{M}+$ ), 237(100, M+1), 238 ( $18.80, \mathrm{M}+2$ ). HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 424.1549 , found 424.1578 .

Ethyl 3-(10-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yloxy)anthracen-9-yl)-5-methylisoxazole-4-carboxylate (4c). By the same procedure as that described for $\mathbf{4 a}$, from oxime $(1.6234 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1685 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform ( 140 mL ) was added $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ pyridine $(8.33 \mathrm{~mL}$ from 5 M$)$ and NCS (1.2eq, 0.6914 g . The reaction was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 hr under an argon atmosphere. Washed $4 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $2 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried and taken onto next reaction. To a solution of the intermediate in absolute ethanol ( 100 mL ) was added 2.42 equivalents of ethyl acetoacetate ( 1.3 mL ) dissolved in 35 mL EtOH and sodium ( $2.15 \mathrm{eq}, 0.2060 \mathrm{~g}$ ) slowly. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature under argon for 17 hours until TLC in 4:1:1 $\mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{DCM}$ revealed all nitrile oxide had been consumed. Finally, the ethanol was removed via rotary evaporation and the solid dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, washed $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DI} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2$ x 100 mL Brine, and the aqueous layer washed $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, dried sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid was then chromatographed using 4:1 Hex/EtOAc until all desired product 4 was collected. Obtained ( $0.2177 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ). Obtained (Yield from two steps $1.3741 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%) .(\mathrm{Rf}=0.38$ 2:1 Hex/DCM $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.31,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.45(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.03,7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.18) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.41,161.50,160.23,159.64,147.14,140.48$, $135.10,131.86,128.72,128.46,126.87,126.78,126.62,125.82,125.76,124.34,122.62,120.41$, $115.60,111.43,60.18,13.46,12.97$. HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 500.1862 , found 500.1863 .

## Ethyl 5-methyl-3-(10-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)anthracen-9-yl)isoxazole-4-carboxylate (4d).

By the same procedure as that described for $\mathbf{4 a}$, from oxime $(0.2217 \mathrm{~g}, 0.6100 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform ( 20 mL ) was added $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ pyridine $(1.22 \mathrm{~mL}$ from 5 M$)$ and NCS (1.2eq, 0.1038 g . The reaction was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hr under an argon atmosphere. Washed $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3$ x 50 mL Brine, $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried and taken onto next reaction. To a solution of the intermediate in absolute ethanol ( 15 mL ) was added 2.2 equivalents of ethyl acetoacetate $(0.17 \mathrm{~mL})$ dissolved in 5 mL EtOH and sodium ( $2.1 \mathrm{eq}, 0.0295 \mathrm{~g}$ ) slowly. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature under argon for 1 hour until TLC in 4:1:1 Hex/EtOAc/DCM revealed all nitrile oxide had been consumed. Finally, the ethanol was removed via rotary evaporation and the solid dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, washed $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DI} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, and the aqueous layer washed $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, dried sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid was then chromatographed using dichloromethane until all desired product 4 was collected. Obtained (Yield from two steps $0.2411 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$. $(\mathrm{Rf}=0.41 \mathrm{DCM}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 8.92 (d, J=8.26 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, J=8.66 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, J=8.16 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (m, 3H), 7.69 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54,(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{J}=7.28$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.52(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.30,161.43,160.26$, $155.82,147.54,134.79,131.65,128.00,127.76,126.79,126.66,126.60,126.47,125.98,125.78$, $125.71,125.58,124.77,124.62,124.59,124.27,124.10,122.49,122.31,122.20,121.82,121.56$,
120.33, 111.41, 108.16, 107.77, 60.14, 13.42, 13.01. HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 474.1705 , found 474.1700 .

## Ethyl 5-methyl-3-(10-(naphthalen-2-yloxy)anthracen-9-yl)isoxazole-4-carboxylate (4e).

By the same procedure as that described for $\mathbf{4 a}$, from oxime $(2.3653 \mathrm{~g}, 6.509 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform $(220 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ pyridine $(6.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ from 5 M$)$ and $\mathrm{NCS}(1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 1.0752 \mathrm{~g}$. The reaction was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6.5 hr under an argon atmosphere. Washed $4 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried and taken onto next reaction. To a solution of the intermediate in absolute ethanol $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2.4 equivalents of ethyl acetoacetate $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ dissolved in 55 mL EtOH and sodium (2eq, 0.2993 g ) slowly. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature under argon for 15.5 hours until TLC in 4:1:1 Hex/EtOAc/DCM revealed all nitrile oxide had been consumed. Finally, the ethanol was removed via rotary evaporation and the solid dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, washed $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ DI $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, and the aqueous layer washed $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, dried sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid was then chromatographed using dichloromethane until all desired product $\mathbf{4}$ was collected. Obtained (Yield from two steps $2.605 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%) .\left(\mathrm{Rf}=0.334: 1: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.05$ (m, 2H), 7.72 (d, J=8.91 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (m, 1H), 7.58 (d, J=8.28 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (m, 8H), 6.68, (d, $\mathrm{J}=2.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.31,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.03,7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.18)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.4,161.5,160.3,158.0,147.2,134.3,134.1,131.7,130.1,129.5,127.7$, $127.2,126.9,126.7,126.6,126.6,126.2,125.8,125.8,124.3,124.2,122.6,120.4,117.6,111.5$, 109.7, 60.2, 13.4, 13.0. HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 474.1705, found 474.1705.

## General Procedure from ester to double tail.

Ester 8a-e ( 0.5 mmol ) was dissolved in THF ( 5 mL ). To the solution was then added methanol ( 5 mL ) and aqueous KOH ( 5 eq. in $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). The solution was then brought to reflux for three hours until completion of the reaction as indicated by TLC. The reaction was then cooled to room temperature and the organic solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The aqueous mixture was diluted with then diluted with water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and acidified to pH 2 with 1 M HCl . The resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with water ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solid was then dissolved in ethyl acetate and dried over sodium sulfate. The solid was removed by filtration and the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to yield the carboxylic acid.

To the carboxylic acid was added excess thionyl chloride ( 10 mL ). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 18 hr under a drying tube equipped with CaCl 2 and NaOH . The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The chlorinating agent was chased with chloroform and hexanes to yield to acid chloride.

The acid chloride was dissolved in dry methylene chloride $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and to the solution was added triethyl amine (2 eq.). To a stirring solution of the acid chloride, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was slowly added the amino pyrrole 7 in methylene chloride ( 5 mL ). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring for 24 hr . The reaction mixture was diluted with chloroform ( 40 mL ) and washed with water ( 20 mL ). The aqueous fraction was then extracted with methylene chloride ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic fractions were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated. The product was then purified by column chromatography eluting $10 \%$ ammonium hydroxide in methanol.

## N -(5-(bis(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)carbamoyl)-1-methyl-1 H-pyrrol-3-yl)-3-(10-

 methoxyanthracen-9-yl)-5-methylisoxazole-4-carboxamide, 8a.Yield from two steps $62 \%$. 1 H NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}$ anthracene- H ), 7.72 (d, 2H, J= 8.78 Hz , anthracene-H), 7.54 (m, 4H, anthracene-H), 6.59 (s, 1H, pyrrole-H), 6.49 (s, 1H, pyrrole-H), 5.06 (s, 1H, amide-H), 4.24 (s, 3H, methoxy), 3.45 (s, 3H, pyrrole methyl), 3.30 (bs, 4H, double tail), 3.01 (s, 3H, isoxazole methyl), 2.18 (bs, 16H, double tail), 1.63 (bs, 4H, double tail). 13C NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.03,163.55$, 157.70, 157.41, 155.42, 131.90, 127.94, 125.92, 125.22, 124.27, 123.96, 122.77, 119.85, 116.05, 115.69, 112.80, 101.89, 63.72, 56.75, 45.32, 35.15, 13.62. HRMS (EI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 625.3502, found 625.3215 .

N -(5-(bis(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)carbamoyl)-1-methyl-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)-5-methyl-3-(10-phenoxyanthracen-9-yl)isoxazole-4-carboxamide, 8b.

Yield from two steps $86 \%$. 1 H NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}$ anthracene-H), 7.77 (d, 2H, J= 8.41 Hz , anthracene-H), 7.52 (m, 4H, anthracene-H), 7.25 (d, 2H, $\mathrm{J}=8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aryl-H), $7.04(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.28,14.56 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aryl-H), $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.16 \mathrm{~Hz}$, arylH), $6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole-H), $6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole-H), $5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, amide-H), $3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole methyl), 3.30 (bs, 4H, double tail), 3.03 (s, 3H, isoxazole methyl), 2.15 (bs, 16H, double tail), 1.61 (bs, 4H, double tail). 13C NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.11,163.55,159.88$, 157.62, 157.27, 148.64, 131.79, 129.94, 128.14, 126.55, 125.15, 124.66, 124.07, 123.10, 122.32, 119.90, 117.80, 115.67, 115.24, 112.93, 101.82, 56.75, 45.28, 35.21, 35.21, 13.62. HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 687.3659, found 687.3629.

3-(10-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yloxy)anthracen-9-yl)-N-(5-(bis(3-
(dimethylamino)propyl)carbamoyl)-1-methyl-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)-5-methylisoxazole-4carboxamide, 8 c .

Yield from two steps $73 \%$. 1 H NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.27$ (bd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.91 \mathrm{~Hz}$ anthracene- H ), 7.78 (bd, 2H, J= 7.91 Hz , anthracene-H), 7.52 (m, 8H, anthracene-H, aryl-H), 7.41 (t, 2H, J=7.53, 15.18 Hz, aryl-H), 7.30 (t, 1H, J=7.40, 14.68 Hz , aryl-H), 6.91 (d, 2H, J=8.53 Hz , aryl-H), $6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole-H), 6.53 (s, 1H, pyrrole-H), 5.12 (s, 1H, amide-H), 3.47 (s, 3H, pyrrole methyl), 3.26 (t, 4H, J=7.28, 14.56, double tail), 3.03 (s, 3H, isoxazole methyl), 2.15 (bs, 16H, double tail), 1.59 (bs, 4H, double tail). 13C NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 176.04, 163.51, 159.40, 157.56, 157.25, 148.54, 140.16, 135.42, 131.75, 128.74, 128.53, 128.14, 126.96, 126.73, 126.60, 125.14, 124.60, 124.04, 123.02, 119.88, $117.89,115.65,115.50,112.92,101.74,56.65,45.16,35.18,13.58$. HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 763.3948, found 763.3972 .

N-(5-(bis(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)carbamoyl)-1-methyl-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)-5-methyl-3-(10-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)anthracen-9-yl)isoxazole-4-carboxamide, 8d.

Yield from two steps $48 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=18.51,8.72 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 3.50 (m, 3 H), 3.36 (br. s., 4 H), 3.06 (m, 4 H), 2.18 (br. s., 16 H), 1.68 (br. s., 4 H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.21,163.64,158.75,157.10,157.27,157.19,140.09,148.52,147.95$, 134.88, 132.93, 131.82, 130.89, 128.57, 128.25, 128.19, 127.89, 127.03, 126.84, 126.63, 126.17, 125.64, 125.36, 125.25, 125.17, 124.78, 124.63, 124.45, 124.18, 122.97, 122.70, 122.47, 122.14, 121.73, 119.79, 118.68, 115.89, 112.99, 108.28, 107.60, 107.19, 102.04, 60.95, 56.79, 45.32,
35.20, 15.52, 13.65. HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 737.3815, found 737.3833.

N -(5-(bis(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)carbamoyl)-1-methyl-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)-5-methyl-3-(10-(naphthalen-2-yloxy)anthracen-9-yl)isoxazole-4-carboxamide, 8e.

Yield from two steps $42 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ H), $7.31-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.42-3.58(m, 3 H ), 3.29 (br. s., 4 H ), 3.04 (s, 3 H ), 2.00-2.34 (m, 16 H ), 1.58 (br. s., 4 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CHLOROFORM- $d$ ) $\delta$ ppm 176.08, 163.52, 163.43, 157.76, 157.61, 157.53, $157.24,157.14,152.59,148.58,148.43,134.17,131.90,131.80,131.67,130.25,130.18,129.53$, $128.23,128.13,127.90,127.57,126.95,126.87,126.79,126.55,125.12,124.57,124.54,124.42$, $124.10,123.64,123.03,122.68,119.82,119.76,117.89,117.24,115.68,112.98,112.93,109.69$, 101.93, 56.69, 45.22, 35.20, 35.15, 13.58. HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ $(\mathrm{M}+1)$ requires 737.3815 , found 737.3809 .

