#### University of Montana

### ScholarWorks at University of Montana

Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers

**Graduate School** 

2004

# A simple methodology for the production of three -dimensional models: Serotonin transporter as an example

Paul A. Wilson The University of Montana

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

#### **Recommended Citation**

Wilson, Paul A., "A simple methodology for the production of three -dimensional models: Serotonin transporter as an example" (2004). *Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers*. 9546. https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd/9546

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.

# **NOTE TO USERS**

This reproduction is the best copy available.



Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.



# Maureen and Mike MANSFIELD LIBRARY

The University of

Montana

Permission is granted by the author to reproduce this material in its entirety, provided that this material is used for scholarly purposes and is properly cited in published works and reports.

\*\*Please check "Yes" or "No" and provide signature\*\*

Yes, I grant permission

No, I do not grant permission \_

Author's Signature: Jaul A. Wildow

Date: 12/20/2004

Any copying for commercial purposes or financial gain may be undertaken only with the author's explicit consent.

8/98

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

### A Simple Methodology for the Production of Three-Dimensional Models: Serotonin Transporter as an Example

by Paul A. Wilson B.S. Chemistry, Central Washington University, 2000 B.S. Physics, Central Washington University, 2000 A.A. Clark College, 1996 A.A.S. Electronics Engineering Technology, ITT Technical Institute, 1990 presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy Chemistry The University of Montana December 2004

Approved by: ommittee Chair Dean, Graduate School

(2 - 21 - 04)Date

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

UMI Number: 3177039

Copyright 2005 by Wilson, Paul A.

All rights reserved.

#### INFORMATION TO USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleed-through, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



#### UMI Microform 3177039

Copyright 2005 by ProQuest Information and Learning Company. All rights reserved. This microform edition is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.

> ProQuest Information and Learning Company 300 North Zeeb Road P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346

#### Wilson, Paul A. Ph.D., December 2004

A Simple Methodology for the Production of Three-Dimensional Models: Serotonin Transporter as an Example

Committee Chair: John M. Gerdes Jm/4

A conceptually simple and clearly rigorous paradigm for finding molecular similarity has been developed to elucidate three-dimensional (3-D) pharmacophore templates utilizing multi-molecule constructs without dependence upon a specific software package. A multi-molecular approach has been taken to limit induced model bias from any single molecule. The methodology involves the construction of a pharmacophore foundation from the low energy conformations identified for a select set of molecules exhibiting established potency and selectivity for the binding domain of interest. These low energy conformations are combinatorially compared to identify one set (1 set = 1 low energy conformation from each molecule) possessing the highest degree of similarity in 3-D space. This technique has been applied to produce a 3-D pharmacophore model of the serotonin selective reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) binding domain of the serotonin transporter (SERT). A set of four molecules possessing a high measure of SERT selectivity, potency and offering ample structural diversity was identified and select conformations (non-global minima) were attained. Similarity was based on distance space descriptions compared using a relative difference calculation. The relative difference equation compares the magnitudes of two measurements and produce a ratio which, in effect, describes their similarity. Data sets with measurements on both sides of zero may be overly, or not adequately, penalized with consideration to the actual magnitude between the measures. An algorithm for accomplishing a reasonable implementation of the relative difference equation when a known value does not exist, has been developed. A computational algorithm and programs have been developed that, in conjunction with novel modeling methodologies, have led to an unambiguous and descriptive 3D pharmacophore model of the SSRI binding domain at the SERT. The predictive quality of the model was demonstrated through application, by the design of a family of novel, highly potent SERT inhibitor ligands (Ki < 100 pM), exemplified by 2'-methyl-6-nitroquipazine.

Funded by tax payer dollars through grants from the NIH (NCRR COBRE P20-RR15583 and NS39814) and NSF (Montana EPSCoR, EPS-0091995).

Acknowledgments

- John M. Gerdes Committee Chair: for years of guidance and attenuating my impulses to leave graduate school prematurely
- My Graduate Committee: John M. Gerdes, Jennifer McNulty, Don Morton, J.B. Alexander Ross, Charles Thompson
- Jennifer McNulty and Don Morton for offering interdisciplinary courses
- Jennifer Parham for many excellent discussions of C pointers and parallel programming
- Chris Odom for providing a great reference for the relative difference equation
- JoAnn DeLuca for letting us use her SGI O2
- Sharon Rosell not only the best physics instructor ever, but a person who truly cares about you

Tyson Miller for letting me bend his ear

- Bill Vetter for much advice and guidance
- BMW Motorrad USA and my 1983 BMW R65 for providing an interesting, constructive, and stress relieving hobby

The Apple Student Developer email list

Gaspare Campari

and most importantly

Melodie Weller and the Weller and Wilson families

#### Preface

Development of a pharmacophore model of the serotonin selective reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) binding domain at the serotonin transporter (SERT) came not from a personal interest in serotonin, but from an interest in UNIX. Instead of a traditional introduction covering the important role of SSRIs in psychiatric disorders (Vaswani), each section incorporates an introduction to the work contained within. However, at this point it may be enlightening to go though the nontraditional events that have led to the work covered in this dissertation.

The computational environment this project started in almost entirely consisted of Windows and VMS machines. However, the modeling project came with a UNIX machine. It seemed like a good trade to produce a model in exchange for the use of a SGI O2 running the IRIX flavor of UNIX. Then the situation changed and UNIX become readily available.

Four important events occurred around this time. Firstly, an interest in parallel computing was developed. Secondly, Mac OS X, a user centric operating system based upon UNIX was released. Thirdly, it became apparent scientific tools and parallel programs previously only available in the UNIX environment could now be ported to the more user friendly Mac OS. Tools which used to be limited to costly machines could now be made available on affordable machines, in essence bringing scientific research to the masses. Lastly, school is about the student studying what they are interested in. These four events led to the writing of a parallel scientific program which was presented at MacHack 2003 (appendix 4.4). This program used message passing interface (MPI) and ran on a cluster of Mac OS X machines.

What was learned from writing the parallel program and attending MacHack was used to rewrite and improve the program. The new program was a serial program, running only on one processor. One of the reasons for the new program being serial was the OS X machines previously used were no longer available for turning into a cluster. The serial program and pharmacophore modeling paradigm was presented at the 2003 annual meeting of the Society for Neuroscience.

The Society for Neuroscience (SFN) meetings are a good learning environment. The latest in scientific computing related to the neuroscience field is on display at these meetings. As well, these meetings provide a good opportunity to interact with other people who are coding algorithms. As a result, the work involving the modification of the relative difference equation, previously done on the back of a piece of paper, was formalized and presented at the 2004 annual SFN meeting.

In summary, the model was developed out of desire to use a UNIX machine. The modification of the relative difference equation was formalized into writing to gain access to the SFN learning environment. Software was written out of a desire to study something of interest and to return to the scientific community software tools that do not require expensive machines and yearly software contracts. Hopefully the work and source code presented here will be useful, especially those interested in pharmacophore modeling and are budget disadvantaged.

Vaswani, M., Linda, F.K., and Ramesh, S. Role of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors in Psychiatric Disorders: a Comprehensive Review. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry. 2002, 27, 85-102

### Table of Contents

Introduction to the Methodologies for Designing and Employing a Three- Dimensional Pharmacophore Model Based on Multiple Ligands
Overview
Introduction
Approaches to Modeling
The Modeling Exercise
Model Verification
The Drug Design Exercise
Conclusion
A Simple Methodology for the Production of Three-Dimensional Models: Serotonin Transporter as an Example
Introduction
Methodology
Results
Discussion
Conclusion
The Nuances of Comparing Molecular Descriptors Using Relative Difference. 37
Introduction
The Modified Relative Difference Equation
Conclusion

Implementation of Programs to Efficiently Calculate the Similarity Score for	
Large Data Sets.	52
Introduction	52
Development and Implementation of the Programs	55
Conclusion	67
Appendix 2.1 Measurements of the three-dimensional (3D) pharmacophore model of the serotonin selective reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) binding domain a the serotonin transporter (SERT).	at 72
Appendix 3.1 The distance space descriptors of MCN-5652 used in the combinatorial comparison	77
Appendix 3.2 The distance space descriptors of sertraline used in the combinatorial comparison	78
Appendix 3.3 The distance space descriptors of indatraline used in the combinatorial comparison	79
Appendix 3.4 The distance space descriptors of escitalopram used in the combinatorial comparison	81
Appendix 3.5 The best scoring, most similar, 251 conformational comparison groups of the 1,297,344 combinatorial comparisons.	91
Appendix 4.1 The Sybyl Programming Language (SPL) four molecule comparison program. Calculates and saves the similarity scores for four molecule comparisons. Only works for small data sets	110
Appendix 4.2 The four molecule Perl script. Calculates and saves the similarit scores for four molecule comparisons.	y 116
Appendix 4.3 The three molecule Perl script. Calculates and saves the similari scores for three molecule comparisons.	ty 120
Appendix 4.4 "A Practical Comparison of Multiprocessing Libraries: Application of MPI and OpenMP" presented at MacHack 2003, June 2003.	ion 123
Appendix 4.5 The compsortall program, written in C. Calculates, sorts and sar all similarity scores	ves 131

Appendix 4.6 The compsort program, written in C. Calculates and sorts all similarity scores. Saves a user determined number of best, most similar,	
scores	64
similarity scores.	81
Bibliography	95

## Table of Figures

Figure Pag			
2.1	The four molecules used in model building exercise: Sertraline, MCN- 5652, Indatraline, S-Citalopram. Defined location of Ar1, Ar2, X, Terminal Amine, Connecting Carbon, and the hetero atom shown		
2.2	Conformational analysis (software settings and results) of Sertraline, MCN- 5652, Indatraline, and S-Citalopram		
2.3	Definitions and measurements used to describe conformations in distance space		
2.4	Equation for calculating the similarity score for one conformational comparison group through the application of relative difference. The number of relative difference calculations for the SERT SSRI data set is equal to 116,760,344 (1,297,344 comparison groups x 15 compared measures x 6 combinatorial relative difference calculations per measurements) 25		
2.5	Graph of the 1,297,344 calculated similarity scores of each conformational comparison group sorted from low (most similar) to high (least similar). The inset graph shows the 160 lowest similarity scores		
2.6	Superposition of Sertraline, MCN-5652, Indatraline, and S-Citalopram as the basis for the model of the SSRI binding domain at the SERT		
2.7	Conformational analysis (software settings and results) of Ibogaine. Definitions and measurements used to describe Ibogaines in distance space. 		
2.8	Equation for calculating the relative difference similarity score comparing Ibogaine with the SSRI SERT model		
2.9	Graph of the 24 calculated relative difference similarity scores of each conformation of Ibogaine compared with the SSRI SERT model sorted from low (most similar) to high (least similar)		
2.10	Superposition of the most similar scoreing conformation of Ibogaine to the SSRI SERT model, with the model of the SSRI binding domain at the SERT.		
3.1	The number of non-repetitive combinatorial comparisons for each distance space descriptor follows an arithmetic mean		

3.2	The relative error equation	2
3.3	Objectively, X is determined to be most similar to Y	2
3.4	The relative difference equation	3
3.5	A sample graph of the relative difference equation for $x$ in the range of -3.0 to 3.0. Figure 3.5 shows the comparison between positive and negative can equal positive, negative, or undefined values	4
3.6	Figure 3.6 A sample graph of a modified relative difference equation that takes the absolute value of the denominator. The calculated value of the modified relative difference $y$ for 1 and $x$ over the range of -3.0 to 3.0, has shown that the comparison of positive and negative can equal an undefined or high value. The value $y$ when $x = 0$ will always be higher than the value $y$ when $x = 0$ . It is indicated by the equation above that 1 and -3 or more similar than 1 and -0.75.	1
3.7	The modified relative difference equation	:6
3.8	A sample graph of the modified relative difference equation. The modified relative difference $y$ for one measurement of 1 and one measurement of $x$ over the range of -3.0 to 3.0, is shown. When $x = 0$ , the modified relative difference $y$ equals 2	.6
3.9	The limit of the relative difference between one and $x$ , as $x$ approaches infinity, equals two. The relative difference between two measurements, larger than zero, is less than or equal to two $4$	.7
3.10	Objectively, X and Y are determined to be most the most similar objects. 4	:8
3.11	The similarity score equation using the modified relative difference equation. The similarity score equation can be used for calculating the similarity between the low energy conformations of multiple molecules.	9
4.1	The modified relative difference equation used in the programs 5	4
4.2	The number of non-repetitive combinatorial comparisons for each distance space descriptor follows an arithmetic mean.	i6
4.3	The Perl script and C program run times using the SSRI SERT data set. The programs were all run on the same 2003, Apple 867 MHz G4 PowerBook with 640 MB of RAM	2 58

Introduction to the Methodologies for Designing and Employing a Three-Dimensional Pharmacophore Model Based on Multiple Ligands.

#### Overview

Employing molecular models facilitates contemporary drug design. Three types of models may be employed, including protein-, protein-ligand- and ligand-based models. This dissertation describes the design and execution of a ligand-based modeling methodology. Specifically, the development of a threedimensional (3D) pharmacophore model of the serotonin selective reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) binding domain of the serotonin transporter (SERT) is provided. The basis of the modeling paradigm employed is founded upon the comparison of molecular descriptions structured from multiple distance space measurements and geometric attributes. In turn, this allows a quantitative examination and comparison of the 3D ligand measurements and attributes based on the use of the relative difference equation operated in a summated manner. The comparisons of all possible permutations of ligand conformations within sub-clusters can be analyzed by a summed relative difference approach. Thus, manipulations and analyses of the resultant large data can require the development of custom software. This chapter provides an overview of the modeling paradigm and the approach to drug design. The following three chapters encompass detailed accounts of the ligand-based model, the relative difference approach, and computational aspects. The specific methodology developed and employed in

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

this dissertation together has afforded a novel ligand-based model of the SSRI binding domain of the SERT.

#### Introduction

The design of new molecules targeted towards a specific binding domain is greatly aided by the use of a functional pharmacophore model. This includes the design of novel ligands to act as therapeutics, diagnostic probes or imaging agents. A design model can be based on protein structures, predicted protein-ligand interactions and/or a binding region outlined by the counterpart selective and potent ligand(s). A lock and key partnership may be used as a figurative analogy to a protein-ligand interaction. A protein-based model can be considered a description of the lock, including secondary and tertiary structural lock aspects. The protein-ligand model would define the direct structural requisites of the lock and key interaction. In other words, the proteinligand model would predict the interior tumbler configuration. A model based upon one or more ligands could be thought of as a definition of the tumbler configuration by the analyses of an overlay of multiple keys known to fit the lock. When limited definition of the lock or protein exists ligand-based models offer an alternative and efficacious approach for development of a functional pharmacophore construct useful for drug design.

Though protein-ligand models are desirable, the lack of a predictive protein crystal structure necessitates the use of a ligand-based model. This is the

case with the protein structure of the serotonin transporter (SERT). In 2003, two twelve-transmembrane domain proteins from the major facilitator superfamily, that SERT is included within, were successfully crystallized (Abramson, Huang). However, the predicted intracellular loops in the SERT sequence are not accommodated by the published crystal structures of the *Escherichia coli* lactose permease or glycerol-3-phosphate transporters (Abramson, Huang). Thus, to build a SERT protein homology model based on these recent X-ray structures would lead to an erroneous homology construct. Since a plausible 3D SERT structure has yet to be proffered, a ligand-based model is required for SSRI SERT rationale drug design. New, highly potent and selective ligands could be therapeutic drugs or new cerebral imaging agents. These new ligands types would promote further understanding of select disease states and psychiatric disorders (Vaswani).

The primary goal of this dissertation was to produce a 3D model of the SSRI binding domain at the SERT, that would enable the design of unique and more optimal SERT specific ligands. We desired to develop a methodology for producing the SSRI SERT model that was quantitative, rigorous and based on all plausible ligand comparison permutations. The prospective size of the data sets produced from this analysis approach would require the use of custom software. Standard spreadsheet software solutions most likely would not have the capacity to deal with the amount of data encountered.

In developing the model generation methodology three primary features were addressed. The approach would: a) employ readily available software

resources (not based on a specific software package), b) be promoted by early stage objective numerical analysis to limit subjective user input and c) rely on minimal late stage subjective visual inspections and analysis. By not basing the methodology on a specific software package, the approach remains reproducible in labs with other software packages or computational resources. Beyond initial selection of ligands to be used in the exercise (the training set), removing direct user interaction with ligand conformational analysis by basing methodologies on remote, calculated investigations was thought essential for maintaining objectivity.

Our perspective has been that a model developed should provide predictive qualities, yet may not be a true representation of the biological (protein and/or ligand) motif and/or partnership event. Once a model has been developed, testing its degree of predictive quality is essential such that flawed models are avoided. Initial verification of a model can be accomplished by comparing it with ligands known to bind at the same binding domain as the model, but with varying degrees of potency. This leave-one-out verification step is addressed in Chapter Two. However, the final proof of the predictive quality comes from using the model to design novel ligands.

Ligands designed using a model in theory should possess specificity and affinity for the binding domain of interest. The fresh ligands can be used to improve the model through iterative refinement analysis. By altering structural features of the ligands, such as overall size, extensions of linking atoms (e.g. carbon), or simply adding different functional groups at periodic points about the structure, allows for in-depth 3D mapping of the binding domain pocket. An end point to this recurrent design and refine cycle is reached when the spatial resolution of the model no longer increases. Alternatively, if the protein binding domain becomes known by X-ray determination or as a related homology model, the model mapping exercise is also accomplished.

This chapter presents a brief background of the modeling, model verification and new ligand design exercises. Chapter Two exemplifies the modeling methodology. Chapter Three discusses the use of relative difference for ligand comparison by developing a similarity score used in the modeling methodology. Chapter Four discusses the implementation of the similarity score in custom software development. The remaining portion of the dissertation consists of several appendices that present the primary data obtained during the modeling exercise. The appendices also include the source code for the software written to enable the modeling exercise.

#### Approaches to Modeling

The ease of developing models based on multiple ligands has greatly improved over the past few years. For example, the machine learning based programs HipHop (Accelrys, Inc.) and Gasp (Tripos, Inc.) takes multiple ligands as input and automatically produce a model. Unfortunately, these programs produce multiple models requiring further time and expense to determine which model may possess enhanced predictive qualities. By having the user

place the selected ligands into the software black box without understanding the fundamentals of pharmacophore production, this leaves the user in a quandary when interpreting the resultant pharmacophores. However, having multiple models may be helpful for defining and understanding different plausible binding scenarios. Custom, numerically oriented and manual approaches can be taken to avoid producing multiple models. In the past, SERT models have been based on the global energy minimum conformation of a single molecule (Rupp). The global energy minimum conformation need not be the bioactive conformation (Martin, Nicklaus). As well, a model based on a single molecule will only be as descriptive as the number of features presented by the single molecule itself.

A model based upon multiple molecules provides a more comprehensive description of the 3D binding domain space. The multiple molecule approach is based on comparing seemingly dissimilar molecules that possess high affinity and specificity of selected binding domain to identify the optimal superposition. In the past, this approach has based comparisons on either subjective visual inspections or root means square (RMS) similarity calculations (Mottola, Gundertofte). The approach employed here describes the generation of multi-molecule composite assemblages using distance space descriptors with comparison of those descriptors using relative difference. The comparison of training set molecules (ligands) to identify the optimal superposition is actually an analysis of select low energy conformations of the molecules. In order to accomplish this, all plausible low energy conformations of each molecule must be found. Typically, low energy conformation are defined as those conformations whose energy is less than or equal to 30 kilocalories above the global energy minimum conformation of the molecule (Rupp). It is a good idea to use multiple techniques to insure full descriptive coverage of ligand conformational space. For example, multiple conformation searching techniques might include Monte Carlo and dynamics based methods. In past experience it has been found that the use of only one conformational search method usually does not yield a full set of the low energy ligand conformations.

The low energy conformations are described using distance space descriptors, such as molecular distances and angles. The same set of descriptors must exist for every molecule to allow for the inter-molecular comparisons to occur. As well, the description must be complete and robust enough to distinguish the differences between conformations of the same molecule. The methodology for model building described herein is limited by the number of common distance space descriptors used. It is possible that the molecules chosen for the model building exercise could be so dissimilar that they possess an inadequate number of common distance space descriptors. If this is the case, alternative analysis techniques such as comparing specific atoms,

pharmacophore points, or volumes may become more appropriate.

Subsequently, conformational sets consisting of one conformation of each training set molecule are formed. Then each set is combinatorially compared by analysis of the participating conformer 3D molecular descriptors, thereby providing a similarity score. This score describes the similarity of the ligands in 3D space and is based on the summed relative differences of the distance space descriptions. Since the approach is based on relative difference, the lower the similarity score the more similar the conformations are in 3D space.

Calculating similarity scores for every possible combination of the low energy conformations quickly escalates into many comparisons resulting in gigabytes of data. This data then needs to be sorted in order to find the lowest scores representing the conformations most similar in 3D space. When the data set is too large to fit into the main memory of the computer, it can still be sorted out-of-core memory. This entails a large amount of very slow reading and writing operations to files. A certain amount of speed can be gained by using a parallel file system. Additionally, run times may be improved by keeping only a subset of the data, namely, a portion of the lowest scores. Keeping a subset of the scores allows in-core sorting. Yet, the question remains as to how many of the low scores are enough to fully represent a set of functional model binding. In practice, keeping more than just the lowest score multi-ligand conformational group is useful for understanding subtle commonalities amongst the ligand conformations that were not used as scoring molecular descriptors. Hence, random visual examination of the sets of conformations with increasing

similarity scores is useful for identifying these subtle commonalities.

#### Model Verification

After finding an optimal superposition of ligands in 3D space, the superposition can be used as the basis for a pharmacophore model. Preliminary validation of the model can occur by employing previously unused (leave-one-out) ligands (with high affinity and selectivity for the same binding domain) and comparing them to the initial model. If one of the low energy conformations of the ligands chosen for validation matches the model, then the model can be used for an initial design exercise. If none of the low energy conformations of the leave-one-out ligands match the model then the model is deemed invalid. A non-robust model may arise as a function of distance space descriptors being too general and/or too few distance space descriptors have been employed. Further, one or more of the molecules composing the initial model may need to be replaced in order to provide a better selection of common distance space descriptors, and therefore, a more robust model.

#### The Drug Design Exercise

There are two ways to approach the new drug design exercise that utilizes the ligand-based pharmacophore model. This can be either as a team or as an individual effort. The more efficient of the two methods occurs when

experts in chemical synthesis, toxicology and metabolism work together with the model. The other approach is for the individual researcher to take on the design exercise alone. Potential efficiency of working in a team comes from the ease of collectively understanding synthesis details, potential toxicity issues, logP (lipophilicity) profiles and metabolism of the ligand, all of these variables may be considered in one design meeting. Conversely, existing software can aid the individual in the design of synthesis, calculation of logP values, toxicity profiles, and metabolism of the ligands. Yet, relying on several software predictions can pose a precarious situation to the individual drug designer.

New ligands designed against the model need to present points of interaction with the protein at the same 3D locals as described by the model. However, for new drug design it should be realized that it may not be necessary to present all points of interaction described by the model. The points of interaction that are important for the project can be decided by the design team. The conformation of the new ligand designed by the team or individual may require more energy than is available in the biological system to achieve the conformation necessary for protein binding. Therefore, a conformational analysis should be employed for each new ligand in order to identify if a low energy conformation(s) agrees with the model. Then the new ligand motifs afforded from the design exercise are exemplified by synthesis. Subsequently, the new drugs are evaluated for binding affinity (e.g. Ki, competitive inhibition binding constant) and selectivity at the SERT. These data provide proof of the predictive qualities of the pharmacophore model for new ligand design purposes.

#### Conclusion

In summary, an objective and quantitative model construction methodology based on multiple ligands has been developed for producing a 3D pharmacophore model of the SSRI binding domain of the SERT. The multiligand training set proffers a fairly complete description of the SSRI SERT where a select optimal superposition serves as the foundation of the model. The methodology for finding an optimal superposition of seemingly dissimilar ligands in 3D space supports the use of readily available computational resources instead of a specific software package.

The low energy conformations of ligands known to bind at the binding domain being modeled are compared with the model to provide initial validation. After initial confirmation of the predictive qualities of the model it can be used as a design template. This multidisciplinary design exercise needs to assess the ease of synthesis, potential toxicity, metabolism, and logP value of the potential new ligand. The model can be an effective tool for the design of novel ligands with high affinity and selectivity for the binding domain of interest.

Abramson, J., Smirnove, I., Kasho, V., Verner, G., Kaback, H.R., and Iwata, S. Structure and Meachansim of the Lactose Permease of *Escherichia coli*. *Science*, 2003, **301**, 610-615

Accelrys, Inc., San Diego, California, www.accelrys.com

- Gundertofte, K., Bøgesø, K.P., and Liljefors, T. A stereoselective pharmacophore model of the serotonin re-uptake site. In: *Computer-assisted lead finding and optimization*, Waterbeemd, H., Testa, B., and Folkers, G., Eds., VHCA, Basil, and Wiley-VHC, Weinheim, 1997, pp.445-459.
- Huang, Y., Lemieux, M.J., Song, J., Auer, M., Wang, D. Structure and
  Meachanism of the Glycerol-3-Phosphate Transporter from Eacherichia
  coli. *Science*, 2003, **301**, 616-620
- Martin, Y.C. Pharmacophore Mapping. In: Designing bioactive molecules: threedimensional techniques and applications, Martin, Y.C., and Willet, P., Eds., ACS, Washington DC, 1998, pp. 121-148.

- Mottola, D.M., Laiter, S., Watts, V.J., Tropsha, A., Wyrick, S.D., Nichols, D.E., and Mailman, R.B. Conformational analysis of D1 dopamine receptor agonists: pharmacophore assessment and receptor mapping. *J. Med Chem*. 1996, **39**, 285-296.
- Nicklaus, M.C., Wang, S., Driscoll, J.S., and Milne, G.W. Conformational changes of small molecules binding to proteins. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 1995, **3**, 411-428.
- Rupp, A., Kovar, K., Beuerle, G., Ruf, C., and Folkers, G. A new pharmacophoric model for 5HT reuptake-inhibitors: differentiation of amphetamine analogues. *Pharma. Acta Helv.* 1994, **68**, 235-244.

Tripos, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri, www.tripos.com

Vaswani, M., Linda, F.K., and Ramesh, S. Role of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors in Psychiatric Disorders: a Comprehensive Review. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry*. 2002, **27**, 85-102 A Simple Methodology for the Production of Three-Dimensional Models: Serotonin Transporter as Example.

#### Introduction

Methodologies and tools for determining molecular similarity are useful for identifying optimal superpositions of seemingly dissimilar molecules which bind at a common binding domain (Perkins, Papadopoulos). Considering molecular diversity along with the varied protein binding domain motifs, the availability of multiple methodologies and tools for finding molecular similarity allows for the development of functional models to become more efficacious. The investigation presented here describes a straight forward and numerical methodology for discerning molecular similarity amongst ligands. The easy to understand, bias limiting multi-molecule approach (Dean) has been applied to the fabrication of 3-D pharmacophore template of the serotonin selective reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) binding domain of the serotonin transporter (SERT). Previous modeling endeavors and model building software, will be covered very briefly, followed by an in-depth presentation of the approach we took to develop a 3-D pharmacophore template.

Pioneering pharmacophore development work was solely based upon the lowest energy (global minima) conformation of a single molecule (Rupp). The the global conformational energy minima conformation need not be the bioactive conformation (Martin, Nicklaus). However, molecules possessing a single low

energy conformation, or where the bioactive conformation is known, could be used as the foundation for a model. The structural features of this one molecule, or lack there of, could lend to a bias in the model (Nicklaus). Potential bias, or descriptive inadequacies, can be avoided by using multiple, equally weighted (showing no preference for one over another) molecules in the model building process.

A multi-molecule approach to model building has been previously reported (Mottola, Gundertofte). These methodologies have employed superpositioning of molecules using such force as to introduce conformational distortions, have used visual inspections to to subjectively determine conformational similarity or both. Results obtained in this manner have inherent bias, which may lead away from, instead of towards a mean result. Introduction of subjectivity into the modeling exercise will lead to results which are ambiguous. This can also lead to results which are not repeatable in other labs. By employing the same force field to calculate conformational energy and the same methodology, identical models should be reproducible at separate labs.

Advances in software (Accelrys, Tripos) have afforded users a level of abstraction from the modeling exercise. These computational tools have brought modeling to a wider audience, which in turn has enabled greater rate of model production. Unless the basis of the tools are understood the increased productivity may be in vain. Automated pharmacophore development tools usually produce multiple plausible models. It is then up to the user to pick the right one. This can either be done subjectively or through further testing of

each possible model to determine which one is right. The later can be time and resource intensive.

As a brief overview of our methodology, the approach we undertook is based on a more descriptive multi-molecule construct. Where the multiple molecules posses a high degree affinity and selectivity for the binding domain of interest. A full search for all low energy conformations of each molecule was performed and compared numerically to determine the set of conformations that are most similar. This set of most similar conformations, consisting of one conformation from each molecule, was superposed using minimal energy. The approach is covered, in-depth, below.

Each of the molecules on which the model is based is able to access a specific 3-D conformation in order to interact at the binding domain. At the binding event, each molecule must present this conformation that is functionally similar to the conformation presented by the other molecules at the same event. As long as the same dynamic response is elicited. In other words, each molecule must present a similar conformation to interact at the binding domain. If the most similar conformations of each molecule are found, then these conformations could represent the bioactive conformation of each molecule (Dean, Jin). This assumes that the molecules are binding within the same binding pocket of the binding domain.

The molecules selected (the training set) for the modeling exercise should possess high affinity, selectivity for the binding domain of interest, structural rigidity, structural diversity, and contain a common set of descriptors. A

common set of descriptors may be ambiguous at this point, but it will be discussed later in detail. Theoretically, a multi-molecule construct must contain two or more molecules. It has been found, using four to five molecules limits bias while keeping the data sets small enough to be usable. Three molecules may just provide enough information for an unbiased model, while data sets containing six or more molecules may become too large to be usable. The time and computational resources may not be available to deal with very large data sets. If more molecules are found than are needed by the training set, then one can be set aside for a later use in a simple first pass "leave one out" test of the viability of the model.

Once the training set has been selected, conformational analysis of the molecules occurs. All low energy conformations of each molecule must be found for the use in the comparison step. We defined low energy conformations as a molecular conformation resting at a local energy minima which is less than 30kcals/mol above the global energy minimum of the molecule (Rupp). It has been argued both 30kcals/mol above the global energy minimum is too high and the conformational energy of the protein bound structure could be as high as 40 kcals/mol over the global conformational energy minima in a vacuum (Nicklaus).

Conformational analysis can be accomplished by multiple methods (eg. molecular dynamics, Monte' Carlo, systematic, etc). It has been found that the use of more than one of these methods ensures better coverage of conformational space. As well, the same force field must be used in the minimization step of

the conformation search routines. Using multiple force fields will lead to the production of conformationally and energetically different, but incomparable conformations.

Similarity is based upon an objective comparison of molecular features in order to determine which conformations, between the molecules, are most alike. The comparative features can range from molecular surface areas and electrostatic fields to distance space descriptors (Jin, Greco, Crippen, Blumenthal). We elected to use distance space descriptors. As the comparative descriptors more completely describe the molecules, the uniqueness of each conformation becomes numerically more apparent. The comparison of descriptors is carried out using a combinatorial relative difference calculation. The outcome of the calculation is a similarity score or a value representing how similar a set of conformations are. The lower the score the more similar the conformations in 3-D space. The purely mathematical approach provides an objective basis as opposed to subjective decisions based upon visualization, which can be misleading.

After numerically reaching this point, a subjective check may provide initial validation of the modeling exercise. A superposition of the most similar set of conformations should produce an image which visually shows the conformations better accessing equivalent points of potential binding (better alignment in an overlay image) than a superposition of a conformational set with a median score. The set of conformations with the median score should, in turn, provide a better overlay than the most dissimilar set of conformations. If the lower scoring overlays do not visually provide better overlap of points determined to plausibly be important during the binding event, then the modeling exercise need to be repeated with changes enacted. Changes may include using a more detailed set of descriptors, replacement of one or more of the molecules, or removal of a molecule.

As part of this proposed methodology a first pass test of the pharmacophore models validity is accomplished by means similar to a "leave one out" method. A molecule not utilized in the modeling exercise is compared with the pharmacophore for similarity. If comparison shows the leave one out molecule is similar to the model then it becomes appropriate to spend lab time and resource for further validation for the model. Even though only one molecule compared with the model is written to here, it is appropriate to compare more than one molecule with the model. The leave one out molecule can be of low or high affinity for the binding domain of interest, but should possess structural rigidity. A very flexible molecule inherently has many low energy conformations and should be avoided, if possible. The number of low energy conformations increases the possibility of finding one similar to an incorrect model. Ibogaine was selected as the molecule to be used in our "leave one out" test. Ibogaine has low affinity for the SSRI binding domain of the SERT (0.55  $\mu$ M, (Baumann)) and is promiscuous at other binding domains. The structural rigidity of ibogaine extremely limits the possibilities for presenting the pharmacophore to bind at the SSRI binding domain of the SERT, making it a good candidate for an initial comparison with the 3-D SSRI SERT pharmacophore model.

Four molecules were chosen (based on activity, selectivity and structure) for modeling the 3-D pharmacophore for the SSRI binding domain at the SERT (Figure 2.1). Conformational analysis was performed on the four molecules using both a Monte' Carlo and a molecular dynamics methodology. The Monte' Carlo method used was called "randomsearch" and is a part of Sybyl 6.4 (Tripos). The molecular dynamics method used was AESOP which was run within the Sybyl 6.4 environment (AESOP). A Sybyl molecular spreadsheet was produced for each molecule containing all the conformations found through the multiple conformational searches. All conformations were moved to their local conformational energy minima using the minimization routine with the force field set at the default settings in Sybyl 6.4. Low energy conformations were defined as conformations with energy less than or equal to 30 kcals/mol above the global energy minimum for the molecule. High energy conformations were defined as conformations with energy greater than 30 kcals/mol above the global energy minimum for the molecule. Duplicate and high energy conformations were eliminated from the Sybyl molecular spreadsheets resulting in four spreadsheets, where each one contained the low energy non-duplicate conformations of one molecule. The specific setting used in the software for the conformational searches as well as the results of these searches can be seen in Figure 2.2.


Distance space descriptors were used in developing a comparable set of descriptors for the SERT SSRI modeling endeavor (Figure 2.3). The low energy conformations of each molecule were described using the descriptors in Figure 2.3. The descriptor measurements were obtained from and stored using Sybyl molecular spreadsheets. The four Sybyl molecular spreadsheets containing the measures were exported as text files to facilitate the comparisons, as described below.

The description of each conformation of each molecule was combinatorially compared against the descriptions of every conformation of the other molecules. The comparison was accomplished using the relative difference equation for the case when neither measurement is known to be correct. The exact implementation of the formula can be seen in Figure 2.4. A lower score indicates the conformations being compared are more similar. Descriptor comparison was carried out using a custom written program that encompasses the implementation of the relative difference equation. The program creates a text file consisting of the comparison results for each descriptor and the overall similarity score for each comparison group.

The text was loaded into the data analysis and graphing program Igor Pro (WaveMetrics). Using Igor Pro the comparison groups were sorted by their overall similarity score from lowest score to highest score. The graph of the sorted scores can be seen in Figure 2.5. The 128 lowest scoring (most similar) conformational comparison groups were various conformations of rotomers of the same conformations. The 128th lowest scoring group was the lowest

	Confe	ormational Analysis and I	Results		
	(1S,4S)-(+)-cis-Sertraline	(6S,10bR)-(+)-MCN-5652-X	(1R,3S)-(+)-trans-Indatraline	(1S)-(+)Citalopram	
randomsearch (Monte' Carlo) as i	implemented in Tripos Sybyl	6.4 using the default settings ext	cept check chirality was always	selected and the following:	
tailor random search ring bond (angstroms)	16	16 - 32	16 - 24	16	
minimization maximum iterations	600 - 800	600 - 1.000	600 - 800	600	
randomsearch maximum iterations	1,000 - 10,000	1.000 - 10.000	5.000 - 10.000	10.000 - 25.000	
energy change cutoff (kcals/mol)	50 - 3.000	50 - 8,000	50 - 3 000	35 - 1 600	
RMS threshold	0.001 - 0.002	0.000 - 0.003	0.001 - 0.002	0.002 - 0.003	
convergence threshold (kcals/mol)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
maximum hits	16	18 - 54	18	18	
number of searches	S	8	8	5	
Aesop (molecular dynamics) as ir	mplemented by Zeneca in the	tripos Sybyl 6.4 environment u	sing the default settings except	as follows:	
temperature (K)	1,000 - 3,000	500 - 3,000	1,000 - 3,000	1,000 - 3,000	
expression	1.000 - 10.000	1,000 - 10,000	5.000 - 10.000	20,000 - 80,000	
number of searches	9	8	9	8	
Totals after minimizing conforma duplicate and high energy conform	ations to zero energy change (	sing the default setting in Sybyl	l 6.4 and combining the resultan	t conformations and removing	
number of low energy conformations	16	12	29	233	
energy range of conformations (kcals/mol)	1.442 - 8.085	7.397 - 13.057	7.467 - 15.832	8.853 - 19.634	

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

23

Figure 2.2 Conformational analysis (software settings and results) of Sertraline, MCN-5652, Indatraline, and S-Citalopram.