## Results and Discussion.

These novel compounds were purified and characterized by EI-MS and a sequence of NMR techniques, such as: $1 \mathrm{H}, 13 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{H}$ COSY, HSQC and HMBC. Of the ID-dansyl analogs that were examined by NMR, all were found to display more signals than expected, even after careful chromatography, which we rationalized by their ability to adopt multiple conformations (Fig SM-1).
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## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
29 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-36 $\quad \mathrm{H}: 0-45 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 0-6 \quad \mathrm{O}: 0-4$
2ND-80-2
2ND-80-HR2 $466(4.679) \quad 1:$ TOF MS ES+
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## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{FIT}=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
26 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-41 $\quad \mathrm{H}: 0-47 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 0-6 \quad \mathrm{O}: 0-4$
3ND33hr
3ND33hr 537 (5.393) $1:$ TOF MS ES+
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## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
24 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-47 H: 0-5
N: 0-6
O: 0-4

3ND133 HRMS


| Minimum: |  |  |  | $-1.5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum: |  | 5.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass | Calc. Mass | mDa | PPM | DBE | i-FIT | i-FIT (Norm) | Form | la |  |  |
| 763.3948 | 763.3972 | -2.4 | $-3.1$ | 25.5 | 156.4 | 0.0 | C47 | H5 1 | N6 | O4 |
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## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
26 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-45 $\quad \mathrm{H}: 0-49 \quad$ N: 0-6 $\quad \mathrm{O}: 0-4$
3ND140
3ND140 637 (6.394)



## Elemental Composition Report
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## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
26 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C: 0-45 } & \mathrm{H}: 0-49 & \mathrm{~N}: 0-6 & \mathrm{O}: 0-4\end{array}$

## 3ND127

3ND127 $536(5.380) \quad 1:$ TOF MS ES+



Computer programs:
SAINT V8.27B (Bruker AXS Inc., 2012), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008).

Computing details
Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2012); cell refinement: APEX2 (Bruker, 2012); data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 2012); program(s) used to solve structure: $\underline{\text { SHELXS }}$ (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: Olex2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: Olex2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

| Crystal data |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{22} \underline{\mathrm{H}_{19}} \underline{\mathrm{NO}_{4}}$ | $\underline{?}$ |
| $M_{r}=\underline{361.38}$ | $D_{\mathrm{x}}=\underline{1.328} \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| $\underline{\text { Orthorhombic, } \underline{P b c a}}$ | Melting point: $\underline{?} \mathrm{~K}$ |
| Hall symbol: $\underline{?}$ | $\underline{\text { Mo Ka radiation, } \lambda=\underline{0.71073}} \AA$ |
| $a=\underline{7.9091(3)} \AA$ | Cell parameters from $\underline{9912}$ reflections |
| $b=\underline{16.5970(7)} \AA$ | $\theta=\underline{2.6} \underline{27.4^{\circ}}$ |
| $c=\underline{27.5365(12)} \AA$ | $\mu=\underline{0.09} \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $V=\underline{3614.6(3)} \AA^{3}$ | $T=\underline{100} \mathrm{~K}$ |


| $Z=\underline{8}$ | $\underline{\text { Prism }}, \underline{\text { yellow }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $F(000)=\underline{1520}$ | $\underline{0.29} \times \underline{0.28} \times \underline{0.21} \mathrm{~mm}$ |

## Data collection

| $\underline{B r u k e r ~ S M A R T ~ B R E E Z E ~ C C D ~}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| diffractometer | $\underline{4109}$ independent reflections |
| Radiation source: $\underline{2 \mathrm{~kW} \text { sealed X-ray tube }}$ | $\underline{2911}$ reflections with $\underline{I>2 \sigma(I)}$ |
| $\underline{?}$ monochromator | $R_{\mathrm{int}}=\underline{0.042}$ |
| Detector resolution: $\underline{?}$ pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | $\theta_{\max }=\underline{27.4^{\circ}}, \theta_{\min }=\underline{2.5^{\circ}}$ |
| $\underline{\varphi \text { and } \omega \text { scans }}$ | $h=\underline{-10} \underline{10}$ |
| Absorption correction: $\underline{\text { multi-scan }}$ | $k=\underline{-21} \underline{20}$ |
| $\underline{S A D A B S-2012 / 1(B r u k e r, ~ 2012)}$ | $l=\underline{-35} \underline{35}$ |
| $T_{\min }=\underline{0.912}, T_{\max }=\underline{1.000}$ |  |
| $\underline{24975}$ |  |

## Refinement

Refinement on $\underline{F^{2}}$

Least-squares matrix: full

Secondary atom site location: ?

Hydrogen site location: inferred from

|  | neighbouring sites |
| :---: | :---: |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=\underline{0.045}$ | $\underline{\text { H-atom parameters constrained }}$ |
| $w R\left(F^{2}\right)=\underline{0.112}$ | $w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0453 P)^{2}+1.5524 P\right]$ <br> where $P=\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$ |
| $S=\underline{1.03}$ | $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=\underline{0.001}$ |
| $\underline{4109}$ reflections | $\Delta \rho_{\max }=\underline{0.29} \mathrm{e}^{\AA} \AA^{-3}$ |
| $\underline{247}$ parameters | $\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=\underline{-0.29} \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$ |
| $\underline{0}$ restraints | Extinction correction: none |
| $\underline{?}$ constraints | Extinction coefficient: ? |
| Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods |  |

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $X$ | $y$ | $Z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $-0.35989(14)$ | $0.96574(7)$ | $0.03187(4)$ | $0.0244(3)$ |
| O2 | $0.08525(15)$ | $0.86256(8)$ | $-0.02913(4)$ | $0.0326(3)$ |
| O3 | $0.15087(14)$ | $0.85246(7)$ | $0.04993(4)$ | $0.0221(3)$ |
| O4 | $0.15548(14)$ | $0.81274(7)$ | $0.25306(4)$ | $0.0268(3)$ |


| N1 | -0.31613 (18) | 0.95133 (8) | 0.08136 (5) | 0.0242 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | 0.1407 (2) | 0.97991 (11) | 0.23304 (6) | 0.0262 (4) |
| H1 | 0.1984 | 0.9627 | 0.2615 | 0.031* |
| C2 | 0.1298 (2) | 1.05948 (11) | 0.22282 (6) | 0.0314 (4) |
| H2 | 0.1805 | 1.0977 | 0.2440 | 0.038* |
| C3 | 0.0434 (2) | 1.08603 (11) | 0.18080 (7) | 0.0312 (4) |
| H3 | 0.0354 | 1.1421 | 0.1743 | 0.037* |
| C4 | -0.0282 (2) | 1.03283 (10) | 0.14967 (6) | 0.0248 (4) |
| H4 | -0.0854 | 1.0522 | 0.1217 | 0.030* |
| C5 | -0.13449 (19) | 0.74685 (9) | 0.10343 (6) | 0.0195 (4) |
| H5 | -0.1910 | 0.7627 | 0.0745 | 0.023* |
| C6 | -0.1161 (2) | 0.66719 (10) | 0.11336 (6) | 0.0237 (4) |
| H6 | -0.1590 | 0.6282 | 0.0913 | 0.028* |
| C7 | -0.0337 (2) | 0.64178 (10) | 0.15626 (6) | 0.0277 (4) |
| H7 | -0.0208 | 0.5859 | 0.1627 | 0.033* |
| C8 | 0.0271 (2) | 0.69681 (10) | 0.18821 (6) | 0.0250 (4) |
| H8 | 0.0809 | 0.6790 | 0.2171 | 0.030* |


| C9 | 0.0755 (2) | 0.83883 (10) | 0.21113 (6) | 0.0211 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C10 | -0.08595 (19) | 0.89041 (9) | 0.12594 (5) | 0.0172 (3) |
| C11 | -0.01913 (19) | 0.94783 (10) | 0.15827 (6) | 0.0193 (4) |
| C12 | 0.0659 (2) | 0.92129 (10) | 0.20144 (6) | 0.0206 (4) |
| C13 | 0.01135 (19) | 0.78115 (10) | 0.17903 (6) | 0.0190 (4) |
| C14 | -0.07079 (19) | 0.80738 (9) | 0.13549 (5) | 0.0170 (3) |
| C15 | -0.1677 (2) | 0.91653 (9) | 0.08000 (6) | 0.0181 (3) |
| C16 | -0.1090 (2) | 0.90742 (9) | 0.03098 (6) | 0.0177 (3) |
| C17 | -0.2353 (2) | 0.93860 (9) | 0.00304 (6) | 0.0201 (4) |
| C18 | -0.2625 (2) | 0.94596 (11) | -0.05010 6) | 0.0263 (4) |
| H18A | -0.3440 | 0.9051 | -0.0607 | 0.039* |
| H18B | -0.1549 | 0.9379 | -0.0671 | 0.039* |
| H18C | -0.3066 | 0.9997 | -0.0576 | 0.039* |
| C19 | 0.0495 (2) | 0.87249 (9) | 0.01309 (6) | 0.0193 (4) |
| C20 | 0.3063 (2) | 0.80968 (11) | 0.03884 (6) | 0.0269 (4) |
| H20A | 0.3835 | 0.8442 | 0.0197 | 0.032* |
| H20B | 0.2820 | 0.7602 | 0.0200 | 0.032* |


| C21 | $0.3843(2)$ | $0.78877(12)$ | $0.08684(7)$ | $0.0346(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H21A | 0.4879 | 0.7576 | 0.0815 | $0.052^{*}$ |
| H21B | 0.3043 | 0.7566 | 0.1059 | $0.052^{*}$ |
| H21C | 0.4115 | 0.8384 | 0.1045 | $0.052^{*}$ |
| C22 | $0.0470(2)$ | $0.81194(12)$ | $0.29442(6)$ | $0.0304(4)$ |
| H22A | -0.0540 | 0.7799 | 0.2872 | $0.046^{*}$ |
| H22B | 0.0136 | 0.8672 | 0.3024 | $0.046^{*}$ |
| H22C | 0.1069 | 0.7881 | 0.3221 | $0.046^{*}$ |
| H |  |  |  |  |

## Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $0.0228(6)$ | $0.0306(7)$ | $0.0198(6)$ | $0.0084(5)$ | $-0.0030(5)$ | $0.0022(5)$ |
| O2 | $0.0289(7)$ | $0.0516(9)$ | $0.0172(6)$ | $0.0090(6)$ | $0.0026(5)$ | $-0.0030(6)$ |
| O3 | $0.0176(6)$ | $0.0305(7)$ | $0.0183(6)$ | $0.0067(5)$ | $0.0008(5)$ | $-0.0013(5)$ |
| O4 | $0.0182(6)$ | $0.0434(8)$ | $0.0187(6)$ | $0.0029(5)$ | $-0.0031(5)$ | $0.0038(5)$ |
| N1 | $0.0247(8)$ | $0.0309(8)$ | $0.0170(7)$ | $0.0068(6)$ | $-0.0025(6)$ | $0.0020(6)$ |
| C1 | $0.0219(9)$ | $0.0393(11)$ | $0.0174(8)$ | $-0.0058(8)$ | $0.0024(7)$ | $-0.0040(8)$ |
| (8) (8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| C2 | 0.0328 (10) | 0.0360 (11) | 0.0255 (10) | -0.0112 (8) | 0.0061 (8) | -0.0117 (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C3 | 0.0398 (11) | 0.0235 (10) | 0.0302 (10) | -0.0033 (8) | 0.0077 (8) | -0.0041 (8) |
| C4 | 0.0272 (9) | 0.0244 (9) | 0.0227 (9) | 0.0018 (7) | 0.0044 (7) | -0.0022 (7) |
| C5 | 0.0186 (8) | 0.0241 (9) | 0.0160 (8) | 0.0008 (7) | 0.0013 (6) | 0.0015 (7) |
| C6 | 0.0248 (9) | 0.0232 (9) | 0.0233 (9) | -0.0022 (7) | 0.0035 (7) | -0.0017 (7) |
| C7 | 0.0343 (10) | 0.0207 (9) | 0.0282 (10) | 0.0049 (8) | 0.0056 (8) | 0.0048 (8) |
| C8 | 0.0254 (9) | 0.0284 (10) | 0.0213 (9) | 0.0066 (8) | 0.0024 (7) | 0.0074 (7) |
| C9 | 0.0133 (8) | 0.0350 (10) | 0.0150 (8) | 0.0034 (7) | 0.0012 (6) | 0.0031 (7) |
| C10 | 0.0146 (8) | 0.0225 (9) | 0.0147 (8) | 0.0035 (7) | 0.0022 (6) | -0.0003 (6) |
| C11 | 0.0157 (8) | 0.0247 (9) | 0.0174 (8) | 0.0018 (7) | 0.0053 (6) | -0.0017 (7) |
| C12 | 0.0154 (8) | 0.0289 (9) | 0.0176 (8) | -0.0016 (7) | 0.0030 (6) | -0.0019 (7) |
| C13 | 0.0145 (8) | 0.0255 (9) | 0.0170 (8) | 0.0026 (7) | 0.0038 (6) | 0.0023 (7) |
| C14 | 0.0129 (8) | 0.0237 (9) | 0.0143 (8) | 0.0016 (6) | 0.0031 (6) | 0.0008 (6) |
| C15 | 0.0178 (8) | 0.0171 (8) | 0.0194 (8) | 0.0009 (6) | -0.0007 (6) | -0.0001 (6) |
| C16 | 0.0199 (8) | 0.0167 (8) | 0.0165 (8) | -0.0003 (6) | -0.0010 (7) | 0.0001 (6) |
| C17 | 0.0214 (8) | 0.0173 (8) | 0.0215 (8) | -0.0021 (7) | 0.0002 (7) | 0.0003 (7) |
| C18 | 0.0285 (10) | 0.0305 (10) | 0.0199 (9) | 0.0001 (8) | -0.0054 (7) | 0.0034 (7) |


| C19 | $0.0205(8)$ | $0.0203(9)$ | $0.0171(8)$ | $-0.0021(7)$ | $-0.0007(7)$ | $-0.0006(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C20 | $0.0184(9)$ | $0.0339(10)$ | $0.0284(9)$ | $0.0070(8)$ | $0.0043(7)$ | $-0.0024(8)$ |
| C21 | $0.0247(10)$ | $0.0470(12)$ | $0.0322(10)$ | $0.0123(9)$ | $-0.0033(8)$ | $-0.0035(9)$ |
| C22 | $0.0280(10)$ | $0.0439(11)$ | $0.0194(9)$ | $-0.0033(9)$ | $-0.0035(8)$ | $0.0066(8)$ |