## Defining the Conformations in Distance Space

Definitions Made in Sybyl 6.4 to Facilitate Measurement Descriptions

- Ar1 Centroid
- Ar2 Centroid

Ar1 Axis

Ar2 Axis

YZ Plane - defined as the plane going through both the Ar1 Axis and Ar2 Axis

XY Plane - defined by the Ar1 Axis and 2 normals that start at the connecting carbon and are normal to the YZ Plane

XZ Plane - defined by the normals that start at the connecting carbon and are normal to the YZ Plane and the normals that start at the connecting carbon and are normal to the XY Plane

Ar1 Plane - defined by the Ar1 ring Ar2 Plane - defined by the Ar2 ring



Descriptions Used to Describe Conformations in Distance Space

N to Ar1 N to Ar2 N to Ar1 Plane - absolute value N to Ar2 Plane - absolute value N to the Connecting Carbon Ar1 Centroid to Ar2 Centroid Ar1 to Ar2 Plane - absolute value Ar2 to Ar1 Plane - absolute value Angle Ar1 Cetroid to the Connecting Carbon to N Angle Ar2 centroid to the Connecting Carbon to N N to YZ plane - explicit value N to XY plane - explicit value N to XZ plane - explicit value Plane Angle - Ar1 Plane to YZ plane explicit value Plane Angle - Ar2 plane to YZ plane explicit value

Explicit disance measurments are positive or negative depending on where N is in the defined coordinate system as shown.

After measurement, plane angles were adjusted to have the angle distibution centered at 90 degrees, with all conformations having a congruent placement of zero degrees

S-Citalopram was also described with Ar1 and Ar2 reversed from what is shown in figure 2.1. This reversed description yielded much poorer similarity scores leading to the conlcusion that the Ar1 and Ar2 definitions as shown in figure 1 is correct for the pharmacophore biulding exercise.

Figure 2.3 Definitions and measurements used to describe conformations in distance space.



As the number of molecules being compared increases the number of relative difference calculations increase following an arithmetic mean.

 $V_k^{\alpha}$  = measure k of molecules  $\alpha$  $\iff$  = one relative difference calculation (rdc) n = number of molecules

$$n_{\rm rdc} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i = \frac{(n-1)(n)}{2}$$



 $n_{rdc}$  = number of relative difference calculations within a conformational comparison group

 $d_{measures}$  = number of distance space descriptors  $V_{i}^{\alpha}$  = measure k of molecule  $\alpha$  (distances, angles, etc.) score = the calculated similarity of one conformational

comparison group

conformational comparison group = one low energy conformation of each molecule

Figure 2.4 Equation for calculating the similarity score for one conformational comparison group through the application of relative difference. The number of relative difference calculations for the SERT SSRI data set is equal to 116,760,344 (1,297,344 comparison groups x 15 compared measures x 6 combinatorial relative difference calculations per measurements).



Figure 2.5 Graph of the 1,297,344 calculated similarity scores of each conformational comparison group sorted from low (most similar) to high (least similar). The inset graph shows the 160 lowest similarity scores.

scoring instance were the lone pair of electrons on the terminal amine aligned and therefore was selected as the basis for the SSRI binding domain of the SERT model (appendix 2.1).

Superposition of the 128th most similar conformational set can be seen in Figure 2.6. Superposition of the four conformations involved in a comparison group of SSRI ligands was achieved using the multifit routine in Sybyl6.4. In this routine, a spring constant was set between pairs of points on each conformation. A minimum of three pairs of points between each conformation is required. Using a large spring constant at select locations will literally force



	Α	В	С	D	Е	F
		distance	distance	distance	distance	distance
	distance	N to	Ar1 centroid to	N to	N to	Ar2 centroid
	N to X	Ar2 centroid	Ar2 centroid	Ar1 centroid	Ar1 Plane	to X
	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)
Sertraline 2.135 kcals/mol	8.737	3.720	4.822	5.949	1.352	7.611
MCN-5652 13.035 kcals/mol	8.253	3.734	4.912	5.164	1.430	7.762
Indatraline 14.532 kcals/mol	9.342	3.762	4.952	6.226	1.150	7.800
S-Citalopram 15.585 kcals/mol	11.031	4.618	4.975	7.131	1.843	8.696
average	9.341	3.959	4.915	6.118	1.444	7.967

	G	Н	I	J	К	L
				torsion angle		
	distance	distance	distance	between	distance	distance
	hetero atom	N to	hetero atom to	hetero atom	hetero atom to	hetero atom to
	to X	hetero atom	Ar1 plane	and Ar1 plane	Ar2 centroid	Ar1 centroid
	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)
S-Citalopram 15.585 kcals/mol	7.051	5.098	0.489	16.4	3.713	3.328
average	7.051	5.098	0.489	16.4	3.713	3.328

		Μ	N	0	Р
All measurements			torsion angle	torsion angle	torsion angle
were taken using		distance	between	between	between
Tripos Sybyl 6.4		Ar1 centroid	Arl axis	N and	Ar2 axis
The conformations		to X	and Ar2 Plane	Ar1 plane	and Ar1 plane
I ne conformations		(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(degrees)
have been energy minimized to zero energy change using Sybyl 6.4 default settings.	Sertraline 2.135 kcals/mol	3.153	42.0	23.6	46.8
	MCN-5652 13.035 kcals/mol	3.175	31.8	69.3	47.7
	Indatraline 14.532 kcals/mol	3.153	50.9	73.2	36.3
	S-Citalopram 15.585 kcals/mol	3.992	81.7	78.4	53.6
	average	3.368	51.6	61.1	46.1

Figure 2.6 Superposition of Sertraline, MCN-5652, Indatraline, and S-Citalopram as the basis for the model of the SSRI binding domain at the SERT.

27

the conformations together, possibly raising the potential energy of each conformation well outside what is possible in the native biological system. In the superposition shown in Figure 2.6, a one calorie spring constant (the minimum allowed by the software package) was used at the minimum of three point pairs between the conformation. The point pairs used in the multifit routine were the two associated aromatic ring centroids and the terminal amine. Using both the minimum number of point pairs and spring constants introduces the least amount of energy into the system.

The low energy conformations of ibogaine were determined using the SYBYL randomsearch (Figure 2.7). Distance space descriptors (Figure 2.7) were used to compare ibogaine with the SSRI SERT pharmacophore using relative difference (Figure 2.8). The calculated score was sorted from low to high (Figure 2.9). The most similar conformation of ibogaine to the SSRI SERT model was superposed with the model (Figure 2.10). The superposition was accomplished using the one calorie spring constants methodology described above. The three points used in the superposition were the terminal amine, C8 and C9 as labeled in Figure 2.7.

#### Results

The pharmacophore for the SSRI binding domain of the SERT is based upon the 128th most similar group (Figure 2.5). The 128th most similar group is the first group in which the terminal amine lone pairs of electrons align.

#### **Conformational Analysis of Ibogaine**

SYBYL randomsearch ring bond = 42.00 Åminimization maximum iterations = 800 randomsearch maximum iterations = 2000 energy change cutoff = 5000K RMS threshold = 0/003 Å convergence threshold = 0.000maximum hits - 32 number of searches = 1

number of low energy conformations found = 24 energy range of low energy conformations = 33.536 - 35.937 kcals/mol

The head group area (non-aromatic tricyclic area) is capable of two low energy conformations. Rotation of the methoxy and ethyl side chains account for 12 possible low energy conformations for each head group conformation (2 x 12 = 24).



- angle between terminal amine, C8, C5
- distance along the Ar1 axis from C8 to a point which is closest to the terminal amine • distance from the point on the Ar1 axis which is closest to the terminal amine, to the terminal amine
- distance from the point on the Ar1 axis which is closest to the terminal amine, to the point on the Ar1 plane which is closest to the terminal amine

Figure 2.7 Conformational analysis (software settings and results) of Ibogaine. Definitions and measurements used to describe Ibogaines in distance space.



# *N<sub>measures</sub>*

where m = measure from modelt = measure fron test ligand (ibogaine, in this case)

 $n_{measures} = number of compared measures$ 

 $V_k^{\alpha}$  = measure k of molecules  $\alpha$ 

Figure 2.8 Equation for calculating the relative difference similarity score comparing Ibogaine with the SSRI SERT model.



Figure 2.9 Graph of the 24 calculated relative difference similarity scores of each conformation of Ibogaine compared with the SSRI SERT model sorted from low (most similar) to high (least similar).

Terminal amine lone pair electron vectors had not been described by the descriptors. Differences between the 128th group and the 127 more similar groups are entirely accounted for by rotation of the terminal amine, X group, and the Ar1 ring. The final results of the modeling exercise, a superposition of the training set and a distance space description of the superposition are shown in Figure 2.6.



Figure 2.10 Superposition of the most similar scoreing conformation of Ibogaine to the SSRI SERT model, with the model of the SSRI binding domain at the SERT.

Discussion

Considering the shape of the graph shown in figure 2.5, a small percent of the total number of conformational comparison groups are very similar in 3D space. As well, a small percent of the total number of conformational comparison groups are very dissimilar in 3D space. The majority of similarity scores indicate there is a small consistent increase in the lack of similarity going from left to right on the graph. These properties, seem intrinsic to the type of analysis done here.

The trend in increasing similarity score should be visually apparent when a subjective viewing of a random sampling of conformational comparison groups with increasing similarity scores. This should be especially true if at least ten percent of the

data occurs between the random sampling points. Upon visual inspection, subjectively if the increasing dissimilarity is not seen the results may be classified as ambiguous. Ambiguous results warrant close inspection before continuing the modeling exercise. Experience, has shown an increase in the number of common distance space descriptors will remove the ambiguity.

The model of the SERT SSRI binding domain was developed from inhibitors which bind at the SERT, but do not transport. In theory, new molecules produced with a reduction in the number of points of interaction between ligand and transporter, addition of conformational flexibility, or a combination of both will lead to transportable substances at the SERT. This could be systematically tested to achieve understanding of the amount of flexibility and which combinations of points of interaction are necessary to prevent transport.

Ibogaine provides some initial answers. Ibogaine is a very rigid molecule, lacks a second aromatic ring and does not transport at the SERT. The ibogaine head group area occupies space not defined by the SSRI SERT model. As well, the potential of the ibogaine hetero atom to be involved in a binding event is reduced as the lone pair of electrons are involved in aromaticity. The aromaticity of the hetero atom and excessive molecular volume (Mottola) could explain the lower affinity of ibogaine to the SSRI binding domain of the SERT.

Relative difference is a basic straight forward way to compare two values. The most popular version of this equation compares an unknown value against a known value. The equation indicates how much larger or smaller the unknown value is compared to the known value. When relative difference is between two values of which neither value

may be correct, larger and smaller becomes mute. This explains taking the absolute value of the numerator (Figure 2.3), where the magnitude between two values is important and not whether one value is greater than the other. The denominator represents the average between the two magnitudes of the numerator. This is accomplished by taking the absolute value of each participant in the denominator, individually (Figure 2.3).

#### Conclusion

The 3-D pharmacophore template of the SSRI binding domain at the SERT resulting from this methodology has been successfully used in several design exercises. One of which is the design of the highly potent 2'-methyl-6-nitroquipazine SERT inhibitor ligand (Ki = 81 pM, (Gerdes)). The simplicity and effectiveness of this methodology allows the modeler to use any combination of a variety of readily available inexpensive software tools to develop rigorous 3-D pharmacophore models.

# References

Accelrys, Inc., San Diego, California, www.accelrys.com

AESOP developed by B.B. Masek, Zeneca, Wilmington, Delaware.

- Baumann, B.H., Pable, J.P., Ali, S.F., Rothman, R.B., and Mash, D.C. Noribogaine (12-hydroxyibogaine0: a biologically active metabolite of the antiaddicitive drug ibogaine. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 2000, **914**, 354-368.
- Blumenthal, L.M. *Theory and applications of distance geometry*. Chelsea Publishing, Bronx, 1970.
- Crippen, G.M. A novel approach to calculations of conformation: distance geometry. *J. Comp. Phys.* 1997, **24**, 96-107.
- Dean, P.M., and Perkins, T.D.J. Calculation of three-dimensional similarity. In:
   *Designing bioactive molecules: three-dimensional techniques and applications*,
   Martin, Y.C., and Willet, P., Eds., ACS, Washington DC, 1998, pp. 199-218.
- Gerdes, J.M., DeFina, S.C., Wilson, P.A., and Taylor, S.E. Serotonin transporter inhibitors: synthesis and binding potency of 2'-methyl- and 3'-methyl-6nitroquipazine. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2000, **10**, 2643-2646.

- Greco, G., Novellino, E., and Martin, Y.C. 3D-QSAR methods. In: *Designing bioactive molecules: three-dimensional techniques and applications*, Martin, Y.C., and Willet, P., Eds., ACS, Washington DC, 1998, pp. 219-252.
- Gundertofte, K., Bøgesø, K.P., and Liljefors, T. A stereoselective pharmacophore model of the serotonin re-uptake site. In: *Computer-assisted lead finding and optimization*, Waterbeemd, H., Testa, B., and Folkers, G., Eds., VHCA, Basil, and Wiley-VHC, Weinheim, 1997, pp.445-459.
- Jin, B., and Hopfinger, A.J. A proposed common spatial pharmacophore and the corresponding active conformations of some TxA<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* 1994, **34**, 1014-1021.
- Martin, Y.C. Pharmacophore Mapping. In: Designing bioactive molecules: threedimensional techniques and applications, Martin, Y.C., and Willet, P., Eds., ACS, Washington DC, 1998, pp. 121-148.
- Mottola, D.M., Laiter, S., Watts, V.J., Tropsha, A., Wyrick, S.D., Nichols, D.E., and Mailman, R.B. Conformational analysis of D<sub>1</sub> dopamine receptor agonists: pharmacophore assessment and receptor mapping. *J. Med Chem.* 1996, **39**, 285-296.

- Nicklaus, M.C., Wang, S., Driscoll, J.S., and Milne, G.W. Conformational changes of small molecules binding to proteins. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 1995, **3**, 411-428.
- Papadopoulos, M.C., and Dean, P.M. Molecular structure matching by simulated annealing. IV. Classification of atom correspondences in sets of dissimilar molecules. J. Comput.-Aided Mol. Design 1991, 4, 119-133.
- Perkins, T.D.J., and Dean, P.M. Molecular partial similarity using surfacevolume comparisons. In: *Computer-assisted lead finding and optimization*, Waterbeemd, H., Testa, B., and Folkers, G., Eds., VHCA, Basil, and Wiley-VHC, Weinheim, 1997, pp. 421-432.
- Rupp, A., Kovar, K., Beuerle, G., Ruf, C., and Folkers, G. A new pharmacophoric model for 5HT reuptake-inhibitors: differentiation of amphetamine analogues. *Pharma. Acta Helv.* 1994, 68, 235-244.

Tripos, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri, www.tripos.com

WaveMetrics, Inc., Lake Oswego, Oregon, www.wavemetrics.com

The Nuances of Comparing Molecular Descriptors Using Relative Difference

# Introduction

Comparing the low energy conformations of multiple, structurally diverse molecules active at the same binding domain, for commonalities in three-dimensional (3D) space can lead to a pharmacophore model for said binding domain. Mottola et al., briefly noted performing these comparisons to determine similarity between molecules, but lacked a detailed methodology, in their D<sub>1</sub> dopamine receptor pharmacophore development work. Using comparisons without describing how they were done makes it difficult to repeat the experiment, and to adapt the methodology to new work. Gundertofte used root mean square (RMS) to accomplish comparisons in developing a serotonin (5-HT) transporter (SERT) pharmacophore model. This technique involves comparing specific atoms that theoretically have identical locations in 3D space. Depending upon how structurally diverse the set of molecules being compared are, the number of identically located atoms may be very limited or non existent. It is possible to define identical points, which are not atoms, in space. However, in practice, this may prove difficult with current software packages such as Sybyl (Tripos). The methodology implemented here, to overcome the limitations of RMS, describes the molecules of interest using distance space descriptors (Blumenthal), followed by the comparison of these descriptors using relative difference. The relative difference equation used here has been modified

to produce the value two when a positive value is compared with zero or a negative number. The modified relative difference equation calculates the same values as the relative difference equation for values that are either both positive or both negative. However, the modified equation calculates the value of two when the two values used in the equation have opposite signs or one value is zero. Normally the values used in the relative difference equation are both positive. The modification provides an indicator when the values being used in the relative difference have opposite signs or one of the values is zero. After a brief overview of the pharmacophore building exercise, the modified relative difference equation and resulting similarity score equation will be explained.

In this exercise, low energy conformations have been defined as the those conformations with a conformational energy less than or equal to 30 kcals per mol over the global energy minima (Rupp). Multiple molecules with an affinity for the same binding domain will present points having the necessary properties for binding at the same locations in 3D space in order to invoke the binding event. The low energy conformations of each molecules are described using distance space descriptors. The descriptors are compared for similarity using the equation being developed below that calculates a similarity score for a set of low energy conformations (Figure 3.11). A set equals one low energy conformation from each molecule. The low energy conformation of each of the molecules that are the most similar in 3D space are representative of the bioactive, binding conformation. It is possible to have multiple sets of low energy conformations that possess equal similarity. In other words, the calculated similarity scores

are identical. In the event multiple sets are found to have equal similarity, it most likely stems from a distance space description that is unable to distinguish between conformations. This points to the necessity of using sufficiently descriptive descriptions when comparing conformations. Each conformation is unique and should be represented by the distance space description. When one set of low energy conformations is found to be the most similar in 3D space these conformations can be superposed, producing the foundation for a pharmacophore model.

Each of the four serotonin selective reuptake inhibitors (SSRI), escitalopram, indatraline, MCN-5652, and sertraline which bind at the serotonin transporter (SERT) were described using 15 common distance space descriptors (primary data located in appendixes 3.1-3.4). The measurements that the distance space descriptors are based on were taken using the Sybyl software package. Each conformations was minimized to zero energy change using the default force field settings in Sybyl. As stated above, distance space descriptors such as distances, angles, and position vectors can be used to describe general attributes of a molecule without having to compare specific points, as in RMS comparisons. Other properties associated with the volumes and surfaces of molecules, such as regions of charge, may also be good descriptors for use in comparisons. Once every low energy conformation of each molecule is described, they can be compared.

Every combinatorial comparison set, one low energy conformation from each molecule, was compared. Within each combinatorial comparison set, each

descriptor was combinatorially compared. The total number of comparisons required in this project equals the product of the low energy conformations, number of descriptors, and the number of possible non repetitive combinatorial comparisons for each descriptor:

12 low energy conformations of MCN-5652

- x 16 low energy conformations of sertraline
- x 29 low energy conformations of indatraline
- x 233 low energy conformations of escitalopram
- x 15 descriptors
- x 6 non repetitive combinatorial comparisons for each descriptor(6 pairwise relative difference calculations for each descriptor)
- = 116,760,960 comparisons

The number of non-repetitive combinatorial comparisons for each descriptor follows an arithmetic mean (Figure 3.1). The end result of these comparisons is an objective determination of which combinatorial conformation set is the most similar in 3D space and can serve as the basis for a pharmacophore model of the SSRI binding domain of the SERT.



 $V_k^{\alpha}$  = measure k of molecules  $\alpha$   $\iff$  = one relative difference calculation (rdc) n = number of molecules



Figure 3.1 The number of non-repetitive combinatorial comparisons for each distance space descriptor follows an arithmetic mean.

The Modified Relative Difference Equation

Distance space descriptors, are essentially measurements used to describe an object and can be compared using the relative difference equation (Wilson). Figure 3.2 shows the relative error equation when one value is known to be correct. The relative error and relative difference equations calculate a unit-less value. The units in the numerator and denominator cancel. The calculated value is representative of the deviation between two measurements. The smaller this value is, the more similar the two measurements are (see the examples in Figure 3.3). Regardless of the initial magnitude of the measurements, the resultant relative differences will have similar magnitudes. This allows for these values, representative of the similarity between two measurements, to be summed without unduly weighting larger measurements.

measure–actual relative error:

Figure 3.2 The relative error equation.

Object Y is know to be the correct size. Is object X or object Z most similar to object Y?

Subjectively, in this example, one should be able to see that object X is most similar to object Y.

Objectively, this similarity between objects can be determine by using relative difference. The equation used for the special case when one object is known to be correct is referred to as relative error. The calculations using the equation shown in figure 3.2 can be seen at the bottom of this figure. Lower values indicate greater similarity. The calculations show that object X is most similar to object Y.





X Y comparison 
$$\frac{|72^{\circ}-75^{\circ}|}{75^{\circ}} + \frac{|58mm-60mm|}{60mm} + \frac{|27mm-30mm|}{30mm} = 0.04 + 0.03 + 0.10 = 0.17$$
  
Z Y comparison 
$$\frac{|65^{\circ}-75^{\circ}|}{75^{\circ}} + \frac{|45mm-60mm|}{60mm} + \frac{|20mm-30mm|}{30mm} = 0.13 + 0.25 + 0.33 = 0.71$$

Figure 3.3 Objectively, X is determined to be most similar to Y.



Figure 3.4 The relative difference equation.

In the case of developing a pharmacophore model there are no known correct values. The relative difference equation used in the case when there are no known values can be seen in Figure 3.4. The denominator in this relative difference equation is the average of the two values. In essence the relative difference equation for the case when there are no known correct values, says the correct values is halfway between the two measurements.

Normally the relative difference between a positive number and a negative number would not be calculated, because the numbers normally compared using relative difference are magnitudes, non-vector, directionless quantities. However, it would be useful in comparing distance space descriptions of molecules to be able to define a plane through the molecules, take measurements from a common point in the molecules to the plane, define which side of the plane the point is on, and have the comparison of points on opposite sides of the plane result in a high (bad) score. In the graphs, the measurements are one and x. The calculated relative difference of one and x is y. The calculated value of y corresponds to the values of the relative differences over the range of x on the graph. Looking ahead at the eventual summing of values to create an overall similarity score, unlike Figure 3.5, the summed values need to be positive.



Figure 3.5 A sample graph of the relative difference equation for x in the range of -3.0 to 3.0. Figure 3.5 shows the comparison between positive and negative can equal positive, negative, or undefined values.

Taking the absolute value of the denominator (Figure 3.6) solves the problem of calculating negative y values. However, a problem still exists when comparing positive and negative values, a vertical asymptotes occurs when the denominator equals zero. While the denominator equaling zero may not have a high likelihood, the regions of high relative difference on either side of the asymptote are encountered on occasion. Figure 3.6, shows that the comparison of negative and positive values will always have worse score than when positive values are compared. It is possible for a negative and positive value to be closer together than two positive values, though the relative difference equation would not indicate this. As well, the points between -0.5 and -2.0 score much worse



Figure 3.6 A sample graph of a modified relative difference equation that takes the absolute value of the denominator. The calculated value of the modified relative difference y for 1 and x over the range of -3.0 to 3.0, has shown that the comparison of positive and negative can equal an undefined or high value. The value y when  $x \le 0$  will always be higher than the value y when  $x \ge 0$ . It is indicated by the equation above that 1 and -3 or more similar than 1 and -0.75.

than values that are much further to the left.

One solution would be to assign an equal penalty, or weight, to all comparisons of a positive value with zero or a negative value. Another solution would be to take the absolute value individually for each member of the denominator (Figure 3.7) calculates the value two when one measurement is positive and the other measurement is equal to zero, or is negative (Figure 3.8). The modified relative difference equation shown in Figure 3.7 still calculates the same value as the relative difference equation for the cases when both values are either positive and negative. Besides having one simple equation that works in the cases of interest, the penalty value two is only slightly higher, than the highest relative difference (Figure 3.4) that can be calculated for two positive values (Figure 3.9). Most importantly, this modified relative difference equation

modified relative difference=
$$\frac{|measure_1 - measure_2|}{\left(\frac{|measure_1| + |measure_2|}{2}\right)}$$

Figure 3.7 The modified relative difference equation.



Figure 3.8 A sample graph of the modified relative difference equation. The modified relative difference *y* for one measurement of 1 and one measurement of *x* over the range of -3.0 to 3.0, is shown. When  $x \le 0$ , the modified relative difference *y* equals 2.



Figure 3.9 The limit of the relative difference between one and x, as x approaches infinity, equals two. The relative difference between two measurements, larger than zero, is less than or equal to two.

can be used to objectively determine the similarity between objects (Figure 3.10). The quality and the quantity of distance space descriptors will have an effect on wether or not the similarity score indicates the similarity of objects.

Conclusion

To summarize, a modified relative difference equation (Figure 3.7) has been created which calculates a relative difference value equal to the traditional method when comparing values that are either both positive or both negative. However, when one value is positive and one is negative, or zero, the difference score is set to the value of two. Sybyl measures distances as both positive and negative values. Whether a value is positive or negative is seemingly arbitrarily assigned by Sybyl. In this case, the absolute value of the data must be taken before the data is used in order to produce meaningful results. It must also be Which two object are the most similar?

Subjectively, in this example, one should be able to see that object X and object Y are the most similar.

Objectively, this similarity between objects can be determine by using relative difference. The calculations using the modified relative difference equation shown in figure 3.7 can be seen at the bottom of this figure. Lower values indicate greater similarity. The calculations show that object X and object Y are the most similar.





Figure 3.10 Objectively, X and Y are determined to be most the most similar objects.

determined if it would be best to move the entire data set into the positive domain by added a value to each datum. The ability to add a penalty when points are defined to be on either side of an arbitrary origin can be useful for determining similarity. The penalty could be any value. Defining the penalty as two will add to the overall similarity score (larger score, less similar) while keeping the relative differences of the other measurements from being overpowered.

The ability to calculate a score (Figure 3.11) that depicts the lack of similarity when comparing positive and negative values has proven useful in comparing low energy conformations of SSRIs for similarity (appendix 3.5). Initial results of the modeling exercise were ambiguous. Random conformational clusters with decreasing similarity were visually inspected and the calculated decreasing similarity was not visually apparent. By using the penalty with distances measured on either side of a plane defined through the molecules, the results of the similarity scores were no longer ambiguous.

similarity score = 
$$\frac{1}{d_{measures}} \times n_{rdc} \sum_{i,j}^{d_{measures}} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\left|V_{k}^{i} - V_{k}^{j}\right|}{\left(\frac{\left|V_{k}^{i}\right| + \left|V_{k}^{j}\right|}{2}\right)}$$
  
where  $1 \le i < j \le$  number of molecules

 $n_{rdc}$  = number of relative difference calculations within a conformational comparison group

 $d_{measures}$  = number of distance space descriptors  $V_{L}^{\alpha}$  = measure k of molecule  $\alpha$  (distances, angles, etc.) score = the calculated similarity of one conformational

comparison group

conformational comparison group = one low energy conformation of each molecule

In this case,

number of molecules = 4  

$$n_{rdc} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = 6$$
  
 $d_{measures} = 15$ 

Figure 3.11 The similarity score equation using the modified relative difference equation. The similarity score equation can be used for calculating the similarity between the low energy conformations of multiple molecules.

All functions were graphed using Igor Pro.

WaveMetrics, Inc., Lake Oswego, Oregon, www.wavemetrics.com

# References

- Blumenthal, L.M. *Theory and applications of distance geometry*. Chelsea Publishing, Bronx, 1970.
- Gundertofte, K., Bøgesø, K.P., and Liljefors, T. A stereoselective pharmacophore model of the serotonin re-uptake site. In: *Computer-assisted lead finding and optimization*, Waterbeemd, H., Testa, B., and Folkers, G., Eds., VHCA, Basil, and Wiley-VHC, Weinheim, 1997, pp. 445-459.
- Mottola, D.M., Laiter, S., Watts, V.J., Tropsha, A., Wyrick, S.D., Nichols, D.E., and Mailman, R.B. Conformational analysis of D<sub>1</sub> dopamine receptor agonists: pharmacophore assessment and receptor mapping. *J. Med Chem.* 1996, **39**, 285-296.
- Rupp, A., Kovar, K., Beuerle, G., Ruf, C., and Folkers, G. A new pharmacophoric model for 5HT reuptake-inhibitors: differentiation of amphetamine analogues. *Pharma. Acta Helv.* 1994, 68, 235-244.

Wilson, J.D. Physics Laboratory Experiments. D.C. Heath and Company,

Lexington, 1986, pp. 9-10.

Implementation of Programs to Efficiently Calculate the Similarity Score for Large Data Sets

### Introduction

The methodology for developing a pharmacophore model based upon multiple molecules described in the previous chapters is based upon the combinatorial comparison of low energy conformations. The number of comparisons required by this methodology can only be accomplished through the development of custom software. After a quick introduction to the modeling exercise, the rest this chapter will sequentially in chronological order cover the custom software developed for accomplishing the comparisons.

There can exist multiple molecules which both have a high affinity and selectivity for a single binding domain. A set of low energy conformations is one low energy conformation of each of the selected molecules that binds to this domain. The set of low energy conformations which are the most similar on three-dimensional (3D) space are representative of each molecules conformation at the time of the binding event. In this study, low energy conformations were defined as those conformations with a conformational energy of less than or equal to the global energy minima plus 30 kcals per mol (Rupp 1994, Nicklaus 1995). The binding domain of interest was the serotonin selective reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) binding domain at the serotonin transporter (SERT). The superposition of the most similar low energy conformations of select SSRIs

provided a good basis for a 3D model of the SSRI binding domain at the SERT.

The combinatorial comparison of all low energy conformations between the molecules, that is necessitated by this approach to developing a 3D pharmacophore model, can produce data sets that are too large to be analyzed using typical solutions which are limited by computer memory. Typical solutions effected by this problem include spreadsheet programs such as Excel (Microsoft), and, for Tripos users, solutions which use the Sybyl Programming Language (SPL) (Tripos). The combinatorial comparison of all low energy conformations compares each low energy conformation with all other low energy conformations of the other molecules. A conformational comparison group can be defined as consisting of one low energy conformation of each molecule. In the work presented here, a conformational comparison group consists of one low energy conformation from each of the following SSRI's: escitalopram, indatraline, MCN-5652, and sertraline. The total number of conformational comparison groups is equal to the product of the number of low energy conformations of each molecule. For the SSRI SERT data the product of 233 low energy conformations of escitalopram, 29 low energy conformations of indatraline, 12 low energy conformations of MCN-5652 and 16 low energy conformations of sertraline equals 1,297,344 conformational comparison groups.

To determine similarity, every low energy conformation of each molecule is described using multiple distance space descriptors (distances and angles). These distance space descriptors are then compared to determine similarity. If the distance space descriptors are adequate then every low energy conformation will have a distinct description. Within each conformational comparison groups a set of combinatorial comparisons must take place for each one of the distance space descriptor. These comparisons are accomplished using relative difference. The equation in Figure 4.1 shows how the comparisons take place and the similarity score is generated for each conformational comparison group. The lower the similarity score the more similar the conformational comparison group is in 3D space.

It is easy to see how the ensuing data set could outgrow the capabilities of a prepackaged solution, such as Excel, and lead to the need for a custom solution. The desire to extend the methodology for developing 3D

similarity score = 
$$\frac{1}{d_{measures} \times n_{rdc}} \sum_{i,j}^{d_{measures}} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\left|V_{k}^{i} - V_{k}^{j}\right|}{\left(\frac{\left|V_{k}^{i}\right| + \left|V_{k}^{j}\right|}{2}\right)}$$
  
where  $1 \le i < j \le$  number of molecules

 $n_{rdc}$  = number of relative difference calculations within a conformational comparison group

 $d_{measures}$  = number of distance space descriptors

 $V_k^{\alpha}$  = measure k of molecule  $\alpha$  (distances, angles, etc.)

score = the calculated similarity of one conformational comparison group

conformational comparison group = one low energy conformation of each molecule



pharmacophore models beyond the SSRI binding domain at the SERT, led to the need for the custom solution to be generalized in order to work in other cases. For instance, the more generalized solution would be able to handle changes in the number of descriptors, molecules and low energy conformations. After discussing the algorithm for calculating similarity scores the path to the current solution, from SPL to Perl to the C programming language, will be covered.

Development and Implementation of Similarity Score Calculating Programs

Referencing the equation shown in Figure 4.1, the relative difference is calculated for every distance space descriptor between each low energy conformation in a conformational comparison group. The number of relative difference calculations per descriptor increases following an arithmetic series (Figure 4.2). As the number of molecules increases the number relative difference calculation significantly increases. The algorithm calculates all of the combinatorial relative differences for a descriptor, sums the relative differences and divides this sum by the number of combinatorial relative difference calculations. This value can be thought of as an intermediate score and is produced for each distance space descriptor. Each one of the combinatorial relative differences, intermediate scores, is summed and divided by the number of distance space descriptors to produce the similarity score for a conformational comparison group.



 $V_k^{\alpha}$  = measure k of molecules  $\alpha$   $\iff$  = one relative difference calculation (rdc) n = number of molecules



Figure 4.2 The number of non-repetitive combinatorial comparisons for each distance space descriptor follows an arithmetic mean.

In the implemented algorithm, after the similarity score has been calculated for a conformational comparison group, the last molecule in the set of molecules being compared is incremented to the next low energy conformation. The similarity score is calculated for this new conformational comparison group and the last molecule, again, increments to the next low energy conformation. Once the last low energy conformation of the last molecule is reached, the last molecule is reset to its first low energy conformation and the second to the
last molecule increments to its next low energy conformation. It is easiest to think of the action of a mechanical odometer to understand this process, which repeats until a similarity score has been calculated for all combinatorial of conformational comparison groups.

In the case of a four molecule comparison, as was done here, after the first similarity score is calculated the fourth molecule is incremented to the next low energy conformation and the next similarity score proceeds to be calculated. When the final low energy conformation of the fourth molecule is reached during this increment and calculate process, the fourth molecule resets back to its first low energy conformation and the third molecule increments to its next low energy conformation. After the ensuing similarity score calculation the fourth molecule increments again to its next low energy conformation. This process repeats until all four molecules have reached there last low energy conformation.

The original work for this project, searching conformational space and developing molecular spreadsheets which contained the low energy conformations and the distance space descriptors, was done in Sybyl. It follows that the first implementation of the algorithm described above would be in SPL. One benefit of SPL is, it can work directly with the Sybyl molecular spreadsheet files. The SPL program (appendix 4.1) read the data from four Sybyl molecular spreadsheets, performed the calculations, and placed the results into a fifth molecule spreadsheet. The program was tested and worked on small data sets. Due to Sybyl molecular spreadsheets having a large footprint in memory, and with the SSRI SERT data set consisting of 1,297,344 conformational comparison

groups, after running for hours the scratch disk on the SGI server would fill to capacity and the computer would stop running before the program completed. The other drawback with the SPL program was even though it handled various numbers of descriptors and low energy conformations, it was written to only work with four Sybyl molecular spreadsheets. Explicitly, it could only calculate a similarity score for four molecules, no more or no less.

A Perl script was written which dealt with the memory problem but still only worked with four molecules (appendix 4.2). The Perl script reads data from comma delimited text files, which required the Sybyl molecular spreadsheets to be exported as comma delimited text files. Fortunately, Sybyl provides a mechanism for exporting molecular spreadsheets as comma delimited text files. Each row in the exported text files contains the row name from the Sybyl molecular spreadsheet and is unique for each low energy conformation. The label is followed in comma delimited form by all of the column data for that row.

The Perl script reads a row from each of the four data files into memory, performs the calculations, and write the results into a new file. This row by row approach uses very little memory but results in constant file reading and writing. From a performance stand point, reading and writing to files is always one of the slowest routines on a computer.

The comma delimited text file produced by the Perl script contains the four labels followed by the results of the combinatorial relative difference calculation for each descriptor and lastly the similarity score. The text file produced by the Perl script from SSRI SERT data set contained 1,297,344 rows, where each row representing one possible conformational comparison group. Each row contained four labels, 15 intermediate scores and one similarity score. On a 2003, 867 MHz G4 12 inch Apple Powerbook, the Perl script takes 13.5 minutes to run and produces a file approximately 510 megabyte (MB) in size. Within this 510 MB comma delimited text file, the nearly 1.3 million rows are not in any particular order with regards to the similarity scores.

A lower numeric similarity score is representative of a conformational group which is more similar in 3D space. Therefore, it would be helpful to sort the conformational groups in ascending order according to similarity score. Two ways of sorting a data set of this size include, 1) using the UNIX sort command, and 2) using Igor Pro (Wavemetrics). The advantage Igor Pro has is it will produce a tabular view and a graph of the sorted data.

Though, neither the SPL program or Perl script sort the data, the Perl script has an advantage in that it would run to completion. Similar to the SPL program, the Perl script could readily deal with a change in the number of descriptors and low energy conformations (the number of rows and columns in the data files) read from the data files. However, the Perl script still could not deal with a differing number of input files. Though, at one point, the script was physically modified to read three data files and perform a three molecule comparison (appendix 4.3).

The desire to produce a platform independent program which would calculate a similarity score for any number of molecules and and have an improvement in performance led to the development of the C program currently being used in our lab. Preliminary work was done using a different algorithm for storing calculated data, accepted any number of data files for comparison, dynamically allocated memory at run time, and was written in both serial and parallel forms. This preliminary set of programs, which can be read about in appendix 4.4, was written to explore dynamic memory allocation and parallel computing ideas using the C programming language, message passing interface (MPI), and OpenMP.

This history led to the following list of requirements for the current program:

- 1) the capability to use any number (3 or more) data files for input
- 2) dynamically allocate memory at run time,
- 3) calculate all combinatorial similarity scores,
- 4) sort all similarity scores in ascending order,
- 5) if necessary sort similarity score out of core,
- 6) potential for easy cross platform implementation,
- 7) potential for easy implementation of multiprocessing, and
- 8) better performance than previously achieved through Perl scripting.

The potential for cross platform compatibility and multiprocessing were the two reasons the C programming language was chosen as the language of choice for this program. The C language provides performance, cross platform compatibility through the gcc compiler, and support within the MPI community. Fortran would have been another good choice due to its inherent calculation performance and the provisions in MPI implementations for Fortran. The lack of free compilers, such as gcc, for Fortran 90 or newer across multiple platforms was the reason Fortran was not chosen.

In the C program, extensive use of C pointers were required in order to deal with dynamic memory calculations, allow for faster sorting and allow for more logical data and storage indexing. The program dynamically allocates memory, at run time, for storing the information read from the input data files. Storing the data required for the relative difference calculations in memory, instead of reading it from a file as needed, decreases data access time improving the performance of the program. It is not always possible to store the calculated scores (the output data) in memory. There may be much more output data than available memory. The user is given control over how much of the output data will be stored in memory. The program then allocates the appropriate amount of memory. This is handled in three differences between the three programs, it is important to talk about the implementation of the similarity score calculations (Figure 4.1), which is the same in all three programs.