Geometric parameters $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 1.4262 (17) | C8-C13 | 1.428 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 17$ | 1.3433 (19) | C9-C12 | 1.396 (2) |
| O2-C19 | 1.2075 (18) | C9-C13 | 1.398 (2) |
| O3-C19 | 1.3348 (18) | C10-C11 | 1.407 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 20$ | 1.4523 (19) | C10-C14 | 1.408 (2) |
| O4-C9 | 1.3860 (19) | C10-C15 | 1.485 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 22$ | 1.426 (2) | C11-C12 | 1.435 (2) |
| N1-C15 | 1.309 (2) | C13-C14 | 1.431 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 0.9500 | C15-C16 | 1.436 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 1.353 (3) | C16-C17 | 1.363 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 12$ | 1.433 (2) | C16-C19 | 1.467 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 0.9500 | C17-C18 | 1.484 (2) |


| C2-C3 | 1.414 (3) | C18-H18A | 0.9800 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C3-H3 | 0.9500 | C18-H18B | 0.9800 |
| C3-C4 | 1.354 (2) | C18-H18C | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 0.9500 | C20-H20A | 0.9900 |
| C4-C11 | 1.432 (2) | C20-H20B | 0.9900 |
| C5-H5 | 0.9500 | C20-C21 | 1.499 (2) |
| C5-C6 | 1.358 (2) | C21-H21A | 0.9800 |
| C5-C14 | 1.429 (2) | C21-H21B | 0.9800 |
| C6-H6 | 0.9500 | C21-H21C | 0.9800 |
| C6-C7 | 1.414 (2) | C22-H22A | 0.9800 |
| C7-H7 | 0.9500 | C22-H22B | 0.9800 |
| C7-C8 | 1.356 (2) | C22-H22C | 0.9800 |
| C8-H8 | 0.9500 |  |  |
| C17-O1-N1 | 109.30 (11) | C9-C13-C8 | 121.85 (15) |
| C19-O3-C20 | 118.06 (12) | C9-C13-C14 | 119.07 (14) |
| C9-O4-C22 | 113.18 (12) | C5-C14-C13 | 117.62 (14) |
| C15-N1-O1 | 105.33 (12) | C10-C14-C5 | 122.86 (14) |


| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 119.7 | C10-C14-C13 | 119.51 (14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 12$ | 120.68 (16) | N1-C15-C10 | 119.67 (14) |
| C12- $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 119.7 | N1-C15-C16 | 111.30 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 119.8 | C16-C15-C10 | 129.01 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 120.32 (16) | C15-C16-C19 | 129.34 (14) |
| C3-C2-H2 | 119.8 | C17-C16-C15 | 104.71 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 119.4 | C17-C16-C19 | 125.94 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 121.11 (17) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 16$ | 109.35 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 119.4 | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 18$ | 116.66 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 119.4 | C16-C17-C18 | 133.96 (15) |
| C3-C4-C11 | 121.10 (17) | C17-C18-H18A | 109.5 |
| C11-C4-H4 | 119.4 | C17-C18-H18B | 109.5 |
| C6-C5-H5 | 119.3 | C17-C18-H18C | 109.5 |
| C6-C5-C14 | 121.49 (15) | H18A-C18-H18B | 109.5 |
| C14-C5-H5 | 119.3 | H18A-C18-H18C | 109.5 |
| C5-C6-H6 | 119.7 | H18B-C18-H18C | 109.5 |
| C5-C6-C7 | 120.53 (16) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 123.86 (15) |


| C7-C6-H6 | 119.7 | O2-C19-C16 | 125.26 (15) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C6-C7-H7 | 119.8 | O3-C19-C16 | 110.88 (13) |
| C8-C7-C6 | 120.30 (16) | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{H} 20 \mathrm{~A}$ | 110.5 |
| C8- $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{H} 7$ | 119.8 | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{H} 20 \mathrm{~B}$ | 110.5 |
| C7-C8-H8 | 119.5 | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{C} 21$ | 106.02 (13) |
| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 13$ | 120.97 (15) | H20A-C20-H20B | 108.7 |
| C13-C8-H8 | 119.5 | $\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{H} 20 \mathrm{~A}$ | 110.5 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 12$ | 119.34 (15) | C21-C20-H20B | 110.5 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 13$ | 118.58 (15) | $\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{H} 21 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.5 |
| C12-C9-C13 | 122.05 (15) | C20-C21-H21B | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 14$ | 120.85 (14) | C20-C21-H21C | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 15$ | 120.31 (14) | H21A-C21-H21B | 109.5 |
| C14-C10-C15 | 118.80 (14) | H21A-C21-H21C | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12$ | 117.56 (15) | H21B-C21-H21C | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 122.94 (15) | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{H} 22 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12$ | 119.48 (14) | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{H} 22 \mathrm{~B}$ | 109.5 |
| C1-C12-C11 | 119.21 (15) | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{H} 22 \mathrm{C}$ | 109.5 |


| C9-C12-C1 | 121.82 (15) | H22A-C22-H22B | 109.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C9-C12-C11 | 118.97 (15) | H22A-C22-H22C | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 14$ | 119.07 (15) | $\mathrm{H} 22 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{H} 22 \mathrm{C}$ | 109.5 |
| O1-N1-C15-C10 | -177.98(13) | $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 5$ | -179.10 (14) |
| O1-N1-C15-C16 | 0.40 (17) | C11-C10-C14-C13 | 1.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 1.7 (2) | $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{N} 1$ | -72.2 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 11$ | -179.18 (13) | C11-C10-C15-C16 | 109.79 (19) |
| O4-C9-C13-C8 | -0.3 (2) | C12-C1-C2-C3 | 0.4 (3) |
| O4-C9-C13-C14 | -179.69 (13) | C12-C9-C13-C8 | 177.59 (15) |
| N1-O1-C17-C16 | -0.65 (17) | C12-C9-C13-C14 | -1.8(2) |
| N1-O1-C17-C18 | 177.80 (13) | C13-C9-C12-C1 | -176.22 (15) |
| N1-C15-C16-C17 | -0.79 (18) | C13-C9-C12-C11 | 2.9 (2) |
| N1-C15-C16-C19 | 179.49 (15) | C14-C5-C6-C7 | 0.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | -0.8(3) | $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 178.65 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 9$ | 179.94 (16) | C14-C10-C11-C12 | 0.2 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 11$ | 0.8 (2) | C14-C10-C15-N1 | 110.33 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11$ | 0.1 (3) | C14-C10-C15-C16 | -67.7 (2) |


| C3-C4-C11-C10 | -177.46 (15) | C15-C10-C11-C4 | 1.2 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12$ | 1.1 (2) | C15-C10-C11-C12 | -177.29 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 1$ | -1.5 (2) | C15-C10-C14-C5 | -1.6 (2) |
| C4- $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 9$ | 179.36 (15) | C15-C10-C14-C13 | 178.48 (13) |
| C5-C6-C7-C8 | 0.4 (3) | C15-C16-C17-O1 | 0.85 (17) |
| C6-C5-C14-C10 | 179.19 (15) | C15-C16-C17-C18 | -177.22 (17) |
| C6-C5-C14-C13 | -0.9 (2) | C15-C16-C19-O2 | 175.21 (16) |
| C6-C7-C8-C13 | -0.8 (3) | C15-C16-C19-O3 | -4.6 (2) |
| C7-C8-C13-C9 | -179.00 (15) | C17-O1-N1-C15 | 0.15 (16) |
| C7-C8-C13-C14 | 0.4 (2) | C17-C16-C19-O2 | -4.4 (3) |
| C8-C13-C14-C5 | 0.5 (2) | C17-C16-C19-O3 | 175.79 (15) |
| C8-C13-C14-C10 | -179.59 (14) | C19-O3-C20-C21 | -174.81 (14) |
| C9-C13-C14-C5 | 179.86 (14) | C19-C16-C17-O1 | -179.42 (14) |
| C9-C13-C14-C10 | -0.2 (2) | C19-C16-C17-C18 | 2.5 (3) |
| C10-C11-C12-C1 | 177.07 (14) | $\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{O} 2$ | -5.8(2) |
| C10-C11-C12-C9 | -2.1(2) | C20-O3-C19-C16 | 174.02 (13) |
| C10-C15-C16-C17 | 177.39 (15) | C22-O4-C9-C12 | 85.45 (18) |


| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 19$ | $-2.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $-96.56(17)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Hydrogen-bond geometry $\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 18 — \mathrm{H} 18 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.98 | 2.50 | $3.133(2)$ | 122 |
| C21—H21A $\cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.98 | 2.58 | $3.371(2)$ | 138 |

Symmetry code: (i) $x+1 / 2,-y+3 / 2,-z$.

All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.
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## Chapter 4

## 3-Aryl isoxazoles exhibit atropisomerism

### 4.1 Introduction

3-Aryl isoxazole amides (AIMs) exhibit robust anticancer activity in the in vitro NCI Developmental Therapeutics Program's 60 cell line protocol (NCI 60), comparable to several agents currently in general medical practice (such as bleomycin and rubidazone) ${ }^{1}$. It is hypothesized that unsymmetrical AIMs should exhibit enantioselectivity of action at our putative target, G-Quadruplex (G4) DNA. Calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory indicated that the barrier to rotation in many examples should be consistent with isolable atropoisomers, that is, in the range of $23.2-25.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mole}^{2}$. Nitrile oxide cycloaddition using unsymmetrical naphthyl-nitrile oxides gave isoxazoles in modest to good yields. Reaction of acid chlorides with (S)-2-butyl amine gives diastereomeric 3-aryl isoxazolyl amides which were studied by dynamic NMR. The barrier to rotation about the chiral axis in $\mathbf{8 g}$ was determined by line-shape analysis to be approximately $18.4 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mole.

### 4.2 Atropisomerism

Chapter 3 examined structure-activity relationship (SAR) of AIMs, although the plausibility of restricted rotation at the aryl-isoxazole ring juncture has not yet been examined. Atropisomerism or axial chirality is non-superimposability of an organic compound about a hindered axis. These molecules should result in isolable enantiomers should they meet the socalled Oki criteria of having a barrier of $22.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and a half-life of approximately $1000 \mathrm{~s}(16.7 \mathrm{~min}){ }^{2}$


Figure 4-1. Transition state for compound $\mathbf{8 g}$.
We tested the plausibility of axially chiral isoxazoles employing calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory. The results on number of examples are summarized in Table 4-

1. ${ }^{3,4}$ The structure was rotated through increments of $10^{\circ}$, and again minimized. A transition is shown in Figure 4-1, where the isoxazolyl 4-moiety must move out of conjugation with the isoxazole in order to pass by the peri-proton, and represents the usual saddle point significant deformation at the aromatic ring and lengthening of the isoxazole-3-aryl single bond is observed. A compensating factor, however, is that the isoxazole at this juncture moves into conjugation with the 3-aryl functionality. Substituents were varied at the C-4 position (esters 5, amides $\mathbf{8}$ ) and C-5 (2-methyl and 2-methoxy naphthyl, 1- and 2,10-dimethoxy-anthracenyl), and we observed
that were in the range ( 23.2 to $25.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$ ) where the isolation of rotomers would be expected to indeed be possible.

### 4.3 Dynamic NMR

If a molecule exists in two interconvertible conformations, both approximately equally populated, it may show, depending on the frequency of interconversion, either the nuclear magnetic resonance spectra corresponding to the individual conformations or an average spectrum of confirmations $A$ and $B$. If one has two conformations $A$ and $B$ in equilibrium in a substance and one heats the substance until a given pair of resonance lines due to $A$ and $B$ just coalesces (or if, originally, there was only one set of lines, if one cools the substance until the resonance lines just begin to split), this temperature is called the coalescence temperature $T_{c}{ }^{2}{ }^{2,5}$ Molecules are in constant motion, and the different conformations which are interconverted by bond rotations and other molecular gymnastics often have different NMR spectra. Variabletemperature NMR, often referred to as dynamic NMR (DNMR), can be used to study the kinetics of these exchanges. ${ }^{5}$

The energy of activations, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}$, for a simple reaction such as the rotation of amides can be accessed from the Arrhenius equation for the reaction rate, k .

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=A^{-\frac{\mathrm{EA}}{R T}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If in equation $1, \mathrm{R}$ is a gas constant, T the absolute temperature and A roughly corresponds to the fraction of species that reaches the transition state and successfully passes over the product side of the reaction. A is usually referred as the pre-exponential term and represents the frequency of collisions between reactant molecule, is called a 'constant' in spite of the fact that it does vary a little with T .

A different approach gives the Eyring equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{c}=K \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{h}^{-\Delta \mathrm{G} \nexists / \mathrm{RT}}  \tag{2}\\
\text { or } \Delta G \neq=R T\left(\ln \frac{k_{B}}{h}+\ln T-\ln k_{c}\right) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

In this, k is the rate constant, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the Boltzmann constant, h is the Planck constant and $\Delta \mathrm{G} \ddagger$ is the free energy of activation $(\Delta \mathrm{G} \ddagger=\Delta \mathrm{H} \ddagger-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S} \ddagger)$. The kinetic constant ( $k$ value) is obtained at each given temperature and the free energy of activation ( $\Delta G \neq$ ) can then be derived by means of Equation (3), above giving the activation energy to reach the transition state. ${ }^{5}$

The 'constant' K is analogous to the 'constant' A in the Arrhenius equation and is likewise subject to variation in non-simple reactions: it relates the 'reaction success rate'. Provided the transition state can easily transfer energy to the surroundings (and this is commonly true in large molecules), the K is near unity. For a mutual exchange or mutual site exchange (in which the exchange produces indistinguishable molecules) and for first-order cases, H.S. Gutowsky showed that the rate of rotation, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{c}}$, at the temperature of coalescence, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{c}}=\pi \Delta \mathrm{v} / \sqrt{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lifetime, $t_{c}$, of the separate isomers at the point of coalescence is the reciprocal of the rate, $1 / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{c}}$.

Using these equations allows $\Delta G \neq$ values between about 4.5 and about $23 \mathrm{kcalmol}^{-1}$ to be determined. The temperature ranges over which $k$ values can be accurately measured by DNMR technique are usually quite small. A number of books and reviews have previously been devoted to describing the applications of DNMR in conformational analysis ${ }^{2,5,6}$. An example of a variable temperature NMR is shown in Figure 4-2 for compound 9 showing the coalescence point at about $43^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Table 4-2 shows the experimental DNMR calculations for the set of compounds, which are still in progress and will be reported in due course.

### 4.4 Bond Rotation

The discovery of bioactive natural products containing chiral axes, as well as, catalysts changed the concept of axial chirality in rotationally hindered systems. The most popular systems used for asymmetric synthesis contain the binaphthyl scaffold, such as BINAP or BINOL $^{5}{ }^{7}$. The putative diastereomers of the 3-aryl isoxazoles were expected to show nonequivalence in the NMR if the barrier to rotation was sufficiently high to provide for slow exchange of the atropoisomers at any temperature. The 3-(2-methoxyphenyl) derivative 2 indicated no observed non-equivalence, even at reduced temperature in the NMR. This is in reasonable agreement with a calculated low barrier to rotation. The 3-(2-methoxy-naphthlyl) 8 isoxazole indicated broadening of several signals at room temperature.

Examination of the 3-(2-methylnaphthyl) analog 7 showed clear non-equivalence in both deuterochloroform and DMSO. Variable temperature NMR indicated gradual broadening of the signals, and coalescence at about $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Because the signals being examined were coupled, line shape analysis was used to ascertain the experimental rotational barrier, which was found to be approximately $18 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mole. This barrier amply demonstrates the plausibility of axial chirality in this system. The discrepancy between the experimental and theoretical barriers could be from an underestimation of the energy necessary for aromatic ring deformation or bond lengthening along the isoxazole-3-aryl axis at the saddle point, or overestimation of the energy gained from conjugation of the isoxazole and naphthalene ring, since the peri-proton of the latter provides an apparent encumbrance to full conjugation between these rings. Clearly, while further computational and experimental studies are warranted, atropoisomeric isoxazoles can indeed exist and potentially exist long enough allowing to isolate and analytical separation if the halflife of the interconversion is $\sim 1000 \mathrm{~s}(16.7 \mathrm{~min})$ or longer. ${ }^{2,7}$


8a. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
8b. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
8c. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
8d. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl}$
8e. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$


8f. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}$
8g. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
8h. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$
8i. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$


9a. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$
9b. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Cl}$
9c. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$

| Compound | $\mathrm{D} H^{\ddagger}($ Expt $)$ | $\mathrm{D} S^{\ddagger}($ Expt $)$ | $\mathrm{D} G^{\ddagger}($ Expt $)$ | $\mathrm{D} H^{\ddagger}($ Calc $)$ | $\mathrm{D} G^{\ddagger}($ Calc) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 a}$ | submitted |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 b}$ | -4.1 |  | $-6.16^{*}$ | -7.8 | -9.7 |
| $\mathbf{8 c}$ | submitted |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 d}$ | submitted |  | NMR-2 diast. |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 e}$ | submitted |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 f}$ | submitted |  |  | -14.2 | -15.5 |
| $\mathbf{8 g}$ doublet | $-13.8 \pm 0.1$ | $-15.3 \pm 0.1$ | $-18.4 \pm 0.2$ | -20.7 | -24.6 |
| $\mathbf{8 g}$ triplet | $-12.5 \pm 0.1$ | $-18.5 \pm 0.1$ | $-18.0 \pm 0.2$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 h}$ | $-10.9 \pm 0.05$ | $-8.9 \pm 0.1$ | $-13.6 \pm 0.1$ | -22.3 | -24.7 |
| $\mathbf{8 i}$ | $-7.84 \pm 0.02$ | $-10.1 \pm 0.2$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{9 a}$ | submitted |  | $\sim 18$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{9 b}$ | submitted |  | Locked $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{9 c}$ | submitted |  | Locked $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |

Activation barriers in $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}$

Table 4-1. Computational and /or experimental barriers at the 3-aryl-isoxazole junction.
As determined by variable temperature NMR.


Figure 4-2. Variable temperature NMR of compound $\mathbf{8 i}$.

### 4.5 Synthesis of desired Anthryl-isoxazole-secbutyl amides and doubletails

The synthesis for the phenyl and naphthyl series shown in Scheme 4-1 was carried based upon work done by the Natale group. ${ }^{8}$ From the ester, the synthesis was carried out in a similar manner to that of Scheme 4-2 with hydrolysis with potassium hydroxide and subsequent acid chloride reaction with thionyl chloride. The final step uses a modified Schotten-Baumann reaction with a one-phase organic solvent system with trimethylamine base.

Biehl and coworkers have described a facile route for the formation of unsymmetrical anthracenes using novel benzyne chemistry. ${ }^{9}$ The more modern of the techniques provides a considerably safer and more convenient pathway where the generation of hydrogen cyanide gas, on the molar scale, is not required. ${ }^{10}$ Using the Biehl aryne technique it was possible to generate the first potentially axially chiral anthracene isoxazole ester system (Scheme 4-2).

Scheme 4-2 depicts the route used to synthesize 15 using the Biehl aryne technique. As mentioned above, the important 2-acylphenylacetonitrile intermediate $\mathbf{1 0}$ was synthesized via a more facile route ${ }^{10}$. The Price method ${ }^{11}$ was given up as too dangerous in lieu of the recently published route proposed by Canepa and Bravo. ${ }^{10}$ The Canepa synthesis utilizes Ethyl o-toluate as a substrate for radical bromination of the ortho methyl group using N -Bromo succinamide (Scheme 4-2) in Carbon Tetrachloride $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$. The expense of $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ is often prohibitive for large scale reactions but with careful collection, washing, and recycling this solvent can be reused many times over. This product $\mathbf{1 0}$ can thus be obtained in a safe manner and in higher yield ${ }^{21}$ than the Price method. Scheme 5-2 outlines the aryne synthesis combined with the method used by Natale and coworkers ${ }^{22}$ to generate unsymmetrical anthracene isoxazole ester $\mathbf{1 5}$ with a large degree of selectivity that contradicts the findings of Stevens. ${ }^{12}$ Again, with this benzyne reaction, there is a substantial learning curve where optimal yields of the nitrile $\mathbf{1 1}$ are only obtained when
the second addition, via cannula, of Lithium Diisopropyl Amine (LDA) is performed very slowly (ca. 20 min .). Subsequent methylation of the C-10 hydroxyl group was achieved with the addition of a three-fold excess of dimethylsulfate in refluxing THF until the color turns a deep yellow-green yielding 12. The structure of the 2,10-dimethoxy-1-cyano $\mathbf{1 2}$ was confirmed by single crystal x-ray diffractometry

Attempts were made to reduce the unsymmetrical anthracene nitrile using DIBAL-H in solutions of Hexanes (insoluble nitrile) and THF, but no aldehyde was obtained after hydrolysis using $10 \%$ aqueous sulfuric acid. Full reduction of the anthracene nitrile, in $<5$ hours at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was obtained when DIBAL-H in toluene was employed. Hydrolysis, using 5\% aqueous sulfuric acid, gave the unsymmetrical anthracene aldehyde $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $\sim 70 \%$ yield. Reaction of $\mathbf{1 3}$ with hydroxyl amine hydrochloride in chloroform afforded the oxime 14 in ~95\% yield. Formation of the oximinoyl chloride intermediate was performed using recrystallized N -Chlorosuccinamide (from benzene) and dry ethanol at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (yield not calculated). Finally, the intermediate was reacted with the ethyl acetoacetate and sodium alkoxide in absolute ethanol to give the final product $\mathbf{1 5}$ in 70\% yield (not optimized) after two steps.
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Ar}$


$\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 1. } \mathrm{KOH} \\ \text { 2. } \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\end{array} \longrightarrow \text { 5. } \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et} \\ & \mathrm{SOCl}_{2}\end{aligned} \longrightarrow$ 6. $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$

a. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
f. $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
c. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
d. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
i. $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$

Scheme 4-1. Synthesis of phenyl and naphthyl sec-butyl amides 8a, c-d, f, i.
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$9 \mathrm{a}=10 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OMe}$ $8 \mathrm{~b}=10 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OMe}$

Scheme 4-2. Synthesis of anthryl-sec butyl amides 9a-b and anthryl-DT conjugate 10a-b.

### 4.6 Crystal Structure of 12

Within the unit cell, evidence of the 10-methoxy methyl hydrogens show van der Waals interactions to the 10 -methoxy oxygen in the molecule directly below. While the 2 -methoxy oxygen and $3-\mathrm{H}$ proton on the same molecule both have interactions with the 3-H and 2-methoxy oxygen, respectively, in the molecule directly parallel to it. Thus, the unit cell shows molecule flips both horizontally and vertically for each column structure. Full sc-xrd data and parameters are given in the Supplementary Data.


Figure 4-3. Single crystal x-ray structure of $\mathbf{1 2}$.

### 4.7 MTT Cell Viability Assay

Growth inhibition was determined by the MTT colorimetric assay. Cells were plated in 96 -well plates at a density of 10,000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and allowed to attach overnight ( $16-18 \mathrm{~h}$ ). AAIM solutions were applied in medium for 24 h , removed, and replaced with fresh medium, and the plates were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a humidified atmosphere containing 5\% CO ${ }_{2}$ for $3-5$ days. MTT (50 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ ) was added and the cells were incubated for another 4 h . Medium/MTT solutions were removed carefully by aspiration, the MTT formazan crystals were dissolved in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO, and absorbance was determined on a plate reader at $560 \mathrm{~nm} . \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (concentration
at which cell survival equals $50 \%$ of control) were determined from semilog plots of percent of control versus concentration. Two compounds shown in Table 4-2 have low micromolar binding affinities, in which the addition of the methoxy group does correlate to better activity versus a single methoxy group shown in Chapter 3. ${ }^{13}$


Table 4-2. Cytotoxicity activity of 10a-b against human glioma SNB-19 cells.

### 4.8 Summary

Anthryl-10-alkoxy-isoxazole-pyrrole-doubletails can be readily made and easily substituted to enlarge the oxy-ether library series. Current studies are focused on whether the AAIMs may represent useful tools for the study of quadruplex DNA, and ultimately lead to clinically useful inhibitors. We have provided experimental verifcation of atropisomerism in 3aryl isoxazoles, which was suggested from computation. Our original motivation for this study sprang from the concept that anti-cancer activity of the related AIMs might exhibit a eudismic ratio, and hence increased efficacy. We shall report on our progress in this arena in due course.
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## Supplementary Material

## General Experimental Section

All chemicals were purchased from commercial vendors and were used without any further purification unless otherwise indicated. Solvents were reagent grade and dried just prior to use by standard methods. All reactions were performed under inert atmosphere. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was dried over sodium/benzophenone and distilled prior to use. Triethylamine (NEt3) was dried with calcium hydride (CaH2). Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes on a Melt-Temp apparatus and are uncorrected. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained using a Micromass electrospray ionization (ES)/time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LCTOF). Mass spectrometer samples were introduced using a Waters model 2690 separations module HPLC fitted with a C-18 reversed phase column ( 2.1 mm i.d., 5 cm ). Flash chromatography was performed using Sorbent Technologies standard silica gel ( 60 Å) with reagent grade solvents using in house compressed air.

## Cell Viability Assay

Growth inhibition was determined by the MTT colorimetric assay. Cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 10000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and allowed to attach overnight ( 16 h ). Anthryl-10-oxy-isoxazole-DT solutions were applied in medium for 2 h , removed, and replaced with fresh medium, and the plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO} 2$ for $3-5$ days. MTT $(50 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ was added and the cells were incubated for another 4 h . Medium/MTT solutions were removed carefully by aspiration, the MTT formazan crystals were dissolved in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO, and absorbance was determined on a plate reader at 560 nm . IC50 values (concentration at which cell survival equals $50 \%$ of control) were determined from semilog plots of percent of control versus concentration.

NMR

The 1 H and 13 C NMR high-resolution spectra were obtained with a Bruker AC200 (UltraShield ${ }^{\text {TM }} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) using X-Win NMR (3.1) at ambient temperature in CDCl3 unless otherwise specified. The signal iii assignments were performed on the basis of a series of 2 D experiments with $z$-gradient selection: $1 \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{H}$ DQF COSY (Correlation Spectroscopy), 1H-13C HMQC ((Heteronuclear Multiple Quantum Coherence) and $1 \mathrm{H}-13 \mathrm{C}$ HMBC (Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation).