Calculation of the similarity score is accomplished identically in all three C programs. The similarity score for one conformational comparison group is accomplished with three nested loops. The inner two most loops cycle through the combinatorial relative difference calculations for one distance

space descriptor. The outer loop cycles though the descriptors and calculates the intermediate scores. These three loops are are nested inside a fourth loop which controls the order the low energy conformations are combined to create conformational comparison groups.

In essence, the forth loop combinatorially builds the combinatorial comparison groups. The first time through the loop, the first low energy conformation of each molecule is used to make up the conformational comparison group. The second time through the loop the last molecule is incremented to its next low energy conformation creating the next conformational comparison group. In this case, last refers to the last command line argument when the program was invoked. For example, invoking the program at the command line in the following manner escitalopram is the last molecule (forth molecule) and MCN-5652 is the first molecule: "./compsort./ MCN-5652.txt ./sertraline.txt ./indatraline.txt ./escitalopram.txt" As explained before, the the forth loop continues repeating, the last molecule continues to iterate through its low energy conformations until its last low energy conformation is reached. At this point the last molecule resets back to its first low energy conformations and the second to the last molecule (indatraline), increments to its next low energy conformation. This process of incrementing and reseting continues until the similarity score for the conformational comparison group containing the last low energy conformation of each molecule is calculated. The process of incrementing though all combinatorial combinations of low energy conformations between the molecules is analogous

to the way a mechanical odometer works. Besides the calculation of the similarity score, concatenation of the labels of each conformational comparison group, placement of the concatenated label, intermediate scores and similarity score into an array takes place in the forth loop.

Algorithm analysis for four nested loops indicates the asymptotic maximum upper bound (O) is equal to the maximum loop iterations raised to the power of four. However, the number of iterations of the three inner loops will always remain very small in comparison with the number of iterations of the fourth loop. This means O will actually be less than four. Changing to an out of core program, where the data is not all held in main memory, the file reading and writing becomes the overshadowing slow step at runtime.

Chronologically, the first program written, compsortall (appendix 4.5), meets all of the requirements listed above. Plus, in ascending order of the similarity scores writes the labels, intermediate scores and similarity scores for all conformational comparison groups to a comma delimited text file. The program prompts the user for a number of similarity scores to calculate before sorting, allowing for the calculations to take place in main memory (in core). The program calculates the similarity scores in sets of this size until all scores have been calculated.

More specifically, three arrays of equal size are created. The size of these arrays is determined from the number of similarity scores to calculate provided by the user. Array one stores the labels, intermediate scores, and similarity scores that have just been calculated. Array two holds labels, intermediate

scores, and similarity score read from a temporary file. Array three holds the same information before it is written to a temporary file. Inside the fifth loop, the labels, intermediate scores, and similarity scores are placed in array one. When array one fills up it is sorted in ascending order of the similarity score using the quicksort algorithm (Baase, Weiss). The first time this happens, all of the information contained in array one is written to a temporary file. The second time this happens the information in the temporary file is read into array two. Array two and array one are merge sorted (Baase, Weiss) into array three. When array three fills up it is written to a second temporary file. Array three will fill twice. The first time array three is written to the second temporary file, the file will be created and written to from its beginning. The second write to the file will be appended to the end of the file. The third time array one fills up, the second temporary file will read into array two in two sets, and first temporary file will be re-created and written to in three sets. This process of calculating, quicksorting, file reading, merge sorting, and file writing continues until the last set of similarity score calculations is reached. This final set of calculations will be smaller than array one, but the same process will occur, with the exception that array three will write to the output file. The name of the output file is provided by the user at runtime. The final result, is a text file containing the concatenated labels, intermediates scores, and similarity scores, in ascending order, for all conformational comparison groups.

The implementation of the merge sort, quicksort, and file reading and writing in the program compsortall have been optimized for better performance.

The merge sort described above is a special case where both data sets being merged are already sorted and is the fastest form of the merge sort. The pivot for the quicksort routine comes from a median-of-three (Weiss) routine instead of just using the first element of the array. Using the median-of-three, the first, middle, and last elements are sampled and the median value is chosen as the pivot. Using the median value increases the chances the value of the chosen pivot is in the middle of the data set. This is important for the performance of quicksort. Experimentally, in this application, the C function *fscanf* was found to be faster than the UNIX *read* routine. In the code *read* is still being used for reading the input data files, and *fscanf* is being used to read the temporary files. The input data files a relatively small and *read* provides adequate performance in this situation. The C function *fprintf* is used to write to the temporary files and output file. This function provides a convenient way to format the text in these files.

Even with optimization, the file reading and writing necessary to produce a file containing all conformational comparisons groups sorted in ascending order, may be too time consuming for data sets larger than the SSRI SERT data set. This can be especially true during early experimentation when descriptions may not yet be developed adequately and many trials of similarity score calculation and sorting are likely to occur. To provide a less time consuming alternative, the program compsort (appendix 4.6) was written. The program compsort is very similar to compsortall in that it calculates and sorts the similarity scores for all conformational comparison groups. It differs in that

it only keeps, and subsequently writes to a file, a portion of the lowest (best) scoring conformational comparison groups.

Similar to the compsortall program the compsort program prompts the user for the number of similarity score to calculate in main memory before sorting. The program also prompt the user for the number of similarity scores to keep and write to the output file. This program only has one array which holds both the calculated scores and sorted scores. The array is initialized at the necessary size to hold both the number of similarity scores calculated in a set plus the number of scores to keep. The sorted scores which will be eventually saved are kept at the beginning of the array and the set of calculated scores take up the rest of the array. The section of the array holding the scores to be saved is initialized with a large number, 1,000,000 specifically. After the first set of similarity score calculations is complete the whole array is sorted using quicksort and the median-of-three pivot described above. The quicksort routine moves the large numbers, initialized at the beginning of the array, to the end of the array and low similarity scores to the beginning of the array. During the subsequent calculation and sort cycles the lowest scores end up at the beginning of the array. After the similarity scores have been calculated, and sorted for all conformational comparison groups, the program writes the user determined number of lowest similarity scores to keep from the beginning of the array to the output file.

The last version of the program, comp (appendix 4.7), just calculates the similarity scores for all conformational comparison groups in sets of size

determined by the user. Once a set of similarity scores have been calculated they are written to an output file. In practice, due to all similarity scores being written to the output file instead of just a subset, the program takes longer to run than compsort. The comp program can be good for small data sets where the similarity scores will be imported into a spreadsheet program, such as Igor Pro, for sorting and graphing. As well the comp program serves as basis for those people who want to write their own sorting routines or use the UNIX *sort* command.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, SPL, Perl and C were used to write a total of six programs to accomplish the task of comparing low energy conformations to determine the conformational comparison group, most similar in 3D space. SPL proved inadequate for this task and Perl proved to have limited performance. C provided the best performance and lends itself to cross platform compatibility and future multiprocessing work. Figure 4.3 shows the overall runtimes for the Perl script and three C programs when calculating the similarity scores for the SSRI SERT data set.

The serial C program can be made parallel much the same was as was done in appendix 4.4. The outer loop of the four nested loops can be split among multiple processes. Little interprocess communication would need to occur. Initially each process would need a copy of the data to work on and which



Figure 4.3 The Perl script and C program run times using the SSRI SERT data set. The programs were all run on the same 2003, Apple 867 MHz G4 PowerBook with 640 MB of RAM.

iterations of the outer loop to accomplish. The processes would then only need to communicate once more to send the calculated similarity score to process zero. The final communication would have to broken up into many small messages in the case MPI due to the limited size of messages. Unbuffered messages or MPI-2 may solve this issue.

In Mac OS X a C variable of type double is eight bytes and a variable of type char is one byte, the size of the similarity score calculation, read and write arrays used in the compsortall program can be calculated. On a 867 MHz G4 12 inch Apple Powerbook with 640 MB of RAM running Mac OS 10.3.5, for the SSRI SERT data set virtual memory will start to be used when the arrays reach about 550,000 lines in size. When this happens performance degrades. The time required for reading and writing/appending the temporary files are shown in Figure 4.4, along with the over all run times for the SSRI SERT data at varying array sizes. One thing that is clear from this graph is the impact that one less out of core sorting cycle at 650,000 lines per array will have on run time, even though virtual memory is being used at this point. The file containing all of the concatenated labels, intermediate scores, and similarity scores for the SSRI SERT data set sorted in ascending order is 453.6 MB in size. It is clear calculating similarity scores for every conformational comparison group, even for data sets much larger than the SSRI SERT data set, is practical. However, if all similarity scores are to be sorted and saved in to a file in a timely manner, both large and fast, possible parallel, file systems will be required.



Figure 4.4 The read, write, and overall run times for the compsortall at various array sizes. The data is good for looking at general trends, but the exact number will vary from run to run depending on memory and cache loading in Mac OS X. This points to why a balance between theory and experiment is desirable. The program was run on the a 2003, Apple 867 MHz G4 PowerBook with 640 MB of RAM.

Baase, S., Van Gelder, A. Computer Algorithms Introduction to Design and Analysis. Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, CA, 2000

Igor Pro, WaveMetrics, Inc., Lake Oswego, Oregon, www.wavemetrics.com

Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, www.microsoft.com

- Nicklaus, M.C., Wang, S., Driscoll, J.S., and Milne, G.W. Conformational changes of small molecules binding to proteins. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 1995, **3**, 411-428.
- Rupp, A., Kovar, K., Beuerle, G., Ruf, C., and Folkers, G. A new pharmacophoric model for 5HT reuptake-inhibitors: differentiation of amphetamine analogues. *Pharma*. *Acta Helv*. 1994, **68**, 235-244.

Tripos, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri, www.tripos.com

Weiss, M.A. Data Structures and Algorithm Analysis in C. Menlo Park, CA, 1997.

	distance between Ar1 centroid and Ar2 centroid (angstroms)	distance from Ar1 centroid to Ar2 plane (angstroms)	angle between Ar1 centroid, Ar2 centroid and the inner Ar2 axial C <i>(degrees)</i>	distance from Ar1 to the axial X group on the Ar1 axis (angstroms)	distance from Ar1 centroid to hetero atom <i>(angstroms)</i>	distance from Ar1 centroid to N (angstroms)
Sertraline 2.135 kcals/mol MCN-5652 13.035 kcals/mol Indatraline 14.532 kcals/mol S-Citalopram 15.585 kcals/mol average	4.822 4.912 4.952 4.975 4.915	1.924 1.430 1.886 2.462 1.925	35.900 33.660 29.350 30.680 32.398	3.153 3.175 3.153 3.992 3.368	<b>3.328</b> 3.328	5.949 5.164 6.226 7.131 6.117
	distance between Ar1 centroid and Ar2 centroid <i>(angstroms)</i>	distance from Ar2 centroid to Ar1 plane <i>(angstroms)</i>	angle between Ar2 centroid, Ar1 centroid and the inner Ar1 axial C <i>(degrees)</i>	distance from Ar2 to the axial X group on the Ar1 axis (angstroms)	distance from Ar2 centroid to hetero atom <i>(angstroms)</i>	distance from Ar2 centroid to N (angstroms)
Sertraline 2.135 kcals/mol MCN-5652 13.035 kcals/mol Indatraline 14.532 kcals/mol S-Citalopram 15.585 kcals/mol average	4.822 4.912 4.952 4.975 4.915	2.042 1.985 1.556 1.905 1.872	35.390 33.110 31.970 28.420 32.222	7.611 7.762 7.800 8.696 7.967	3.713 3.713	3.720 3.734 3.762 4.618 3.959
	distance between N and the Ar1 centroid <i>(angstroms)</i>	distance from N to the Ar1 plane <i>(angstroms)</i>	angle between N, Ar1 centroid and the inner Ar1 axial C <i>(degrees)</i>	distance from N to the axial X group on the Ar1 axis (angstroms)	distance from N to the to hetero atom (angstroms)	distance from Ar2 centroid to N (angstroms)
Sertraline 2.135 kcals/mol MCN-5652 13.035 kcals/mol Indatraline 14.532 kcals/mol S-Citalopram 15.585 kcals/mol average	5.949 5.164 6.226 7.131 6.117	1.352 1.430 1.150 1.843 1.444	34.590 17.230 11.360 15.270 19.613	8.737 8.253 9.342 11.031 9.341	<b>5.098</b> 5.098	3.720 3.734 3.762 4.618 3.959
	distance between Ar1 centroid and hetero atom (angstroms)	distance from hetero atom to Ar1 plane (angstroms)	angle between hetero atom, Ar1 centroid and the inner Ar1 axial C (degrees)	distance from hetero atom to the axial x group on the Ar1 axis (angstroms)	distance from Ar2 centroid to hetero atom (angstroms)	distance from hetero atom to N (angstroms)
Sertraline 2.135 kcals/mol MCN-5652 13.035 kcals/mol Indatraline 14.532 kcals/mol S-Citalopram 15.585 kcals/mol average	3.328 3.328	0.489 0.489	31,490 31,490	7.051 7.051	3.713 3.713	5.098 5.098
	torsion angle Ar2 plane to Ar1 (front side outer C, Ar2 outer axial C, Ar2 inner axial C, Ar1 centroid) (degrees)	torsion angle Ar1 plane to Ar2 (front side outer C, Ar1 outer axial C, Ar1 centroid) (degrees)	torsion angle Ar1 plane to N (front side outer C, Ar1 outer axial C, Ar1 inner axial C, N) (degrees)	torsion angle Ar1 plane to hetero (front side outer C, Ar1 outer axial C, Ar1 inner axial C, hetero atom) (degrees)		-
Sertraline 2.135 kcals/mol MCN-5652 13.035 kcals/mol Indatraline 14.532 kcals/mol S-Citalopram 15.585 kcals/mol average	42.000 31.800 50.900 81.700 51.600	46.800 47.700 36.300 53.600 46.100	23.600 69.300 73.200 78.400 61.125	16.400 16.400		

Appendix 2.1 Measurements of the three-dimensional (3D) pharmacophore model of the serotonin selective reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) binding domain at the serotonin transporter (SERT).



	$F = \arccos(\frac{B}{E})$ angle from the point on the Ar1 plane which is normal to the Ar2 centroid, a point on the Ar1 axis which is normal to the Ar2 centroid, and the Ar2 centroid (degrees) 47.714 36.402 53.568 46.168	E same angle as above via SYBYL torsion measurement (front side outer C, Ar1 outer axial C, Ar1 inner axial C, Ar2 centroid) <i>(degrees)</i> 46.800 36.300 53.600 46.100
	$E = \sqrt{E^2 - \Delta^2}$ distance from the point on on the Ar1 axis which is normal to the Ar2 centroid, (angstroms) (angstroms) 2.633 2.633 2.635 2.616 2.616	
	$D = A \times \cos(C)$ distance along the Arl axis from the Arl centroid to a point which is normal (angstroms) (angstroms) 3.931 4.114 4.201 4.155 4.155	$J = \sqrt{F^2 - B^2}$ distance from the point on the Ar1 axis which is normal to the Ar2 centroid to the point on the Ar1 plane which is normal to the Ar2 centroid <i>(angstroms)</i> 1.905 1.805 2.110 1.406 1.406
	Arz centroid, Ar1 Arz centroid, Ar1 centroid and the inner Ar1 axial C <i>(degrees)</i> 33.110 33.110 28.420 28.420 28.222	distance from Ar2 centroid to N ( <i>angstroms</i> ) 3.720 3.721 3.762 4.618 3.959
	(B) distance from Ar2 centroid to Ar1 plane ( <i>angstroms</i> ) 1.985 1.556 1.905 1.872	田 distance from Ar2 centroid to hetero atom ( <i>angstroms</i> ) 3.713 3.713
	distance between Ar1 centroid and Ar2 centroid (angstroms) 4.912 4.915 4.915	distance from distance from Ar2 centroid to the axial X group on the Ar1 axis (angstroms) 7.611 7.611 7.62 7.800 8.696 8.696 7.967
H	Sertraline 2.135 kcals/mol MCN-5652 13.035 kcals/mol Indatraline 14.532 kcals/mol S-Citalopram 15.585 kcals/mol	Sertraline 2.135 kcals/mol MCN-5652 13.035 kcals/mol Indatraline 14.532 kcals/mol S-Citalopram 15.585 kcals/mol





				_												
explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	(degrees)	179.248505	177.020264	165.981903	164.422607	120.815102	120.899399	161.605835	161.307541	127.1437	127.234001	114.438301	114.500404
explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	(degrees)	172.221451	170.469406	172.126526	171.03656	132.800201	132.939148	133.553757	133.034821	133.997177	134.156876	135.067596	135.189041
	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	(angstroms)	-0.2201	-0.28314	-0.40475	-0.44818	-1.966295	-1.963914	-0.339882	-0.348683	-1.975027	-1.972685	-1.967453	-1.96526
	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	(angstroms)	0.044578	0.078268	0.110494	0.133507	0.78175	0.78398	0.026567	0.025581	0.7102	0.7125	0.6349	0.63677
	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	(angstroms)	 2.50173	2.48753	2.46442	2,4509	1.30248	1.30538	2.37535	2.37479	1.29762	1.3006	1.46068	1.46332
angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	(degrees)	86.977898	88.956314	88.146706	88.10453	90.158264	90.154022	86.578354	86.560333	89.333023	89.327316	86.572899	86.568954
angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	(degrees)	96.031944	97.113083	100.198753	100.964157	142.646774	142.55835	97.911736	98.110817	143.320801	143.231415	142.438751	142.3582
	distance	absolute value	Vr2 to Ar1 plane	(angstroms)	1.212015	.34132	,183903	.266209	04049	.030834	021795	.045943	.01816	.007723	.98522	.976706
	distance	bsolute value	1 to Ar2 plane	(angstroms)	696829 0	685277 0	525844 0	504032 0	510074 2	512538 2	67873 2	67659 2	756129 2	758674 2	43014 1	432145 1
		distance a	Ar1 to Ar2 A	angstroms)	.922337 2.	.924443 2.	.946166 2.	.947843 2.	.8755 1.	.877818 1.	.762656 2.	.757907 2.	.847583 1.	.850017 1.	.912115 1.	914307 1.
	distance	N to	connecting C	(angstroms) (	2.496496 4	2.496892 4	2.494437 4	2.494617 4	2.477792 4	2.477935 4	5.252925 4	5.260593 4	2.463346 4	2.463509 4	.504837 4	2.50492 4
	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	(angstroms)	0.18698	0.16686	0.146598	.160453	0.121778	0.121074	.46448	.46805	.15872	15942	.721143	0.721147
	distance	bsolute value	V to Ar1 plane	(angstroms)	.59942 (	.54573 (	59122 (	.55312 (	.42688	.43624 (	.62043 (	.60095	.37517 0	.38491 0	.429698 0	.4382 (0
	-	distance a	N to Ar2 N	(angstroms)	3.820207 2	3.819848 2	3.79071 2	3.789369 2	3.844918 1	3.84488 1	3.6917 1	3.691659 1	3.811006 1	3.810932 1	3.733799 1	3.733734 1
		distance	N to Ar1	(angstroms)	 4.057713	4.09275	4.187012	4.210892	5.13862	5.137488	4.054133	4.060848	5.133485	5.132393	5.163851	5.162751
					552_7.397_kcals_per_mol	552_7.554_kcals_per_mol	552_8.497_kcals_per_mol	352_8.630_kcals_per_mol	352_8.855_kcals_per_mol	552_8.876_kcals_per_mol	352_9.539_kcals_per_mol	352_9.547_kcals_per_mol	352_9.773_kcals_per_mol	352_9.792_kcals_per_mol	352_13.035_kcais_per_mol	552_13.057_kcals_per_mol
					1 MCN-5	2 MCN-5	3 MCN-5	4 MCN-54	5 MCN-5t	6 MCN-5	7 MCN-5t	8 MCN-5(	9 MCN-5(	10 MCN-5(	11 MCN-5(	12 MCN-5(

Appendix 3.1 The distance space descriptors of MCN-5652 used in the combinatorial comparison.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

										angle	angle				explicit	explicit
				distance	distance	distance		distance	distance	Ar1 to	Ar2 to	explicit	explicit	explicit	angle	angle
		distance	distance	absolute value	absolute value	N to	distance	absolute value	absolute value	connecting C	connecting C	distance	distance	distance	Ar1 plane to /	Ar2 plane to
		N to Ar1	N to Ar2	N to Ar1 plane	N to Ar2 plane	connecting C	Ar1 to Ar2	Ar1 to Ar2 plane	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	to N	to N	N to YZ plane	N to XY plane	N to XZ plane	YZ plane	YZ plane
		(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)
																1
Serti	aline_1.442_kcals_per_mol	5.697295	3.702194	2.303795	0.946996	4.283523	4.852257	2.628142	1.25135	102.380226	58.175797	0.55594	-1.07274	-3.657294	152.724304	62.476349
Serti	aline_1.483_kcals_per_mol	5.671344	3.67857	1.41379	1.393	3.738482	4.820113	1.9945	2.028513	115.688438	65.574364	0.88106	-0.82952	-3.63541	133.449951	35.640137
Sert	aline_1.528_kcals_per_mol	5.706602	3.702525	2.31642	0.945721	4.284617	4.856043	2.621969	1.243326	102.60186	58.16613	0.547761	-1.077942	-3.64123	152.886414	62.28610
Sertr	aline_1.545_kcals_per_mol	5.674929	3.678742	1.4079	1.39263	3.738701	4.823016	1.98967	2.031701	115.796555	65.575272	0.878126	-0.83167	-3.64823	133.245804	35.54988
Sertr	aline_2.094_kcals_per_mol	5.99305	3.678331	1.293066	1.261502	3.924105	4.814296	1.984101	2.03142	120.9123	62.886681	0.87609	-0.83466	-3.637251	133.781204 1	33.68550
Sertr	aline_2.135_kcals_per_mol	5.948879	3.719596	1.35208	1.315996	3.894259	4.82183	1.923922	2.04169	120.321045	64.164642	0.88478	-0.82553	-3.636168	133.186798 1	33.03930
Sertr	aline_2.158_kcals_per_mol	5.997147	3.678498	1.28714	1.260899	3.924496	4.817301	1.97895	2.034604	121.038414	62.88483	0.87353	-0.836559	-3.64727	133.575806	33.58610
Sertia	aline_2.198_kcals_per_mol	5.952915	3.719803	1.34632	1.31554	3.894608	4.82484	1.918478	2.044821	120.445656	64.164268	0.882133	-0.827411	-3.648239	132.979828	32.93510
Sertra	aline_2.472_kcals_per_mol	5.626016	3.771204	2.40154	1.093764	4.26152	4.866656	2.537645	1.23547	101.003517	59.884949	0.55621	-1.0726	-3.656622	152.701508	61.29249
Sertra	uline_2.557_kcals_per_mol	5.63638	3.77168	2.41381	1.091089	4.262994	4.870162	2.532162	1.227974	101.241631	59.872448	0.54849	-1.077601	-3.64185	152.851105	61.10302
Sertra	line_3.253_kcals_per_mol	5.416879	3.727677	2.358448	1.380772	4.192945	4.908484	2.35484	1.18379	97.135284	60.047222	0.54503	-1.07824	-3.655385	153.274704	61.13330
Sertra	tline_3.332_kcals_per_mol	5.426314	3.72809	2.37372	1.379349	4.194463	4.911926	2.349082	1.174296	97.341743	60.033096	0.537183	-1.083517	-3.641724	153.461075	60.96510
Sertra	lline_7.949_kcals_per_mol	7.195394	3.808184	0.23745	0.357329	4.302158	4.91502	1.4048	1.990754	165.538834	60.048553	0.85257	-0.85654	-3.66016	135.137558	13.50666
Sertra	line_8.012_kcals_per_mol	7.196291	3.808244	0.24088	0.356189 4	4.302127	4.917623	1.39876	1.994213	165.641739	60.050243	0.858357	-0.851802	-3.638384	135.137024	13.411552
Sertra	line_8.022_kcals_per_mol	7.196042	3.860692	0.335965	0.239596	4.312263	4.912727	1.3575	2.02163	164.394073	60.94413	0.87126	-0.83757	-3.658765	133.867996	13.08483
Sertra	line_8.085_kcals_per_mol	7.196961	3.860728	0.33862	0.237966	4.31218	4.915359	1.35055	2.025586	164.498138	60.946125	0.877626	-0.832026	-3.639353	133.570602	12.97332

Appendix 3.2 The distance space descriptors of sertraline used in the combinatorial comparison.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

distance N to Ar1		distance	distance	distance		distance	distance	Ar1 to	Ar2 to	explicit	explicit	explicit	angle	angle
distance N to Ar1										-				
N to Ar1	distance	absolute value	absolute value	N to	distance	absolute value	absolute value	connecting C	connecting C	distance	distance	distance	Ar1 plane to /	rr2 plane to
	N to Ar2	N to Ar1 plane	N to Ar2 plane	connecting C	Ar1 to Ar2	Ar1 to Ar2 plane	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	to N	to N	N to YZ plane	N to XY plane	N to XZ plane	YZ plane	YZ plane
(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms) (	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)
6.086811	3.717476	0.98418	1.413273	3.229259	4.949376	1.93165	1.536079	161.108643	74.468376	0.98053	0.484896	-0.98053	146.744049 1	35.813004
6.086793	3.717408	0.989384	1.413802	3.229395 4	4.952251	1.92887	1.5367	161.081375	74.464897	0.97918	0.49156	-0.97918	146.629898	35.793488
6.207501	3.726457	1.12749	1.308398	3.379548	4.944895	1.90084	1.574568	158.226624	72.345192	1.25415	0.333373	-1.25415	145.471786	36.711945
6.207664	3.726384	1.132067	1.308835	3.379635	4.947837	1.89765	1.57499	158.225937	72.342529	1.25235	0.340509	-1.25235	145.362106	36.682877
5.784319	3.823945	2.88486 (	0.927184	3.76892 4	4.889596	2.69692	0.757729	118.442123	68.588432	3.27003	-0.81413	-3.27003	165.810928 1	73.974106
5.783612	3.823889	2.903292	0.926834	3.769078 4	4.893402	2.69371	0.73039	118.41581	68.584862	3.2715	-0.8107	-3.2715	166.415894 1	74.030106
6.053363	3.680354	0.90295	1.406618	3.183814 4	4.96759	1.81436	1.536148	162.504898	74.330795	0.84539	0.531695	-0.84539	146.286209	33.477264
6.053227	3.680251	0.908317	1.407157	3.183887 4	4.970438	1.81158	1.53644	162.468399	74.327545	0.84389	0.538341	-0.84389	146.176498	33.456726
5.778759	3.823142	2.94175 (	0.834519	3.798552 4	4.895892	2.69086	0.710039	117.365814	68.079506	3.31574	-0.88946	-3.31574	167.057907	73.828598
5.778113	3.823193	2.95814 (	).834233	3.798631 4	4.899573	2.68772	0.68612	117.344284	68.079384	3.31708	-0.88609	-3.31708	167.580795	73.882706
5.751822	3.853444	2.94952 (	0.867962	3.758017 4	4.901834	2.65362	0.708582	117.67691	69.376686	3.29554	-0.77153	-3.29554	166.892807	73.477798
5.751588	3.853467	2.964552 (	0.868008	3.758063 4	4.905498	2.65037	0.68433	117.670128	69.376488	3.29634	-0.76752	-3.29634	167.431702 1	73.513397
6.011423	3.682737	0.678964 1	1.359331	3.102983 5	5.03983	1.15365	2.54836	165.675247	75.580841	0.01684	0.744855	-0.01684	79.349586 1	16.99469
I 6.010073	3.701306	0.88838 1	1.436621	3.140397 4	4.96255	1.8668	1.524366	162.464355	75.506943	0.80052	0.58167	-2.98986	146.852844	33.976669
i 6.009866	3.701221	0.89385	1.437174	3.140457 4	4.965391	1.86406	1.524995	162.420547	75.504227	0.79907	0.58821	-2.989037	146.735474 1	33.956985
5.793983	3.782612	2.88637 (	3.86223	3.78539 4	4.899821	2.665006	0.724111	118.273087	67.421944	3.27157	-0.900934	-1.76274	13.31006 1	73.531235
1 5.793467	3.782688	2.903863 (	0.86222	3.785462 4	4.903484	2.662002	0.69864	118.255859	67.422554	3.27284	0.897243	-1.76213	167.252594 1	73.585709
	mol     5,784513       mol     5,783612       mol     6,053363       mol     6,053227       mol     6,053227       mol     5,778173       mol     5,778173       mol     5,77813       mol     5,77813       mol     5,751588       mol     5,751588       mol     5,751588       mol     6,010073       mol     6,010073       mol     6,010073       mol     5,793085       mol     5,793083       mol     5,793083	mol     5.7.694513     3.5.203440       mol     5.7.783612     3.823889       mol     6.053363     3.680354       mol     6.053363     3.680251       mol     6.053363     3.680251       mol     5.778759     3.823142       mol     5.778113     3.823193       mol     5.776168     3.823467       mol     5.751588     3.853467       mol     5.751588     3.853467       mol     6.011423     3.682737       mol     6.011423     3.682737       mol     6.011073     3.701306       mol     6.010073     3.701221       mol     5.793863     3.7723       mol     5.793863     3.7724       mol     5.793467     3.782612	Mol     5.748-18     5.62940     C.00400       mol     5.783612     3.823889     2.903292       mol     6.053363     3.6800354     0.90295       mol     6.053363     3.6800354     0.90295       mol     6.053363     3.6800354     0.90295       mol     6.078759     3.823142     2.94175       mol     5.778113     3.823142     2.95614       mol     5.751588     3.853467     2.964552       mol     5.751588     3.8533467     2.964552       mol     5.751588     3.8533467     2.964552       mol     6.011423     3.862737     0.679964     1       mol     6.010073     3.701306     0.88838     1       mol     5.793983     3.772211     0.893855     1       mol     5.793983     3.772218     2.903863     0	Mol     5.7694519     3.5629470     C.609400     U.357104       Mol     5.783612     3.823889     2.903292     0.926834     1.406618       Mol     6.053363     3.6800354     0.90295     1.406618     1.406618       Mol     6.053363     3.680251     0.908317     1.407157     1.407157       Mol     6.053227     3.680251     0.908317     1.406618     1.406618       Mol     5.778113     3.823142     2.94175     0.834519     1.       Mol     5.776182     3.823142     2.95814     0.834233     1.       Mol     5.751588     3.823142     2.964552     0.868008     2.       Mol     5.751588     3.853467     2.964552     0.868008     2.       Mol     5.751588     3.862737     0.678964     1.436621     2.       Mol     6.010073     3.701206     0.88838     1.436621     2.       Mol     6.010073     3.770208     2.903863     0.86223     3.     3.7822088     3.7822088     2.903863	Miol     5.778759     3.769078     3.769078       Miol     5.7783612     3.823889     2.903292     0.926834     3.769078       Miol     6.053363     3.820354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183887       Miol     6.053363     3.880354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183887       Miol     6.053363     3.880354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183887       Miol     6.053327     3.880354     0.908317     1.407157     3.183887       Miol     6.053363     3.823142     2.94175     0.834519     3.798552       Miol     5.778113     3.823142     2.9452     0.867038     3.796552       Miol     5.751588     3.853467     2.94552     0.868008     3.7756063       Miol     5.751588     3.853467     2.964552     0.868008     3.76063     4       Miol     5.751588     3.853467     2.943552     0.868008     3.740397     4       Miol     5.751588     3.853467     2.943552     0.868008     3.140457     4	Mol     5.76976     4.89340       mol     5.76419     3.825344     2.902322     0.926634     3.769076     4.893402       mol     5.783612     3.820354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183814     4.96759       mol     6.053363     3.680354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183814     4.96759       mol     6.053227     3.880354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183814     4.96759       mol     6.053227     3.880354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183874     4.96759       mol     6.073173     3.823142     2.94175     0.834519     3.7986522     4.895792       mol     6.778113     3.823142     2.94175     0.834519     3.798651     4.901436       mol     5.751588     3.853447     2.94552     0.867908     3.758063     4.905498       mol     5.751588     3.853467     2.94562     0.868008     3.762063     4.905498       mol     6.011423     3.86277     0.88838     1.437174     3.140457     4.965255	Mol     5.763953     3.8253945     2.000400     U.327.164     3.700322     2.69371       Mol     5.783612     3.8233889     2.903292     0.9266334     3.769078     4.893402     2.69371       Mol     6.053363     3.680354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183814     4.95759     1.81436       Mol     6.053363     3.680354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183817     4.907438     1.81436       Mol     6.053227     3.880354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183817     4.95759     1.81436       Mol     6.053227     3.880354     0.902956     1.407157     3.183867     4.907438     1.81158       Mol     5.778113     3.823142     2.94175     0.834519     3.798552     4.8959673     2.68077       Mol     5.778113     3.823142     2.964562     0.867963     4.905498     2.65037     1.68772       Mol     5.751588     3.853467     2.964562     0.868008     3.758063     4.905498     2.65037     1.       Mol     5.751588	Mol     5.735363     3.825345     2.903292     0.932634     3.759078     4.895391     2.059371     0.73039       Mol     5.738612     3.823389     2.903292     0.926834     3.759078     4.895402     2.69371     0.73039       Mol     6.053363     3.880354     0.90295     1.406618     3.183814     4.96759     1.811436     1.536148       Mol     6.053363     3.880351     0.90295     1.406618     3.183817     4.90739     1.81158     1.536148       Mol     6.053227     3.880351     1.4067157     3.183817     4.90739     1.81158     1.536148       Mol     5.778173     3.823142     2.94175     0.834519     3.788592     2.669056     0.710039       Mol     5.778173     3.823142     4.995527     4.895527     0.66812     0.710039       Mol     5.751682     3.853467     2.96844     1.556652     0.68612       Mol     5.751682     3.853467     2.9664562     0.68612     0.710039       Mol     5.751688     3.853467	Mol     5.754561     2.806400     U.V3C/104     3.708052     2.004400     118.44581       Mol     5.783612     3.823889     2.903292     0.926634     3.769078     4.86759     1.814366     1.536148     162.504898       Mol     6.053363     3.8800554     0.902665     1.407157     3.183887     4.96759     1.814366     1.536148     162.504898       Mol     6.053363     3.8800554     0.902855     1.407157     3.183887     4.96759     1.81158     1.536148     162.504898       Mol     6.053363     3.880354     0.902855     1.407157     3.183887     4.96759     1.81158     162.504898       Mol     6.078103     3.823142     2.94175     0.834519     1.536514     162.468399       Mol     5.778169     3.823142     2.94175     0.896532     4.89552     4.895562     2.69066     0.710039     117.57691       Mol     5.778103     3.823142     2.94562     0.887692     2.65037     0.686412     117.5769       Mol     5.751688     3.853467	Mol     5.76476     2.86460     U.XZ/164     3.7003Z     118.41581     66.56462       mol     5.78363     3.823142     2.90222     0.926634     3.769078     4.893402     2.69371     0.73039     118.41581     66.54662       mol     5.783653     3.680354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183814     4.96759     1.81158     1.536148     162.504898     74.330795       mol     6.053363     3.680354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183817     4.970438     1.81158     1.536148     162.504898     74.330795       mol     6.053227     3.880354     0.90295     1.407157     3.183817     4.970438     1.81158     1.536148     162.504898     74.330795       mol     6.077013     3.823142     2.96452     0.863962     1.81158     1.53644     162.504898     74.330795       mol     5.751588     3.823142     2.835314     4.905497     4.89657     2.65037     0.66642     117.54284     68.077366       mol     5.751588     3.853467     0.83472     2.65037 <td>Mill     5.78475     2.869466     U.34/104     5.70042     4.805397     0.101/129     110.4441631     68.564662     3.2/UU55       mol     5.78512     3.823889     2.903292     0.926834     3.769078     4.893402     2.69371     0.73039     118.41581     68.564662     3.2/UU55       mol     6.053263     3.860354     0.902955     1.40618     3.783814     4.96759     1.81436     1.536148     162.504898     7.4.330795     0.84539       mol     6.053227     3.8600251     0.902817     1.407157     3.183817     4.907395     1.81158     1.536148     162.504898     7.4.330795     0.84539       mol     5.77813     3.823142     2.94175     0.834519     3.183651     4.907556     0.34359       mol     5.77813     3.823142     2.969652     4.895573     2.68772     0.68612     117.34284     68.079366     3.31708       mol     5.778133     3.823142     2.895633     1.81158     1.55644     162.64898     3.37708     3.31708       mol     5.778132</td> <td>Mile     0.784519     3.823949     Luxer     0.1071/28     118.442123     06.509452     3.21043     1.014143       Mile     5.7785612     3.823889     2.902392     0.928634     3.769078     4.895759     1.81436     1.556148     16.2.504898     7.330795     0.84539     0.531655       Mile     6.053863     3.6800251     0.902395     1.406618     3.183814     4.96759     1.81436     1.536148     16.2.504898     7.330795     0.845399     0.531655       Mile     6.053263     3.6800251     0.908317     1.407157     3.183814     4.96759     1.81436     1.536148     16.2.504898     7.320795     0.845399     0.538341       Mile     6.05327     3.680251     0.908317     1.407157     3.183887     4.970438     1.81156     0.845399     1.4.327545     0.849369     0.538341       Mile     6.778103     3.823142     2.94952     0.886922     2.68075     0.716394     117.57424     86.079506     3.31704     0.88694       Mile     5.778188     3.823144     2.94952<!--</td--><td>Mile     5.73812     3.86.3940     U.XZ/1044     1.0.471/24     1.0.44.21/24     0.806432     3.2.20030     U.VZ/1044     1.0.141141     3.2.2115     3.2.20103       mol     5.778512     3.820389     2.902292     0.326834     3.769078     4.895702     2.689371     0.73039     118.41561     68.564862     3.2715     -0.81077     3.2715     -0.81077     -3.27105       mol     5.778753     3.680354     0.902357     1.4007157     3.183887     4.907306     1.81158     1.53644     182.504888     7.4330795     0.810797     -0.810797     -0.810797     -0.810797     -0.810797     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     0.84539&lt;</td><td>In 0.704-319     3.20392     0.827104     3.708078     4.803962     2.003292     0.827104     0.82715     0.8171     0.231039     1.00317     3.2715     1.66,415894     1       Into 5.73812     3.820354     0.30295     1.406618     3.769078     4.893402     2.68371     0.73039     1.61,41581     86.548662     3.2715     166,415894     1       Into 5.73812     3.880354     0.30295     1.406618     3.769078     4.893402     2.68371     0.73039     117.36544     162.463399     1.46.7369971     146.7580796       Into 5.778153     3.880251     0.908317     1.407157     3.133852     4.893402     2.680718     1.17.36514     162.46339     1.46.76507907     1     146.7580796     146.7580796     0.84539     1.467.057907     1     146.7560796     146.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.758079</td></td>	Mill     5.78475     2.869466     U.34/104     5.70042     4.805397     0.101/129     110.4441631     68.564662     3.2/UU55       mol     5.78512     3.823889     2.903292     0.926834     3.769078     4.893402     2.69371     0.73039     118.41581     68.564662     3.2/UU55       mol     6.053263     3.860354     0.902955     1.40618     3.783814     4.96759     1.81436     1.536148     162.504898     7.4.330795     0.84539       mol     6.053227     3.8600251     0.902817     1.407157     3.183817     4.907395     1.81158     1.536148     162.504898     7.4.330795     0.84539       mol     5.77813     3.823142     2.94175     0.834519     3.183651     4.907556     0.34359       mol     5.77813     3.823142     2.969652     4.895573     2.68772     0.68612     117.34284     68.079366     3.31708       mol     5.778133     3.823142     2.895633     1.81158     1.55644     162.64898     3.37708     3.31708       mol     5.778132	Mile     0.784519     3.823949     Luxer     0.1071/28     118.442123     06.509452     3.21043     1.014143       Mile     5.7785612     3.823889     2.902392     0.928634     3.769078     4.895759     1.81436     1.556148     16.2.504898     7.330795     0.84539     0.531655       Mile     6.053863     3.6800251     0.902395     1.406618     3.183814     4.96759     1.81436     1.536148     16.2.504898     7.330795     0.845399     0.531655       Mile     6.053263     3.6800251     0.908317     1.407157     3.183814     4.96759     1.81436     1.536148     16.2.504898     7.320795     0.845399     0.538341       Mile     6.05327     3.680251     0.908317     1.407157     3.183887     4.970438     1.81156     0.845399     1.4.327545     0.849369     0.538341       Mile     6.778103     3.823142     2.94952     0.886922     2.68075     0.716394     117.57424     86.079506     3.31704     0.88694       Mile     5.778188     3.823144     2.94952 </td <td>Mile     5.73812     3.86.3940     U.XZ/1044     1.0.471/24     1.0.44.21/24     0.806432     3.2.20030     U.VZ/1044     1.0.141141     3.2.2115     3.2.20103       mol     5.778512     3.820389     2.902292     0.326834     3.769078     4.895702     2.689371     0.73039     118.41561     68.564862     3.2715     -0.81077     3.2715     -0.81077     -3.27105       mol     5.778753     3.680354     0.902357     1.4007157     3.183887     4.907306     1.81158     1.53644     182.504888     7.4330795     0.810797     -0.810797     -0.810797     -0.810797     -0.810797     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     0.84539&lt;</td> <td>In 0.704-319     3.20392     0.827104     3.708078     4.803962     2.003292     0.827104     0.82715     0.8171     0.231039     1.00317     3.2715     1.66,415894     1       Into 5.73812     3.820354     0.30295     1.406618     3.769078     4.893402     2.68371     0.73039     1.61,41581     86.548662     3.2715     166,415894     1       Into 5.73812     3.880354     0.30295     1.406618     3.769078     4.893402     2.68371     0.73039     117.36544     162.463399     1.46.7369971     146.7580796       Into 5.778153     3.880251     0.908317     1.407157     3.133852     4.893402     2.680718     1.17.36514     162.46339     1.46.76507907     1     146.7580796     146.7580796     0.84539     1.467.057907     1     146.7560796     146.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.758079</td>	Mile     5.73812     3.86.3940     U.XZ/1044     1.0.471/24     1.0.44.21/24     0.806432     3.2.20030     U.VZ/1044     1.0.141141     3.2.2115     3.2.20103       mol     5.778512     3.820389     2.902292     0.326834     3.769078     4.895702     2.689371     0.73039     118.41561     68.564862     3.2715     -0.81077     3.2715     -0.81077     -3.27105       mol     5.778753     3.680354     0.902357     1.4007157     3.183887     4.907306     1.81158     1.53644     182.504888     7.4330795     0.810797     -0.810797     -0.810797     -0.810797     -0.810797     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     -0.84539     0.531674     0.84539<	In 0.704-319     3.20392     0.827104     3.708078     4.803962     2.003292     0.827104     0.82715     0.8171     0.231039     1.00317     3.2715     1.66,415894     1       Into 5.73812     3.820354     0.30295     1.406618     3.769078     4.893402     2.68371     0.73039     1.61,41581     86.548662     3.2715     166,415894     1       Into 5.73812     3.880354     0.30295     1.406618     3.769078     4.893402     2.68371     0.73039     117.36544     162.463399     1.46.7369971     146.7580796       Into 5.778153     3.880251     0.908317     1.407157     3.133852     4.893402     2.680718     1.17.36514     162.46339     1.46.76507907     1     146.7580796     146.7580796     0.84539     1.467.057907     1     146.7560796     146.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.7580796     1.46.758079