## Preparation (S)-N-(sec-butyl)-5-methyl-3-(o-tolyl)isoxazole-4-carboxamide, 8a.

o-Tolualdehyde 1a (4.0247 g, 37.50 mmol ), hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( 5.3847 g ), and sodium acetate $\cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} 0(20.4119 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in THF/ethanol/water ( $70 \mathrm{~mL}: 35 \mathrm{~mL}: 35$ $\mathrm{mL})$. After stirring at rt for 30 minutes, the mixture was concentrated then washed $2 \times 125 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $2 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine and $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to produce the oxime $\mathbf{2 a}, 4.386 \mathrm{~g}(97 \%)$. The oxime $\mathbf{2 a}(2.5444 \mathrm{~g}, 18.825 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with N -Chlorosuccinimide ( 3.1087 g ), $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ pyridine ( 5 drops) in 200 mL chloroform and was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours. The solution was washed with $4 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $2 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, and $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ chloroform, then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to produce the product 3a. To a solution of the nitrile oxide 3a in ethanol (30 $\mathrm{mL})$, was added ethyl acetoacetate $(5.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sodium $(0.8655 \mathrm{~g})$ in ethanol $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dropwise, and the reaction mixture allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. The solution was concentrated, washed with $2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ brine, then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified on a flash column starting 10:1 Hex/EtOAc, 8:1 Hex/EtOAc, and 6:1 Hex/EtOAx until all product 5a was collected, 4.3526 $\mathrm{g}, 94 \%$. Ester $\mathbf{5 a}(0.3315 \mathrm{~g}, 1.352 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol/THF ( $25 \mathrm{~mL}: 16.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was refluxed in 2.1 M KOH for 2.5 h , acidified with 1 N aqueous HCl , to give the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6 a}(0.2878 \mathrm{~g}$,
$98 \%$ ). The carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6 a}$ was stir in an ice bath and allowed to warm up overnight in neat $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$, the mixture was then concentrated using hexanes, then dichloromethane three times and the residue was used without further purification in the next step. To acid chloride $\mathbf{7 a}$ in 7 mL of DCM was added $(\mathrm{S})$-sec-Butyl amine $(0.1192 \mathrm{~g})$ and 2 mL TEA, the mixture was stirrred at rt for 2.5 hours, after which time it was concentrated and purified by flash chromotagraphy (4:1:1 Hex/EtOAc) to give the product 8a ( $0.1254 \mathrm{~g}, 34 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.63(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8,16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 174.63,160.45,159.64,137.73,130.85,130.61,129.70$, 128.11, 126.57, 111.13, 45.97, 29.07, 19.72, 19.57, 13.20, 9.63. Accurate Mass Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 273.1603$, Found: 273.1594.

Preparation of (S)-N-(sec-butyl)-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-5-methylisoxazole-4-carboxamide, 8c. Ester 5c matched previously reported literature. ${ }^{14}$ Ester 5c ( $\left.0.4789 \mathrm{~g}, 1.802 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in methanol/THF ( $22 \mathrm{~mL}: 33 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was refluxed in 2.1 M KOH for 48 h , acidified with 1 N aqueous HCl , to give the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6 c}(0.4301 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$. The carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6 c}$ was stir in an ice bath and allowed to warm up overnight in neat $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the mixture was then concentrated using hexanes, then dichloromethane three times and the residue was used without further purification in the next step. The acid chloride 7c and (S)-sec-Butyl amine ( $0.1581 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in 10 mL of dry dichloromethane (dried over $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ ) with 2 mL of triethyl amine (TEA), after stirring 2.5 hours at room temperature, the product was purified by silica column (4:1 Hex:EtOAc) to give the amide $\mathbf{8 c}(0.4797 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8,16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \square \mathrm{ppm} 174.08,160.33,158.11$,
$134.26,131.85,131.52,130.21,128.06,127.47,112.04,46.26,29.18,19.75,12.98,9.70$. Accurate Mass Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 293.1057, Found: 293.1059.

Preparation of N -((S)-sec-butyl)-3-(2-chloro-6-methylphenyl)-5-methylisoxazole-4carboxamide, 8d.

2-chloro-6-methylbenzaldehyde $1 \mathbf{1 d}(1.000 \mathrm{~g}, 6.469 \mathrm{mmol})$, hydroxylamine hydrochloride $(3.5212 \mathrm{~g})$, and sodium acetate $\cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} 0(3.5212 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in THF/ethanol/water ( $12 \mathrm{~mL}: 6$ mL : 6 mL ). After stirring at rt for 2.5 hours, the mixture was concentrated then washed $2 \times 100$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine and $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to produce the oxime 2d, $1.0965 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$. The oxime $\mathbf{2 d}(1.0965 \mathrm{~g}, 6.465 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with N -Chlorosuccinimide ( 1.0679 g ), $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ pyridine $(6.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1 mM stock solution) in 100 mL chloroform was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5.5 hours. The solution was washed with $4 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, and $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ chloroform, then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to produce the product $\mathbf{3 d}$. To a solution of the nitrile oxide $\mathbf{3 d}$ in ethanol ( 120 mL ), was added ethyl acetoacetate ( 2 mL ) and sodium ( 0.2973 g ) in ethanol $(45 \mathrm{~mL})$, dropwise, and the reaction mixture allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. The solution was concentrated, washed with $2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \mathrm{x} 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ brine, then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified on a flash column starting 10:1 Hex/EtOAc ( $\sim 220 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), then 8:1 Hex/EtOAc until all product 5d was collected, $1.6472 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$. Ester $5 \mathbf{5 c}(0.4118 \mathrm{~g}, 1.472 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol/THF ( $18 \mathrm{~mL}: 27 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was refluxed in 2.08 M KOH for 1 h , acidified with 1 N aqueous HCl , to give the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6 d}$ $(0.3322 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$. The carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6 d}$ was stir in an ice bath and allowed to warm up overnight in neat $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$, the mixture was then concentrated using hexanes, then dichloromethane three times and the residue was used without further purification in the next step. To acid
chloride 7 d in 7 mL of DCM was added (S)-sec-Butyl amine ( 0.1158 g ) and 2 mL TEA, the mixture was stirrred at rt for 2.5 hours, after which time it was concentrated and purified by flash chromotagraphy (8:1:1 Hex/EtOAc/DCM, then $6: 1: 1)$ to give the product $\mathbf{8 d}(0.247 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{bt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8,16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.60(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8,16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \square \mathrm{ppm} 175.01$, $174.96,160.23,160.18,157.18,140.64,140.51,134.62,134.50,131.41,129.06,126.99,127.51$, $127.44,111.18,111.08,46.01,45.95,29.17,20.23,20.16,19.90,19.84,13.25,13.22,9.60,9.53$. Accurate Mass Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{1}: 307.1213$, Found: 307.1206.

## Preparation of (S)-N-(sec-butyl)-5-methyl-3-(naphthalen-1-yl)isoxazole-4-carboxamide, 8f.

1-naphthaldehyde $1 \mathrm{f}(2.000 \mathrm{~g}, 12.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, hydroxylamine hydrochloride $(1.7789 \mathrm{~g})$, and sodium acetate $\cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} 0(3.1499 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in THF/ethanol/water ( $64 \mathrm{~mL}: 32 \mathrm{~mL}: 32 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After stirring at rt for overnight, the mixture was concentrated then washed $4 \times 50 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine and $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to produce the oxime $2 \mathbf{2 f}, 1.979 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$. The oxime $2 \mathbf{f}(1.000 \mathrm{~g}, 12.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with N Chlorosuccinimide ( 1.8801 g ), pyridine ( 2 drops) in 130 mL chloroform was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. The solution was washed with $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, and $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ chloroform, then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to produce the product $\mathbf{3 f}$. To a solution of the nitrile oxide $\mathbf{3 f}$ in ethanol $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added ethyl acetoacetate $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sodium $(0.2917 \mathrm{~g})$ in ethanol $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, dropwise, and the reaction mixture allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. The solution was concentrated, washed with $2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ brine, then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated. Product $\mathbf{5 f}$ was collected, $1.5678 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$. Ester $\mathbf{5 f}(1.5678 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5732 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol/THF ( $22 \mathrm{~mL}: 22 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was
refluxed in 2 M KOH for 3 h then allowed to cool to rt overnight, acidified with 1 N aqueous HCl , to give the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6 f}(1.4604 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%)$. The carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6 f}$ was stir in an ice bath and allowed to warm up overnight in neat $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, the mixture was then concentrated using hexanes, then dichloromethane three times and the residue was used without further purification in the next step. To acid chloride $7 \mathbf{f}$ in 3 mL of DCM was added (S)-sec-Butyl amine $(0.0695 \mathrm{~g})$ and 1 mL TEA, the mixture was stirrred at rt overnight, after which time it was concentrated and purified by flash chromotagraphy using $41 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ to give the product $\mathbf{8 f}$ ( $0.1366 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8,12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8$ Hz, 1H), $7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8,16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 174.63. 160.25. 158.96. 133.50. 131.48. 130.85. 128.49. 128.32. 127.65. 126.98. 125.69. 125.32. 124.94. 112.29. 45.85, 28.75, 19.30, 13.19, 9.24. Accurate Mass Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 309.1603, Found: 309.1594.

Preparation of 3-(2-(benzyloxy)naphthalen-1-yl)-N-((S)-sec-butyl)-5-methylisoxazole-4carboxamide, 8 i.

2-(Benzyloxy)-1-naphthaldehyde $\mathbf{1 i}(1.000 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8124 \mathrm{mmol})$, hydroxylamine hydrochloride $(0.5298 \mathrm{~g})$, and sodium acetate $\cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} 0(1.5564 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in THF/ethanol/water ( 20 mL : 10 mL : 10 mL ). After stirring at rt for overnight, the mixture was concentrated then washed 4 x $50 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine and $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated to produce the oxime $\mathbf{2 i}, 1.057 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%)$. The oxime $\mathbf{2 i}(1.0065 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6294 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with N -Chlorosuccinimide ( 0.5463 g ), pyridine (3 drops) in 40 mL chloroform was stirred at room temperature for 5 hours. The solution was washed with $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ Brine, and $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ chloroform, then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and
concentrated to produce the product $\mathbf{3 i}$. To a solution of the nitrile oxide $\mathbf{3 i}$ in ethanol ( 35 mL ), was added ethyl acetoacetate ( 1 mL ) and sodium $(0.150 \mathrm{~g})$ in ethanol $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dropwise, and the reaction mixture allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. The solution was concentrated, washed with $2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ brine, then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated. Product $5 \mathbf{i}$ was collected, $1.3959 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$. Ester $5 \mathbf{i}(1.0098 \mathrm{~g}, 3.629 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol/THF ( $15 \mathrm{~mL}: 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was refluxed in 2 M KOH for 3 h then allowed to cool to rt overnight, acidified with 1 N aqueous HCl , to give the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6 i}(1.2781 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$. The carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6 i}$ was stir in an ice bath and allowed to warm up overnight in neat $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, the mixture was then concentrated using hexanes, then dichloromethane three times and the residue was used without further purification in the next step. To acid chloride $\mathbf{7 i} \mathbf{i n} 4 \mathrm{~mL}$ of DCM was added (S)-sec-Butyl amine ( 0.0660 g ) and 2 mL TEA, the mixture was stirred at rt for 24 hours, after which time it was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography using DCM to give the product $\mathbf{8 i}(0.2478 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~d}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.66(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.41(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 174.22, 160.45, 155.80, 154.54, 136.21, 133.06, 132.28, 128.87, 128.48, 127.98, 127.95, $127.91,126.83,124.69,123.91,114.63,112.64,111.56,71.39,45.64,28.78,19.71,19.21,13.03$, 9.22, 8.96. Accurate Mass Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : 415.2022, Found: 415.2010.

## Preparation of Ethyl 3-(2,10-dimethoxy-9-anthracenyl)-5-methyl-4-isoxazole carboxylate,

 15.To 500 mL of $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ was added $25.41 \mathrm{~g}(151.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ of Ethyl o-toluate (Alfa Aesar). Next, 33.470 g ( $20 \%$ molar excess) of N-Bromosuccinamide (NBS, recrystalized from Benzene) was added to the ester solution. The solution was brought to reflux $\left(85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, after five minutes the solution turned orange then changed back to clear with two layers noticeable. The solution stirred at refluxing temperature for 25 hours, cooled to room temperature and the solution was filtered off, washing with $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$.

The resulting pale yellow oil was column chromatographed using 20:1 Hex/EtOAc to yield the product 9 , Ethyl o-(bromomethyl)benzoate: b.p. $90-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ spectra in agreement with literature values. ${ }^{15}$

The brominated phenyl ester 9 ( $37.6172,154.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken up in 200 mL of absolute ethanol at room temperature, to which solution was added an aqueous solution $\left(25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ of $\mathrm{KCN}(10.4938 \mathrm{~g}: 154.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the solution brought to reflux for 6 hours then cooled to room temperature. The ethanol was evaporated under vacuum then 200 mL of distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the resulting solution. Chloroform (200mL) was used to extract the aqueous layer. The organic layer was then washed with $5 \% \mathrm{HNaCO}_{3}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. A very clear oil Ethyl 2-(cyanomethyl)benzoate, 10, resulted, spectra in agreement with literature values. ${ }^{10}$

To a solution of freshly distilled THF ( 20 mL ), containing $3.0196 \mathrm{~g}(15.856 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1 0}$ and stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere, was added 1eq. of LDA (generated at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in freshly distilled THF using a 1:1 equivalence of freshly distilled diisopropyl amine and n-BuLi).

The bright orange-red solution was allowed to reaction for 15 minutes whereupon 1.02 eq ( 2.02 mL ) of 4-bromoanisole was added via syringe. A solution containing 2 eq. of lithium diisopropyl amine (LDA) was cannulated slowly, over 1 hour, into the lithiated ester solution now at a temperature of $-42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting dark purple-black solution was allowed to stir at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature (c.a. 2 hr ) and quenched with an excess of aqueous ammonium chloride and allowed to stir 10 min . The THF was removed via rotary evaporator and the dark orange solution taken up in 150 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. This was washed with 200 mL of 0.5 M HCl whereupon the solution turned bright yellow. The organic layers were washed with 500 mL of brine solution and then 500 mL of deionized water. The organic phase was dried using anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to give a dark orange solid. The solid ( $3.8791 \mathrm{~g}, 15.856$ mmol) was taken up in freshly distilled THF ( 150 mL ) and placed under an argon atmosphere. To this solution was added ( $2.341 \mathrm{~g}, 1.25 \mathrm{eq}$ ) of potassium tert-butoxide whereupon the solution turned orange. To this mixture was added, via syringe, $(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(6.015 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \mathrm{eq})$ and the solution brought to reflux $\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. This was allowed to stir refluxing until the solution color was dark yellow-green (ca. 3.5 hrs .) and TLC revealed all of the starting material was consumed.

The resulting dark orange solid was taken up in just enough $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ where it was completely soluble then $\sim 70 \mathrm{~g}$ of silica gel was added and solvent removed under vacuum. The resulting powder was placed on a wet (10:1 Hex/EtOAc) prepared column, covered in sea sand, and eluted with 8:1 Hexanes/Ethyl Acetate $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.50\right)$. Once the front running 4-bromoanisole was eluted from the column the solvent polarity was increased using stepwise elution of $\sim 300 \mathrm{ml}$ each of $6: 1,4: 1$, and finally $2: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / E t O A c$ until the all of the product $\mathbf{1 2}$ was collected. 2,10-dimethoxy-9-anthracenecarbonitrile, 12. Yield $75 \%$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
8.33 (d, J=8.66 Hz, 1H), 8.27 (d, J=9.41 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (m, 1H), 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.26 (dd, 1H), 4.19 (s, 3H), $4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CHLOROFORM- $d$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $160.45,157.88,137.01,135.19,129.15,125.25,125.06,123.21,122.93,121.35,120.43,117.99$, $101.63,98.83,77.31,77.20,76.68,64.12,55.70 . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 264(100, \mathrm{M}+1), 265\left(21, \mathrm{M}+1^{+}\right)$..

Under an argon atmosphere DIBAL-H (in toluene) was added via syringe ( 2 mL ) to a solution of $12(0.400 \mathrm{~g}: 1.5192 \mathrm{mmol})$ in freshly distilled toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to stir for 2 hour. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(5 \%)$ was added to the toluene solution and stirred vigorously for 1 hour. The bright yellow-green solution was separated and washed with $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ portions of cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The toluene was not dried but rather removed by rotary evaporation. The resulting solid was chromatographed on silica starting 12:1 Hex/EtOAc followed by increasing solvent polarity stepwise using $10: 1,8: 1,6: 1$ yielding $13(0.3964 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$. The aldehyde $\mathbf{1 3}(0.2690 \mathrm{~g}, 1.01$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was then taken up in THF: $\mathrm{EtOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25: 25: 18 \mathrm{~mL})$ to which was added $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ (1.1671) and pyridine ( 10 mL ) and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the solid taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $2 \times 50$ Brine. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent removed under vacuum to yield a dark green solid of $\mathbf{1 4}(99 \%$, 0.2840 g ).

2,10-dimethoxy-9-anthracenecarboxaldehyde, 13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, CHLOROFORM- $d$ ) $\delta \operatorname{ppm} 11.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.37 (d, $J=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ (dd, $\mathrm{J}=2.38,9.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, CHLOROFORM- $d$ ) $\delta$ ppm 191.37, 161.23, 159.75, 135.80, 135.61, 129.24, 124.81, 124.61, 123.45, 122.91, 122.46, $120.61,120.52,118.72,101.19,64.04,55.50 . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 267(100, \mathrm{M}+1), 268\left(20, \mathrm{M}+1^{+}\right)$

2,10-dimethoxy-9-anthracenecarboxaldehyde oxime, 14. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CHLOROFORM- $d$ ) $\delta \operatorname{ppm} 9.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.01 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=9.41,2.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CHLOROFORM- $d$ ) $\delta$ ppm 158.64, 154.59, 149.10, 132.59, 131.98, 127.10, 124.61, 124.38, 122.95, 122.81, 120.65, $120.21,117.37,101.52,63.55,55.32)$. MS (ESI) $m / z 282(100, \mathrm{M}+1), 283\left(21, \mathrm{M}+1^{+}\right)$.

The oxime 14 ( $0.3400 \mathrm{~g}, 1.253 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken up in chloroform which was added recrystallized N-Chlorosuccinamide (NCS) $(0.2084 \mathrm{~g})$ and $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ pyridine. The solution was allowed to stir at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4.5 hours whereupon the solution was washed with $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ of distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \times 100$ Brine and extracted with chloroform ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic solvent was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and removed via rotary evaporator. The intermediate was purified only through extractive isolation using water and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and taken on to the next reaction as is. To a solution of the intermediate in absolute ethanol ( 35 mL ) was added ethylacetoacetate $(0.37 \mathrm{~mL})$ in 9 mL ethanol and 0.0341 g sodium and the mixture allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 hours until TLC in $4: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ revealed all intermediate had been consumed. Finally, the ethanol was removed via rotary evaporation and the solid chromatographed stepwise starting $12: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / E t O A c, 10: 1,8: 1,6: 1,4: 1$ to until all final product 15 was collected (4:1 Hex/EtOAc $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.29$, yield $64 \%$ ).

Ethyl 3-(2,10-dimethoxy-9-anthracenyl)-5-methyl-4-isoxazole carboxylate, 15. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CHLOROFORM- $d$ ) $\delta \operatorname{ppm} 8.32$ (dd, $J=8.34,0.82 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.29 (d, $J=9.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.59 (m, 1 H), 7.43 (td, $J=8.63,1.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.41,2.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CHLOROFORM- $d$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 176.19,161.56,160.54,157.94,154.20,132.99,132.44$,
$126.48,125.20,124.28,124.15,122.67,122.40,120.44,119.96,116.43,111.08,101.65,63.49$, 59.98, 55.15, 13.41, 12.82. HRMS (ESI) accurate mass calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}(M)^{+1}$ requires 392.1498, found 391.1480.

Ester 15 ( $0.1142 \mathrm{~g}, 0.292 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 4.5 mL ) then added methanol ( 3.5 mL ). Solution was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aqueous $\mathrm{KOH}\left(0.6079 \mathrm{~g}\right.$ in $3.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added. The solution was then taken out of the bath allowed to stir at room temperature for 7 hours under argon until completion of the reaction as indicated by TLC. The organic solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The aqueous mixture was diluted with then diluted with water ( 50 mL ) and 25 mL DCM and acidified to pH 2 with 1 N HCl . Washed $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ DCM and dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated under reduced pressure to yield the carboxylic acid 16 (yield $0.1082 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ).

To the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 6}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added cold excess neat thionyl chloride ( 6 mL ). The solution was taken out of the ice bath and allowed to warm up and stir at room temperature for 2.75 hrs under a drying tube equipped with $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ and NaOH . The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The mixture was then concentrated using hexanes, then dichloromethane three times and the residue was used without further purification in the next step. The mixture of $\mathbf{1 7}$ was divided in two separate round bottoms for the next step.

To half of the acid chloride $\mathbf{1 7}$ in 3 mL of DCM was added (S)-sec-Butyl amine ( 0.0128 g) and 1 mL TEA, the mixture was stirred at rt for 21 hr , after which time it was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography starting $8: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / E t O A c$ then $6: 1,4: 1,2: 1,1: 1$ until all product was collected $\mathbf{8 f}(0.0178 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%)$ and $\mathbf{8 g}(0.0092 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \%)$

To the other half of acid chloride 17 in 5 mL of DCM was added $7(0.07250 \mathrm{~g})$ and 1 mL TEA, the mixture was stirrred at rt for 19 hr , after which time it was concentrated and purified by
prep-plate using 10:10:3 DCM:DCM/NH4OH:MeOH until all product was collected 9 ( 0.0209 $\mathrm{g}, 55 \%)$ and $9 \mathrm{~g}(0.0145 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \%)$.

## N -((S)-sec-butyl)-3-(2,10-dimethoxyanthracen-9-yl)-5-methylisoxazole-4-carboxamide, 9a.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , CHLOROFORM- $d$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.34$ (m, 1 H), $8.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.54,3.01 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.44,2.49 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 6.80 (dd, $J=4.58,2.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{t}, J=6.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53$ (m, 1 H$), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.70,6.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=19.10,7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 175.40,160.11,158.99,158.00$, $155.39,133.43,133.29,132.59,132.46,127.84,124.93,124.90,124.84,124.74,124.60,124.56$, $122.97,122.89,122.70,122.67,120.92,120.87,120.65,120.55,114.28,112.69,100.99,100.90$, $63.75,55.40,55.37,45.45,28.59,28.53,19.30,19.24,13.49,8.76,8.70$. Accurate Mass Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : 419.1971, Found: 417.1959

N -((S)-sec-butyl)-3-(1-chloro-2,10-dimethoxyanthracen-9-yl)-5-methylisoxazole-4carboxamide, 9 b .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, Acetone) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74$ (dd, $J=9.66$, $1.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56$ (m, 3 H ), 5.02 (m, 1 H ), 4.22 (s, 3 H$), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=3.01 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.39,2.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.53$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.47 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.47 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ ppm 173.66, 161.01, 160.98, 160.84, 160.80, 157.12, 157.09, 156.25, 156.23, 135.42, 135.32, $130.72,130.67,129.30,126.55,126.18,126.11,125.09,123.77,123.70,123.51,122.93,122.89$, $116.29,116.26,115.91,115.05,114.98,64.67,57.62,46.27,46.21,20.18,20.01,13.12,9.51$, 9.30. Accurate Mass Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{1}: 453.1581$, Found: 453.1561.

## N-(5-(bis(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)carbamoyl)-1-methyl-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)-3-(2,10-

dimethoxyanthracen-9-yl)-5-methylisoxazole-4-carboxamide, 10a., ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Acetone) $\delta \operatorname{ppm} 8.56$ (d, $J=9.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74$ (dd, $J=9.66,1.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56$ (m, 3 H ), 5.02 (m, 1 H ), 4.23 ( s, 3 H ), 4.10 (d, J=3.01 Hz, 3 H ), 3.54 (m, 1 H ), 2.84 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.87 (m, 2 H), 0.41 (dd, J=6.53, 61.36 Hz, 3H), $0.12(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.47,14.93 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.d-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 173.66,161.01,160.98,160.84,160.80,157.12,157.10,156.25,156.23$, $135.43,135.32,130.72,130.67,129.30,126.55,126.18,126.11,125.10,123.77,123.52,122.93$, $122.89,116.29,15.93,115.05,64.67,57.62,46.27,46.21,20.18,20.01,13.12,9.51,9.30$. Accurate Mass Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{1}$ : 453.1581, Found: 453.1561.

N-(5-(bis(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)carbamoyl)-1-methyl-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)-3-(1-chloro-2,10-dimethoxyanthracen-9-yl)-5-methylisoxazole-4-carboxamide, 10b.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Acetone) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 8.55$ (d, $J=9.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.37 (d, $J=7.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.71 (d, $J=9.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.07 ( s, 3 H), 3.48 ( s, 3 H ), 3.34 (m, 4 H), 2.89 ( s, 3 H ), 2.15 (t, $J=6.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.08 (s, 10 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , Acetone) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 172.43,164.16,161.74,158.39,156.84,156.00,135.51$, $130.94,128.98,126.42,126.39,125.31,125.00,123.71,123.52,122.95,122.04,121.95,116.86$, $116.16,115.78,115.32,115.25,102.36,102.28,64.57,57.55,45.57,35.31,27.05,13.25$.