Appendix 3.3 The distance space descriptors of indatraline used in the combinatorial comparison.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

										angle	angle				explicit	explicit
				distance	distance	listance		distance	distance	Ar1 to	Ar2 to	explicit	explicit 6	explicit	angle	angle
		distance	distance	absolute value	absolute value	N to	distance	absolute value	absolute value	connecting C	connecting C	distance	distance	distance	Ar1 plane to /	Ar2 plane to
		N to Ar1	N to Ar2	N to Ar1 plane	N to Ar2 plane	connecting C	Ar1 to Ar2	Ar1 to Ar2 plane	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	to N	to N	N to YZ plane	N to XY plane	N to XZ plane	YZ plane	YZ plane
		(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms) (	angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(angstroms)	(angstroms) (	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)
18	Indatraline_14.164_kcals_per_mol	6.117846	3.664682	0.92809	1.392571	3.251082	4.963384	1.83137	1.542825	162.227859	72.896393	0.9383	0.43797 -	-3.09122	146.156235	34.23938
19	Indatraline_14.184_kcals_per_mol	6.130847	3.648163	0.93183	1.38921	3.265626	4.958974	1.8451	1.55134	162.059158	72.29763	0.97361	0.392886	-3.10192	145.945007	34.749573
2	Indatraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol	6.117845	3.664597	0.933353	1.39307	3.251199	4.966274	1.82853	1.54295	162.203308	72.892906	0.936852	0.4448	-3.09079	146.052063	34.218491
21	Indatraline_14.241_kcals_per_mol	6.130921	3.648073	0.936941	1.389683	3.265768	4.961845	1.84224	1.55161	162.040802	72.293709	0.97217	0.399666	-3.10164	145.837799	34.727997
ส	Indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol	6.226276	3.762174	1.14545	1.304931	3.397526	4.94945	1.88938	1.556208	158.306747	72.875755	1.24369	0.3773	-3.150889	145.978638	36.120636
23	Indatraline_14.532_kcals_per_mol	6.226388	3.762107	1.1503	1.3054 3	3.397626	4.9524	1.88632	1.556438	158.299835	72.872971	1.24206	0.38446	-3.150736	145.873795	36.095322
24	Indatraline_14.967_kcals_per_mol	5.766745	3.861947	2.99848	0.79974 3	3.809037	4.898981	2.676859	0.689147	116.656868	68.752357	3.35348	-0.860546	-1.67847	167.453186	73.666214
25	Indatraline_15.029_kcals_per_mol	5.766123	3.86207	3.01386	0.799352	3.809141	4.902615	2.67368	0.666212	116.635651	68.753403	3.35479	-0.857208	-1.677538	167.95401	73.715515
26	Indatraline_15.680_kcals_per_mol	5.737864	3.872137	3.02319	0.881976	3.772985	4.900225	2.669	0.642878	116.76725	69.58181	3.3321	0.776567	-1.671806	168.165756	74.576263
27	Indatraline_15.745_kcals_per_mol	5.737631	3.871969	3.037987	0.88133	3.773087	4.903924	2.665244	0.6179	116.759094	69.576492	3.33294	-0.773138	-1.67169	168.718201	74.603439
82	Indatraline_15.768_kcals_per_mol	5.724724	3.831525	3.07244	0.751646	8.791121	4.91977	2.59409	0.562073	115.810005	68.413025	3.35508	-0.87531	-1.62137	169.947723	73.862564
59	Indatraline_15.832_kcals_per_mol	5.72489	3.831431	3.08572	0.75193	3.791138	4.923189	2.59085	0.53913	115.817276	68.410652	3.35552	0.87175	-1.62212	170.457001	73.892197

										angle	angle					
				distance	distance	distance	-	distance	distance	Ar1 to	Ar2 to	explicit t	explicit	explicit	explicit	explicit
		distance	distance	absolute value	absolute value	N to	distance	absolute value	absolute value	connecting C	connecting C	distance	distance	distance	angle	angle
		N to Ar1	N to Ar2	N to Ar1 plane	N to Ar2 plane	connecting C	Ar1 to Ar2	Ar1 to Ar2 plane	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	to N	to N	N to YZ plane	N to XY plane	N to XZ plane	Ar1 plane to	Ar2 plane to
		(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms) (	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	YZ plane	rZ plane
																I
-	S-Citalopram_8.853_kcals_per_mol	3.67128	6.029668	2.911011 (	).666201	3.176697	4.859788	1.821014	2.68086	74.237083	160.042252	-1.20798	2.82326	0.916822	86.404572	15.5163
2	S-Citalopram_9.263_kcals_per_mol	3.51516	6.32309	2.733964 (	).643029	3.623144	4.806541	2.16051	2.78593	64.077255	148.28096	1.69754	2.77546	1.5016	88.944313	216.796402
m	S-Citalopram_9.270_kcals_per_mol	2.969067	6.190492	2.733179 (	).581698	3.287195	4.781022	2.151451	2.83541	56.957394	166.285553	0.060717	2.76131	1.769955	87.984909	217.366501
4	S-Citalopram_9.493_kcals_per_mol	7.736428	6.091556	2.046491	4.722579	5.101118	4.821425	2.19525	2.79738	149.250702	94.611092	1.15754	2.1613	-4.451498	87.916107	214.509598
ъ	S-Citalopram_9.546_kcals_per_mol	6.128332	7.684354	4.634368	2.90889	5.110119	4.84676	1.961773	2.7519	95.899811	143.762756	1.71854	4.73995	-0.620314	87.292412	221.768402
9	S-Citalopram_9.566_kcals_per_mol	5.327249	5.831194	3.049858 (	0.81549	3.464435	4.866247	1.802135	2.70363	113.453369	130.792709	-1.208935	2.98919	-1.334331	87.227562	15.95554
~	S-Citalopram_9.613_kcals_per_mol	6.621847	5.536495	1.424929 4	1.309587	4,437746	4.81956	2.24031	2.80089	127.728477	95.018135	2.94888	1.559804	-2.84996	88.493057	212.803894
~	S-Citalopram_9.655_kcals_per_mol	5.815384	6.375084	2.67933	3.676115	4.423545	4.849961	1.96888	2.74115	103.193314	118.442909	3.12303	2.82572	-1.153446	87.591698	221.505905
െ	S-Citalopram_9.830_kcals_per_mol	6.408161	6.458917	3.266955 5	3.674347	4.423198	4.820396	2.20584	2.79798	120.692932	121.174095	1.54334 -	-0.37734	-2.346988	87.967934	214.291901
우	S-Citalopram_9.872_kcals_per_mol	6.231034	3.521811	0.6851 5	3.110569	3.649953	4.740547	2.65777	2.84525	143.621536	63.451935	1.86895 -	-0.69689	-3.01478	88.046867	200.869202
Ŧ	S-Citalopram_9.884_kcals_per_mol	6.512244	6.332406	3.130657 5	3.693465	4.43156	4.847899	1.9439	2.75245	124.033661	116.873657	1.52451	3.23694	-2.563437	87.238441	22.250504
₽	S-Citalopram_9.885_kcals_per_mol	5.600403	5.79424	2.84903	.93861	3.522907	4.81081	2.34096	2.8119	120.938858	126.891846	-0.93966	2.90158	-1.79401	89.995132	208.568802
13	S-Citalopram_9.920_kcals_per_mol	5.772329	3.718035	0.52129 2	2.750113	3.030013	4.910169	2.53349	2.00769	153.709213	76.82975	-1.403175 (	0.480459	-2.67034	130.469803	76.650406
14	S-Citalopram_10.113_kcals_per_mol	5.233475	7.440292	4.592022 0	.947193	4.590252	4.874766	1.78855	2.68209	85.528694	161.302185	-0.139685	4.5756 (	0.36587	87.791519	16.2388
15	S-Citalopram_10.124_kcals_per_mol	7.370233	5.582248	1.702269 3	1.57101	4.615999	4.812906	2.27257	2.80997	156.367294	92.460037	-0.707161	1.76235	-4.217071	88.97831	211.063904
16	S-Citalopram_10.164_kcals_per_mol	7.750619	6.020913	1.895809 4	1.76974	5.10498	4.821994	2.189415	2.79646	149.857712	92.900055	1.284221	2.01712	-4.48667	87.816772	214.776703
1	S-Citalopram_10.173_kcals_per_mol	7.71894	6.181511	2.218518 4	1.667063	5.101921	4.818953	2.20463	2.79978	148.198883	96.708397	1.03396	2.329277	-4.39965	87.933929	214.284302
∞	S-Citalopram_10.226_kcals_per_mol	6.207811	7.67901	4.67659 2	.91977	5.112393	4.844372	1.975225	2.755	97.759705	143.385498	1.56085 4	4.77585	-0.779508	87.314758	21.421295
6 10	S-Citalopram_10.244_kcals_per_mol	5.614021	5.421623	1.292641 2	.875949	3.411166	4.951975	2.56363	1.94188	125.418037	116.572975	-1.068362 2	2.656964	-1.89898	131.818695	82.847
୍ଷ	S-Citalopram_10.245_kcals_per_mol	6.073746	7.686506	4.586885 2	.917866	5.113157	4.847612	1.95366	2.75129	94.543747	143.720703	1.864177 4	4.6991	-0.50589	87.27803	21.988693

Appendix 3.4 The distance space descriptors of escitalopram used in the combinatorial comparison.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

explicit	-	angle	angle vr2 plane to	angle vr2 plane to Y2 plane	angle vr2 plane to Y2 plane (degrees)	angle vr2 plane to Y2 plane (degrees)	angle vr2 plane to YZ plane (degrees) 14.146103	angle vr2 plane to Y2 plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.502808	angle vr2 plane to Y2 plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.502808 21.540604	angle vr2 plane to Y2 plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.502808 21.540604 5.7533	angle rr2 plane to Y2 plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.502808 21.540604 5.7593 18.181595	angle vr2 plane to Y2 plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.502808 21.540604 21.540604 21.540604 21.540603 21.858093	angle YZ plane to YZ plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.146103 14.146103 14.146103 14.146103 14.146103 21.540604 21.560092 21.658093 21.0568092	angle YZ plane to YZ plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.502808 21.540604 5.7593 5.7593 18.181595 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092	angle YZ plane to YZ plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.146103 14.146103 5.7593 5.7593 21.540604 5.7593 21.540604 21.540604 10.569092 84.384201 18.612305	angle YZ plane to YZ plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.146103 14.146103 14.1502808 5.7593 5.7593 14.181595 21.858093 10.569092 84.384201 18.612305 02.026703	angle YZ plane to YZ plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.502808 21.540604 5.7593 5.7593 5.7593 18.181595 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 11.127305 02.026703	angle YZ plane to YZ plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.146103 14.146103 21.540604 5.7593 21.540604 21.858093 21.858093 21.858093 21.858093 10.569092 84.384201 18.612305 02.026703 14.127304 14.127304	angle YZ plane to YZ plane to (degrees) 14.146103 14.146103 14.1502808 5.7593 5.7593 5.7593 14.1585093 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 14.127304 14.083603 14.127304 14.083603	angle YZ plane to YZ plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.502808 21.540604 5.7593 5.7593 5.7593 5.7593 18.181595 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 14.127304 14.127304 11.1229605 21.944794 21.944794	angle YZ plane to YZ plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.146103 14.146103 14.1502808 21.540604 5.7593 14.181595 21.858093 19.580992 19.580992 19.580992 19.580992 11.329605 11.329605 11.329605 21.742706	angle YZ plane to YZ plane to (degrees) 14.146103 14.146103 14.1502808 5.7593 5.7593 5.7593 18.181595 5.7593 14.1858093 10.569092 10.569092 11.569092 14.127304 14.083603 14.127304 14.083603 12.1344794 11.329605 21.742706	angle YZ plane to YZ plane (degrees) 14.502808 14.502808 21.540604 5.7593 5.7593 5.7593 18.181595 5.7593 18.181595 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 11.127304 11.127304 11.1229605 21.944794 11.329605 21.944794 11.2734207 79.2173 79.2173	angle angle (*2 plane to Y2 plane to Y2 plane to 14.146103 14.1502808 14.1502808 5.7593 5.7593 14.151595 21.858093 19.563092 18.612305 21.858093 10.569092 18.612305 21.858093 10.569092 21.858093 12.394794 11.329605 21.34794 11.329605 21.34207 12.734207 21.34207 21.501898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.601898 21.5174	angle YZ plane to YZ plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.146103 14.1502808 5.7593 5.7593 5.7593 18.181595 5.7593 18.181595 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 11.2858093 14.127304 14.127304 14.127304 11.329605 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 21.742706 22.15601898 22.1501898 22.1501898 22.1501898	angle YZ plane to YZ plane (degrees) 14.502808 14.502808 21.540604 5.7593 5.7593 5.7593 18.181595 5.7593 14.1858093 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 11.238205 02.026703 14.127304 11.1229605 21.944794 11.329605 21.34207 12.74207 12.74207 12.74207 12.74207 12.74207 13.093399 13.093399	angle angle (*2 plane to Y2 plane to Y2 plane (degrees) 14.146103 14.1502808 14.502808 5.7593 5.7593 18.181595 5.7593 18.181595 21.8580933 14.127304 11.2596055 21.944794 14.083603 114.127304 14.083603 114.127304 11.329605 21.742706 113.299605 21.742706 113.299605 21.742706 113.293399 21.8033399 13.412201 13.0933399 13.412201 13.0933399 13.412201 13.0933399 13.412201 13.0933399 13.412201 13.0933399	angle YZ plane to YZ plane to (degrees) 14.146103 14.16502808 5.7593 5.7593 5.7593 18.181595 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 11.239505 14.127304 14.127304 11.239505 21.944794 11.239505 21.944794 11.239505 21.742706 12.734207 12.734207 13.093399 13.093399 13.093399 13.412201 13.412201 13.412201	angle angle (r2 plane to Y2 plane to Y2 plane to (degrees) 14.502808 14.502808 14.502808 14.502808 14.125903 14.1259093 10.569092 10.569092 10.569092 10.569093 11.127304 11.127304 11.127304 11.127304 11.127304 11.127305 11.14083603 12.134207 11.329605 11.1229605 11.1229605 11.1229605 11.1229605 11.1229605 11.12560 11.1259605 11.12568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568 5.118568
explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to Ar	YZ plane	(degrees) (		87.984581 21	87.887589 21		87.269592 22	87.269592 22 87.764587 45	87.269592 22 87.764587 45 87.91938 21	87.269592 22 87.764587 45 87.91938 21 87.25721 22	87.269592 22 87.764587 45 87.91938 21 87.25721 22 89.156113 31	87.269592 22 87.764587 45 87.91938 21 87.25721 22 89.156113 31 132.199005 18	87.764587 45 87.764587 45 87.91938 21 87.25721 22 89.156113 31 132.199005 18 88.231796 21	87.269592 22 87.764567 45 87.764567 45 87.91938 21 87.25721 22 89.156113 31 132.199005 18 132.199005 18 88.231796 21 93.349579 20	87.269592 22 87.764587 45 87.91938 21 87.25721 22 89.156113 31 132.199005 18 88.231796 21 88.231796 20 93.349579 20 88.115921 21	87.269592 22 87.764587 45 87.91938 21 87.25721 22 89.156113 31 132.199005 18 88.231796 21 88.231796 21 88.231796 21 88.115921 21 88.115921 21	87.764587 22 87.764587 45 87.764587 45 87.91938 21 87.25721 22 89.156113 31 132.199005 18 88.231796 21 93.349579 20 93.349579 20 88.115221 21 88.115221 21 88.115221 21 88.115626 20 88.143112 21	87.2695692 22 87.764587 45 87.919398 21 87.25721 22 89.156113 31 132.199005 18 88.231796 21 88.231796 21 88.115921 21 88.115921 21 88.143112 21 88.143112 21 88.14312 21 88.892509 22 88.892509 21	87.2695692 22 87.764567 45 87.91938 21 87.25721 22 89.156113 31 132.199005 18 88.231796 21 33.249579 20 93.349579 20 88.115921 21 88.115921 21 88.143112 21 88.143112 21 88.470569 21 88.892509 21 87.470589 22 88.892509 21	87.764587 45 87.764587 45 87.764587 45 87.25721 22 88.156113 31 132.199005 18 88.231796 21 23.349579 20 93.349579 20 93.349579 20 88.143112 21 88.143112 21 88.1470589 22 87.470589 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22	87.269592 22   87.269592 21   87.91938 21   87.91938 21   87.25721 22   89.156113 31   132.199005 18   132.199005 18   88.151796 21   88.115921 21   88.115921 21   88.115921 21   88.115921 21   88.115921 21   88.115921 21   88.115921 21   88.115921 21   88.115921 21   88.115921 21   88.105699 22   88.076012 21   37.30561005 21   30.561005 21	87.764567 45 87.764567 45 87.91938 21 87.25721 22 89.156113 31 132.199005 18 88.115921 21 93.349579 20 93.349579 20 93.349579 20 88.115921 21 88.115921 21 88.14710589 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 81.0561005 17 130.561005 12 130.561005 12 120.56	87.764587 45 87.764587 45 87.764587 45 87.25721 22 88.156113 31 132.199005 18 88.231796 21 132.199005 18 88.231796 20 93.349579 20 88.143112 21 88.143112 21 88.143112 21 88.14312 21 87.470589 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 87.54319 22 87.157702 21 87.157702 22 87.171227 22	87.269592 22 87.764587 45 87.91938 21 87.25721 22 89.156113 31 132.199005 18 88.231796 20 93.349579 20 93.349579 20 88.115921 21 88.115921 21 88.115921 21 88.1470589 22 88.892509 21 130.561005 17 130.561005 17 130.561005 17 130.561005 17 131.171227 22 87.171227 22 87.167702 22 87.171227 22 87.167702 22 87.171227 22	87.269592 22   87.269592 22   87.25721 22   87.25721 22   87.25721 22   87.25721 22   87.25721 22   88.15921 21   93.349579 20   93.349579 20   88.115921 21   88.115921 21   88.115921 21   88.143112 21   88.195699 22   88.195699 22   87.470689 22   87.47059 21   130.561005 17   130.561005 17   88.16564 21   88.16564 21   88.16564 21   88.16564 21   88.16564 21   88.16564 21   88.16564 21   88.16564 21   88.16564 21   88.16564 21   88.16564 21   88.16564 21   88.16564 21   88.16564 21	87.269592   22     87.269592   21     87.91938   21     87.91938   21     87.25721   22     89.156113   31     132.199005   18     132.199005   18     88.156113   21     88.115921   21     88.115921   21     88.115921   21     88.115921   21     88.115921   21     88.115921   21     88.115921   21     88.105609   22     88.1056012   21     130.561005   17     130.561005   17     88.1056003   21     88.106003   21     88.106003   21     91.334827   20	87.764587 45 87.764587 45 87.91938 21 87.25721 22 89.156113 31 132.199005 18 88.231796 20 93.349579 20 93.349579 20 88.115921 21 88.115921 21 88.115921 21 88.143112 21 88.145702 22 87.470589 22 87.54319 22 87.650012 21 130.561005 17 130.561005 17 130.561005 17 130.561005 17 130.561005 17 130.561005 17 87.167702 22 87.171227 22 88.165003 21 88.165003 21 88.165003 21 88.165003 21 88.165003 21 88.165003 21 88.705049 46
	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	(angstroms)		-4.42752	-4.45857	-0.611287	0.176272	0.907971	-0.526304	-4.11584	-3.24394	0.95506	-2.65409	-3.061354	-3.019309	-1.241238	-4.19706	-1.166386	-4.56508	-3.208778	-2.451022	-2.471644	-2.617981	-2.549852		-3.301369	-3.301369 0.357809
	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	(angstroms)		2.16775	2.061709	4.73505	4.60013	4.45966	4.7115	2.05338	-0.29833	4.44636	0.89716	1.82172	1.766292	3.14359	1.82652	3.08489	0.01997	-0.568782	3.45779	3.41919	3.34279	3.358516	1.01112		4.56586
	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	(angstroms)		1.05023	1.136064	1.60684	-0.19302	0.706356	1.70298	-0.70102	1.4099	0.8357	-1.72577	2.85555	2.87306	3.07355	-0.64748	3.10167	0.871694	-0.134409	1.73748	1.6876	1.692696	1.63611	0.389249		0.02597
angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	(degrees)		94.930603	93.590599	144.546249	159.08429	165.34967	144.616699	96.333458	61.41375	164.203949	88.229866	96.851334	96.439331	120.821968	93.278015	120.731483	70.002335	56.152103	119.349281	118.947327	118.229309	119.047348	55.448986 (		161.233032 -
angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	(degrees)		149.637817	150.22084	95.890198	87.896103	78.144669	94.883293	152.66156	153.330536	77.626831	146.385834	129.399521	129.108917	103.739616	155.696289	102.892563	166.058014	168.587387	120.711708	121.32769	123.239258	122.649147	160.573715		85.527954
	istance	bsolute value	vr2 to Ar1 plane	angstroms)		79997	.79778	.7555	.6908	.75565	.75364	81364	.95328	73265	.77497	.79697	.79698	.74134	.81052	.74106	80089	.03957	.76165	.75982	80555	80329	.84352		68961
	stance	solute value	r1 to Ar2 plane	ingstroms) (		208518	1985	60/6	8077 2	105075 2	958935 2	290275 2	64122 1	085622 2	4092	200497 2	201959 2	9531 2	268184 2	9595 2	235606 2	67182 2	96877 2	960777 2	247076 2	236105 2	57977 2		/A74
	đ	stance at	r1 to Ar2 A	ingstroms) (a		818998 2.	820753 2.	844637 1.	871751 1.	818191 2.	846367 1.	810195 2.	923568 2.	829172 2.	836014 2.	823572 2.	823462 2.	851039 1.	812679 2.	850987 1.5	810377 2.1	86902 2.0	841763 1.9	843346 1.9	812642 2.1	814907 2.2	729653 2.6		2/1800
	stance	to	onnecting C	ingstroms) (a		058 4	060709 4.	066425 4	60497 4.	619393 4.	06784 4.	644158 4.	57283 4.	638282 4.	25879 4.	613357 4.	574956 4.	62248 4.	614882 4.	582255 4.	660927 4.	263299 4.	613795 4.	576425 4.	602333 4.	55395 4.	482617 4.		10000
	distance d	absolute value N	N to Ar2 plane c	(angstroms)		4.65489 5	4.684509 5	2.822062 5	1.037194 4	1.00749 4	2.82437 5	3.60192 4	2.888142 3	1.08198 4	2.004621 3	4.51722 4	4,47739 4	3.802778 4	3.58933 4	3.750659 4	1.08476 4	2.71132 3	3.825002 4	3.79422 4.	3.955 4.	3.87628 4.	2.752625 3.	10000	.020030
	distance	absolute value	N to Ar1 plane	(angstroms)		2.057102	1.946963	4.632396	4.61515	4.427255	4.604825	1.989226	0.742362	4.422876	0.757864	1.66913	1.614851	2.991349	1.765431	2.935977	0.07834	0.50518	3.334468	3.298951	3.229493	3.246217	05388		10/4342
		distance	N to Ar2	(angstroms)		6.067554	6.012269	7.65746	7.43084	7.507266	7.660216	5.768628	3.37792	7.516567	4.328012	5.761461	5.711567	6.631873	5.615926	6.591911	4.583016	2.940504	6.577964	6.530877	6.532744	6.514064	3.028336	7 40060	
		distance	N to Ar1	(angstroms)		7.700635	7.713125	6.089415	5.349728	4.924042	6.048257	7.345959	6.303288	4.915548	5.903018	6.831855	6.787777	6.010917	7.359798	5.943298	7.509819	6.13253	6.583346	6.567338	6.649724	6.587308	6.297149	E 224014	10477.0
						S-Citalopram_10.375_kcals_per_mol	S-Citalopram_10.379_kcals_per_mol	S-Citalopram_10.404_kcals_per_moi	S-Citalopram_10.409_kcals_per_mol	S-Citalopram_10.429_kcals_per_mol	S-Citalopram_10.434_kcals_per_mol	S-Citalopram_10.543_kcals_per_mol	S-Citalopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	S-Citalopram_10.636_kcals_per_mol	S-Citalopram_10.640_kcals_per_mol	3-Citalopram_10.661_kcals_per_mol	5-Citalopram_10.679_kcals_per_mol	S-Citalopram_10.718_kcals_per_mol	3-Citalopram_10.719_kcals_per_mol	5-Citalopram_10.732_kcals_per_mol	5-Citalopram_10.761_kcals_per_mol	S-Citalopram_10.907_kcals_per_moi	3-Citalopram_10.991_kcals_per_mol	<pre>3-Citalopram_11.006_kcals_per_mol</pre>	5-Citalopram_11.009_kcals_per_mol	S-Citalopram_11.010_kcals_per_mol	-Citalopram_11.023_kcals_per_mol	Citatonram 11 102 kcals per mol	
						21	22	33	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	2

										angle	angle				explicit	explicit
				distance	distance	distance		distance	distance	Ar1 to	Ar2 to	explicit	explicit	explicit	angle	angle
		distance	distance	absolute value	absolute value	N to	distance	absolute value	absolute value	connecting C	connecting C	distance	distance	distance	Ar1 plane to	Ar2 plane to
		N to Ar1	N to Ar2	N to Ar1 plane	N to Ar2 plane	connecting C	Ar1 to Ar2	Ar1 to Ar2 plane	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	to N	to N	N to YZ plane	N to XY plane	N to XZ plane	YZ plane	YZ plane
		(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)
46	S-Citalopram_11.125_kcals_per_mol	6.042092	6.081628	3.117161	2.27646	3.911161	4.812812	2.26518	2.80698	124.237503	124.341599	-0.79984	3.15345	-2.19164	88.667	211.825104
47	S-Citalopram_11.156_kcals_per_mol	7.306728	5.196371	1.060584	4.500884	4.522201	4.955112	2.55063	1.93883	159.075455	85.313393	-0.350356	1.704	-4.185516	131.925598	83.638199
48	S-Citalopram_11.208_kcals_per_mol	7.365758	5.573311	1.68911	3.65471	4.605937	4.808537	2.299119	2.81334	156.786987	92.448677	-0.5944	1.75259	-4.2257	89.069221	210.477402
49	S-Citalopram_11.260_kcals_per_mol	4.966774	7.48874	4.436712	1.06662	4.605865	4.818724	2.104591	2.75236	79.306808	164.729263	0.685117	4.46752	0.815395	87.884491	218.184097
20	S-Citalopram_11.289_kcals_per_mol	7.644684	6.047111	2.934421	4.888201	5.098363	4.945837	2.54589	1.98202	144.498779	93.477943	1.62247	2.46516	-4.156917	130.861603	80.033401
51	S-Citalopram_11.296_kcals_per_mol	6.443331	5.656911	3.577506	3.199812	4.44082	4.963596	2.51661	1.95374	121.34584	97.732666	3.17795	1.97035	-2.367302	131.3647	182.668304
52	S-Citalopram_11.331_kcals_per_mol	6.213522	3.132647	1.13048	2.936912	3.589836	4.759466	2.52225	2.83247	146.09758	56.261715	1.528893	-1.03559	-3.042594	88.612732	207.537003
53	S-Citalopram_11.398_kcals_per_mol	7.382246	5.453105	1.489947	3.50805	4.594362	4.807016	2.281137	2.81165	159.033051	89.88633	-0.72618	1.54327	-4.27629	88.544228	211.505295
54	S-Citalopram_11.411_kcals_per_mol	7.514437	4.629946	0.017998	4.16083	4.67068	4.819448	2.22587	2.79426	165.365585	70.800751	0.92608	0.106711	-4.56265	88.640182	211.998901
55	S-Citalopram_11.411B_kcals_per_mo	ol 5.694211	6.233182	2.055097	3.8234	4.369406	4.865041	1.649294	2.77971	101.116501	115.613564	3.33135	2.52069	-1.014047	83.215561	19.660568
56	S-Citalopram_11.450_kcals_per_mol	6.276934	6.477898	3.827063	3.469977	4.426013	4.95015	2.54757	1.96842	116.425148	121.379662	1.67195	3.612523	-1.95335	131.120193	81.442993
57	S-Citalopram_11.452_kcals_per_mol	6.758376	5.743695	1.78056	4.159604	4.457839	4.869797	1.61982	2.77331	132.087387	99.81562	2.27378	2.14376	-3.11247	83.24617	60.308998
83	S-Citalopram_11.473_kcals_per_mol	7.575408	4.925171	0.34547	4.512525	4.749558	4.78169	2.5194	2.81835	163.085663	75.546295	1.07582	0.384279	-4.59405	88.733871	203.253098
ള	S-Citalopram_11.489_kcals_per_moi	5.10444	7.460761	4.558051	0.85569	4.584248	4.871685	1.776339	2.68691	82.75444	164.051575	-0.05068	4.54731	0.581561	87.676277	6.6092
8	S-Citalopram_11.506_kcals_per_mol	4.917309	7.501167	4.436247	0.8928	4.600819	4.809992	2.118074	2.76481	78.328865	166.982178	0.53402	4.46991	0.89383	87.526901	217.9039
61	S-Citalopram_11.556_kcals_per_mol	7.29513	5.342762	1.197198	4.535147	4.545444	4.953709	2.55548	1.94448	156.231293	88.178741	0.387548	1.91607	-4.116388	131.779099	83.436707
53	S-Citalopram_11.771_kcals_per_mol	7.297261	5.270324	1.168872	4.52156	4.530617	4.955998	2.551587	1.94045	157.568176	86.816589	-0.320792	1.820912	-4.14789	131.854294	76.353699
ន	S-Citalopram_11.794_kcals_per_mol	7.022367	5.745288	0.233478	5.062118	5.137936	4.863354	1.66595	2.7827	119.003181	86.126862	4.22848	0.799188	-2.68337	83.373161	9.114201
8	S-Citalopram_11.837_kcals_per_mol	7.489782	4.627618	0.042937	4.07484	4.634347	4.812217	2.226434	2.80114	166.928757	71.344971	0.787404 (	0.13606	-4.55268	88.309738	12.515198
65	S-Citalopram_11.845_kcals_per_mol	7.466413	4.509067	0.10695	3.94437	4.602426	4.812578	2.19787	2.80138	168.207718	69.440453	0.69394 (	0.0134	-4.539047	88.123207	13.378693
99	S-Citalopram_11.867_kcals_per_mol	6.881627	5.852278	0.333088	5.00041	5.135271	4.860571	1.699064	2.7821	114.857292	88.532478	4.39292 (	0.89953	-2.357741	83.518387	8.26973
67	S-Citalopram_11.976_kcals_per_mol	7.654096	5.984981	2.921732	4.85308	5.101922	4.946103	2.551281	1.98006	144.791687	91.985779	1.75705	2.332642	-4.181	130.915802	81.254196
89	S-Citalopram_11.991_kcals_per_mol	7.631953	6.134649	2.96852	4.923759 t	5.100459	4.944689	2.54764	1.98512	143.74556	95.465515	1.4805	2.631922	-4.112292	130.802521	81.097794
69	S-Citalopram_12.007_kcals_per_mol	6.925265	5.711552	0.182585	4.994686	5.092368 4	4.863656	1.66168	2.78169	117.334763	86.245636	4.2735 (	0.75561	-2.532149	83.30822	9.302299
2	S-Citalopram_12.036_kcals_per_mol	6.82006	4.346968	1.45897	4.1635	4.695468 4	4.841375	1.799737	2.80862	125.937775	64.697754	3.50113	-0.97747	-2.89321	83.430771	24.883896