Accurate Mass Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{1}: 689.3218$, Found: 689.3226.
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## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 15-15 $\quad \mathrm{H}: 18-18 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 2-2 \quad \mathrm{O}: 2-2 \quad \mathrm{Cl}: 1-1$
3ND145


| Minimum: |  |  |  | -1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum: |  | 5.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass | Calc. Mass | mDa | PPM | DBE | i-FIT | i-FIT (Norm) | Formula |  |  |
| 293.1060 | 293.1057 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 7.5 | 456.5 | 0.0 | C15 H18 | N2 | 02 |
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## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 16-16 $\quad \mathrm{H}: 20-20 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 2-2 \quad \mathrm{O}: 2-2 \quad \mathrm{Cl}: 1-1$
3ND154 HRMS 2
3ND154 HRMS $2105(1.055) \quad 1:$ TOF MS ES+
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## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} /$ DBE: $\min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C: 19-19 } & \text { H: 21-21 } & \text { N: 2-2 } & \text { O: 2-2 }\end{array}$
LC33
LC33 771 (7.739) 1:TOF MS ES+
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## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 26-26 H: 27-27 $\quad$ N: 2-2 $\quad$ O: 3-3
3ND103
3ND103 838 (8.420)
100



## Elemental Composition Report <br> Page 1

## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 25-25 $\quad \mathrm{H}: 27-27 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 2-2 \quad \mathrm{O}: 4-4$
3ND164 \#3-4 hrms
3ND164 \#3-4 hrms 109 (1.098) 1: TOF MS ES+



## Elemental Composition Report

## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron lons
1 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{C}: ~ 25-25 & \mathrm{H}: 26-26 & \mathrm{~N}: 2-2 & \mathrm{O}: 4-4 & \mathrm{Cl}: 1-1\end{array}$
3ND164 \#7-8 hrms




## Elemental Composition Report
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## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 37-37 $\quad$ H: 47-47 $\quad$ N: 6-6 $\quad$ O: 5-5
3ND165 top hrms
3ND165 top hrms 28 (0.278)

Minimum:
Maximum:

| Mass | Calc. Mass | mDa | PPM | DBE | i-FIT | i-FIT (Norm) Formula |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 655.3608 | 655.3608 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 174.8 | 0.0 | C37 | H47 | N6 | 05 |
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## Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance $=5.0 \mathrm{mDa} / \mathrm{DBE}: \min =-1.5, \max =50.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=3$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 37-37 $\quad \mathrm{H}: 46-46 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 6-6 \quad \mathrm{O}: 5-5 \quad \mathrm{Cl}: 1-1$
3ND165 bottom hrms
3ND165 bottom hrms $38(0.381) \quad 1:$ TOF MS ES+


NMR assignments of 2,10-dimethoxy-9-anthracenecarboxaldehyde, 13
NMR assignments of the regiochemsitry of our system was establish using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, DEPT, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSEY, HSQC (H-C 1-bond), and HMBC (H-C 2,3-bonds) experiments on the aldehyde 1d. The aldehyde proton (Figure $3, \mathrm{H}_{1}$ ), being the easiest to assign due to its large downfield shift, carbon coupling was first established using HSQC. HMBC was used to determine the relationship between $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ (Figure 4). Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Coherence (HMBC) showed the coupling between $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{4}$, in addition, HMBC showed a crosspeak for $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{17}$. Were the anthracene substituted at $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ no $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{17}$ crosspeak would be observed.

Supplementary Material Figure 3. Numbering for Carbon/Proton for NMR assignments.
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Supplementary Material Figure 4. HMBC (2,3 carbon couplings) full spectrum





X-ray diffraction data for $\mathbf{1 2}$ were collected at 150 K on a Bruker D8 Venture using $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=$ $1.54178)$ radiation. Data have been corrected for absorption using SADABS $^{1}$ area detector absorption correction program. Using Olex $2^{2}$, the structure was solved with the ShelXT structure solution program using Direct Methods and refined with the ShelXL refinement package using least squares minimization. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were refined in calculated positions in a ridged group model with isotropic thermal parameters $U(H)=1.2$ Ueq $(C)$ for $C(H)$ groups and $U(H)=1.5 \mathrm{Ueq}(C)$ for all $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})$ groups. Calculations and refinement of structures were carried out using APEX ${ }^{3}$, SHELXTL ${ }^{4}$, Olex2.

Crystallographic Data for 3ND-77: C17H13NO2, M $=263.28$, monoclinic, space group P21/c, a $=4.0681(3), b=34.841(3), c=8.9400(6), \beta=93.317(4), V=1265.02(16), Z=4, T=150 K$,
$\mu(\mathrm{CuK} \alpha)=0.733 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \rho_{\text {calcd }}=1.382 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}, 2 \Theta_{\max }=114.108,25793$ reflections collected, 1713 unique $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.1007, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0536\right) \mathrm{R} 1=0.0532(\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))$, wR2 $=0.1357$ (all data).
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Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for 3ND-77.

| Identification code | $3 \mathrm{ND}-77$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 263.28 |
| Temperature/K | 150 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | $4.0681(3)$ |


| b/Å | 34.841(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| c/Å | 8.9400(6) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 93.317(4) |
| $\gamma^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 1265.02(16) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.382 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.733 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 552.0 |
| Crystal size/ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.3 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54178)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 5.072 to 114.108 |
| Index ranges | $-4 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 4,-37 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 37,-9 \leq 1 \leq 9$ |
| Reflections collected | 25793 |
| Independent reflections | $1713\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.1007, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0536\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 1713/0/183 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.060 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ ( I ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0532, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1196$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0964, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1356$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.23/-0.20 |

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $3 N D-77 . U_{e q}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ |  | $\mathbf{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | 7123(5) | 4965.0(6) |  | 3316(2) | 34.9(6) |
| O2 | 4858(5) | 3288.7(6) |  | 5818(2) | 33.9(6) |
| N1 | -910(7) | 4076.4(8) |  | -726(3) | 42.0(8) |
| C1 | 4217(7) | 4390.6(9) |  | 2480(3) | 28.6(8) |
| C2 | 6155(7) | 4595.4(9) |  | 3487(3) | 28.9(8) |
| C3 | 7318(8) 4 | 4429.4(10) |  | 4874(3) | 32.6(9) |
| C4 | 6538(7) | 4064.6(9) |  | 5202(3) | 30.5(8) |
| C5 | 874(7) | 2848.3(9) |  | 3799(3) | 31.8(9) |
| C6 | -999(8) | 2636.9(9) |  | 2794(4) | 36.4(9) |
| C7 | -2177(8) 2 | 2800.5(10) |  | 1421(4) | 36.1(9) |
| C8 | -1425(7) 3 | 3168.1(10) |  | 1081(3) | 32.7(9) |
| C9 | 1378(7) | 3782.5(9) |  | 1786(3) | 27.7(8) |
| C10 | 3665(7) | 3459.3(9) |  | 4490(3) | 26.7(8) |
| C11 | 3372(7) | 4006.3(9) |  | 2791(3) | 26.5(8) |
| C12 | 4514(7) | 3835.8(9) |  | 4189(3) | 27.9(8) |
| C13 | 1713(7) | 3232.5(9) |  | 3487(3) | 28.6(8) |
| C14 | 551(7) | 3399.3(9) |  | 2085(3) | 26.3(8) |
| C15 | 6051(9) 5 | 5156.4(10) |  | 1963(4) | 43.5(10) |

C16

C17
144(8) 3947.1(9)
2082(3) 41.3(10)

387(4) 31.6(9)

Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for 3ND-77. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+2 h k a * b * U_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | 40.8(14) | 36.6(15) | 26.2(13) | 2.2(11) | -6.3(11) | -3.4(11) |
| O2 | 36.0(13) | 41.9(14) | 23.1(13) | 5.1(11) | -4.6(11) | 4.2(11) |
| N1 | 44.3(18) | 52(2) | 28.5(18) | 1.3(16) | -5.3(15) | -0.6(15) |
| C1 | 31.8(19) | 35(2) | 19.4(18) | 0.5(16) | 1.6(15) | 3.6(16) |
| C2 | 30.1(19) | 29(2) | 27(2) | -3.7(17) | 2.3(16) | -1.4(16) |
| C3 | 35(2) | 42(2) | 21(2) | -5.6(16) | -6.4(16) | 0.5(17) |
| C4 | 29.3(19) | 39(2) | 22.2(18) | 1.0(17) | -3.7(15) | 3.6(17) |
| C5 | 31(2) | 37(2) | 27.4(19) | 0.8(17) | 2.2(16) | 4.6(17) |
| C6 | 37(2) | 34(2) | 39(2) | -1.2(18) | 7.2(18) | 1.3(17) |
| C7 | 34(2) | 42(2) | 32(2) | -9.3(18) | 1.6(17) | -3.3(17) |
| C8 | 30.5(19) | 43(2) | 23.9(19) | -3.3(17) | -1.6(16) | 1.1(17) |
| C9 | 32.7(19) | 35(2) | 15.6(18) | 2.1(15) | 0.0(15) | 2.3(17) |
| C10 | 26.2(18) | 35(2) | 18.4(19) | 2.4(16) | 0.8(15) | 8.5(16) |
| C11 | 21.8(17) | 34(2) | 23.9(19) | -3.2(16) | -0.1(15) | $2.9(15)$ |
| C12 | 25.1(18) | 34(2) | 23.6(19) | $-2.4(16)$ | -2.6(15) | 5.6(16) |


| C13 | $28.2(18)$ | $32(2)$ | $25(2)$ | $-3.3(16)$ | $2.7(16)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C14 | $19.8(17)$ | $38(2)$ | $21.0(19)$ | $-2.3(16)$ | $1.3(15)$ |
| C15 | $50(2)$ | $44(2)$ | $36(2)$ | $8.7(18)$ | $-9.2(18)$ |
| C16 | $40(2)$ | $65(3)$ | $19.2(19)$ | $6.3(18)$ | $0.7(17)$ |
| C17 | $33(2)$ | $37(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $-4.1(17)$ | $0.9(17)$ |

Table 4 Bond Lengths for 3ND-77.

| Atom | Atom | Length/Å | Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | C2 | 1.358(4) | C5 | C13 | 1.413(4) |
| O1 | C15 | 1.428(4) | C6 | C7 | 1.411(4) |
| O2 | C10 | 1.390(3) | C7 | C8 | 1.355(4) |
| O2 | C16 | 1.439(4) | C8 | C14 | 1.420(4) |
| N1 | C17 | 1.153(4) | C9 | C11 | 1.410(4) |
| C1 | C2 | 1.363(4) | C9 | C14 | 1.406(4) |
| C1 | C11 | 1.414(4) | C9 | C17 | 1.440 (5) |
| C2 | C3 | 1.424(4) | C10 | C12 | 1.387(4) |
| C3 | C4 | 1.346(4) | C10 | C13 | 1.405(4) |
| C4 | C12 | 1.431(4) | C11 | C12 | 1.436(4) |
| C5 | C6 | 1.361(4) | C13 | C14 | 1.437(4) |

Table 5 Bond Angles for 3ND-77.
Atom
Atom Atom Angle $/^{\circ}$
Atom Atom Atom $\quad$ Angle $/{ }^{\circ}$

| C2 | O1 | C15 | $117.5(2)$ | C12 | C10 | O2 | $119.4(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C10 | O2 | C16 | $114.3(2)$ | C12 | C10 | C13 | $123.1(3)$ |
| C2 | C1 | C11 | $120.3(3)$ | C1 | C111 | C12 | $119.5(3)$ |
| O1 | C2 | C1 | $125.6(3)$ | C9 | C11 | C1 | $122.4(3)$ |
| O1 | C2 | C3 | $113.5(3)$ | C9 | C11 | C12 | $118.1(3)$ |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | $120.8(3)$ | C4 | C12 | C11 | $117.7(3)$ |
| C4 | C3 | C2 | $120.1(3)$ | C10 | C12 | C4 | $123.0(3)$ |
| C3 | C4 | C12 | $121.5(3)$ | C10 | C12 | C11 | $119.2(3)$ |
| C6 | C5 | C13 | $121.0(3)$ | C5 | C13 | C14 | $118.9(3)$ |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | $120.3(3)$ | C10 | C13 | C5 | $122.7(3)$ |
| C8 | C7 | C6 | $120.5(3)$ | C10 | C13 | C14 | $118.3(3)$ |
| C7 | C8 | C14 | $121.4(3)$ | C8 | C14 | C13 | $117.9(3)$ |
| C11 | C9 | C17 | $119.5(3)$ | C9 | C14 | C8 | $123.5(3)$ |
| C14 | C9 | C11 | $122.6(3)$ | C9 | C14 | C13 | $118.6(3)$ |
| C14 | C9 | C17 | $117.9(3)$ | N1 | C17 | C9 | $178.6(4)$ |
| O2 | C10 | C13 | $117.4(3)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates ( $\left({ }^{\circ} \times 10^{4}\right.$ ) and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $3 N D-77$.


| H3 | 8648 | 4576 | 5570 | 39 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H4 | 7350 | 3957 | 6126 | 37 |
| H5 | 1630 | 2736 | 4724 | 38 |
| H6 | -1517 | 2378 | 3017 | 44 |
| H7 | -3506 | 2652 | 731 | 43 |
| H8 | -2238 | 3273 | 152 | 39 |
| H15A | 6910 | 5022 | 1106 | 65 |
| H15B | 6867 | 5158 | 1865 | 65 |
| H15C | 3639 | 3303 | 6819 | 62 |
| H16A | 609 | 3637 | 7354 | 62 |
| H16B | 3114 | 3720 |  | 6932 |

## Chapter 5

## Future Direction

### 5.1 ADME-Tox Considerations

With the initial SAR (Chapter 3) and conformational dynamics (Chapter 4) established for the AIM system, future focus could then be made on the biological issues that are important when designing a medicine: Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion, and Toxicity (ADME-Tox). Accurately predicting the fate of a drug and its metabolites is currently becoming mandatory when a potential drug is under development. It has been a challenge to recognize all the factors that contribute to pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic unpredictability within and between individuals. This issue will continue to remain a challenge of particular importance for drugs and a particular interest for many years to come. That is why it is a good idea to look for an early understanding of the key metabolites for a new chemical entity in drug development and discovery. In contrast, far fewer drugs fail in clinical development due to pharmacokinetic problems in humans in comparison to the situation $\sim 25$ years ago.

There are several pathways by which a small molecule can be metabolized in the body with the most common being enzymatic. ${ }^{1,2}$ There are four main fractions that are involved in metabolic reactions of drugs and chemicals: cytochrome P-450 (CYP-450) plays the dominating role of metabolism at $\sim 75 \%$, uridine diphosphate glucuronyl transferase (UGT) around $\sim 12 \%$, esterases at $\sim 8 \%$, while the oxidoreductase enzymes flavin-containing monooxygenase (FMO), aldo-keto reductase (AKR), and monoamine oxidase (MAO) collectively participate in the metabolism of all chemicals to the extent of $\sim 5 \% .^{1-3}$ It has been shown that the most common metabolism pathways for drugs containing secondary and tertiary amines is N -dealkylation, while, oxygenation of compounds constitutes the second most common process. ${ }^{4}$ The isoxazole
was incorporated because of the known metabolic pathway by CYP-450 3A4 as is well described and documented with the antibiotic oxacillin ${ }^{5,6}$ and valdecoxib ${ }^{6-8}$.

Natale and coworkers have developed a lateral metalation technique useful for a wide variety of isoxazole systems that can place a hydroxyl group at the C5-methyl of the isoxazole on the alpha, beta, and gamma positions that should mimic potential CYP- 450 metabolites. ${ }^{9-12}$ The synthesis of compounds that mimic potential CYP-450 metabolites should be undertaken with a dual purpose; 1) Future studies in CYP-450 assays to prepare authentic materials to determine the primary metabolites of a series of 3-(9-anthracenyl)-5-methyl 4-isoxazolecarboxylic esters; 2) Addition of a point of chirality to study the structure to activity relationship (SAR) of anthracene isoxazole amides in quadruplex binding studies. Additionally, absorption, distribution, and excretion of a C5-hydroxylated isoxazole should be more favorable as the hydrophobicity of the system, because of the highly lipophilic anthracene, should be reduced allowing for better blood solubility and providing a handle by which transport enzymes can grab onto the molecule and distribute it to cells. Though the P450 family is large, only a handful (Figure 5-1) are involved in the majority of drug metabolism. ${ }^{1,2,13}$


Figure 5-1. Fraction of clinically used drugs metabolized by P450 isoforms. Reprinted with permission from Rendic, Slobodan and Guengerich, F. Peter. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 2015, 28, 38-42. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society ${ }^{2}$.

### 5.2 Lipinaki's Rule

Lipinski has established a "rule of 5 " that helps to predict a compound's absorption and distribution properties based on four criteria: calculated $\log -\mathrm{P}$ (cLogP (octanol water partition coefficient)), number of hydrogen bond donors, number of hydrogen bond acceptors, and molecular weight. ${ }^{14}$ The "rule of five" comes from the factor's values being five or multiples of five for optimal absorptivity and distribution. Table 5-1 shows how to assess a molecule's properties to obtain a prediction. Lipinski's guidelines apply to passive transport for the purposes of oral bioavailability.

| Property | All parameters are: | Any parameter is: |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LogP | $\leq 5$ | $>5$ |
| H-Bond Donors | $\leq 5$ | $>5$ |
| H-Bond Acceptors | $\leq 10$ | $>10$ |
| Molecular Weight | $\leq 500$ | $>500$ |
| Lipinski Prediction | Good absorption <br> and/or permeation | Poor absorption <br> and/or permeation |

Table 5-1. Lipinski Values for absorption/permeation prediction.
To help assess the 'drug likeness' of the AIM series, ChemAxon Marvin Calculator Plugin Demo ${ }^{15}$ was used (Table 5-2). This free-to-use tool can help researchers to calculate both the octanol-water partition coefficients for single protonation state $(\log \mathrm{P})$ of a compound and the pH -dependent $\log \mathrm{D}$ values. Both calculated $\log \mathrm{P}$ and $\log \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{c} \log \mathrm{P}$ and $\operatorname{cog} \mathrm{D})$ predictions are based on a modified version of the method of Viswanadhan ${ }^{16}$, where the predicted partition coefficients are composed of the molecules' atomic values and physicochemical properties. The calculator applies modifications that include the redefinition of some atom types (sulfur, carbon, nitrogen and metal ions) to include electron delocalization and contributions of ionic forms. Within the parameters, three constant calculations are present: first, since $\log \mathrm{D}$ vales are $\mathrm{pH}-$ dependent, the $\log \mathrm{D}$ calculation relies on pKa prediction; second, the $\log \mathrm{P}$ value of zwitterions is
calculated from the $\operatorname{logD}$ at the isoelectric point; and third, the effect of hydrogen bonds on $\log P$ is considered if the formation of a six membered ring between the suitable donor and acceptor atoms can take place. The AIM series compounds (Table 5-2) is the best fit of the AIM series with a cLogD ranging from $0.38-3.68$, one hydrogen bond donors, ten hydrogen bond acceptors, and the molecular weights being high from 624.77 to $762.94 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mole}$.


| Compound | R1 | R2 | R3 | cLogD @ <br> $\mathrm{pH}=7.4$ | Molecular <br> weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 a}$ | H | H | Methoxy | 0.38 | 624.77 |
| $\mathbf{8 b}$ | H | H | Phenoxy | 2.04 | 686.84 |
| $\mathbf{8 c}$ | H | H | Biphenyloxy | 3.68 | 762.94 |
| $\mathbf{8 d}$ | H | H | 1-naphthyloxy | 3.03 | 736.90 |
| $\mathbf{8 e}$ | H | H | 2-naphthyloxy | 3.03 | 736.90 |
| $\mathbf{8 f}$ | H | Methoxy | Methoxy | 0.22 | 654.35 |
| $\mathbf{8 g}$ | Cl | Methoxy | Methoxy | 0.82 | 689.24 |

Table 5-2. Lipinski values for the AIM compounds.

### 5.3 Anthracene and Isoxazole Metabolism

The primary in vivo metabolite of anthracene is 1,2 dihydrodiol (Figure 5-2) and its glucoronide conjugates. ${ }^{17}$ An AIM molecule where the symmetry of the anthracene moiety was disrupted by the substitution at the C 2 position similar to the main anthracene metabolite. With the addition of a planar C4-ethyl ester C5-methyl functionalized isoxazole ring in the anthraceneC9 position the system now possesses a chiral axis so long as there is not free rotation about the
anthracene-C9 isoxazole-C3 bond* (Figure 5-4). Furthermore, the C2-anthracene substitution can potentially mimic the primary metabolite and change the properties of the electron rich anthracene which could aid electrostatic interactions with the electron-deficient nucleotides of a G-quadruplex structure. The anthracene-C10 group is a product of the synthesis and should also play a potential role in changing the electronic properties of the anthracene much like the C 2 substitution.


Figure 5-2. Anthracene metabolism
It is clear from the above that we would prefer to avoid the anthracene metabolism. Here its worthwhile introducing the role of the isoxazole, which is Sollbruchstellen, which translates in English roughly to predetermined breaking point that is built to break on demand. This
concept was popularized by Schollkopf in his asymmetric amino acid synthesis via diketopiperazides. ${ }^{18}$ In the present study, the isoxazole is the Trojan horse for a safer metabolic route. For a select few medicines containing an isoxazole ring system CYP-450 metabolism is well known and characterized. ${ }^{619-22}$ The most common pathway for CYP-450 metabolism of isoxazoles is hydroxylation at the C 5 position of the ring when an aliphatic carbon is present, such as a methyl or methylene, the earliest example being the oxacillins, including cloxacillin (discussed in Chapter 1). ${ }^{5,6,21,23}$ In the oxacillin series the C-5 hydroxymethylene metabolites retain biological activity. This event is frequently followed by the addition of glucose and then


Figure 5-3. Reported fates of isoxazole CYP-450 metabolism
subsequent excretion (Figure 5-3 A and B), an early example being oxacillin. ${ }^{5,6}$ Other pathways, such as isoxazole ring-opening of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}$ (Figure 5-3 C, D) bond (Figure 5-3 C and D ), ${ }^{19,22}$ are also know and characterized.

Collaborations are just in the beginning stages with our colleague Mike Wempe at the University of Colorado Anshutz Medical campus. With his knowledge and expertise in Drug Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics (DMPK) studies, we are confident and excited to start our journey to better understand PK parameters of our AIM compounds. Mike will begin pre-clinical studies by examining Cyp3A4 metabolism of isoxazoles. Initial studies would be evaluated in rat liver microsomes (RLM) using an LC-MS-MS technique, which has been shown to be very sensitive with detection limits as low as $1 \mathrm{ng} .{ }^{24,25}$ Authentic C-5 hydroxyl products have been prepared previously by our group. ${ }^{12,26}$

### 5.4 Computational Modeling

Computational and comparative molecular modeling studies were performed on all seven compounds in Table 5-2 and three of the drugs shown in Figure 5-3 (Oxacillin, Leflunomide and Rupintrivir). For docking purposes, the crystallographic coordinates of the crystal structure of human cytochrome P4503A4 bound to an inhibitor ritonavir ${ }^{27}$ were obtained from the Brookhaven Database (PDB code 3NXU and resolution $2.00 \AA$ ). The protein complex was then loaded within AutoDock Tools 1.5.6 (The Scripps Research Institute) and the ligand was then removed to leave the binding site unoccupied, which was used for the subsequent docking studies without any further modification. For preparation of ligand structures, fragments from ChemBioDraw Ultra 2010 were used to construct the compounds and loaded each in AutoDock Tools to confirm number of rotatable bonds, charge, and hybridization, and then the ligands were subject to iterations of MM2 energy minimization within ChemBio3D Draw 2010 (v.12.0). For
computational docking, AutoDock Vina 1.1.2 software was used in combination with the built-in scoring function. ${ }^{28}$ The active site was defined as being any volume within center_x $=36.834$, center_y $=-15.442$, center_z $=28.77$, size__ $^{x}=34$, size_y $=60$, size_ $_{-} z=40$. AutoDock Vina defaults a number of up to 10 runs per ligand, each of which starts from a different orientation. Each AutoDock Vina run was saved and the strongest scoring binding pose of each ligand (subject to a rmsd default distance threshold of $2.0 \AA$ ) was compared to that of the reference ligand position observed in the crystal structure. The best pose(s) were visualized with PyMOL Molecular Graphics System version 1.3.

The computational studies were consistent with reported metabolism studies showing C-5 methyl hydroxylation being predominant for Oxacillin ${ }^{5}$ (Figure 5-5) and Rupintrivir ${ }^{21}$ (Figure 56) and isoxazole ring opening between the nitrogen and oxygen in Leflunomide ${ }^{19}$ (Figure 5-7). All seven compounds did show anthracene ring oxidation as the primary mechanism of oxidation rather than C-5 methyl oxidation as previously thought. An example showing the ring oxidation is shown in Figure 5-8 in the phenoxy example. Furthermore, both the unsymmetrical derivatives ( $\mathbf{8 f} \mathbf{- g}$ ) only showed possible anthracene ring hydroxylation on either the 3 or 4 position for the 2,10-dimethoxy compound $\mathbf{8 f}$ (Figure 5-9) or the $7 / 8$ position for the 1-Chloro-2,10-methoxy compound $\mathbf{8 g}$ (Figure 5-10). Comparisons can be made between the ligands from the AutoDock Vina ${ }^{28}$ docking knowing that a hydrogen bond can vary in strength depending on the temperature, pressure, bond angle and the environment (dielectric constant), but a common rule of thumb is $1-2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Leflunomide (Figure 5-7) scored the lowest at $-8.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, followed by Rupintrivir (Figure 5-6) at $-10.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and Oxacillin (Figure 5-5) at $-10.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. While not surprising as both Rupintrivir and Oxacillin both have C-5 hydroxylation metabolism, this requires more of a stronger bond to the CYP for hydroxylation to occur, as for Leflunomide
doesn't require as much energy for the one-electron transfer ring opening to occur. On the other side, the 1-Chloro-2,10-dimethoxy AIM DT 8g (Figure 5-10) only scored at $-8.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and the 2,10-dimethoxy AIM DT $8 f$ (Figure 5-9) scored in at $-8.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with the phenoxy AIM DT 8b (Figure 5-8) scored way above the rest at $-11.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The excessively high scoring of 8b could be attributed to how well it fits into the CYP pocket above the heme. Comparing the phenoxy group versus the dimethoxy, the extra lipophilic ring helps gets added interactions with the residues without twisting too many residues outside of the pocket.


Figure 5-4. Predicted axial chiral AIM 8c anthracene major metabolite mimic
While not shown, all seven compounds also had poses with the dimethylamine doubletails over the heme group. Thus, the predicted metabolism for the axial chiral compounds and oxy series compounds are not consistent with our previous idea (Figure 5-4). Since anthracene metabolism and its resulting toxicity is a concern, our initial metabolism studies can (1) assess whether the computational model is valid and then either (2) future work should
determine if substitution at the C-5, and/or anthracene 2,3 , or 10 positions populate the conformation of conformers docking at CYP 3A4 with the isoxazole C-5 proximal to the heme, or (3) blocking the putative anthracenyl ring metabolism sites with halogens. The question to be determined in the future is whether selectivity will be observed for the safer isoxazole metabolism routes.


Figure 5-5. Oxacillin, magenta, docked in CYP450 3A4 active site, green; HEME, orange.


Figure 5-6. Rupintrivir, cyan, docked in CYP450 3A4 active site, green; HEME, orange.


Figure 5-7. Leflunomide, white, docked in CYP450 3A4 active site, green; HEME, orange.


Figure 5-8. Compound $\mathbf{8 b}$, yellow, docked in CYP450 3A4 active site, green; HEME, orange.


Figure 5-9. Compound 8f, red, docked in CYP450 3A4 active site, green; HEME, orange.


Figure 5-10. Compound $\mathbf{8 g}$, pink, docked in CYP450 3A4 active site, green; HEME, orange.
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