									angle	angle				explicit	explicit
			distance	distance	distance		distance	distance	Ar1 to	Ar2 to	explicit	explicit	explicit	angle	angle
q	istance	distance	absolute value	absolute value	N to	distance	absolute value	absolute value	connecting C	connecting C	distance	distance	distance	Ar1 plane to	Ar2 plane to
Z	I to Ar1	N to Ar2	N to Ar1 plane	N to Ar2 plane	connecting C	Ar1 to Ar2	Ar1 to Ar2 plane	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	to N	to N	N to YZ plane	N to XY plane	N to XZ plane	YZ plane	YZ plane
3)	angstroms) (	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)
													-		
per_mol 6.	29883	6.22961	4.122199	3.95744	5.128085	4.931818	1.34641	1.97685	99.669998	97.109039	4.87222	1.220433	-0.94243	130.895004	115.784599
per_mol 6.	.887144	5.740716	0.219817	4.97543	5.090031	4.862641	1.677907	2.78044	116.254608	86.92971	4.31425	0.78621	-2.446757	83.414932	48.863461
ber_mol 6.	.041539	3.421366	0.926139	2.948426	3.680804	5.130327	0.25743	2.15019	132.549088	60.883488	2.5395	-0.438024	-2.578696	121.982803	78.296402
ber_mol 6.	.728584	4.301741	0.25141	3.918985	4.162107	4.762608	2.37772	2.84614	143.883316	72.190559	2.25006	-0.13585	-3.455131	88.889709	209.055695
per_mol 7	457646	4.87164	1.128331	4.519943	4.613295	4.939952	2.51313	1.96653	165.599503	76.651718	0.49839	1.03514	-4.467854	131.398605	181.873703
ber_mol 6.	.027313	3.265519	0.62592	2.950076	3.583662	4.940699	1.1639	2.53391	135.984543	59.018753	2.189532	-0.68326	-2.686877	87.820831	61.641041
per_mol 7.	301576	5.202076	1.134315	4.50914	4.516711	4.948862	2.564147	1.94524	159.131042	85.543175	-0.24467	1.707066	-4.184988	131.845108	183.205795
per_mol 7.	.613601 (	6.010723	2.854866	4.87253	5.053648	4.943943	2.547481	1.98512	145.149597	93.536972	1.51059	2.45575	-4.150735	130.806305	180.873901
per_mol 7.	621631	5.966874	2.845506	4.85195	5.056316	4.944695	2.55191	1.9823	145.426361	92.476814	1.60082	2.366191	-4.17085	130.875793	181.070206
ber_mol 6.	.4756	5.419167	3.275182	1.618294	4.345537	4.932067	1.35949	1.98412	125.641243	93.856634	3.09705	1.62146	-2.568967	130.862198	116.0392
ber_mol 6.	.259583	3.277705	1.15928	2.972112	3.679689	4.868899	1.60523	2.76806	143.636093	58.069492	1.828738	-0.81041	-3.041765	81.40506	52.529999
per_mol 7.	470888	4.8497	1.198464	4.517549	4.632031	4.951267	2.49315	1.95429	164.852798	75.875587	0.63963	1.00436	-4.474907	131.554504	182.661194
per_mol 6.	.691462	4.122433	0.11641	3.329281	3.981718	4.851617	1.70533	2.78489	155.855804	72.110069	1.362796	0.17159	-3.65409	82.133842	48.65926
per_mol 7.	249367	5.411089	0.987831	4.7212	4.765625	4.816979	2.26697	2.80335	140.832352	85.69651	2.56441	1.1101	-3.81126	88.73671	211.880997
ber_mol 5.	.557433 (	6.555893	4.44422	2.653023	4.462522	4.966082	1.177632	1.92876	95.712044	122.816086	3.30377	2.98334	-0.44297	131.623795	111.800102
ber_mol 6.	836229	5.893644	1.723951	4.40467	4.636616	4.874558	1.551065	2.77556	128.589493	99.682167	2.678941	2.150044	-3.034719	83.035988	51.8918
ber_mol 6.	671645	5.850311	3.706837	3.460735	4.6261	4.957063	2.53142	1.96488	123.265007	98.819176	3.12542	2.19257	-2.59002	131.156097	182.133392
ber_mol 6.	629848 5	5.775598	3.63694	3.383711	4.580764	4.956737	2.52911	1.96446	123.286163	97.69397	3.15322	2.07551	-2.569696	131.171204	181.993103
ber_mol 7.	325719	5.099924	0.95978	4.474749	4.514707	4.944092	2.57818	1.94435	161.524414	83.312057	-0.301472	1.52524	-4.248486	131.9599	83.382202
ber_mol 5.	863019	7.097195	3.545526	3.461691	4.839209	4.854194	1.95569	2.72763	95.221893	129.900421	3.01774	3.67392	-0.577585	87.678497	221.868393
ber_mol 7.	002985 6	5.587263	3.33889	3.88607	4.750747	4.819647	2.20307	2.79846	130.967514	115.743629	0.7653	3.43006	-3.173235	87.93663	214.392807
ber_mol 6.	455626 6	5.527915	3.894082	3.631449	4.553877	4.946218	2.54748	1.97465	118.383842	119.179642	1.77141	3.61028	-2.15192	130.974396	102626.08
ber_mol 6.	521235 6	5.546663	3.932776	3.67636	4.605115	4.943018	2.549717	1.9818	118.974342	118.283829	1.84609	3.59497	-2.221146	130.837494	80.727402
ber_mol 5.	35919 6	6.578666	4.099564	0.981466	4.418777	4.762471	2.288404	2.42734	91.881851	125.180176	3.28334 2	2.90256	-0.230834	118.076797	44.695892
er_mol 6.	014739 7	7.810945	5.065375	0.53977	5.132688	4.76028	2.31632	2.42812	92.844696	148.870575	1.70694	4.831067	-0.2619	118.112701	46.020996
	Per_mol     6       Per_mol     7       Per_mol     7       Per_mol     7       Per_mol     7       Per_mol     6       Per_mol     7       Per_mol     6       Per_mol	Per_mol     6.387144       Per_mol     6.29683       Per_mol     6.29683       Per_mol     6.29683       Per_mol     6.041539       Per_mol     6.041539       Per_mol     6.041539       Per_mol     6.041539       Per_mol     6.041539       Per_mol     6.041539       Per_mol     6.021313       Per_mol     7.470648       Per_mol     7.513601       Per_mol     7.513601       Per_mol     7.513601       Per_mol     7.613601       Per_mol     7.513601       Per_mol     6.027313       Per_mol     6.259583       Per_mol     6.691462       Per_mol     6.692984       Per_mol     6.629848       Per_mol     6.6238319	distance     distance     distance       Nto Ar1     Nto Ar2       Nto Ar1     Nto Ar2       (angstroms)     (angstroms)       Per_mol     6.29883     6.22961       Per_mol     6.29833     6.22961       Per_mol     6.29833     5.740716       Per_mol     6.041539     3.421366       Per_mol     6.041539     3.421366       Per_mol     6.041539     3.421366       Per_mol     6.041539     3.421366       Per_mol     6.027313     3.265519       Per_mol     7.51561     5.968874       Per_mol     7.513601     6.010723       Per_mol     7.61561     5.968874       Per_mol     7.61561     5.968874       Per_mol     6.47566     5.419167       Per_mol     6.47566     5.419167       Per_mol     6.47566     5.411089       Per_mol     6.691462     4.122433       Per_mol     6.691462     5.968874       Per_mol     6.691462     5.990644	Fer     Gistance     distance     bistance     distance       Nto Ar1     Nto Ar1     Nto Ar1     Nto Ar1     Nto Ar1     pistance       Nto Ar1     Nto Ar1     Nto Ar1     Nto Ar1     pistance     distance       Per_mol     6.29883     6.22961     4.122199     pistoms)       Per_mol     6.041539     3.421366     0.926139       Per_mol     6.041539     3.421366     0.926139       Per_mol     6.041539     3.421366     0.926139       Per_mol     6.041539     3.421366     0.219817       Per_mol     6.041539     3.421366     0.219817       Per_mol     7.457646     4.87164     1.128331       Per_mol     7.613601     6.010723     2.854866       Per_mol     7.613601     6.010723     2.845506       Per_mol     7.470868     4.8497     1.128331       Per_mol     6.07753     2.8554866     1.134315       Per_mol     7.470868     4.8497     1.198464       Per_mol     6.27563     3.275	Per_mol     distance     distance	Image: constraint of the second stratmode distance     distance <thdistance< th="">     distance     distan</thdistance<>	Image: bit in the statuce     distance     distance     distance     distance     distance     distance       Image: bit in the statuce     distance     distance     distance     distance     distance       Image: bit in the statuce     bitsolute value     Nto Ar1     Nto Ar1     Nto Ar2     Nto Ar2     An one connecting C     Ar1 to Ar2       Image: bit in the statuce     distance     distance     distance     distance       Image: bit in the statuce     distance     listance     distance     Ar30745     Ar1 plane     Nto Ar2 plane     connecting C     Ar1 to Ar2       Image: bit in the statuce     distance     image: bit in the statuce     distance     Ar30715     Ar30715     Ar30715     Ar30715     Ar30715     Ar30715     Ar30715     Ar30715     Ar30715     Ar30725     Ar30725     Ar30725     Ar30725     Ar30717     Ar30717     Ar30717     Ar30725     Ar30725     Ar30725     Ar30255     Ar30305     Ar30305     Ar30305     Ar30468     Ar30725     Ar30256     Ar304068     Ar30256     Ar3040689     Ar30256     Ar304685	Image: constraint of the strature sector of the strature sector secto	Image: constraint of set and se	Image     Image <t< td=""><td>····································</td><td>Image     Image     <th< td=""><td>Image     Image     <th< td=""><td>Image     Image     <th< td=""><td>Image     Control     Control</td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></t<>	····································	Image     Image <th< td=""><td>Image     Image     <th< td=""><td>Image     Image     <th< td=""><td>Image     Control     Control</td></th<></td></th<></td></th<>	Image     Image <th< td=""><td>Image     Image     <th< td=""><td>Image     Control     Control</td></th<></td></th<>	Image     Image <th< td=""><td>Image     Control     Control</td></th<>	Image     Control     Control

										angle	angle				explicit	explicit
				distance	distance	distance		distance	distance	Ar1 to	Ar2 to	explicit	explicit	explicit	angle	angle
		distance	distance	absolute value	absolute value	N to	distance	absolute value	absolute value	connecting C	connecting C	distance	distance	distance	Ar1 plane to	vr2 plane to
		N to Ar1	N to Ar2	N to Ar1 plane	N to Ar2 plane	connecting C	Ar1 to Ar2	Ar1 to Ar2 plane	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	to N	to N	N to YZ plane	N to XY plane	N to XZ plane	YZ plane	YZ plane
		(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)
96	S-Citalopram_12.660_kcals_per_mol	1 6.108328	6.376241	2.246164	4.139738	4.607378	4.864134	1.73466	2.75865	106.545349	113.431061	3.37083	2.65824	-1.481474	83.897491	7.597698
97	S-Citalopram_12.675_kcals_per_mol	6.058819	6.354263	2.2581	4.08816	4.568108	4.865093	1.73822	2.7561	106.14859	113.832489	3.33849	2.65702	-1.437642	84.018982	7.483089
86	S-Citalopram_12.701_kcals_per_mol	6.594846	6.999796	4.055818	3.25369	4.771293	4.848111	1.94066	2.74884	116.472298	128.692307	0.892415	4.126	-2.18511	87.324432	22.369904
66	S-Citalopram_12.715_kcals_per_mol	6.827592	4.42574	1.3551	4.21426	4.717646	4.848284	1.790875	2.80482	125.450333	65.925095	3.56875	-0.89283	-2.87509	83.881126	24.123596
100	S-Citalopram_12.760_kcals_per_mol	6.221455	6.328655	4.202806	3.98312	5.135745	4.92614	1.36656	1.99338	97.619957	99.317528	4.87736	1.350503	-0.76295	130.535095	16.304199
101	S-Citalopram_12.771_kcals_per_mol	6.179153	4.514678	1.68422	4.20657	4.566637	4.892572	1.113743	2.78706	109.501717	70.122414	4.0827	-0.95375	-1.67213	80.726189 6	0.59407
102 102	S-Citalopram_12.831_kcals_per_mol	6.385833	6.155388	4.063092	3.944752	5.129249	4.940022	1.300031	1.96476	101.801071	95.337845	4.85367	1.14037	-1.128782	131.115005	21.671303
13	S-Citalopram_12.883_kcals_per_mol	5.990409	4.784033	2.066938	4.54459	4.639699	5.073948	0.37489	2.02117	102.698875	74.398338	4.40004	-0.82536	-1.12497	128.6931	3.092003
104	S-Citalopram_12.905_kcals_per_mol	6.994477	4.613972	1.15533	4.43246	4.820052	4.846573	1.771411	2.79924	127.957932	68.063812	3.55622	-0.65765	-3.10906	83.308029	5.687389
105	S-Citalopram_12.938_kcals_per_mol	7.433849	4.917511	1.176782	4.53471	4.596422	4.93972	2.49967	1.9688	164.76738	77.907631	0.46369	1.130307	-4.43179	131.332001	81.443802
106	S-Citalopram_12.949_kcals_per_mol	6.221987	6.201175	4.070663	3.9597	5.086903	4.929045	1.35828	1.98776	98.685799	97.296806	4.85184	1.18951	-0.85232	130.650696	16.114304
107	S-Citalopram_13.009_kcals_per_mol	6.270695	6.148624	4.043615	3.99691	5.084308	4.940077	1.3034	1.96665	99.931564	96.10598	4.84548	1.12837	-0.95753	131.040802	14.7966
108	S-Citalopram_13.021_kcals_per_mol	3.658969	6.22629	3.14095	1.781297	3.752375	4.694618	2.433452	2.64175	65.373825	135.937378	2.37355	2.4449	1.47816	112.6567 1	50.603806
109	S-Citalopram_13.035_kcals_per_mol	5.580179	2.834556	1.92168	2.704866	3.507227	4.889656	1.26543	2.69996	120.438705	51.226368	2.46341	1.51475	-1.88474	80.38517 6	0.954201
110	S-Citalopram_13.077_kcals_per_mol	6.077218	4.751171	2.054069	4.541817	4.654022	5.085279	0.314442	2.01898	104.64064	73.488571	4.37441	0.82266	-1.28107	128.837601	6.5522
Ē	S-Citalopram_13.093_kcals_per_mol	6.366212	6.487455	3.862217	1.417526	4.456531	4.758352	2.31714	2.44024	118.53743	120.875221	2.05697	3.2842	-2.170615	117.833	46.067398
112	S-Citalopram_13.202_kcals_per_mol	7.438579	4.885306	0.990017	4.53019	4.586788	4.929261	2.493092	1.98396	166.73201	77.391579	0.25422	1.06286	-4.456875	131.054001	79.940399
113	S-Citalopram_13.207_kcals_per_mol	6.769694	4.41507	1.37687	4.18309 4	4.690831	4.848493	1.783454	2.80457	124.320007	66.136223	3.60218	0.89602	-2.78539	83.620193	24.613998
114	S-Citalopram_13.249_kcals_per_mol	5.845204	6.622628	4.531893	2.35036	4.637832	4.920745	1.42626	2.00345	99.155701	119.718071	3.48075	2.97204	-0.758061	130.016403	18.117203
115	S-Citalopram_13.279_kcals_per_mol	6.110469	7.803648	5.037932	0.776289	5.138828	4.759972	2.32365	2.42618	94.963768	148.11734	1.59602 4	4.86272	-0.448308	118.275497	46.393402
116	S-Citalopram_13.300_kcals_per_mol	6.739715	4.25535	1.55874	4.043442	4.650074	4.847327	1.80661	2.80801	124.590408	63.586742	3.5068 -	1.09073	-2.77379	33.668388	23.796906
117	S-Citalopram_13.306_kcals_per_mol	6.686216	4.165984	1.62139	3.94644	1.604447	4.847966	1.810334	2.8091	124.21225	62.517643	3.46389 -	1.1767 -	-2.71903	33.963257	23.258499
118	S-Citalopram_13.374_kcals_per_mol	6.209652	4.516154	1.59781	4.21332 4	4.560147	4.891496	1.142016	2.78266	110.533623	70.256638	4.053859 -	0.90733	-1.748092	81.181282 5	9.834702
119	S-Citalopram_13.384_kcals_per_mol	6.243495	4.869205	0.15616	4.480792	1.643909	4.956393	1.01244	2.51213	109.31971	76.14122	4.23986	0.307714 -	-1.711811	90.456619 1	17.427101
120	S-Citalopram_13.399_kcals_per_mol	5.942158	7.815693	5.08313 (	0.35439 5	5.131108	4.758521	2.316236	2.43517	91.1987	149.226105	1.80935 4	1.79614	-0.119734	117.929497	45.974304

									angle	angle				explicit	explicit
			distance	distance	distance	-	distance	distance	Ar1 to	Ar2 to	explicit	explicit	explicit	angle	angle
tance		listance	absolute value	absolute value	N to	distance	absolute value	absolute value	connecting C	connecting C	distance	distance	distance	Ar1 plane to	Ar2 plane to
to Art	~	V to Ar2	N to Ar1 plane	N to Ar2 plane	connecting C	Ar1 to Ar2	Ar1 to Ar2 plane	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	to N	to N	N to YZ plane	N to XY plane	N to XZ plane	YZ plane	YZ plane
gstro	) (sm	angstroms) (	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)
72991	4	1.883199 (	0.23379	4.482763	4.611162	4.813421	2.18604	2.8006	144.103592	77.056396	2.45104	0.38623	-3.841833	87.906387	214.180695
6866	84 7	782405	5.01049	0.6222	5.095322	4.759266	2.318845	2.4298	93.242622	149.334946	1.60276	4.826156	-0.29268	118.147697	146.106995
3289	<del>1</del> 6 4	1.824431 (	0.16367	4.488198	4.656109	4.956639	1.02575	2.51225	111.431122	75.004173	4.18423	-0.336207	-1.873495	90.717934	51.946201
155	59 6	387212	3.806	3.74379	4.730013	4.85228	1.97994	1.94924	71.890015	110.287163	4.2949	1.29799	1.40039	130.573593	134.1492
1034	- <del></del>	.401368	3.818061	4.0154	4.425255	5.046546	0.424732	2.4224	92.68071	118.958313	3.4864	2.66758	-0.321174	115.117203	74.173813
3894	93 7	.674368	5.056283	2.974912	5.129911	5.04106	0.47375	2.44772	89.916389	141.868805	2.108175	4.670245	-0.056366	113.052498	73.294563
88	3 22	.768174	3.765035	2.906748	4.598807	5.037197	0.774214	1.90099	121.403641	97.125305	3.355022	2.036259	-2.414821	132.387665	101.384598
38	36 7	783247	5.020007	0.481773	5.087762	4.758193	2.31336	2.43759	91.991837	149.81665	1.6602	4.803115	-0.1845	117.880997	145.423996
ß	125 5	.926339	3.945557	2.988993	4.643187	5.045282	0.739161	1.89359	119.03009	100.0951	3.38354	2.2526	-2.267453	132.322296	100.700203
I S	3 963	. 779537	1.795056	3.78172	4.089733	4.895619	2.659736	2.09377	137.383148	109.159615	-0.55735	2.799775	-2.951946	129.335342	184.250793
5	787 4	1.810531	1.26902	4.372736	4.673665	4.88882	1.24998	2.76493	108.925606	74.463058	4.25412	-0.605236	-1.681833	81.740227	57.659901
୍ଲି	146 E	3.15567	2.913503	3.11411	4.397594	4.805963	2.24542	2.80788	137.089294	112.417091	-0.50662	2.95634	-3.22351	87.822968	213.185196
5	595 4	1.826107	2.13554	4.56239	4.625239	5.071091	0.40137	2.0219	101.996712	75.519531	4.41334	-0.76187	-1.06414	128.890503	33.280067
201	252 4	1.520342 (	0.3373	4.076147	4.354347	4.929908	1.48135	2.6188	140.930405	73.694038	2.51188	0.340241	-3.48984	88.550758	51.562099
14	415 4	1.69758 (	0.630769	4.12719	4.39029	4.930212	1.507215	2.6107	141.796799	76.853882	2.42905	0.6224	-3.555256	88.537178	51.24984
22	023 7	.610651	4.481732	0.474349	4.729997	4.710312	2.389769	2.58044	74.756554	163.482071	1.14748	4.41586	1.22831	114.104301	148.691406
8	242 6	679039	3.954319	0.90464	4.088691	4.873209	1.760196	2.68044	102.785774	142.910294	-0.97309	3.894993	-0.862265	92.405121	47.018799
ι <u>ό</u>	443 6	.72574	4.242028	0.84675	4.521892	4.760205	2.31047	2.437	92.000786	126.996521	3.25309	3.09018	-0.24126	117.710197	145.641693
<u> </u> ରୁ	877 4	.586583	1.53867	4.25385	4.574466	4.889758	1.175989	2.78204	110.474861	71.4729	4.08585	-0.802644	-1.753739	80.622726	59.612782
100	2 5	.446744 (	0.359198	3.48285	4.003055 4	4.876349	1.363457	2.76693	88.379028	102.196274	3.79863	0.99733	-0.052951	81.116051	56.22823
12	201 6	.793462	4.312157	0.79632	4.578132	4.759634	2.309588	2.43942	92.428169	127.535866	3.24865	3.17449	-0.275822	117.559601	145.613297
138	864 6	.742755	4.13329	1.28926	4.634889	4.760136	2.293464	2.43154	115.638802	123.882385	2.119623	3.56034	-2.046687	118.321327	144.997604
4	595 6	.851274	3.988836	2.54708	4.566571	4.836956	1.918597	2.76003	117.6922	130.364471	-0.00698	4.02659	-2.146208	86.333427	223.276901
8	71 4	.438868 (	0.03954	3.85769	4.219462	4.843071	1.806555	2.80047	151.707809	74.227936	1.74763	0.26958	-3.796506	83.284569	224.652802
661	13 4	.564879	1.67726	4.21392	4.570492	4.888887	1.17077	2.78862	109.020554	71.093048	4.111325	-0.87587	-1.643007	79.8162	30.171791

explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	(degrees)	223.817703	94.962769	145.572205	184.776794	47.1493	72.511047	181.860703	62.827709	73.134697	135.318405	133.780106	50.513302	137.895203	218.313507	73.169258	191.326904	64.1026	63.281399	136.1436	151.164993	150.428207	79.124519	156.050598	210.212494	72.432098
explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	(degrees)	90.698013	129.144699	113.483902	131.687607	84.796059	118.068497	130.7948	90.165848	114.391701	131.270493	129.934998	82.956917	131.018005	88.712563	114.127899	133.390396	89.727638	78.030548	130.539307	116.4767	65.798302	127.2799	115.723198	121.748482	114.654732
	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	(angstroms)	0.8934	-0.91695	1.29136	-3.403561	-1.279866	-2.171844	-2.885734	-1.72776	-0.246014	0.94672	1.5989	-3.594244	1.460415	0.77939	-0.071595	-3.841828	-1.496468	-0.82898	-1.36669	-0.841189	-1.07324	-1.156927	1.10387	-0.344565	1.988532
	explicit	distance	V to XY plane	(angstroms)	3.87069	0.92946	4.33951	1.75578	2.2257	1.107226	3.65518	0.25243	4.73039	3.11759	0.96139	1.35354	.487998	3.84283	1.67354	.63985	.375577	1.502	.57614	1.65362	1.45524	0.450401	1.46395	345199	.504747
	explicit	distance	V to YZ plane	(angstroms)	1.27452	1.41	1.42694	2.901	3.99223	2.48813	1.02266	1.22929	1.97681	2.55213	1.13425	2.87097	1.23137	2.06087	2.00102	1.38955 (	4.29779 (	.92244	1.30082	0.453376	.0171	.39897	.70521	.840615	266161
angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	(degrees)	157.513626	74.70887	160.20517	88.891724	107.831764	51.964428	114.355072	76.768318	141.055649	139.775024	108.041397	88.07119	112.714432	148.402832	142.39743	72.960258	76.687393	59.472672	112.944191	150.669785	164.575455	76.89743 4	168.265411	123.932701 2	116.615829 2
angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	(degrees)	77.235947	100.162056	73.89859	134.098175	103.114296	125.583954	127.853218	109.597298	92.054314	77.097733	68.49987	135.417084	71.40609	78.916924	90.101814	156.89592	106.605156	102.286331	72.725952	101.012932	76.671005	102.871796	76.357452	93.227394	114.294922
	istance	bsolute value	r2 to Ar1 plane	angstroms)	.65794	.026	5934	.9326	.73162	.20905	.98758	52534	.44625	.91361	.96463	.77287	89895	7043	4502	8793	5248	79225	93763	4831	57863	95307	54139	11988	45579
	stance d	solute value a	1 to Ar2 plane	ngstroms) (i	 997774 2	5232 2	329 2	50248 1	74634 2	305618 2	56139 1	994431 2	4741 2	008464 1	96734 1	31368 2	07746	10217 2	475432 2	59774 1	331 2	3487 2	3492 1	121594 2	12156 2	118265 1.	507848 2	26962	95159 2
	di	stance at	r1 to Ar2 AI	ingstroms) (a	861312 1.	051806 0.	713413 2.	979821 2.	872244 1.	146401 0.	943465 2.	954503 0.	043545 0.	852235 2.	85441 1.	869791 1.	847755 2.	830517 2.	041741 0.	969351 2.	956389 0.1	863193 1.	847785 2.1	73877 2.	709526 2.4	189302 0.	714713 2.1	180628 2.2	04581 0.4
	istance	l to d	onnecting C	angstroms) (a	.191405 4	.62148 5	.755871 4	.817234 4	.816657 4	539439 5	.757364 4	.635457 4	.137467 5	.137147 4	.56895 4	.846249 4	.743675 4	462231 4.	.089701 5.	.145681 4.	.626158 4.	441467 4.	80703 4.	.734702 4.	697536 4.	606832 5.	65051 4.	426671 5.	635763 5.
	listance d	tbsolute value	I to Ar2 plane o	angstroms) (a	 .37759 4	1.53523 4	.79135 4	1.093516 4	1.357697 4	2.7604 3	1.290711 4	.49928 4	.916135 5	.672836 4	1.85535 4	.698041 4	.49932 4	.79432 4	.884 5	:89072 4	.465164 4	.726547 3.	.55397 4.	23502 4	.22048 4.	.58359 4.	.06002 4.	.046929 4.	.42538 4.
	listance c	ibsolute value a	V to Ar1 plane	angstroms) (	1.888718	.025095 4	1.529954 0	1,246299 4	.838729 4	0.146297	:443008 4	1.13061 4	.0491	.076967	.415454 3	.90669 4	.941477 3	.815765 1	011508 2	.450856 3	.25011 4	.15734 2	.026316 3	.970478 2	.462726 0	.257366 4	.312187 0	.290547 3	.01557 3
	0	distance a	N to Ar2	(angstroms) (	7.007318	4.784394 2	7.6034 2	5.60378 3	3.366575 1	2.892974 0	3.556086	4.8922 0	7.664607	3.671957 4	3.162088 3	5.583378 C	3.485029 3	7.142706 3	7.646698 5	4.330392 1	4.881261 0	3.196209 2	3.550873 4	7.452925 3	7.588011 4	4.874672 2	7.568986 4	3.564736 4	3.519641 4
		distance	N to Ar1	(angstroms)	4.541509	5.87522	4.831441	7.1467	6.165826	5.74817	6.92525	6.245617	5.989824	4.487834	4.422421	7.211241	4.703095	4.833162	5.862763	6.922374	6.128926	4.956507	4.818657	6.001868	4.91483	5.977912	4.861857	5.444537	6.40096 (
					italopram_14.040_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.066_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.093_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.146_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.162_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.230_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.244_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.256_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.282_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.304_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.426_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.451_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.457_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.469_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.498_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.568_kcals_per_mol	itatopram_14.587_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.651_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.770_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.828_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.845_kcals_per_mol	italopram_14.941_kcals_per_mol	italopram_15.001_kcals_per_mol	italopram_15.063_kcals_per_mol
					146 S-C	147 S-C	148 S-C	149 S-C	150 S-C	151 S-C	152 S-C	153 S-C	154 S-C	155 S-C	156 S-C	157 S-C	158 S-C	159 S-C	160 S-C	161 S-C	162 S-C	163 S-C	164 S-C	165 S-C	166 S-C	167 S-C	168 S-C	169 S-C	170 S-C

Т	·	9	~			5	ø	ي م	F		ç	g	ø		ģ		9	8	Q	ģ	5	φ.	ģ		g	ø	_ 1		~
	angle	Ar2 plane t	YZ plane	(degrees)	80.153198	185.16520	158.50399	244.87089	102.49330	53.4174	147.37649	143.77200	152.88459	65.753532	155.66900	85.336777	154.15910	181.41819	154.46670	181.45489	174.24479	133.80119	188.00860	80.3265	129.85690	148.05520	72.941002	79.714378	169.92669
	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	(degrees)	128.078094	130.646606	118.302803	82.780373	132.238602	89.71019	118.022003	118.142403	116.289391	118.231201	63.638191	130.322998	116.775803	133.043304	115.881401	132.872498	132.539398	131.046204	139.691605	127.589363	129.670654	117.282501	114.318581	126.537498	117.519798
-	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	(angstroms)	0.94935	3.29467	1.18189	3.7522	0.27226	-2.60636	0.416152	0.4775	0.869084	-2.374392	-1.087168	-2.66938	-2.66565	-1.69647	-2.713669	1.710623	3.936758	1.07306	1.92866	1.159996	0.86918	1.972821	0.315269	1.214425	1.62378
	explicit	distance	V to XY plane	(angstroms)	0.29907	2.65579	3.11732	0.48676	2.16875	0.05133	4.52602	3.62149	4.660425	0.03814	4.658446	1.176508	3.089812	2.07037	3.02384	2.16433	0.72611	3.08103	1.76971	0.35007	2.807114	1.19262	1.543697	0.50788	2.561
	explicit	tistance	V to YZ plane	angstroms)	1.48781	0.522562	2.51002	2.628195	t.25273	3.119	0.685475	5.9993	0.37582	3.3464	0.35634	3.812	0.17358	3.72711	0.03913	3.71197	1.90191	2.71919	3.8573	401041	3.574534	.43326	0.44375	.354038	1.5251
-	Ar2 to 6	connecting C	l No	(degrees) (	80.042976	103.558167	140.743256	78.236885	114.914192	71.934746	154.828476	136.990738	150.334259	71.554466	147.735657	84.996376	119.817673	103.277695	118.943512 (	104.207481	74.137856 1	138.383499	121.040016	77.862297	127.647789	131.84491	146.835403 -	75.66806 4	123.604958 -
	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	(degrees)	100.263351	142.113434	72.967293	141.330429	86.43898	126.72773	96.126236	83.426674	101.318787	122.767555	103.879684	122.873337	131.227478	110.945267	132.49295	110.980171	151.166046	75.575562	65.820648	102.985542	78.895927	113.850288	94.223068	103.605766	120.370369
	istance	bsolute value	r2 to Ar1 plane	angstroms)	.91723	.02225	4339	80939	.86855	.4824	.44557	42612	.48279	.25607	.48238	.96033	.47545	.90502	.4957	.91265	90501	.93241	52085	.93466	.99263	45598	45553	.9663	.44765
	istance d	bsolute value a	r1 to Ar2 plane	angstroms) ((	.08142 1	.629882	53199 2	796919 2	79395 1	45909 2	346482 2	26856 2	450346 2	.756492 2	.493352 2	22556 1	.46447 2	590777 1	47448 2	59042 1	46244 1	96804 1	561503 1	.081805 1	.845425 1	.35837 2	485849 2	12108 1	.627538 2
	q	istance a	r1 to Ar2 A	angstroms) (;	.189209 0	.921146 2	.727224 2	.84992 1	.040645 0	943807 1	.745663 2	.761655 2	.741119 2	.152352 0	.742888 2	.117133 0	.744709 2	.913922 2	.740639 2	.912863 2	.974563 2	.852704 1	.985142 2	.186879 0	.866753 1	.752605 2	.042899 0	.186867 0	.782751 2
	istance	l to d	onnecting C	angstroms) (a	.629876 5	.248036 4	.193488 4	.650797 4	.772616 5	.123413 4	.577411 4	.747445 4	.740363 4	.148894 5	1.782028 4	.800148 5	.078134 4	.601536 4	.055403 4	.63698 4	446034 4	.247329 4	660706 4	.599517 5	.635128 4	.854043 4	.565235 5	.588524 5	.363748 4
	distance d	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane o	(angstroms) (	4.66926 4	4.0486	0.97889 4	4.50446 4	4.22158 4	3.916901 4	1.91603 4	1.22739 4	2.2654 4	3.96003 4	2.50338 4	4.17905 4	3.12235 4	2.35877 4	3.204 4	2.411091 4	4.038799 4	1.95297 4	0.318247 4	4.59647 4	2.698933 4	1.970545 4	0.58885 4	4.528291 4	2.7155 3
	distance	absolute value	N to Ar1 plane	(angstroms)	2.495658	1.676183	3.934718	0.039583	4.470526	0.113326	3.680012	4.60178	4.021456	1.526217	4.024103	3.300652	2.841362	4.076548	2.744937	4.130323	1.843394	4.151427	4.131801	2.367785	4.47034	4.38362	3.884796	2.139657	1.574544
		distance	N to Ar2	(angstroms)	5.041533	5.715854	6.747425	4.970819	6.589427	4.26144	7.359921	7.194711	7.452884	4.265713	7.449764	5.412234	6.111452	6.012955	6.064562	6.080044	4.618261	6.748565	6.682403	4.914479	6.852631	7.170398	7.221912	4.801491	5.573564
		distance	N to Ar1	(angstroms)	5.896742	6.782777	4.33996	7.155494	5.429535	6.315082	5.670229	5.268024	6.018812	6.22988	6.154568	6.828699	6.368377	6.254859	6.377226	6.28805	7.131011	4.504199	4.367346	5.975768	4.969188	6.577971	5.588441	5.990248	5.436732
					ram_15.126_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.157_kcals_per_mol	am_15.237_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.263_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.277_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.280_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.285_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.349_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.392_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.433_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.459_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.460_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.484_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.555_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.567_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.576_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.585_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.593_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.595_kcals_per_mol	ram_15.763_kcals_per_mol	ram_16.029_kcals_per_mol	ram_16.058_kcals_per_mol	ram_16.061_kcals_per_mol	ram_16.085_kcals_per_mol	ram_16.123_kcals_per_mol
					 171 S-Citalopr	172 S-Citalopr	173 S-Citalopr	174 S-Citalopr	175 S-Citalopr	176 S-Citalopr	177 S-Citalopr	178 S-Citalopr	179 S-Citalopr	180 S-Citalopr	181 S-Citalopr	182 S-Citalopi	183 S-Citalopi	184 S-Citalopi	185 S-Citalopr	186 S-Citalopr	187 S-Citalopr	188 S-Citalopr	189 S-Citalopr	190 S-Citalopr	191 S-Citalopr	192 S-Citalopr	193 S-Citalopr	194 S-Citalopr	195 S-Citalopr
-		•	·	•	•			·		•			•						•		•	•			•	•	•		

plicit explicit	ngle angle		slane to Ar2 plane to	plane to Ar2 plane to	olane to Ar2 plane to plane Y2 plane grees) (degrees)	plane to Ar2 plane to plane to plane Y2 plane grees) (degrees)	olane to Ar2 plane to plane to plane Y2 plane grees) (degrees) 301105 129.111496	olane to Ar2 plane to plane Y2 plane grees) (degrees) 301105 129.111496 115703 208.591507	alane to Ar2 plane to plane Y2 plane grees) (degrees) 301105 129.111496 315703 208.591507 660806 197.337195	alane to Ar2 plane to plane to plane Y2 plane grees) (degrees) 301105 129.111496 315703 208.591507 360806 197.937195 348897 74.273743	alane to Ar2 plane to plane to grees) (degrees) (degrees) 301105 129.111496 315703 208.591507 60806 197.937195 348897 74.273743 349103 204.946899	alane to Ar2 plane to plane to plane Y2 plane grees) (degrees) 301105 129.111496 15703 208.591507 508060 197.937195 548907 74.273743 549103 204.946899 549103 204.946899 549103 204.946899 549103 204.946899	alane to Ar2 plane to plane to plane Y2 plane grees) (degrees) (degrees) (315703 208.591507 360806 197.337195 34897 74.273743 349103 204.948999 009601 80.378166 121405 187.327301	alane to Ar2 plane to plane to plane YZ plane grees) (degrees) 501105 129.111496 515703 208.591507 515703 208.591507 54273743 549103 204.948899 74.273743 549103 204.948899 5494899 509601 80.378166 21405 187.327301 739402 167.762604	Jane to Ar2 plane to plane to plane Y2 plane grees) (degrees) 301105 129.111496 301105 129.111496 315703 208.591507 369809 197.937195 348907 74.273743 349103 204.946899 349103 204.946899 309601 80.378166 21405 187.327301 379402 167.762604 365706 20529 187.645706 20529 187.645706 20529 187.645706 20529 187.645706 20529 187.645706 20529 187.645706 20529 187.645706 20529 187.645706 20529 187.645706 20529 187.645706 20529 187.645706 20529 187.645706 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529 20529	Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       501105     129.111496       315703     208.591507       508.591507     598.591507       560806     197.337195       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       109601     80.378166       21405     187.327301       179402     187.645706       06299     187.645706       167.705.034803     187.645706	alane to Ar2 plane to plane to plane Y2 plane grees) (degrees) (301105 129.111496 351507 208.591507 308.8915703 204.946899 348907 74.273743 549103 204.946899 309601 80.378166 197.327301 379402 1677.722604 105299 187.645706 16771 58.8088 17781 58.8088	Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       301105     129.111496       315703     208.551507       5060806     197.937195       54897     74.273743       549103     204.946899       309601     80.378166       71405     187.327301       379402     167.762604       779402     167.762604       779402     167.762604       77941     58.8088       77103     155.338095	Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       501105     129.111496       915703     208.591507       50806     197.337195       54807     74.273743       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.948999       549103     204.94899       549103     204.94899       56.93801     80.378166       721405     187.327301       779402     187.645706       56.938095     187.645706       56.938095     37103       75.938095     37103       71003     155.938095       31703     169.365295	Jane to     Ar2 plane to       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       501105     129.111496       515703     208.591507       560806     197.937195       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       309601     80.378166       779402     167.762604       779402     167.762604       779402     167.762604       77581     58.8088       77781     58.8088       77781     58.8088       77730     156.338095       08301     168.365295       08301     169.365295       08301     169.365295	Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane to       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       315703     208.551507       5060806     197.937195       549103     208.551507       549103     204.946899       349103     204.946899       309601     80.378166       721405     187.327301       379402     167.762604       706299     187.327301       379402     167.762604       706299     187.645706       37781     58.8088       73703     165.338095       77781     58.8088       77739     206.096603       31796     148.117905       77796     148.117905	Jane to     Ar2 plane to       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       915703     208.591507       501105     129.111496       915703     208.591507       560806     197.397195       549103     208.591507       560806     197.397195       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       509601     80.378166       721405     187.327301       379402     167.762604       705299     187.545706       567401     205.094803       507403     167.762604       706299     187.545706       567401     205.094803       57303     155.333095       03301     169.365205       171399     205.096603       317796     148.117905       31796     148.117905       30901     78.03968	alane to Ar2 plane to plane to Z2 plane to plane Y2 plane grees) (degrees) (degrees) 315703 208.591507 2060806 197.937195 249103 204.946899 249103 204.946899 209601 80.378166 127.405 187.327301 379402 167.762604 7759402 167.762604 7759402 167.762604 77581 58.8089 157791 169.365295 177781 58.8088 777961 169.365295 177781 58.8088 777961 169.365295 177781 58.8088 777961 169.365295 177781 58.8088 757799 205.096603 156.393095 169.379961 78.03968 169.379961 78.03968 169.37799 205.096603 156.393095 169.37799 104.528702 167.758702 165.38702 165.38702 160.3968 1777961 169.365295 1777961 169.365295 1777961 169.365295 1777961 169.365295 1777961 169.365295 1777961 169.365295 1777961 169.365295 1777961 169.365295 177799 104.528702 165.38702 165.393095 1004.528702 167.758702 167.75961 169.37799 104.528702 167.758702 167.7799 104.528702 167.7799 104.528702 167.7799 104.528702 167.7799 104.528702 169.365285 159.90901 78.03968 169.77799 104.528702 165.28702 160.587799 1004.528702 160.587702 160.587702 165.287702 167.7799 104.528702 169.365285 169.595	Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane to       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       315703     208.551507       560806     197.937195       549103     208.551507       54887     74.273743       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       309601     80.378166       279402     167.762604       779402     167.762604       779402     167.762604       706299     187.645706       3779402     167.762604       706299     187.645706       7103     155.338095       008301     167.762604       706299     187.645706       77103     155.338095       03301     168.7852605       77103     155.338095       03301     168.36596       771399     206.0966603       77796     148.117905       90901     78.0239095       108301     164.528702       9104.528702	Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       501105     129.111496       515703     208.591507       560806     197.937195       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       309601     80.378166       379402     167.762604       706299     187.645706       567401     205.094803       379402     167.762604       706299     187.645706       567401     205.094803       317781     58.8088       717031     169.365295       008301     169.365295       008301     169.365295       008301     169.365295       07799     169.365295       07799     169.365295       079901     16.365295       131796     148.117905       9104.528702     9104.528702       9104.528702     9104.5287	Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane to       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       315703     208.551507       560806     197.937195       549103     208.551507       560806     197.937195       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       579402     167.762604       721405     187.3645706       567401     205.094803       37781     58.8088       37793     169.356295       171399     205.096603       37796     104.528702       903001     78.03968       37799     104.528702       91033     187.9021       590301     187.77695       54514     187.77695 <th>Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane to       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       501105     129.111496       915703     208.591507       506060     197.337195       548107     74.273743       549103     204.948899       509601     80.378166       721405     187.327301       379402     167.762604       721405     187.327301       379402     167.762604       706299     187.645706       72103     155.938095       367401     205.094803       37781     58.8088       37781     58.8088       37791     168.36596       37796     148.117905       37796     148.177695       37796     148.177695       37799     104.528702       90901     18.0.528702       91023     187.9021       37796     148.177695       317796     148.177695       90033     187.9021</th> <th>Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane to       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       501105     129.111496       515703     208.591507       560806     197.937195       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       579402     167.762604       77781     58.8089       77781     58.8089       731003     155.380965       731003     156.385295       77795     169.386596       903001     169.385295       77796     148.117905       5903001     74.28702       16123     187.9021       16454     187.777695</th> <th>Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane to       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       315703     208.551507       500105     129.111496       515703     208.551507       560806     197.937195       548107     204.246899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     205.094803       57781     167.762604       771781     58.8088       771039     205.096603       57799     104.528705       590301     104.528702       5911795     147.696198       161293     187.9021       154514     187.77695       161293     104.528702       161295     179.985199       72195     179.985199       72195     179.985199</th>	Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane to       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       501105     129.111496       915703     208.591507       506060     197.337195       548107     74.273743       549103     204.948899       509601     80.378166       721405     187.327301       379402     167.762604       721405     187.327301       379402     167.762604       706299     187.645706       72103     155.938095       367401     205.094803       37781     58.8088       37781     58.8088       37791     168.36596       37796     148.117905       37796     148.177695       37796     148.177695       37799     104.528702       90901     18.0.528702       91023     187.9021       37796     148.177695       317796     148.177695       90033     187.9021	Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane to       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       501105     129.111496       515703     208.591507       560806     197.937195       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       579402     167.762604       77781     58.8089       77781     58.8089       731003     155.380965       731003     156.385295       77795     169.386596       903001     169.385295       77796     148.117905       5903001     74.28702       16123     187.9021       16454     187.777695	Jane to     Ar2 plane to       plane     Y2 plane to       grees)     (degrees)       grees)     (degrees)       315703     208.551507       500105     129.111496       515703     208.551507       560806     197.937195       548107     204.246899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     204.946899       549103     205.094803       57781     167.762604       771781     58.8088       771039     205.096603       57799     104.528705       590301     104.528702       5911795     147.696198       161293     187.9021       154514     187.77695       161293     104.528702       161295     179.985199       72195     179.985199       72195     179.985199
6	explicit a	distance Ar1	N to XZ plane YZ	(angstroms) (de		0.86582 129.	-2.486728 122.	1.86244 140.	0.42269 115.	1.54407 137.	-2.20889 126.	-0.44264 139.	-2.886741 118.	1.58542 140.	1.094572 135.	-2.422187 90.8	1.02754 116.	-2.909468 119.	0.007681 136.	2.202614 117.	0.2775 125.	0.922718 140.	1.80207 140.	2.00693 138.	2.13758 117.	1.520414 83.7	1,253239 132.0	1 23632 136	000
	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	(angstroms)		2.72978	2.79232	1.76919	3.428628	2.0232	-0.3839	0.41245	2.84183	1.6582	2.236201	-0.225342	3.70578	2.78658	0.579115	3.99953	-1.22882	-0.90159	1.83336	1.761148	4.0743	0.364846	1.88964	2 129697	
	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	(angstroms)		3.67441	2.70841	3.8918	3.266505	2.78004	3.71766	3.91833	-0.069354	4.18222	3.11599	3.46612	2.35278	-0.118941	4.64382	0.18694	3.21752	3.27712	3.89564	3.759982	0.213421	4.192354	4.2831	3.282499	
angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	(degrees)		126.228203	100.580162	120.594727	131.651749	130.630356	70.437538	91.253136	114.115677	116.672272	126.338753	71.648979	146.153793	113.311852	94.268074	131.736115	70.023399	86.871727	120.926422	121.82074	132.905838	86.232933	102.646301	124.950172	
angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	(degrees)		78.983482	121.112823	66.777916	83.711304	66.575333	119.03154	95.815643	136.197006	70.864349	74.561722	122.080772	76.148895	137.004608	89.35482	119.336754	92.668282	74.501892	67.57412	64.40274	118.147423	107.247887	104.105644	72.887039	
	distance	absolute value	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	(angstroms)		1.99004	2.09187	1.49656	2.40766	1.39603	2.09251	1.56296	2.4178	1.47283	1.47779	2.48359	2.50575	2.41785	1.44475	2.45555	1.95505	1.40649	.50741	.54953	2.46223	2.74318	.91136	.48467	
	distance	absolute value	Ar1 to Ar2 plane	(angstroms)		1.81843	2.308777	2.563645	0.41546	2.36201	0.02112	2.57206	2.603518	2.566509	2.391443	1.14955	2.501115	2.617391	2.366054	2.359791	0.259	0.897825	2.566531	2.56324	2.35307	1.578919	2.576183	2.39611	
		distance	Ar1 to Ar2	(angstroms)		4.871491	5.172508	4.982629	5.049509	5.153482	5.110598	4.967947	4.781873	4.977299	5.14703	4.961657	4.722316	4.783736	5.156244	4.744516	5.193251	5.11634	4.979903	4.985337	4.74331	4.863742	4.924794	5.143974	
	distance	N to	connecting C	(angstroms)		4.666904	4.640366	4.66118	4.769753	3.76664	4.359756	3.985298	4.041929	4.769341	3.988402	4.288407	4.526806	4.020493	4.710601	4.561327	3.508785	3.524501	4.6653	4.611446	4.597774	4.54084	4.863059	4.105715	
	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	(angstroms)		2.82198	4.30062	0.262195	3.775716	0.882985	4.08595	1.065796	3.69848	0.058286	1.42878	4.105323	0.748321	3.72854	2.12849	2.75715	3.13916	3.692084	0,193628	0.42164	2.689676	4.28827	1.98855	1.317596	
	distance	absolute value	N to Ar1 plane	(angstroms)		4.47399	3.765682	4.171132	4.437953	3.508342	1.819225	3.334236	2.45373	4.346329	3.88601	0.01219	4.350724	2.37458	3.890072	3.628996	0.843261	2.037653	4.213261	4.033535	3.712587	0.1101	4.306985	3.924935	
		distance	N to Ar2	(angstroms)		6.842805	5.951672	6.668324	7.08678	6.12818	4.371194	5.011348	5.90265	6.641087	6.223992	4.373991	7.173917	5.857688	5.751163	6.890963	3.734403	4.473753	6.682086	6.661273	6.955051	5.2487	6.219627	6.29513	
		distance	N to Ar1	(angstroms)		4.9994	6.6458	4.414973	5.304221	3.739131	6.305679	5.165296	6.450945	4.701764	4.27288	6.335804	4.751679	6.448724	5.517049	6.488681	4.666145	3.924755	4.457418	4.25831	6.484793	6.076308	6.240521	4.283357	
						196 S-Citalopram_16.135_kcals_per_mol	197 S-Citalopram_16.185_kcals_per_mol	198 S-Citalopram_16.217_kcals_per_mol	199 S-Citalopram_16.286_kcals_per_mol	200 S-Citalopram_16.331_kcals_per_mol	201 S-Citalopram_16.531_kcals_per_mol	202 S-Citalopram_16.532_kcals_per_mol	203 S-Citalopram_16.626_kcals_per_mol	204 S-Citalopram_16.652_kcals_per_mol	205 S-Citalopram_16.660_kcals_per_mol	206 S-Citalopram_16.799_kcals_per_mol	207 S-Citalopram_16.808_kcals_per_mol	208 S-Citalopram_16.811_kcals_per_mol	209 S-Citalopram_16.815_kcals_per_mol	210 S-Citalopram_16.817_kcals_per_mol	211 S-Citalopram_16.859_kcals_per_mol	212 S-Citalopram_16.870_kcals_per_mol	213 S-Citalopram_16.956_kcals_per_mol	214 S-Citalopram_17.038_kcals_per_mol	215 S-Citalopram_17.068_kcals_per_mol	216 S-Citalopram_17.254_kcals_per_mol	217 S-Citalopram_17.283_kcals_per_mol	218 S-Citalopram_17.298_kcals_per_mol	and the second se

			•						angle	angle				explicit	explicit
			distance	distance	distance		distance	distance	Ar1 to	Ar2 to	explicit 6	explicit	explicit	angle	angle
	distance	distance	absolute value	absolute value	N to	distance	absolute value	absolute value	connecting C	connecting C	distance c	distance	distance	Ar1 plane to /	Vr2 plane to
	N to Ar1	N to Ar2	N to Ar1 plane	N to Ar2 plane	connecting C	Ar1 to Ar2	Ar1 to Ar2 plane	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	to N	to N	N to YZ plane	V to XY plane	N to XZ plane	YZ plane	YZ plane
	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(angstroms) (	(angstroms)	(angstroms)	(degrees)	(degrees)
221 S-Citalopram_17.359_kcals_per_mol	4.152347	6.690853	3.476263	1.46245	3.846461	5.118779	0.205422	2.119	74.450523	158.865967	0.92165	3.59745	1.05307	123.920502	7.829178
222 S-Citalopram_17.396_kcals_per_mol	5.493875	5.882125	4.051983	2.16806	4.736525	5.159367	2.354795	1.40889	88.336891	96.856224	4.64564 (	0.7698	0.10798	136.805695	05.329102
223 S-Citalopram_17.414_kcals_per_mol	3.166068	5.50514	2.695144	1.13077	3.671313	4.952781	2.58811	1.57742	56.114967	111.950043	2.98964 (	0.57482	2.02267	140.279907	87.806595
224 S-Citalopram_17.670_kcals_per_mol	5.30831	6.740975	4.512121	2.492773	4.706613	5.159101	2.37616	1.90273	84.709389	121.088684	3.721544	2.830245	0.36616	127.164513	04.806793
225 S-Citalopram_17.724_kcals_per_mol	5.507049	5.525394	3.652398	2.03293	4.628986	5.148624	2.392365	1.45563	90.718582	90.625717	4.58094 (	0.30206	-0.116143	136.296005	24.850071
226 S-Citalopram_17.824_kcals_per_mol	5.536049	5.684962	3.857501	2.17668	4.675781	5.15523	2.376812	1.43809	90.472397	93.408165	4.60937 (	0.55748	-0.083974	136.348999	205.280197
227 S-Citalopram_17.862_kcals_per_mol	6.417928	6.720751	3.800414	1.787227	4.586622	5.040639	0.55548	2.4797	116.103996	124.603935	0.37305	4.09788	-2.032756	113.462097	1.387001
228 S-Citalopram_18.209_kcals_per_mol	2.771981	6.5439	2.733016	1.353754	3.752679	5.068839	0.23009	2.32758	47.127995	154.324921	1.27059	2.461288	2.52305	120.056183	8.164833
229 S-Citalopram_18.601_kcals_per_mol	4.906754	5.424735	2.832499	4.2225	4.188679	5.208512	0.268514	1.93595	85.286674	97.367813	4.121518 (	0.519843	0.2371	126.363289	6.007103
230 S-Citalopram_18.860_kcals_per_mol	5.274194	6.178856	4.249069	1.060496	4.596223	4.991771	2.55074	1.65662	86.226746	107.752495	4.3139	1.53507	0.28328	136.4328	87.884293
231 S-Citalopram_19.102_kcals_per_mol	5.291896	6.173758	4.25404	1.021491	4.604072	4.984659	2.5593	1.6557	86.483559	107.423981	4.32884	1.51869	0.26288	136.564301	87.265198
232 S-Citalopram_19.181_kcals_per_mol	5.39026	5.792012	3.942619	1.49707	4.402606	4.994636	2.538375	1.70964	92.698029	102.018677	4.19643	1.26519	-0.245983	135.8255	89.617294
233 S-Citalopram_19.634_kcals_per_mol	5.02013	6.297687	4.312664	1.940054	4.513255	5.141773	2.40512	1.46266	81.891075	113.078537	4.06007	1.84147	0.64485	136.277298	04.4543

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

.

			n similarity	score	 0.281912	0.281975	0.2821	0.282163	0.282438	0.282501	0.282627	0.282689	0.283228	0.28329
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	0.257602	0.257338	0.257729	0.257465	0.257634	0.25737	0.257761	0.257497	0.254454	0.25419
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.0475503	0.0477003	0.0483274	0.0484774	0.0479089	0.0480589	0.0486859	0.0488359	0.0467129	0.0468629
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	0.349832	0.350343	0.350332	0.350844	0.349823	0.350335	0.350324	0.350836	0.3498	0.350312
	score	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	1.1663	1.16627	1.1663	1.16627	1.17217	1.17214	1.17217	1.17214	1.1663	1.16627
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	0.265786	0.266668	0.267214	0.268097	0.265563	0.266445	0.266992	0.267874	0.267795	0.268677
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.149399	0.149376	0.149402	0.149379	0.149392	0.14937	0.149395	0.149373	0.138632	0.138609
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	0.152638	0.152732	0.152127	0.152222	0.152659	0.152754	0.152149	0.152244	0.17194	0.172035
	score	distance	absolute value	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	0.14425	0.143544	0.145011	0.144305	0.144323	0.143617	0.145084	0.144378	0.141033	0.140328
	score	distance	absolute value	Ar1 to Ar2 plane	0.297398	0.296726	.296925	).296253	.297129	0.296458	.296656	.295985	.303372	0.302702
		score	distance	Ar1 to Ar2 /	0.0164284 (	0.016354 (	0.0161165 (	0.0160421 (	0.0163291 (	0.0162547 (	0.0160172 (	0.0159428 (	0.0166064 (	0.016532 (
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.271219	0.271203	0.271233	0.271217	0.271224	0.271208	0.271238	0.271222	0.264719	0.264703
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	0.754554	0.754552	0.754487	0.754485	0.754604	0.754602	0.754537	0.754535	0.765364	0.765362
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar1 plane	0.124988	0.125963	0.125702	0.126678	0.127078	0.128053	0.127793	0.128767	0.117494	0.11847
		score	distance	N to Ar2	0.0771478	0.0771508	0.07712	0.077123	0.0771508	0.0771537	0.077123	0.0771259	0.0826817	0.0826846
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.153594	0.1537	0.153481	0.153587	0.153591	0.153697	0.153478	0.153584	0.161514	0.161619
					MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_Indatratine_14.552_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_14.552_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Settraline_2.198_kcals_per_ mol_Indatratine_14.552_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057 kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.198 kcals_per_ mol_Indetraline_14.552 kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.035 kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.135 kcals_per_ mol_indatratine_14.476 kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_Indatrafine_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057 kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_14.476 kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN 5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Settraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.552_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol
					0	-	2	en	4	2	g	~	ŝ	6

Appendix 3.5 The best scoring, most similar, 251 conformational comparison groups of the 1,297,344 combinatorial comparisons.

91

			similarity	score	0.283427	0.28349	0.283754	0.283816	0.283896	0.283953	0.283958	0.284016	0.284058	0.28412	0.284414	0.284476	0.284576	0.284638
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	0.254562	0.254298	0.254485	0.254221	0.256816	0.254594	0.256551	0.25433	0.256937	0.256672	0.256847	0.256583	0.256968	0.256704
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.047329	0.047479	0.0470715	0.0472215	0.0463005	0.0476876	0.0464505	0.0478376	0.0465561	0.0467061	0.0466591	0.0468091	0.0469147	0.0470647
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	0.350332	0.350844	0.349792	0.350304	0.349877	0.350324	0.350388	0.350835	0.350292	0.350804	0.349868	0.35038	0.350284	0.350795
	score	explicit	distance	V to XY plane	1.1663	1.16627	1.17217	1.17214	1.1663	1.17217	1.16627	1.17214	1.1663	1.16627	.17217	1.17214	.17217	.17214
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	 0.269384	0.270266	.267572	0.268454	0.270489	0.269161	1.271371	0.270043	0.271882	0.272763	0.270266	0.271148	0.271658	0.27254
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.138625 (	0.138602	0.138625 (	0.138603	0.159347 (	0.138619 (	0.159324 (	0.138596	0.159361 (	0.159339 (	0.15934 (	0.159318	0.159355 (	0.159332 (
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	0.171482	0.171577	0.171962	0.172057	0.150221	0.171504	0.150316	0.171599	0.149707	0.149801	0.150243	0.150337	0.149729	0.149823
	score	distance	absolute value	vr2 to Ar1 plane	.141814	.141108	.141106	.140401	.141745	.141886	.141039	.141181	.142523	.141817	.141818	.141112	.142596	.14189
	score	distance	bsolute value	1 to Ar2 plane	302972 0	302302	303103 0	302433	302509	302704 0	301839 C	302033	30208	301409 C	302241 0	30157	301811 0	301141 0
		score	distance a	Ar1 to Ar2 Ar	0.0163054 0.	0.016231 0.	0.0165071 0.	0.0164327 0.	0.0172101 0.	0.0162061 0.	0.0171357 0.	0.0161317 0.	0.0168982 0.	0.0168238 0.	0.0171108 0.	0.0170364 0.:	0.0167989 0.	0.0167245 0.
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.264728	0.264712	0.264724	0.264708	0.272425	0.264733	0.272409	0.264717	0.272441	0.272425	0.27243	0.272414	0.272446	0.27243
	score	distance	absolute value	V to Ar2 plane	0.765314	.765312	765414	0.765412	0.75769	0.765364	0.757688	0.765362	.757755	).757753	0.75762	757618	0.757685	0.757683
	score	distance	absolute value	V to Ar1 plane	.118197 (	.119173 (	.119581 (	0.120557	0.132434 (	.120284 (	.133408	0.12126	1.133198	0.134171	.134526 (	1,1355 (	.13529 (	.136263 (
		score	distance	N to Ar2	0.0826584 0	0.0826613	0.0826847	0.0826876	0.0827141	0.0826613 (	0.082717	0.0826643 (	0.0826916	0.0826945 (	0.0827171	0.08272	0.0826946	0.0826975 [0
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.161409	0.161515	0.161511	0.161616	0.152362	0.161406	0.152467	0.161512	0.152248	0.152353	0.152359	0.152464	0.152245	0.152351
					MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertratine_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_Indatratine_14.522_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.522_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcais_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_Indatratine_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ SCitalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertrailine_2.094_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraine_14.522_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_Indatralne_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ ScCitabopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_Indatrative_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_Indanalne_14.522_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertalline_2.094_kcals_per_ mol_inctatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcats_per_ mol_Sertratine_2.094_kcats_per_ mol_inctatratine_14.476_kcats_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcats_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_indatrafine_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol
					10	#	12	13	4	15	16	1	8	19	ଷ	5	ន	ន

92
					,										,				
			similarity	score		0.291616	0.291643	0.291676	0.291703	0.291815	0.291837	0.291875	0.291897	0.29202	0.29208	0.292116	0.292132	0.292145	0.292154
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane		0.255235	0.255599	0.254971	0.255335	0.255363	0.255486	0.255098	0.255221	0.254902	0.254638	0.255262	0.255916	0.255574	0.255029
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane		0.0481599	0.0473224	0.0483099	0.0474724	0.0489369	0.0479386	0.0490869	0.0480886	0.0504893	0.0506394	0.0485157	0.049652	0.0476782	0.0512663
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane		0.353145	0.353113	0.353657	0.353626	0.353645	0.353644	0.354157	0.354157	0.358859	0.359373	0.353121	).358828	0.353089	0.359357
	score	explicit	distance	V to XY plane		.11993	.11993	.11991	.11991	.11993	.11993	.11991	.11991	.02804	.02803	.12491	.02804	.12491	.02804
-	score	explicit	distance	I to YZ plane		.311367 1	.313395 1	.312237	.314265	.312809 1	.315 1	.313679 1	.315869 1	.368638	.369496	.311131 1	.369264 1	.313159 1	.369083
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N		0.149353	0.138586 0	0.149331	0.138564 (	0.149356 C	0.138579	0.149334 (	0.138557 0	0.154915 0	0.154893 0	).149345 0	0.144157 0	0.138578 0	0.154918 0
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N		0.164721	0.184	0.164815	0.184094	0.164211	0.183542	0.164305	0.183637	0.165384	0.165478	0.164796	0.184661 (	0.184074 (	0.164874 (
	score	distance	absolute value	r2 to Ar1 plane		.148539	.145324	.147834	144619	.1493	.146104	148595	145399	.1543	153596	148579	.151087	145364	15506
	score	distance	bsolute value	1 to Ar2 plane A		302526 0	308495 0.	301854 0	307824 0	302054 0	308096 0	301381 0	307425 0	6036	298688 0	302271 0	0.05333	0.08241	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
		score	distance a	Ar1 to Ar2 Ar		.0168946 0.1	.0170726 0.3	.0168202 0.:	.0169982 0.:	.0165827 0.3	0167716 0.	.0165083 0.:	.0166972 0.3	.0168649 0.2	.0167906 0.2	.0167976 0.3	.017043 0.3	.0169756 0.3	.016553 0.2
	score	distance	Nto	connecting C		.278571 0	.272091 0	.278555 0	.272075 0	.278585 0	1.272101 0	.278569 0	.272085 0	.284278 0	1.284262 0	.278577 0	.27782 0	272097 0	.284292 0
	score	distance	bsolute value	to Ar2 plane of		.764245	756106 0	.764243 (	.756104 0	764294 (	.756145 (	.764292 (	756143 (	770016	770014 0	764178 0	761883 0	756141 0	770065 0
	score	distance	bsolute value a	I to Ar1 plane		.226934 0	219222 0	227877 0	.220166 0	227668 0	219947 0	228611 0	220891 0	247563 0	248497 0	229642 0	239789 0	221923 0	248303 0
_		score	distance a	N to Ar2 N		0.0839467	0.0857837	0.0839437 0	0.0857807	0.0839374 0	0.085776	0.0839345 0	0.085773	0.0789854	0.0789824 0	0.0839351	0.0828686 0	0.0857722	0.0789762 0
		score	distance	N to Ar1		0.150678	0.158601 0	0.150783 (	0.158707 0	0.150565	0.158497 (	0.15067	0.158602	0.147716 (	0.147822 (	0.150678	0.155643 (	0.158601 0	0.147603 0
						MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_inclatraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.035 kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.483 kcals_per_ mol_Indenaine_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Settraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_indetraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.057 kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.483 kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_14221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.1398_kcals_per_ mol_hdatraine_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5662_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_indatraine_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN 5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ SCitatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Settraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_13.630_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Settraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_13.650_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Settraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.164_kcals_per_mol S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_13.650_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Settraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_14.164_kcals_per_mol S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.138_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_13.0530_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol_
	-					4	-LO	ω	~	æ	o,	Q	-	N	m	4	5	6	~

			imilarity	score	.292176	.292192	.292206	.292213	292251	292311	292316	.292334	.292375	292394	.292426	.292486	.292534	.292559
score	xplicit	angle	plane to s	Z plane	54998 0	55652 0.	55309 0	54765 0.	55803 0	55538 0.	55389 0	5546 0.	55125 0	55196 0	54927 0.	54663 0.	55892 0.	55055 0.
Sre 1	dicit e	gle	ane to Ar2	lane Y.	 3657 0.2	302 0.2	3283 0.2	1163 0.25	2681 0.2	1181 0.2	2927 0.2	2944 0.25	1427 0.2 <del>1</del>	444 0.2	3883 0.2	3383 0.2	)509 0.2 <del>{</del>	3652 0.25
Soc	exb	ang	Ar1 pt	ane YZ p	0.0486	0.0496	0.0478	0.0514	0.0502	0.0504	0.0492	0.0482	0.0494	0.0484	0.0508	0.0510	0.0500	0.0516
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ pla	 0.353633	0.359342	0.353602	0.359871	0.359357	0.359871	0.353621	0.35362	0.354133	0.354133	0.358812	0.359326	0.358781	0.359311
	score	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	1.1249	1.02803	1.1249	1.02803	1.02804	1.02803	1.12491	1.12491	1.1249	1.1249	1.03176	1.03175	1.03176	1.03176
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	 0.312001	0.370122	0.314029	0.369942	0.369759	0.370617	0.312573	0.314764	0.313443	0.315634	0.36778	0.368639	0.368406	0.368225
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.149323	0.144134	0.138556	0.154895	0.14415	0.144127	0.149348	0.138572	0.149326	0.138549	0.154921	0.154899	0.144163	0.154924
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	0.16489	0.184756	0.184169	0.164969	0.184204	0.184299	0.164286	0.183617	0.16438	0.183712	0.165517	0.165612	0.184795	0.165008
	score	distance	absolute value	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	0.147874	0.150383	0.144659	0.154356	0.151866	0.151162	0.14934	0.146144	0.148635	).145439	0.154503	0.153799	0.15129	0.155263
	score	distance	absolute value	r1 to Ar2 plane	.301599	.304662	.30757	298215	304933	304262	301799	307841	301127	30717	299118	298446	30509	298645
		score	distance	Ar1 to Ar2 A	0.0167232 0	0.0169686 0	0.0169012 0	0.0164787 0	0.016742 0	0.0166676 0	0.0164857 0	0.0166746 0.	0.0164113 0	0.0166002 0	0.0167696 0	0.0166952 0	0.0169476 0.	0.0164577 0.
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.278561	0.277804	0.272081	0.284277	0.277829	0.277813	0.278591	0.272107	0.278575	0.272091	0.284281	0.284266	0.277823	0.284296
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	.764176	761881	).756139	.770062	).761922	76192	).764227	.756092	).764225	.75609	769946	).769943	0.761813	.769994
	score	distance	absolute value	V to Ar1 plane	0.230584	.240724 (	0.222866	.249237	0.240519	0.241454 (	0.230376	).222648	0.231318	1.223591 (	.250476	.251409 (	0.242692	0.251216
		score	distance	N to Ar2	 .0839321	.0828657 0	.0857692 (	0789732	.0828453 (	.0828424 (	0839259 0	.0857644 0	0839229 (	0857615 0	0789739 0	078971	0828648 0	0789647
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.150783	0.155748	0.158707 0	0.147709 0	0.155538 0	0.155644 0	0.150565 0	0.158497 0	0.15067 0	0.158602 0	0.147722 0	0.147827 0	0.155649 0	0.147609 0
					MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per1 mol_Indatraline_14.164_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol_	MCN-5652 13.057 kcals per mol_Sertraline 1.483 kcals per mol_Indatraline 13.630 kcals per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_Indatrative_14.164_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.057 kcals per mol Sertraline 2.198 kcals per mol Indatrative 13.630 kcals per mol S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals per mol	MCN-5652 13.035 kcals per mol Sentraline 1.545 kcals per mol Indatrative 13.630 kcals per mol S-Citalopram 14.510 kcals per mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_inctatraline_13.630_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.035 kcals per_ mol_Sertraline 2.198 kcals per_ mol_indatrative 14.164 kcals per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_inctatraline_14.164_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.1385_kcals_per_ mol_Indatrative_14.164_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraitine_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_inctatraitine_14.164_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatoptram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_13572_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_indatrative_13.572_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citaloptam_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_13.572_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.035 kcals per mol_Sentraline 2.138 kcals per mol indatrative 13.572 kcals per_mol S.Citatopram 14.510 kcals per_mol
					38	30	40	41	42	43	4	\$	46	47	48	49	20	51

			similarity	score	0.292594	0.292619	0.292654	0.292713	0.293219	0.293223	0.293278	0.293283	0.293407	0.293423	0.293467	0.293482	0.293546	0.293606
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	0.255628	0.25479	0.255779	0.255514	0.254983	0.255882	0.254718	0.255618	0.254869	0.256009	0.254605	0.255745	0.254449	0.254184
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.0502009	0.0518153	0.050667	0.050817	0.0465897	0.0474272	0.0467397	0.0475772	0.0472059	0.0482042	0.0473559	0.0483542	0.0469101	0.0470601
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	0.359295	0.359824	0.35931	0.359824	0.352511	0.352542	0.353023	0.353055	0.353042	0.353042	0.353554	0.353554	0.35319	0.353702
	score	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	1.03175	1.03175	1.03176	1.03175	1.1541	1.1541	1.15408	1.15408	1.1541	1.1541	1.15408	1.15408	1.11993	1.11991
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	0.369265	0.369084	0.368901	0.369759	0.307701	0.305674	0.308573	0.306546	0.309305	0.307116	0.310177	0.307988	0.316116	0.316986
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.14414	0.154901	0.144156	0.144133	0.139955	0.150724	0.139932	0.150701	0.139948	0.150726	0.139926	0.150704	0.159301	0.159279
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	0.184889	0.165102	0.184337	0.184432	0.183504	0.164224	0.183598	0.164318	0.183046	0.163714	0.183141	0.163808	0.162307	0.162401
	score	distance	absolute value	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	0.150586	0.154559	0.152069	0.151365	0.142565	0.145781	0.14186	0.145076	0.143345	0.146542	0.14264	0.145837	0.146035	0.14533
	score	distance	absolute value	r1 to Ar2 plane	.30442 (	.297973	.304691	30402	.30727	.301299	.306599	300627	.30687	.300827	.306199	.300155	.307634 (	306962
		score	distance	Ar1 to Ar2 A	0.0168732 0	0.0163833 0	0.0166466 0	0.0165722 0	0.0169239 0	0.0167459 0	0.0168495 0	0.0166715 0	0.0166229 0	0.016434 0	0.0165485 0	0.0163596 0	0.0176763 0	0.0176019 0
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.277807	0.28428	0.277832	0.277816	0.271348	0.277829	0.271332	0.277814	0.271357	0.277844	0.271341	0.277828	0.279773	0.279757
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	0.76181	0.769992	0.761851	0.761849	0.756445	0.76379	0.756443	0.763788	0.756395	0.763838	0.756393	0.763836	0.770065	0.770063
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar1 plane	0.243626	0.252149	0.243423	0.244357	0.217388	0.225095	0.218333	0.226038	0.218112	0.225828	0.219057	0.226771	0.234565	0.235507
		score	distance	N to Ar2	0.0828619	0.0789617	0.0828415	0.0828386	0.0880379	0.0862008	0.0880349	0.0861978	0.0880302	0.0861916	0.0880272	0.0861886	0.0857945	0.0857915
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.155754	0.147714	0.155544	0.15565	0.158955	0.151032	0.159061	0.151138	0.158851	0.150919	0.158956	0.151025	0.149445	0.149551
					MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ tmol_Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraine_13.572_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_13.572_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_13.572_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.057 kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.545 kcals_per_ mol_Indatraine_13.572 kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatraine_14.241_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_14.241_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcais_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.483_kcais_per_ mol_indatrakine_14.241_kcais_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcais_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.057 kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.135 kcals_per_ mol_indatraine_14.241 kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Setratine_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_indattake_14241_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcais_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.198_kcais_per_ mol_Indanaine_14.241_kcais_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcais_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Settratine_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_indatratine_14.241_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Setraline_2.198_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraine_14.241_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.034_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.057 kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.094 kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol
					52	23	54	55	29	57	28	22	09	61	62	8	64	65

			similarity	score	0.293711	0.293747	0.293748	0.293771	0.293807	0.293808	0.293872	0.293931	0.293935	0.293948	0.293971	0.293995	0.294007	0.294031
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	0.25457	0.254957	0.255909	0.254305	0.254692	0.255645	0.254116	0.253851	0.254843	0.256036	0.254237	0.254579	0.255772	0.253972
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.0471656	0.0469565	0.0477939	0.0473156	0.0471065	0.0479439	0.0492397	0.0493897	0.0475726	0.048571	0.0494952	0.0477226	0.048721	0.0496453
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	0.353604	0.352495	).352527	0.354117	0.353008	0.353039	0.358904	0.359418	0.353026	0.353027	0.359317	0.353538	).353539	).359831
	score	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	1.11993	1.1595 (	1.1595 (	1.11991	1.15947 (	1.15947	1.02804	1.02803	1.1595 (	1.1595	1.02804	1.15947	1.15947 (	1.02803
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	0.317523	0.307472	0.305444	0.318392	0.308344	0.306317	0.370103	0.370961	0.309076	0.306886	0.370536	0.309948	0.307758	0.371393
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.159316	0.139946	0.150715	0.159293	0.139923	0.150692	0.164854	0.164831	0.139939	0.150717	0.164869	0.139917	0.150695	0.164846
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	0.161793	0.18356	0.16428	0.161888	0.183654	0.164374	0.16297	0.163065	0.183102	0.16377	0.162457	0.183197	0.163865	0.162551
	score	distance	absolute value	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	0.146813	0.142651	0.145867	0.146108	0.141946	).145162	0.151797	0.151094	).143431	).146628	0.152575	0.142726	0.145923	0.151871
	score	distance	absolute value	r1 to Ar2 plane	.307204 (0	.307015 (	.301044	.306533	.306344 (	.300372 (	.30447	.3038 (	.306616	300572	304041	305945	5999	30337
		score	distance	Ar1 to Ar2 A	0.0173643 0	0.0168275 0	0.0166495 0	0.0172899 0	0.0167531 0	0.0165751 0	0.0176467 0	0.0175723 0	0.0165265 0	0.0163376 0	0.0173347 0	0.0164521 0	0.0162632 0	0.0172603 0
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.279788	0.271355	0.277837	0.279772	0.271339	0.277821	0.285476	0.28546	0.271364	0.277851	0.285491	0.271348	0.277835	0.285476
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	0.77013	0.756494	0.763726	0.770128	0.756492	0.763724	0.775826	0.775824	0.756445	0.763775	0.77589	0.756443	0.763772	0.775888
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar1 plane	0.235346	0.220003	0.227716	0.236287	0.220946	0.228659	0.255251	0.256184	0.220727	0.22845	0.256038	0.221671	0.229393	0.25697
		score	distance	N to Ar2	0.085787	0.0880256	0.0861885	0.085784	0.0880226	0.0861855	0.0829011	0.0828981	0.0880178	0.0861793	0.0828785	0.0880149	0.0861763	0.0828756
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.149331	0.158953	0.15103	0.149437	0.159059	0.151136	0.146484	0.146589	0.158849	0.150917	0.14637	0.158954	0.151023	0.146475
					CN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ of_Sertratine_2.158_kcals_per_ of_indatratine_14.221_kcals_per_mot_ Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mot	CN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ ol_Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_ ol_Indatraline_14.184_kcals_per_mol_ Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	CN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ ol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_ 31 Indatraline_14.184_kcals_per_mol_ Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	CN-5652_13.057 kcals_per_ ol_Sentraline_2.158 kcals_per_ ol_Indatraline_14.221 kcals_per_mol_ Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	CN 5652 13.057 kcals per ol Sertraline 1.483 kcals per al Indatatine 14.184 kcals per mol Citalopram 14.510 kcals per mol	CN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ ol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_ ol_indatraline_14.184_kcals_per_mol_ Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	CN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ of Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_ of Indatratine_13.630_kcals_per_mol_ Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	CN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ ol_Sertratine_2.094_kcals_per_ ol_Indatratine_13.630_kcals_per_mol_ Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	CN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ ol_Sertratine_1.545_kcals_per_ al Indatrative_14.184_kcals_per_mol_ Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	CN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ ol_Sertratine_2.198_kcals_per_ ol_Indatratine_14.184_kcals_per_mol_ Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	CN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ ol_Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per_ J Indatraline_13.630_kcals_per_mol Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	CN 5652 13.057 kcals_per_ ol_Sentraline_1.545 kcals_per_ ol_Indatraline_14.184 kcals_per_mol Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	CN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ ol_Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_ J_Indanaine_14.184_kcals_per_mol Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	CN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ ol_Sertratine_2.158_kcals_per_ 31 Indatrative_13.650_kcals_per_mol
					₩£ĔŚ 99	е7 8- 8- 8- 8- 8- 8- 8- 8- 8- 8- 8- 8- 8-	<u>≫ ē Ĕ ∽</u> 89	₩ E ₩ J 69	žĒĔώ β	A E E Y	72 M S-M	межу 23	žėžo Z	<u> 第6</u> 50 192	東直首の 92	NEEJ F	₩ĒĔJ 82	±≣₹.5 62

			similarity	score	0.294046	0.294106	0.294211	0.294271	0.294278	0.294338	0.294378	0.294437	0.295153	0.295212	0.295318	0.295377	0.295678	0.295737
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	0.254475	0.254211	0.254596	0.254332	0.254141	0.253876	0.254262	0.253997	0.255096	0.254831	0.255216	0.254952	0.255123	0.254858
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.0472659	0.0474159	0.0475215	0.0476715	0.0496387	0.0497887	0.0498942	0.0500442	0.0461773	0.0463273	0.0464329	0.0465829	0.0465441	0.0466941
	score	explicit	distance	I to XZ plane	.353166	.353678	.353581	.354093	.358857	.359371	.359271	.359784	.352587	.353099	.353002	.353514	.352572	.353084
	score	explicit	distance	I to XY plane I	.12491 (	.1249 (	.12491 (	.1249 (	.03176	.03175	.03176	.03175 (	.1541 (	.15408 (	.1541 (	.15408 (	.1595 ((	.15947 ((
	score	explicit	distance	I to YZ plane	 .31588 1	.31675 1	.317287 1	.318156 1	.369245	370103	369678	370535 1	.310421	.311293 1	.311827	.312699 1	.310191 1	.311063 1
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.159293 0	0.159271 0	0.159308 0	).159285 0	0.16486 0	0.164837 0	).164874 0	).164852 0	0.160673	).160651 0	0.160688 0	0.160665 0	0.160664 0	0.160642
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C o	to N	 0.162382	0.162476	0.161868 0	0.161963	0.163104 0	0.163198	0.16259 (	0.162685	0.16181 (	0.161904 0	0.161296	0.161391 0	0.161866 0	0.16196 0
	score	distance	absolute value	r2 to Ar1 plane	 146075	14537	146853	146148	152	151297	152778	152074	143277	142571	144055	143349	143362	142657
	score	distance	bsolute value	1 to Ar2 plane A	307379 0	306708 0	30695 0	306279 0	304228 0	303557 0	303799 0	303128 0	306408 0	305737 0	305979 0	305308 0	306153 0	305482 0.
		score	distance a	Ar1 to Ar2 Ar	0.0175793 0.3	0.0175049 0.0	0.0172674 0.0	0.017193 0.0	0.0175513 0.0	0.0174769 0.0	0.0172394 0.0	0.017165 0.0	0.0175276 0.0	0.0174532 0.0	0.0172157 0.0	0.0171413 0.0	0.0174312 0.0	0.0173568 0.0
	score	distance	Nto	connecting C	0.279779	0.279763 (	0.279794 (	0.279778	0.285479 (	0.285463 (	0.285495 (	0.285479 (	0.279032	0.279016	0.279047	0.279032	0.279039	).279023
	score	distance	bsolute value	to Ar2 plane	 .769996	.769996	.770063	770061	.775755	.775753	.77582	.775818	.76961	.769608	.769675	.769673	.769547	.769545
	score	distance	bsolute value a	I to Ar1 plane	237281 0	238221 0	233062 0	239002 0	.258173 0	259104 0	258959 0	25989 0	232721 0	233663 0	239501 0	234443 0	23535 0	236291 0
		score	distance a	N to Ar2 N	0.0857829 0	0.08578 0	0.0857754 0	0.0857725 0	0.0828973 0	0.0828943 0	0.0828747 0	0.0828718 0	0.0880487 0	0.0880457 0	0.0880412 0	0.0880382 0	0.0880363 0	0.0880334 0
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.149445	0.149551	0.149331	0.149437	0.146489	0.146595	0.146375	0.146481	0.1498	0.149905	0.149686	0.149791	0.149798	0.149903
					MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_ mol_Intatratine_14.164_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.164_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcais_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.158_kcais_per_ mol_indatraline_14.164_kcais_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcais_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertralline_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_14.164_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcais_per_ mol_Sertralline_2.094_kcais_per_ mol_Indatraline_13.572_kcast_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertralline_2.094_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_13.572_kcals_per_mol_ S.Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertralline_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_13.572_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_13.572_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_14.241_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertralline_2.094_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.241_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.241_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertratine_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_hdatratine_14.241_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcais_per_ mol_Sertratine_2.094_kcais_per_ mol_ndarathe_14.184_kcais_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcais_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.184_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol
L					8	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	68	6	91	92	63

_	_		_	_														
			similarity	score	0.295843	0.295902	0.300267	0.300319	0.300458	0.300509	0.300789	0.300841	0.30098	0.301032	0.301771	0.301876	0.30188	0.301984
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	0.255244	0.254979	0.20598	0.20563	0.206106	0.205756	0.206011	0.205661	0.206138	0.205788	0.202499	0.202849	0.202607	0.202957
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.0467997	0.0469497	0.0462221	0.046421	0.0469992	0.047198	0.0465807	0.0467795	0.0473577	0.0475566	0.0455835	0.0453847	0.0461997	0.0460008
	score	explicit	distance	to XZ plane	352987 (	353499 (	348067 (	348612 (	348569 (	349113 (	348059 (	348604 (	34856 (	349105 (	348581 (	348036 (	349113 (	348568 (
	score	explicit	distance	to XY plane N	1595 0	15947 0	19967 0	2007 0	19967 0	2007 0	20545 0	20647 0	20545 0	20647 0	2007 0	19967 0	2007 0	19967 0
	score	explicit	distance	to YZ plane N	311597 1.	312469 1.	23041 1.	230779 1.	231851 1.	23222 1.	230186 1.	230555 1.	231627 1.	231995 1.	232805 1.	232436 1.	234407 1.	234039 1.
score	angle	Ar2 to	onnecting C	to N	160679 0.:	160656 0.1	164872 0.	16484 0.1	164874 0.	164843 0.	164865 0.1	164834 0.1	164868 0.:	164837 0.1	15409 0.1	154121 0.	154083 0.2	154114 0.2
score	angle	Ar1 to	onnecting C c	to N	161353 0.	.161447 0	.151606 0.	15171 0	.151096 0	.1512 0.	151628 0	.151732 0	.151118 0	.151222 0.	.17101 0.	170905 0	.170552 0.	170447 0.
	score	distance	solute value o	2 to Ar1 plane	4414 0	43435 0	46947 0	46098	47708 0	46859 0	4702 0	46171 0	47781 0	46932 0	42882 0	43731 0	43662 0	44511 0
	score	listance	olute value at	o Ar2 plane Ar	5724 0.1	5053 0.1	7395 0.1	568 0.1	6913 0.1	5198 0.1	7131 0.1	6417 0.1	3649 0.1	5935 0.1	2783 0.1	3497 0.1	2374 0.1	3088 0.1
		core	tance abs	to Ar2 Ar1 t	71192 0.30	70448 0.30	86322 0.19	85486 0.19	83203 0.19	82367 0.19	85329 0.19	84493 0.19	8221 0.19	81374 0.19	87266 0.20	88102 0.20	84256 0.20	85092 0.20
	core	stance s	N to dis	ecting C Ar1	9055 0.01	9039 0.01	9192 0.01	9161 0.01	3206 0.01	9175 0.01	9197 0.01	9166 0.01	9211 0.01	918 0.01	2683 0.01	2715 0.01	2693 0.01	2724 0.01
	re s	nce dis	e value	plane conn	1 0.279	9 0.279	0.279	0.275	0.279	0.275	0.279	0.279	0.275	0.275	0.272	0.272	0.272	0.272
	sco	dista	ue absolute	ne N to Ar2	0.76961	0.76960	1.16188	1.1612	1,16184	1.16116	1.16196	1.16128	1,16192	1.16125	1.16699	1.16765	1.16696	1.16763
	score	distance	absolute valu	N to Ar1 plar	0.236131	0.237071	0.11857	0.119738	0.119286	0.120453	0.120663	0.12183	0.121378	0.122545	0.119121	0.120306	0.118432	0.119617
	:	score	distance	N to Ar2	0.0880289	0.0880259	0.0780574	0.0780541	0.0780296	0.0780263	0.0780544	0.0780512	0.0780266	0.0780234	0.0835876	0.0835909	0.0835643	0.0835676
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.149684	0.149789	0.156508	0.156613	0.156395	0.1565	0.156505	0.15661	0.156392	0.156497	0.164535	0.16443	0.164431	0.164326
					4-5652_13.035_kcals_per	V-5652 13.057 kcals per Settaline 2.158 kcals per Indataline 14.184 kcals per mol latopram 14.510_kcals per mol	4-5652_9.773_kcais_per_mol_ raline_2.135_kcais_per_mol_ traline_14.532_kcais_per_mol_ ralopram_14.510_kcais_per_mol	4-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ raline_2.135_kcals_per_mol_ traline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ talopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	V-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ raline_2.198_kcals_per_mol_ traline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ talopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	4-5652_9.792_kcais_per_mol_ raline_2.198_kcais_per_mol_ traline_14.532_kcais_per_mol_ talopram_14.510_kcais_per_mol	4-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ raline_2.135_kcals_per_mol_ traline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ talopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	4-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ raline_2.135_kcals_per_mol_ traline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ talopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	V-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ raline_2.198_kcals_per_mol_ traline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ talopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	4-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ railine_2.198_kcals_per_mol_ trailine_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ talopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	4.5652_9.792_kcais_per_mol_ raline_1.483_kcais_per_mol_ traline_14.532_kcais_per_mol_ talopram_14.510_kcais_per_mol	V-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ raline_1.483_kcals_per_mol_ traline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ talopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	4-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ raline_1.545_kcals_per_mol_ traline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ talopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	4-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ raline_1.545_kcals_per_mol_ traline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ tatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol
					A MC		96 Ser Cr Scia	97 Sent Sent S-Cla	88 Sert Craa	S Citan S Citan S Citan	MCr Sert Scia	101 Sert Sert Scia	NCI Sert SCI SCI SCI SCI SCI SCI SCI SCI SCI SCI	MCI NCI Sert SCI SCI	104 Ser Cia	105 Sert Scia	MCI Sert SCitat	MCI Sert Inda S-Ci

98

					 		a: 1	<u>.</u>	···· 1		-							~
			n similarity	score	0.302293	0.302398	0.302402	0.302452	0.30249	0.302507	0.302509	0.30256	0.302632	0.302665	0.302674	0.302725	0.30297	0.303007
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	0.202531	0.202881	0.202639	0.258335	0.25807	0.202989	0.205197	0.204847	0.258462	0.258198	0.205318	0.204968	0.258371	0.258107
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.0459421	0.0457432	0.0465582	0.0457965	0.0459465	0.0463593	0.0449723	0.0451711	0.0465738	0.0467236	0.0452279	0.0454267	0.046173	0.046323
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	0.348572	0.348027	0.349105	0.622	0.622159	0.34856	0.348112	0.348857	0.622371	0.62253	0.348528	0.349073	0.621412	0.621571
	score	explicit	distance	V to XY plane	.20647	.20545	.20647	.20368	.20363	.20545	1.19967	.2007	.20368	.20363	.19967	.2007	.21007	.21002
	score	explicit	distance	V to YZ plane	.23258	.232212	.234183	.264383	.265266	.233815	.235154	.235522	.265811		.236559	1.236927	.264139	.265021
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.154084 (	0.154115 (	0.154077 (	0.150612	0.150589 (	0.154108 (	0.174803 (	0.174772 (	0.150614 (	0.150592 (	0.174818 (	0.174786 (	0.150605	0.150583 0
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	 0.171031	0.170926	0.170573	0.152406	0.1525	0.170468	0.14919	0.149294	0.151896	0.15199	0.148676	0.14878	0.152408	0.152502 (
	score	distance	absolute value	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	0.142954	.143803	0.143735	0.138404	0.137697	0.144584	1.144442	.143593	.139165	1.138458	.14522	.144371	.138536	.137829
	score	distance	absolute value	r1 to Ar2 plane	 20252 (0	203233	20211	296405	295734 (	202824 (	202614 (	201901 (	295932 (	295261 (	202175 (	201461 (	296126	295455 (
		score	distance	Ar1 to Ar2 A	0.0186273 0	0.018711 0	0.0183263 0	0.0162748 0	0.0162004 0	0.01841 0	0.019414 0	0.0193303 0	0.0159629 0	0.0158885 0	0.019102 0	0.0190184 0	0.0161757 0	0.0161013 0
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.272688	0.27272	0.272698	0.27211	0.272095	0.272729	0.280394	0.280362	0.272125	0.272109	0.28041	0.280378	0.272115	0.272099
	score	distance	absolute value	V to Ar2 plane	.16707	.16773	.16704	).754188	).754186	.16771	.16875	.16806	754121	.754119	.16885	.16817	754235	.754233
	score	distance	absolute value	V to Ar1 plane	 .12121	0.122396	0.120522	0.132886	0.13386	0.121707	0.126025	0.127191	0.133602	0.134575	.12679	0.127956	0.134887 (	0.13586
		score	distance	N to Ar2	0.0835847 (	0.0835879 (	0.0835613	0.076212 (	0.0762091 (	0.0835646 (	0.0836233 (	0.08362	0.0761842 (	0.0761813 (	0.0836008 (	0.0835975 (	0.0762088 (	0.0762058
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.164532	0.164427	0.164428	0.153095	0.153201	0.164323	0.155275	0.15538	0.152983	0.153088	0.155161	0.155266	0.153091	0.153196
			-		MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	McN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_Indatratine_7.978_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_7.978_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 9.173 kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.094 kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.538_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_7.978_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_7.978_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citaloptram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ SCitalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.135 kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_7.922_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.135 kcals_per_ mol_Indatratine_7.922_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol
					108	109	110	11	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121

			similarity	score		0.303023	0.303074	0.30315	0.303187	0.303188	0.303239	0.303521	0.303544	0.303671	0.303694	0.303768	0.303805	0.303958	0.303996
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane		0.205229	0.204879	0.258498	0.258234	0.205349	0.204999	0.212385	0.212119	0.212514	0.212248	0.255187	0.254922	0.255295	0.255031
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane		0.0453308	0.0455297	0.0469501	0.0471	0.0455864	0.0457853	0.0502389	0.0503889	0.0504976	0.0506475	0.044959	0.045109	0.0455752	0.0457252
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane		0.348104	0.348649	0.621784	0.621943	0.34852	0.349065	0.361549	0.362059	0.36205	0.36256	0.621976	0.622135	0.622371	0.62253
	score	explicit	distance	N to XY plane		1.20545	1.20647	1.21007	1.21002	1.20545	1.20647	1.20678	1.20675	1.20678	1.20675	1.20368	1.20363	1.20368	1.20363
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane		0.23493	).235298	0.265567	0.266449	0.236334	0.236702	0.400168	0.400454	0.401555	0.40184	0.266391	0.267274	0.26798	0.268862
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N		0.174797	0.174765	0.150608	0.150586	0.174811	0.17478	0.152072	0.15205	0.152075	0.152053	0.139843	0.139821	0.139836	0.139814
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N		0.149212	0.149316	0.151898	0.151992	0.148698	0.148802	0.146539	0.146633	0.146028	0.146123	0.171709	0.171804	0.171251	0.171346
	score	distance	absolute value	vr2 to Ar1 plane		.144515	.143666	.139297	.138591	.145293	144444	141968	.141262	.142729	.142023	.135185	.134479	.135966	.13526
	score	distance	bsolute value	1 to Ar2 plane		202351 C	201637 0	295654 0	294982	201912 0	201198 0	271695 0	27102	271226 0	270551	30238	30171 0	30198	30131 0
		score	distance a	Ar1 to Ar2 Ai		0.0193147 0.	0.019231 0.	0.0158638 0.	0.0157894 0.	0.0190027	0.0189191	0.0169525 0.	0.0168782 0.	0.0166406 0.	0.0165662 0.	0.0164528	0.0163784 0.	0.0161518 0.	0.0160774 0.
	score	distance	N to	connecting C		0.280399	0.280367	0.272129	0.272113	0.280415	0.280383	0.305196	0.30518	0.30521	0.305194	0.265612	0.265597	0.265622	0.265606
	score	distance	absolute value	V to Ar2 plane		.16871	.16802	754168	0.754166	1.16882	1.16813	.767098	.767096	0.76703	0.767028	).764998	0.764996	).764948	0.764946
	score	distance	absolute value	V to Ar1 plane	-	0.12812	0.129286	.135602 (	0.136575	0.128885	0.13005	0.242832	).243823	0.24353	0.244521	0.125381	0.126355	0.126085	0.127059
		score	distance	N to Ar2		0.0836203	0.0836171 0	0.076181	0.076178	0.0835978	0.0835946	0.109068	0.109071	0.10904 0	0.109043 0	0.081746 (	0.081743 0	0.0817227 0	0.0817197
		score	distance	N to Ar1		0.155272	0.155377	0.152978	0.153083	0.155158	0.155264	0.168274	0.168379	0.168161	0.168266	0.161016	0.161121	0.160911	0.161017
						MCN-5652 9.773_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_mol_ Indatratine_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_mol_ indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_ 24 mol_indatraline_7.922_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citaloptram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.057 kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.198 kcals_per_ per_mol_ndatraline_7.922 kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.155_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citabpram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_octratine_2.135_kcals_per_ 28 mol_indatratine_14.522_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ pol_botratine_2.135_kcals_per_ pol_indatratine_14.522_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_ 30 mol_indatraline_14.52_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_15.586_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.198_kcals_per_ mol_Indatratine_14.522_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_15.586_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.463_kcals_per_ 32_mol_Indatraline_7.978_kcals_per_mol S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.463_kcals_per_ 33 mol_Indatraline_7.978_kcals_per_mol S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sentaline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_Indatrafine_7.978_kcals_per_mol S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 13.057 kcals_per_ 35 mol_Setratine 1.545_kcals_per_ 36 mol_Indatratine 7.978 kcals_per_mol S.Citatooram 14.510 kcals_per_mol

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

			similarity	score	0.304039	0.304062	0.304189	0.304212	0.304285	0.304322	0.304476	0.304494	0.304513	0.304531	0.304649	0.304686	0.304877	0.304901
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	0.212416	0.21215	0.212545	0.212279	0.255223	0.254958	0.255331	0.257548	0.255067	0.257284	0.257669	0.257405	0.209192	0.208926
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.0505975	0.0507474	0.0508561	0.0510061	0.0453355	0.0454855	0.0459517	0.0445465	0.0461016	0.0446965	0.0448022	0.0449522	0.0499106	0.0500606
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	0.361541	0.362051	0.362042	0.362552	0.621388	0.621547	0.621784	0.622033	0.621943	0.622192	0.622342	0.622501	0.361517	0.362028
	score	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	1.21254	1.21251	1.21254	1.21251	1.21007	1.21002	1.21007	1.20368	1.21002	1.20363	1.20368	1.20363	1.20678	1.20675
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	0.399954	0.40024	0.401341	0.401627	0.266147	0.267029	0.267735	0.269084	0.268617	0.269966	0.270476	0.271358	0.402118	0.402403
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.152066	0.152044	0.152069	0.152047	0.139837	0.139814	0.13983	0.160561	0.139808	0.160539	0.160576	0.160553	0.141309	0.141287
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	0.146561	0.146655	0.14605	0.146144	0.171711	0.171806	0.171253	0.149989	0.171348	0.150084	0.149475	0.14957	0.165873	0.165968
	score	distance	absolute value	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	0.142041	0.141335	0.142802	0.142096	0.135318	0.134611	0.136098	0.135897	0.135392	0.135191	0.136676	).135969	0.138751	0.138045
	score	distance	bsolute value	1 to Ar2 plane	271424	27075	270955	27028	302101	301431	301701	301517	301031	300847	301087	300417	277629	276956
		score	distance	Ar1 to Ar2 A	0.0168532 0.	0.0167789 0.	0.0165413 0.	0.016467 0.	0.0163537 0.	0.0162793 0.	0.0160527 0.	0.0170565 0.	0.0159783 0.	0.0169821 0.	0.0167446 0.	0.0166702 0.	0.0171305 0.	0.0170562 0.
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.305201	0.305185	0.305215	0.305199	0.265617	0.265601	0.265626	0.273316	0.26561	0.2733	0.273332	0.273316	0.298668	0.298652
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	0.767147	0.767145	0.767079	0.767077	0.765045	0.765042	0.764995	0.7582	0.764993	0.758197	0.758264	0.758262	0.778039	.778037
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar1 plane	0.244893	0.245884	0.245592	0.246582	0.127378	0.128352	0.128082	0.140341	0.129056	0.141313	0.141105	0.142077	0.235485	0.236477
		score	distance	N to Ar2	0.109071	0.109074	0.109043	0.109046	0.0817427	0.0817398	0.0817194	0.0817784	0.0817165	0.0817755	0.0817559	0.0817529	0.114591	0.114594
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.168271	0.168376	0.168158	0.168263	0.161011	0.161117	0.160907	0.151863	0.161012	0.151968	0.151749	0.151855	0.176171	0.176276
					MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ tmol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_ tmol_indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ , mol_Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Ottakopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.138_kcals_per_ not_indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertratine_2.198_kcals_per_ mol_Indatratine_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_ imol_Indatraline_7.922_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_7.922_kcals_per_mol_ SCitalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_7.922_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_7.978_kcals_per_mol_ SCitalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_7.922_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_ ind_Indatraline_7.978_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per_ nol_indatraline_7.978_kcals_per_mol_ SCitalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ , mol_Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_7.978_kcals_per_mol_ SCitalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatrative_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ SCritatopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_ trod_Indatratine_14.522_kcals_per_mol_ SCitalopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol
					136	137	136	135	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149

101

			similarity	score	0.305004	0.305041	0.305049	0.305073	0.305159	0.305196	0.305395	0.305418	0.305506	0.30553	0.305567	0.30559	0.305664	0.305688
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	0.257585	0.25732	0.209302	0.209036	0.257705	0.257441	0.209223	0.208957	0.211587	0.211321	0.209333	0.209067	0.21171	0.211443
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.044923	0.045073	0.0501653	0.0503152	0.0451787	0.0453286	0.0502692	0.0504192	0.0494982	0.0496482	0.0505238	0.0506738	0.0497538	0.0499038
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	0.621445	0.621604	0.36205	0.36256	0.621754	0.621913	0.361509	0.362019	0.361594	0.362104	0.362041	0.362551	0.36201	0.36252
	score	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	1.21007	1.21002	1.20678	1.20675	1.21007	1.21002	1.21254	1.21251	1.20678	1.20675	1.21254	1.21251	1.20678	1.20675
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	0.26884	0.269722	0.40366	0.403945	0.270231	0.271113	0.401904	0.40219	0.404732	0.405018	0.403446	0.403731	0.406084	0.406369
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.160555	0.160533	0.141302	0.14128	0.16057	0.160547	0.141303	0.14128	0.162017	0.161994	0.141296	0.141273	0.162031	0.162009
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	0.149991	0.150086	0.165414	0.165509	0.149477	0.149572	0.165895	0.16599	0.144119	0.144213	0.165436	0.165531	0.143604	0.143698
	score	distance	absolute value	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	0.13603	1,135323	0.139532	0.138826	0.136808	0.136102	0.138824	0.138118	.139463	),138757	0.139604	0.138899	1.140241	1,139535
	score	distance	absolute value	r1 to Ar2 plane	301238	300568	277232 0	276558 (	300809	300139	277359 (	276685	276772 (	276098	276961	276288 0	276345	275671 (
		score	distance	Ar1 to Ar2 A	0.0169574 0	0.016883 0	0.0168296 0	0.0167552 0	0.0166455 0	0.0165711 0	0.0170313 0	0.0169569 0	0.0177343 0	0.0176599 0	0.0167303 0	0.0166559 0.	0.0174223 0	0.0173479 0.
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.273321	0.273305	0.298677	0.298661	0.273336	0.27332	0.298673	0.298657	0.306406	0.306391	0.298682	0.298666	0.306422	0.306406
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	0.758135	0.758133	636777.0	.777987	0.7582	0.758197	.778088	0.778086	.770134	0.770132	0.778038	0.778036	.770198	.770196
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar1 plane	1.142344 (	.143315	.236176 (	.237167 (	0.143108	.144079 (	.237544 (	1.238535 (	.250093 (	.251082 (	1.238235	.239226 (	.250835 (	.251824 (
		score	distance	N to Ar2	0.0817752 (	0.0817722 0	0.114568 [	0.114571 0	0.0817526 0	0.0817497 (	0.114594 (	0.114597 (	0.114623 (	0.114626 (	0.114571 0	0.114574 (	0.114601 0	0.114604 (
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.151859	0.151964	0.176067	0.176172	0.151745	0.15185	0.176168	0.176273	0.167044	0.167149	0.176064	0.176169 (	0.166931	0.167036 (
					N-5652 13.035 kcals_per_ 4 Sertraine 2.034 kcals_per_ [Indatraine] 7.322 kcals_per_mol_	Diaroptaric_1+2-10_0448_pter_inv DN-5652_13.057, kcals_per_ 1_Bertraine_2.084_kcals_per_mol_ 1_Indutraine_19.22_kcals_per_mol_ 7/aloyram_14.510_kcals_per_mol_	2N-5652 13.035 kcals per A Sertraline 1.545 kcals per [Indatraline 1.4532 kcals per nol 2talopram 15.585 kcals per nol	2N-5652 13.057 kcals_per_   Settraline_1.545 kcals_per_   Indatraline_1.532 kcals_per_mol_ 2tatopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol_	2N-5652 13.035 kcals per 1. Sertraline 2.158 kcals per 1. Indatraline 7.922 kcals per_mol Xialopram14.510_kcals per_mol	2N-5652_13.057_kcais_per_ 1. Sertraline_2.158_kcais_per_ 1. Indatraline_7.922_kcais_per_mol_ 2.1atopram_14.510_kcais_per_mol_	CN-5652 13.035 kcals per A Sertraline 1.483 kcals per Indatraine 14476 kcals per mo 3talopram 15.585 kcals per mol	2N-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ I. Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_ I. Indahaline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ Xiatopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol_	N-5662_13.035_kcals_per_ J. Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_ Lindaraine_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ 3talopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol_	2N-5652_13.057_kcais_per_ 	CN-5652 13.035_kcals_per	2N-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ I. Settraline_1.545_kcals_per_ [Indatraline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ 3tatopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol_	2N-5652 13.035 kcals_per_ 1. Sertraline 2.158 kcals_per_ 1. Indatraline 14.532 kcals_per_mol_ Xitalopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol_ Xitalopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol_	2N-5652_13.057_kcals_per A. Sertraline_2.158_kcals_per Lindatraine_14.532_kcals_permol Xialopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol
_				$\square$	120 W	15 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	80 m W	Sea M	24 M	N 2 2 2 X	80 81 128	SC BE	<u>86</u>	80 m W	¥≚83 89	19 19 19	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	¥860 8

			similarity	score	0.306016	0.306039	0.306174	0.306197	0.307633	0.307793	0.307854	0.307861	0.308013	0.308021	0.308082	0.308241	0.308327	0.308474
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	0.211618	0.211352	0.211741	0.211475	0.239822	0.239949	0.239556	0.239853	0.239684	0.239981	0.239588	0.239716	0.23903	0.239152
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.0498568	0.0500068	0.0501124	0.0502624	0.0515236	0.0517823	0.0516736	0.0518821	0.0519323	0.0521408	0.0520321	0.0522908	0.0507829	0.0510385
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	0.361586	0.362096	0.362001	0.362512	0.305678	0.307281	0.306198	0.30567	0.307801	0.307273	0.30619	0.307793	0.305822	0.307153
	score	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	1.21254	1.21251	1.21254	1.21251	1.57159	1.57189	1.57205	1.57453	1.57235	1.57483	1.57499	1.57528	1.57302	1.57331
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	0.404518	0.404804	0.405869	0.406154	0.268078	0.269505	0.268961	0.267856	0.270388	0.269283	0.268738	0.270165	0.272778	0.274167
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.16201	0.161988	0.162025	0.162002	0.191792	0.191793	0.19177	0.191798	0.191771	0.191799	0.191776	0.191777	0.195051	0.195056
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	0.14414	0.144235	0.143626	0.14372	0.148874	0.148363	0.148969	0.148896	0.148458	0.148385	0.14899	0.14848	0.146455	0.145941
	score	distance	absolute value	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	0.139536	0.13883	0.140314	0.139608	0.137754	0.138516	0.137048	0.137827	0.13781	0.138588	0,137121	0.137882	0.135248	0.136027
	score	distance	absolute value	Vr1 to Ar2 plane	.276501	.275828	.276075	.275401	.30532	.304847	.30465	.305053	.304176	.304579	.304382	.303908	.310444 (	.310014 0
		score	distance	Ar1 to Ar2 /	0.017635	0.0175606	0.017323	0.0172486	0.0137471	0.0134352 0	0.0136727 0	0.0134492 0	0.0133608	0.0131373 0	0.0133748 0	0.0130629 0	0.0145289 0	0.0142169 0
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.306411	0.306395	0.306427	0.306411	0.226799	0.226843	0.226783	0.226804	0.226827	0.226848	0.226788	0.226832	0.230547	0.230596
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	.770063	.770061	0.770127	0.770125	).645373	).645312	).645371	).64543	).64531	).645369	).645427	).645366	0.649254	.649328
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar1 plane	0.252156	0.253145	0.252899	0.253887	0.346642	0.345994 (	0.349494	0.347365	0.348846	0.346718	0.350217	0.349569 (	0.339815	.339106 (
		score	distance	N to Ar2	0.114626	0.114629 (	0.114604 (	0.114607	0.054451 (	0.0544417	0.0544481	0.0544599	0.0544388 (	0.0544506 (	0.054457 0	0.0544477 0	0.056314 (	0.0563065 (
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.167041	0.167146	0.166928	0.167033	0.10705	0.106936	0.107155	0.107047	0.107042	0.106933	0.107152	0.107039	0.105812	0.105697
					N-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ J_Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_ Lindaraline_14476_kcals_per_mol_ Xitalopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol	N-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ I. Sentraline_2.094_kcals_per_ I. Indatraine_14476_kcals_per_mol_ 3talopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol_	N-5652 13.035 kcals_per_ I. Sertraline_2.158 kcals_per_ Lindatraline_14476 kcals_per_mol_ 3talopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol	N-5652 13.057 kcals_per_ I. Settraline_2.158 kcals_per_ Lindatraine_14476 kcals_per_mol_ 3tatopram_15.585_kcals_per_mol	N-5652 13.035 kcals_per_ I. Sertraline 2.135 kcals_per_ Lindarraine_14532 kcals_per_mol Xitalopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	N-5652 13.035 kcals_per_ I. Setitaline 2.198 kcals_per_ Lindatraine 14532 kcals_per_mol Xitalopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	N-5652 13.057 kcals_per_ I Sentraline 2.135 kcals_per_ Lindaraline 14.532 kcals_per_mol_ 2italopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol_	N-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ J. Sentraline_2.135_kcals_per_ Lindartaline_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ Xitalopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	N-5652 13.057 kcals_per_ 1. Sentraline_2.198 kcals_per_ Lindanaine_14.532 kcals_per_mol_ 3itatopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol_	N-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ I. Sentraline_2.198_kcals_per_ Lindatratine_14476_kcals_per_mol_ 3tatopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	N-5652_13.057_kcais_per_ I. Sertraine_2.135 kcais_per_ Lindanaine_14476_kcais_per_mol_ Xitalopram_10.603_kcais_per_mol	N-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ I. Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_ I.Indatraline_14476_kcals_per_mol_ 3talopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol_	N-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ I. Sertraline_2.094_kcals_per_ [Indatraline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ Xialopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol_	N-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ I. Sentraline_2.158_kcals_per_ Indatraine_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ Xtalopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol_
$\square$		_		-	164 MC	165 MC	166 200 200 200 200 200	167 mc	<u>88</u>	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	Demo Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Seco	None Sco 171	NC MC	Score M	Ne MC	22 E	S C MO	SCC more

			similarity	score	0.308547	0.308547	0.308694	0.308694	0.308767	0.308914	0.309236	0.309426	0.309456	0.309463	0.309646	0.309654	0.309684	0.309847
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane	0.238765	0.239062	0.238887	0.239183	0.238797	0.238918	0.236654	0.236763	0.236389	0.236685	0.236498	0.236795	0.23642	0.203599
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.0509329	0.0511415	0.0511885	0.0513971	0.0512915	0.0515471	0.0511953	0.05145	0.0513453	0.0515539	0.0516	0.0518085	0.0517038	0.0468316
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	0.306342	0.305814	0.307673	0.307144	0.306334	0.307664	0.305577	0.30728	0.306097	0.305569	0.3078	0.307272	0.306089	0.351378
	score	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	1.57348	1.57596	1.57377	1.57625	1.57642	1.57671	1.57222	1.57256	1.57268	1.57516	1.57302	1.57549	1.57562	1.15391
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	0.273658	0.272554	0.275049	0.273944	0.273435	0.274826	0.270085	0.271672	0.270967	0.269862	0.272554	0.27145	0.270744	.276651
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.195029	0.195057	0.195033	0.195062	0.195035	0.19504	0.188254	0.188252	0.188232	0.18826	0.188229	0.188258	0.188238	0.164826
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	0.14655	0.146477	0.146035	0.145962	0.146572	0.146057	0.168197	0.167738	0.168292	0.168218	0.167833	0.16776	0.168313	0.16369
	score	distance	absolute value	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	0.134542	0.135321	0.135321	0.1361	0.134615	0.135394	0.134537	0.135317	0.133831	0.13461	0.134611	0.13539	0.133903	0.151233
	score	distance	absolute value	Vr1 to Ar2 plane	.309775	.310176	.309344	).309746	.309507	.309077	.311309	0.310908	.31064	.311041	.310239	.31064	310372	.20244
		score	distance	Ar1 to Ar2 /	0.0144545 0	0.0142309 0	0.0141425 0	0.013919 0	0.0141565 0	0.0138446 C	0.0139251 C	0.0136241	0.0138507 0	0.0136272	0.0135497 0	0.0133262	0.0135528 C	0.0190983
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.230531	0.230552	0.23058	0.230601	0.230536	0.230585	0.206711	0.20674	0.206695	0.206716	0.206724	0.206745	0.2067	0.286561
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	0.649252	0.649191	0.649325	0.649264	0.649189	0.649262	0.655205	0.65516	0.655203	0.655262	0.655158	0.655216	0.655259	1.16581
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar1 plane	0.342665	0.340541	0.341956	0.339832	0.343391	0.342681	0.353344	0.352722	0.356197	0.354065	0.355575	0.353443	0.356917	0.220714
		score	distance	N to Ar2	0.0563111	0.0563229	0.0563035	0.0563154	0.05632	0.0563124	0.0563031	0.0562953	0.0563002	0.056312	0.0562924 (	0.0563042	0.0563091	0.0873731
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.105917	0.105809	0.105803	0.105694	0.105914	0.1058	0.115017	0.114912	0.115122	0.115014	0.115017	0.114909	0.115119	0.153593
					MCN-5652_13.057 kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.094_kcals_per_ mol_indetratine_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.094_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.76_kcals_per_mol S-Citalopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_indatratine_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citabopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.176_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol_	MCN-5652_13.057 kcals_per_ mol_Sentaline_2.094 kcals_per_ mol_indatraine_14.476 kcals_per_mol S-Citakopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sentatine_2.158_kcals_per_ mol_indatratine_14.16_kcals_per_mol S-Citalopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.532_kcals_per_mol S-Citalopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.532_kcals_per_mol S-Citatopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057 kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_14.532_kcals_per_mol S-Citalopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sentratine_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatratine_14476_kcals_per_mol S-Citakopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14.532_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_pet_ MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_pet_ 89_mol_Setratine_1.1545_kcals_pet_mol 80_mol_indatratine_14.76_kcals_pet_mol S-Citatopram_10.603_kcals_pet_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Settaline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_14476_kcals_per_mol S-Ottatopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ 91 Bertraline_2.135_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol

			similarity	score	0.309874	0.309899	0.310049	0.310062	0.3101	0.310166	0.310167	0.310167	0.310219	0.31027	0.310302	0.310346	0.310354	0.310397
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ ptane	0.23653	0.203249	0.203725	0.203631	0.203375	0.203517	0.203981	0.203264	0.202914	0.203867	0.203391	0.203626	0.20304	0.203276
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.0519585	0.0470305	0.0476086	0.046193	0.0478075	0.0468092	0.0459941	0.0491608	0.0493597	0.0466103	0.0499378	0.0471874	0.0501367	0.0473862
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	0.307792	0.351924	0.351878	0.351892	0.352424	0.352423	0.351346	0.357088	0.357635	0.351878	0.357586	0.351354	0.358134	0.3519
	score	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	 1.57595	1.15495	1.15391	1.15495	1.15495	1.15495	1.15391	1.0627	1.06378	1.15391	1.0627	1.15884	1.06378	1.15988
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	0.272332	0.27701	0.278106	0.279055	0.278465	0.280674	0.278697	0.334599	0.334946	0.280316	0.335057	0.27641	0.335404	0.276769
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.188236	0.164795	0.164829	0.154045	0.164798	0.154038	0.154076	0.170404	0.170372	0.154069	0.170406	0.164818	0.170375	0.164787
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	0.167855	0.163795	0.163181	0.18307	0.163285	0.182613	0.182966	0.164354	0.164458	0.182508	0.163844	0.163765	0.163948	0.16387
	score	distance	absolute value	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	0.134684	0.150385	0.151994	0.14717	0.151146	0.14795	0.148018	0.15699	0.156144	0.148798	0.157751	0.151273	0.156904	0.150425
	score	distance	absolute value	r1 to Ar2 plane	309971 (	201725	201958	207823	201243 (	207414 (	208537 (	199323	198609	208128	198841	202189	198127	201474 (
		score	distance	Ar1 to Ar2 A	0.0132518 0.	0.0190147 0.	0.0187864 0.	0.0191927 0.	0.0187028 0	0.0188917 0.	0.0192763 0.	0.0190687 0.	0.0189851 0	0.0189753 0.	0.0187568 0.	0.0190014 0.	0.0186732 0.	0.0189177 0.
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.206729	0.28653	0.286576	0.280073	0.286544	0.280083	0.280105	0.292281	0.292249	0.280114	0.292295	0.286567	0.292263	0.286536
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	0.655214	1.16515	1.16589	1.15199	1.16523	1.15205	1.15264	1.16882	1.16817	1.1527	1.1689	1.16578	1.16825	1.16512
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar1 plane	.356296	).221848	0.221449	0.221013	).22582	0.220346	).22233	).2414	).242523	0.221566	0.24214	).22343	0.243263	0.224562
		score	distance	N to Ar2	0.0563013 (	0.0873698 (	0.0873638 (	0.0892065 (	0.0873606 (	0.0891988 (	0.0892097 (	0.0824122 (	0.082409 (	0.089202 (	0.082403 (	0.0873615 (	0.0823997 (	0.0873582 0
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.115014	0.153698	0.15348	0.161625	0.153585	0.16152	0.16152	0.150633	0.150738	0.161415	0.15052	0.153593	0.150625	0.153698
					MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_indarraine_14.476_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_10.603_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 9.773 kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.198 kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.221 kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510 kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 9.792 kcals_per_mol_ Settraline_1.545 kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.221 kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_13.630_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_13.630_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citaloprarn_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.221_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol_	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_13.630_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.164_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_13.630_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol_	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_2.135_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.164_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol
					192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	500	201	202	203	204	205

			similarity	score		0.310468	0.310496	0.310548	0.310564	0.310571	0.310574	0.310599	0.3106	0.310626	0.310661	0.310669	0.31071	0.310761	0.310766
score	explicit	angle	Ar2 plane to	YZ plane		0.203946	0.203832	0.203752	0.203606	0.204296	0.203289	0.203402	0.204182	0.202939	0.203492	0.203956	0.203416	0.203066	0.203841
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane		0.0485223	0.0491384	0.0479644	0.0465488	0.0483234	0.0495597	0.0481633	0.0489395	0.0497586	0.0471649	0.0463499	0.0503367	0.0505356	0.0469661
	score	explicit	distance	to XZ plane		357604	358133	.351854	351868	.357056	.357041	.3524	.357586	.357588	.3524	351322	.35754	358087	.351854
	score	explicit	distance	to XY plane N		06378 0	06378 0	15884 0	15988 0	0627 0	06641 0	15988 0	0627 0	06748 0	15988 0	15884 0	06641 0	06748 0	15884 0
	score	explicit	distance	I to YZ plane N		.33559 1	.336098	.277865	.278814 1	.335243	.333732 1	.278224 1	.335751 1	.33408	.280433	.278456 1	.33419 1	.334537 1	280074 1
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N		0.15963 0	0.159624 0	0.164821	0.154037	0,159662 0	0.17041	0.16479 0	),159655 0	0.170378	0.15403	.154068 0	0.170412	0.170381 0	.154061 0
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C (	to N		0.183732 0	0.183275 (	0.163256 (	0.183145 (	0.183628 (	0.164487	0.16336	0.18317 (	0.164592 (	0.182688 (	0.18304 (	0.163978 (	0.164082	0.182583 (
	score	distance	absolute value	vr2 to Ar1 plane		.15293	.153709	.152034	.14721	.153777	.157193	.151186	.154556	.156346	.14799	.148058	.157953	.157107	.148838
	score	distance	bsolute value	r1 to Ar2 plane /		20471 0	204301 0	201707 0	207572 0	205424 0	199085 0	200993 0	205015 0	19837 0	207164 0	208287 0	198603 0	197889	207878 0
		score	distance a	Ar1 to Ar2 A		0.0191631 0.	0.0188621 0.	0.0186894 0.	0.0190957 0.	0.0192467 0.	0.0189734 0.	0.0186058 0.	0.0189457 0.	0.0188897 0.	0.0187947 0.	0.0191794 0.	0.0186614 0.	0.0185778 0.	0.0188784 0.
	score	distance	N to	connecting C		0.285813	).285823 (	).286581 (	0.280079	).285845 (	0.292284 (0	).28655 (	).285854 (	0.292252 (	0.280089	.280111 (	).292298	.292266	0.28012
	score	distance	bsolute value	I to Ar2 plane		15501	15507 (	16586 (	15206 (	15565 (	16879	.16519 (	.15572 (	16813	15203 (	15271 (	16887 (	16821	15268 (
	score	distance	bsolute value	I to Ar1 plane		.241626 1	.240965	.224165	.22372 1	.242856	.244321	225296 1	.242195 1	.245443	.223053 1	.22494 1	.245062	.246184	224274 1
		score	distance a	N to Ar2 N		0.0862918 0	0.0862685 0	0.0873523 0	0.0891949 0	0.0862951 0	0.0824007	0.087349 0	0.0862718 0	0.0823975 0	0.0891872 0	0.0891982 0	0.0823915 0	0.0823883 0	0.0891905
		score	distance	N to Ar1		0.158668 (	0.158563	0.15348	0.161625	0.158562	0.150639 (	0.153585	0.158458	0.150744 (	0.16152 (	0.16152 (	0.150526	0.150631 (	0.161415 (
						792_kcals_per_mol_ 83_kcals_per_mol_ .630_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	792_kcals_per_mol_ 45_kcals_per_mol_ (630_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	773_kcals_per_mol_ 98_kcals_per_mol_ 164_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	792_kcals_per_mol_ 83_kcals_per_mol_ 164_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	773_kcals_per_mol_ 83_kcals_per_mol_ 1630_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	773 kcals_per_mol_ 35 kcals_per_mol_ 572 kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	792_kcals_per_mol_ 98_kcals_per_mol_ 164_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	45_kcals_per_mol_ 45_kcals_per_mol_ 1630_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	792_kcals_per_mol_ 35_kcals_per_mol_ 1572_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	792_kcals_per_mol_ 45_kcals_per_mol_ 164_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	773_kcals_per_mol_ 83_kcals_per_mol_ 164_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	773_kcals_per_mol_ 98_kcals_per_mol_ 1572_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	792_kcals_per_mol_ 98_kcals_per_mol_ 1572_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol	773_kcals_per_mol_ 45_kcals_per_mol_ 164_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol_
						MCN-5652_9. Sertraline_1.4. Indatraline_13. S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652_9. Sertraline_1.5. Indatraline_13. S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652_9. Sertraline_2.1: Indatraline_14. S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652_9. Sertraline_1.4. Indatraline_14. S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652 9. Sertraline 1.4. Indatraline 13. S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652 9. Sertraline_2.1: Indatratine_13. S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652_9. Sertraline_2.1: Indatraline_14. S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652_9. Sertraline_1.5 Indatraline_13 S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652_9. Sertraline_21: Indatraline_13 S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652_9. Sertratine_1.5 Indatratine_14. S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652_9. Sertraline_1.4: Indatraline_14 S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652_9. Sertraline_21: Indatraline_13 S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652_9. Sertraline_2.1 Indatraline_13. S-Citalopram_1	MCN-5652_9. Sertraline_1.5. Indatraline_14. S-Citalopram_1
					1	S	6	ğ	ğ	H	1		1 8		1 1	1 2		l H	1 2 1

			nilarity	score	10871	109	310941	310965	810975	31098	311003	311004	311135	311174	311214	311253	311381	311419
e	c;	e	ne to sir	ane	52	0.3	76 0.3	24 0.3	72 0.5	12 0.3	0.0	<u>0:</u>	84	500	51 0.3	87 0.3	0.3	36 0.3
SCOI	expli	ang	Ar2 pla	¦d ZA	0.2039	0.2038	0.2540	0.2572	0.2042	0.2538	0.2569	0.2041	0.2541	0.2539	0.2573	0.2570	0.2541	0.2538
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.0489212	0.0495373	0.0492928	0.0501302	0.0487223	0.0494429	0.0502802	0.0493384	0.0499089	0.050059	0.0509072	0.0510572	0.0496811	0.0498312
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	0.357557	0.358087	0.717583	0.717603	0.35701	0.717753	0.717774	0.357539	0.717923	0.718093	0.717923	0.718094	0.717076	0.717247
	score	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	1.06748	1.06748	1.0874	1.0874	1.06641	1.08739	1.08739	1.06641	1.0874	1.08739	1.0874	1.08739	1.09187	1.09186
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	0.334723	0.335231	0.306585	0.304558	0.334376	0.307457	0.30543	0.334884	0.308189	0.309061	0.306	0.306872	0.30637	0.307243
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.159636	0.15963 (	0.141845 (	0.152608 (	0.159668	0.141823	0.152585 (	0.159661	0.141839 (	0.141816	0.15261	0.152588 (	0.141853 (	0.141831 (
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	0.183866	0.183408	0.180566	0.16128	0.183761	0.18066	0.161374	0.183304	0.180108	0.180203	0.16077	0.160864	0.180649	0.180744
	score	distance	absolute value	Vr2 to Ar1 plane	.153133	.153912	.147323	.150538	.153979	.145619	.149833	.154759	.148103	147398	.151298	.150594	.147522	.146818
	score	distance	absolute value	r1 to Ar2 plane /	204472	204063	299667	294547 0	205186 0	598998	293877 0	204777 0	299267	298597 0	295019 0	284348	299427	298758 0
		score	distance	Ar1 to Ar2 A	0.0190677 0	0.0187667 0	0.0168014 0	0.0164234 0	0.0191514 0	0.016527 0	0.016349 0	0.0188504 0	0.0163004 0	0.016226 0	0.0161115 0	0.0160371 0	0.0165046 0	0.0164303 0
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.285817	0.285826	0.273209	0.279684	0.285848	0.273193	0.279668	0.285858	0.273218	0.273202	0.279698	0.279683	0.273216	0.2732
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	1.15497	1.15504	).758857	.766994	1.15562	0.758855	0.766992	1.15568	0.758896	0.758894	0.767043	0.767041	).758788	.758786
	score	distance	absolute value	V to Ar1 plane	).244536	).243876	0.191202	0.198838	0.245767	0.192157	0.199793	0.245107	0.19192	0.192874	0.199565	0.280519	0.19375	0.194704
		score	distance	N to Ar2	 0.086288	0.0862647	0.0821457 (	0.0768078	0.0862913 (	0.0821427 (	0.0768049 (	0.086268	0.0821224 (	0.0821194 (	0.0767986	0.0767956	0.0821426 (	0.0821397
		score	distance	N to Ar1	.158673 (	1.158569 (	.157757 (	.149832 (	.158568 (	.157862 (	.149938 (	.158464 (	).157653 (	.157758 (	.149719 (	.149825 (	.157757 (	.157863 (
					MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Settraline_1.483_kcals_per_mol_0 Indatraline_13.572_kcals_per_mol_0 S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_13.572_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_7.525_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Setraline_2.135_kcals_per_0 mol_indatraline_7.525_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_1.483_kcals_per_mol_0 1244 Indatraline_13.572_kcals_per_mol_0 S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Setrialine_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_7.525_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Settraline_2.135_kcals_per0 mol_indatraline_7.525_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_mol_ 10.13.572_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Setraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_7.525_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Settraline_1.545_kcals_per0 mol_indatraline_7.525_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Settraline_2.198_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_7.555_kcals_per_mol_ Scritalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per0 mol_Settraline_2.198_kcals_per0 mol_indatraline_7.555_kcals_permol_0 SCitalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Setrialine_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_indatraline_7.467_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citaloprarn_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Setraline_1.483_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_7.467_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol

			milarity	score	311433	311436	311475	311483	311575	311614	311616	311634	311685	311686	311713	311721	311725	311818
Ð	ici	e	une to si	ane	49 0.5	49	84 0.3	30 0.0	0 60	45 0.5	18	76 0.5	56	76 0.:	04 0.:	68 0.5	12 0.5	54 0.3
sco	exp	ang	o Ar2 pla	ld ZY	0.2042	0.2572	0.2569	0.2038	0.2542	0.2539	0.2030	0.2043	0.2040	0.2573	0.2029	0.2033	0.2571	0.2032
score	explicit	angle	Ar1 plane to	YZ plane	0.046099	0.0505185	0.0506685	0.0462978	0.0502972	0.0504472	0.0454604	0.046876	0.0470749	0.0512955	0.0460765	0.0452615	0.0514455	0.0458777
	score	explicit	distance	N to XZ plane	0.350776	0.717097	0.717267	0.351321	0.717417	0.717587	0.35129	0.351276	0.351822	0.717417	0.351821	0.350744	0.717588	0.351276
	score	explicit	distance	N to XY plane	1.18765	1.09187	1.09186	1.18869	1.09187	1.09186	1.18869	1.18765	1.18869	1.09187	1.18869	1.18765	1.09186	1.18765
	score	explicit	distance	N to YZ plane	0.270831	0.304343	0.305216	0.271192	0.307974	0.308847	0.273236	0.272285	0.272646	0.305785	0.274854	0.272876	0.306658	0.274493
score	angle	Ar2 to	connecting C	to N	0.166192	0.152615	0.152593	0.166161	0.141846	0.141824	0.155409	0.166195	0.166164	0.152618	0.155402	0.155441	0.152596	0.155434
score	angle	Ar1 to	connecting C	to N	0.163194	0.161364	0.161458	0.163298	0.180192	0.180287	0.182574	0.162684	0.162788	0.160854	0.182117	0.18247	0.160948	0.182012
	score	distance	absolute value	Ar2 to Ar1 plane	0.148478	0.150737	0.150032	0.147629	0.148302	0.147597	0.144413	0.149239	0.14839	0.151497	0.145193	0.145261	0.150793	0.146042
	score	distance	absolute value	vr1 to Ar2 plane	201231	.294787	.294117	.200517	.299027	.298357	.206616	.20075	.200035	.295259	.206207	.20733	.294588	.206921
		score	distance	Ar1 to Ar2 H	 0.0189497 0	0.0163266 0	0.0162522 0	0.018866 0	0.0162037 0	0.0161293	0.019044 0	0.0186378 0	0.0185541 0	0.0160147 0	0.018743	0.0191277 0	0.0159403 0	0.0188267 0
	score	distance	N to	connecting C	0.285818	0.279691	0.279675	0.285787	0.273225	0.273209	0.279328	0.285833	0.285801	0.279705	0.279337	0.27936	0.27969	0.279369
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar2 plane	1.16557	0.766925	0.766923	1.16491	0.758827	0.758825	1.15254	1.16565	1.16499	0.766974	1.15252	1.15319	0.766971	1.15317
	score	distance	absolute value	N to Ar1 plane	0.21887	0.201392	0.202346	0.220004	0.194468	0.195422	0.219175	0.219604	0.220738	0.20212	0.218508	0.220394	0.203073	0.219726
		score	distance	N to Ar2	0.089627	0.0767987	0.0767957	0.0896237	0.0821193	0.0821164	0.0914604	0.0896177	0.0896145	0.0767895	0.0914527	0.0914637	0.0767865	0.091456
		score	distance	N to Ar1	0.153947	0.149833	0.149938	0.154053	0.157653	0.157758	0.161979	0.153834	0.15394	0.14972	0.161874	0.161873	0.149825	0.161769
					MCN-5652 9.773 kcals_per_mol_ 34 Sertraline_2.135 kcals_per_mol_ 14. Indatraline_14.241 kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol_	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per_ mol_Settraline_2.135_kcals_per_ mol_indatratine_7.467_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.1355_kcals_per1 mol_Indatraline_7.467_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 9.792 kcals_per_mol_ 37 Bertraline_2.135 kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcais_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.545_kcais_per_ mol_hdatratine_7.467_kcais_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcais_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_1.545_kcals_per_ mol_Indatraline_7.467_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ 8ertrailine_1.483_kcals_per_mol_ 1datraline_14.241_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ 11 Retraine_2.198_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol_ 3.0222222222222222222222222222222222222	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ 22 Sertraline_2.198_kcals_per_mol_ 142 Indatraline_14.241_kcals_per_mol_ S-Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_13.035_kcals_per43 mol_Sentraline_2.198_kcals_per43 mol_Indahaline_7.467_kcals_per_mol S-Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.792_kcals_per_mol_ Sertraline_1.545_kcals_per_mol_ Indatraline_14.241_kcals_per_mol_ S.Citakopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652_9.773_kcals_per_mol_ 55 8ertraline_1.483_kcals_per_mol_ 14.510_kcals_per_mol_ 5.Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol_	MCN-5652_13.057_kcals_per_ mol_Sentraline_2.198_kcals_per_ f6 mol_Indatraline_7.467_kcals_per_mol_ S.Citalopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol	MCN-5652 9.773_kcals_per_mol_ 77 Bertraline_1.545_kcals_per_mol_ 101 14.510_kcals_per_mol_ 9.5Citatopram_14.510_kcals_per_mol_
				L .	Ň	N		<u> </u>	2	N N	N	N N	N I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	N N	N	<u> </u>

108

0.311955 0.312005 Ar1 plane to Ar2 plane to similarity 0.312035 score YZ plane score explicit 0.204277 0.0455818 0.202817 angle 0.203927 YZ plane 0.0464657 0.0466646 explicit angle score distance distance distance / N to YZ plane N to XY plane score explicit 0.351423 0.351306 0.35076 score explicit 1.19297 1.15391 1.194 score explicit 0.281442 0.270957 0.270596 connecting C connecting C score angle Ar2 to 0.166183 0.174758 0.166152 to N score angle Ar1 to 0.161277 to N 0.163354 0.16325 absolute value absolute value N to distance absolute value absolute value N to Ar1 plane N to Ar2 plane connecting C Ar1 to Ar2 plane Ar2 to Ar1 plane score distance 0.147715 0.148563 0.148729 score distance 0.207655 0.0187696 0.200266 0.0188532 0.20098 score 0.01988 distance score 0.287759 0.285826 0.285794 distance score 1.16488 1.17537 1.16554 
 WCN-5652
 9.792
 kcalis. per\_mol

 Rentaline\_2135
 kcalis. per\_mol
 0.154051
 0.0896114
 0.222632

 Rentaline\_14.16.10
 kcalis. per\_mol
 0.154051
 0.0896114
 0.222632

 Schatopram
 4.450
 kcalis. per\_mol
 0.152051
 0.0895114
 0.222632

 MCN-5652
 9.773
 kcalis. per\_mol
 0.15236
 0.2283354

 Schatopram
 2.094
 kcalis. per\_mol
 0.15236
 0.0892205
 0.228354

 Schatopram
 4.431
 kcalis. per\_mol
 0.15236
 0.228354
 0.228354
 score distance MCN-5652\_9.773\_kratis\_per\_mol\_ 248 Stratine\_2.155\_krasis\_per\_mol\_ 248 Indatatine\_2.155\_krasis\_per\_mol\_ SC@abopan\_14.50\_krasis\_per\_mol\_ distance N to Ar2 score score distance N to Ar1 249 250

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Appendix 4.1 The Sybyl Programming Language (SPL) four molecule comparison program. Calculates and saves the similarity scores for four molecule comparisons. Only works for small data sets.

# Program: msc\_4\_molecules.spl
# author: Paul A. Wilson
# email: pwilson@cobremail.itrc.umt.edu
# date of latest version: August 22, 2004
# date of origination: late 1990s
#
# Sybyl Programming Language - run from within the Sybyl program by Tripos
#
# Change the path and name of table files below in the code as appropriate.
# In practice this program uses all available memory including scratch
# space, until the system crashes making this program unusable in most cases.

# molecular similarity comparison

UIMS DEFINE MACRO msc SybylBasic

SETVAR TA\_PRECISION 9

# Change the path and name of these table files as appropriate

SETVAR tbl1\_file /home/pwilson/sybyl/5HT3/sertraline/sertraline\_low\_energy\_conformations.tbl SETVAR tbl2\_file /home/pwilson/sybyl/5HT3/MCN-5652/mcn\_5652\_low\_energy\_conformations.tbl SETVAR tbl3\_file /home/pwilson/sybyl/5HT3/indatraline/indatraline\_low\_energy\_conformations.tbl SETVAR tbl4\_file /home/pwilson/sybyl/5HT3/s-citalopram/s\_citalopram\_low\_energy\_conformations.tbl

SETVAR tbl1 sertraline\_low\_energy\_conformations SETVAR tbl2 mcn\_5652\_low\_energy\_conformations SETVAR tbl3 indatraline\_low\_energy\_conformations SETVAR tbl4 s\_citalopram\_low\_energy\_conformations

SETVAR column\_number 0

SETVAR row\_tbl1 1 SETVAR row\_tbl2 1 SETVAR row\_tbl3 1 SETVAR row\_tbl4 1

SETVAR cell\_value\_tbl1 SETVAR cell\_value\_tbl2 SETVAR cell\_value\_tbl3 SETVAR cell\_value\_tbl4

TABLE NEW TABLE RENAME UMSS\_1 comparisons TABLE COLUMN\_APPEND STRING COL\_1

# TABLE COLUMN\_APPEND STRING COL\_2 TABLE COLUMN\_APPEND STRING COL\_3 TABLE COLUMN\_APPEND STRING COL\_4

SETVAR comparisons\_row 0 SETVAR comparison\_column\_prefix COL\_ SETVAR column\_number 0 SETVAR comparisons\_column\_number 4 SETVAR comparisons\_column\_name %cat(\$comparison\_column\_prefix \$column\_number)

TABLE OPEN \$tbl1\_file TABLE OPEN \$tbl2\_file TABLE OPEN \$tbl3\_file TABLE OPEN \$tbl4\_file

SETVAR points\_to\_row\_name\_tbl1 0 SETVAR points\_to\_row\_name\_tbl2 0 SETVAR points\_to\_row\_name\_tbl3 0 SETVAR points\_to\_row\_name\_tbl4 0

SETVAR point\_to\_column\_in\_comparisons 1

# the column loop

TABLE DEFAULT \$tbl1

FOR current\_column\_in\_spreadsheet IN %table((\*) column number)

SETVAR comparisons\_row 0

SETVAR comparisons\_column\_number %MATH(\$comparisons\_column\_number + 1) SETVAR comparisons\_column\_name %cat(\$comparison\_column\_prefix \$comparisons\_column\_number)

TABLE DEFAULT comparisons TABLE COLUMN\_APPEND EXPLICIT\_DATA \$comparisons\_column\_name TABLE DEFAULT \$tbl1 SETVAR column\_number %MATH(\$column\_number + 1)

# table one row loop

TABLE DEFAULT \$tb11 SETVAR points\_to\_row\_name\_tb11 0 SETVAR current\_row\_in\_spreadsheet1 1 SETVAR row\_tb11 0

FOR current\_row\_in\_spreadsheet1 IN %table((\*) row number)

TABLE DEFAULT \$tb11 SETVAR row\_tb11 %MATH(\$row\_tb11 + 1) SETVAR points\_to\_row\_name\_tb11 %MATH(\$points\_to\_row\_name\_tb11 + 1) SETVAR row\_name\_tb11 %table(\$points\_to\_row\_name\_tb11 row name)

SETVAR cell\_value\_tbl1 %rcell(\$row\_tbl1 \$current\_column\_in\_spreadsheet) SETVAR cell\_value\_tbl1 %ABS(\$cell\_value\_tbl1)

# table two row loop

TABLE DEFAULT \$tbl2 SETVAR points\_to\_row\_name\_tbl2 0 SETVAR current\_row\_in\_spreadsheet2 1 SETVAR row\_tbl2 0

FOR current\_row\_in\_spreadsheet2 IN %table((\*) row number)

TABLE DEFAULT \$tb12 SETVAR row\_tb12 %MATH(\$row\_tb12 + 1) SETVAR points\_to\_row\_name\_tb12 %MATH(\$points\_to\_row\_name\_tb12 + 1) SETVAR row\_name\_tb12 %table(\$points\_to\_row\_name\_tb12 row name)

SETVAR cell\_value\_tbl2 %rcell(\$row\_tbl2 \$current\_column\_in\_spreadsheet) SETVAR cell\_value\_tbl2 %ABS(\$cell\_value\_tbl2)

#first comparison

SETVAR first\_difference %MATH(\$cell\_value\_tbl1 - \$cell\_value\_tbl2) SETVAR absolute\_value\_first\_difference %ABS(\$first\_difference) SETVAR first\_comparison %MATH(\$absolute\_value\_first\_difference / ((\$cell\_value\_tbl1 + \$cell\_value\_tbl2) / 2))

# table three row loop

TABLE DEFAULT \$tbl3 SETVAR points\_to\_row\_name\_tbl3 0 SETVAR current\_row\_in\_spreadsheet3 1 SETVAR row\_tbl3 0

FOR current\_row\_in\_spreadsheet3 IN %table((\*) row number)

TABLE DEFAULT \$tb13 SETVAR row\_tb13 %MATH(\$row\_tb13 + 1) SETVAR points\_to\_row\_name\_tb13 %MATH(\$points\_to\_row\_name\_tb13 + 1) SETVAR row\_name\_tb13 %table(\$points\_to\_row\_name\_tb13 row name)

SETVAR cell\_value\_tbl3 %rcell(\$row\_tbl3 \$current\_column\_in\_spreadsheet) SETVAR cell\_value\_tbl3 %ABS(\$cell\_value\_tbl3)

# second comparison

SETVAR second\_difference\_1 %MATH(\$cell\_value\_tbl1 - \$cell\_value\_tbl3) SETVAR absolute\_value\_second\_difference\_1 %ABS(\$second\_difference\_1) SETVAR second\_comparison\_1 %MATH(\$absolute\_value\_second\_difference\_1 / ((\$cell\_value\_tbl1 + \$cell\_value\_tbl3) / 2))

SETVAR second\_difference\_2 %math(\$cell\_value\_tbl2 - \$cell\_value\_tbl3) SETVAR absolute\_value\_second\_difference\_2 %ABS(\$second\_difference\_2) SETVAR second\_comparison\_2 %MATH(\$absolute\_value\_second\_difference\_2 / ((\$cell\_value\_tbl2 + \$cell\_value\_tbl3) /2))

# table four row loop

TABLE DEFAULT \$tbl4 SETVAR points\_to\_row\_name\_tbl4 0 SETVAR current\_row\_in\_spreadsheet4 1 SETVAR row\_tbl4 0

FOR current\_row\_in\_spreadsheet4 IN %table((\*) row number)

TABLE DEFAULT \$tb14 SETVAR row\_tb14 %MATH(\$row\_tb14 + 1) SETVAR points\_to\_row\_name\_tb14 %MATH(\$points\_to\_row\_name\_tb14 + 1) SETVAR row\_name\_tb14 %table(\$points\_to\_row\_name\_tb14 row name)

SETVAR cell\_value\_tbl4 %rcell(\$row\_tbl4 \$current\_column\_in\_spreadsheet) SETVAR cell\_value\_tbl4 %ABS(\$cell\_value\_tbl4)

# third comparison

SETVAR third\_difference\_1 %MATH(\$cell\_value\_tbl1 - \$cell\_value\_tbl4) SETVAR absolute\_value\_third\_difference\_1 %ABS(\$third\_difference\_1) SETVAR third\_comparison\_1 %MATH(\$absolute\_value\_third\_difference\_1 / ((\$cell\_value\_tbl1 + \$cell\_value\_tbl4) / 2))

SETVAR third\_difference\_2 %MATH(\$cell\_value\_tbl2 - \$cell\_value\_tbl4) SETVAR absolute\_value\_third\_difference\_2 %ABS(\$third\_difference\_2) SETVAR third\_comparison\_2 %MATH(\$absolute\_value\_third\_difference\_2 / ((\$cell\_value\_tbl2 + \$cell\_value\_tbl4) / 2))

SETVAR third\_difference\_3 %MATH(\$cell\_value\_tbl3 - \$cell\_value\_tbl4) SETVAR absolute\_value\_third\_difference\_3 %ABS(\$third\_difference\_3) SETVAR third\_comparison\_3 %MATH(\$absolute\_value\_third\_difference\_3 / ((\$cell\_value\_tbl3 + \$cell\_value\_tbl4) / 2))

SETVAR total\_comparison %MATH((\$first\_comparison + \$second\_comparison\_1 + \$second\_comparison\_2 + \$third\_comparison\_2 + \$third\_comparison\_3)/6)

# row labelling loop

TABLE DEFAULT comparisons SETVAR comparisons\_column \$current\_column\_in\_spreadsheet

IF %EQ(\$current\_column\_in\_spreadsheet 1)

SETVAR comparisons\_row %math(\$comparisons\_row + 1) TABLE ROW\_APPEND \$comparisons\_row

SETVAR newval1 %wcell(\$comparisons\_row \$comparisons\_column \$row\_name\_tbl1) SETVAR comparisons\_column %MATH(\$comparisons\_column + 1) SETVAR newval2 %wcell(\$comparisons\_row \$comparisons\_column \$row\_name\_tbl2) SETVAR comparisons\_column %MATH(\$comparisons\_column + 1) SETVAR newval3 %wcell(\$comparisons\_row \$comparisons\_column \$row\_name\_tbl3) SETVAR comparisons\_column %MATH(\$comparisons\_column + 1) SETVAR newval4 %wcell(\$comparisons\_row \$comparisons\_column + 1) SETVAR newval4 %wcell(\$comparisons\_row \$comparisons\_column + 1) SETVAR newval4 %wcell(\$comparisons\_row \$comparisons\_column + 1)

SETVAR newval5 %wcell(\$comparisons\_row \$comparisons\_column \$total\_comparison)

#### ELSE

SETVAR comparisons\_row %math(\$comparisons\_row + 1) SETVAR comparisons\_column %MATH(\$current\_column\_in\_spreadsheet + 4) SETVAR newval6 %wcell(\$comparisons\_row \$comparisons\_column \$total\_comparison)

ENDIF

ENDFOR ENDFOR ENDFOR ENDFOR ENDFOR

TABLE DEFAULT comparisons SETVAR comparisons\_column\_number %MATH(\$comparisons\_column\_number + 1) SETVAR comparisons\_column\_name %cat(\$comparison\_column\_prefix \$comparisons\_column\_number) TABLE COLUMN\_APPEND EXPLICIT\_DATA \$comparisons\_column\_name SETVAR comparisons\_row 1

FOR comparisons\_row IN %table((\*) row number) SETVAR total\_total 0 SETVAR column\_number 5

WHILE %LT(\$column\_number \$comparisons\_column\_number)

SETVAR cell\_value %rcell(\$comparisons\_row \$column\_number) SETVAR total\_total %MATH(\$total\_total + \$cell\_value) SETVAR column\_number %MATH(\$column\_number + 1) ENDWHILE

SETVAR divisor %MATH(\$column\_number - 5) SETVAR total\_total %MATH(\$total\_total / \$divisor) SETVAR newval7 %wcell(\$comparisons\_row \$comparisons\_column\_number \$total\_total) SETVAR comparisons\_row %MATH(\$comparisons\_row + 1)

ENDFOR

•

# Appendix 4.2 The four molecule Perl script. Calculates and saves the similarity scores for four molecule comparisons.

#### #!/usr/bin/perl

# Program: msc\_4\_molecules.pl # authors: Paul A. Wilson, Larry Beintema # email: pwilson@cobremail.itrc.umt.edu # date of latest version: August 22, 2004 # date of origination: late 1990s # # Sybyl Programming Language - run from within the Sybyl program by Tripos # # Change the path and name of the input files below in the code as appropriate. # As well, changethe name of the ouput files as appropriate. # space, until the system crashes making this program unusable in most cases. # In Short: This program compares the rows in data files developing a score # for each set of rows (set - one row from each data file). A text file is # written containing all comparisons. Each row in the output file contains # in comma delimited format the label from each label field followed by the # score for each field and finally the total score. This version of the program does not sort the similarity scores. # # # similarity score = (summation from measure 1 to total number of measures ( # summation of from data file 1 to total number of data files ( (Vab - Vacl / # ((|Vab| + |Vac|)/2)) / number of combinatorial ))) # Vab = measure a of datafile b # Vac = measure a of datafile c # # Do compares a row at a time on the following 4 files (spreadsheets) # These are comma delimited files, first column is label, rest are numbers # NOTE: Perl array element indices start at 0 ! # Time file

# Change the path and name as appropriate
open(TI, ">times\_4\_molecule.out")
If die "Unable to open file times.record for time recording";

# start time
\$start = time();

# Input files
# Change the path and name of these input files as appropriate
\$f1 = "./MCN\_5652\_nq3.txt";
\$f2 = "./Sertraline\_nq3.txt";
\$f3 = "./Indatraline\_nq3.txt";
\$f4 = "./S-Citalopram\_nq3.txt";

# Output file

# Data output file, change the path and name as appropriate open(OF,">msic\_4\_output.txt") || die "Unable to open file test.output for output";

# Error output file, change the path and name as appropriate
# If everything is going well this file will remain empty
open(EF,">test\_4\_molecule.error") || die "Unable to open file test.error for output";

```
# Open file for row loop through first file
open(FH1,"<$f1") || die "Unable to open file $f1 for input";
f1cnt = 0;
while ( <FH1> )
 ł
chop;
 (slabel1,@row_fh1) = split(",",$_);
$f1cnt++;
 # Open file for row loop through second file
 open(FH2,"<$f2") || die "Unable to open file $f2 for input";
 f2cnt = 0;
 while (<FH2>)
  {
  chop;
  $f2cnt++;
  # First comparison, do entire row
  for ($cn=0; $cn<=$#row_fh2;$cn++ )
   {
   # molecule 1 compared with molecule 2
   \text{scomp1_1[$cn]} = abs(\text{srow}_fh1[$cn] - \text{srow}_fh2[$cn]) /
    ((abs($row_fh1[$cn]) + abs($row_fh2[$cn])) / 2);
   }
  # Open file for row loop through third file
  open(FH3,"<$f3") || die "Unable to open file $f3 for input";
  f_{3cnt} = 0;
  while (<FH3>)
   {
   chop;
   ($label3,@row_fh3) = split(",",$_);
   $f3cnt++;
   # Second comparison, do entire row
   for ($cn=0; $cn<=$#row_fh3;$cn++)
    ł
    # molecule 1 compared with molecule 3
    comp2_1[cn] = abs(row_fh1[cn] - row_fh3[cn]) /
     ( (abs($row_fh1[$cn]) + abs($row_fh3[$cn])) / 2 );
    # molecule 2 compared with molecule 3
    scomp2_2[scn] = abs(srow_fh2[scn] - srow_fh3[scn]) /
     ((abs($row_fh2[$cn]) + abs($row_fh3[$cn])) / 2);
    }
```

```
# Open file for row loop through fourth file
open(FH4,"<$f4") || die "Unable to open file $f4 for input";
f_{0} = 0;
while ( <FH4>)
 {
 chop;
 (slabel4,@row_fh4) = split(",",$_);
 $f4cnt++;
 $ocnt++;
 total_total = 0;
 $format = "%s,%s,%s,%s";
 # Third comparison, do entire row
 for ($cn=0; $cn<=$#row_fh4;$cn++)
  {
  # molecule 1 compared with molecule 4
  comp3_1[cn] = abs(row_fh1[cn] - row_fh4[cn]) /
   ((abs(\$row_fh1[\$cn]) + abs(\$row_fh4[\$cn])) / 2);
  # molecule 2 compared with molecule 4
  \text{scomp3_2[$cn]} = abs(\text{srow}_fh2[$cn] - \text{srow}_fh4[$cn]) /
   ((abs($row_fh2[$cn]) + abs($row_fh4[$cn])) / 2);
  # molecule 3 compared with molecule 4
  \text{scomp3_3[$cn]} = abs(\text{srow}_fh3[$cn] - \text{srow}_fh4[$cn]) /
   ((abs($row_fh3[$cn]) + abs($row_fh4[$cn])) / 2);
  tot_comp[\cn] = (\comp1_1[\cn] + \comp2_1[\cn] +
   $comp2_2[$cn] + $comp3_1[$cn] + $comp3_2[$cn] +
   $comp3_3[$cn])/6;
  $total_total += $tot_comp[$cn];
```

```
$format .= ",%s";
```

}

# similarity score
# Do the final new column now, we have the data for the row already
\$divisor = \$#row\_fh4 + 1; # Number of numerical columns
\$total\_total = \$total\_total / \$divisor;

```
$format .= ",%s";
$format .= "\n";
# Print out the row for the new spreadsheet
printf OF $format,$label1,$label2,$label3,$label4,@tot_comp,$total_total;
```

} #end FH4
close FH4;
} #end FH3
close FH3;
} #end FH2
close FH2;
} #end FH1
close FH1;

# end time

\$end = time(); \$truns = \$end - \$start; #start time, end time, total time written to file print TI "start run time is ", scalar localtime(\$start), "\n"; print TI "end run time is ", scalar localtime(\$end), "\n"; print TI "total run time is ", \$truns, " seconds \n"; close TI; Appendix 4.3 The three molecule Perl script. Calculates and saves the similarity scores for three molecule comparisons.

#!/usr/bin/perl

# Program: msc\_4\_molecules.pl # authors: Paul A. Wilson, Larry Beintema # email: pwilson@cobremail.itrc.umt.edu # date of latest version: August 22, 2004 # date of origination: late 1990s # Sybyl Programming Language - run from within the Sybyl program by Tripos # Change the path and name of the input files below in the code as appropriate. # As well, changethe name of the ouput files as appropriate. # space, until the system crashes making this program unusable in most cases. # In Short: This program compares the rows in data files developing a score # for each set of rows (set - one row from each data file). A text file is # written containing all comparisons. Each row in the output file contains # in comma delimited format the label from each label field followed by the # score for each field and finally the total score. This version of the program does not sort the similarity scores. # # # similarity score = (summation from measure 1 to total number of measures ( # summation of from data file 1 to total number of data files ( (IVab - Vacl / # ((|Vab| + |Vac|)/2)) / number of combinatorial ))) # Vab = measure a of datafile b # Vac = measure a of datafile c # # Do compares a row at a time on the following 3 files (spreadsheets) # These are comma delimited files, first column is label, rest are numbers

```
# NOTE: Perl array element indices start at 0 !
```

# Time file
# Change the path and name as appropriate
open(TI, ">times\_3\_molecule.out")
|| die "Unable to open file times.record for time recording";

# start time
\$start = time();

# Input files
\$f1 = "./Sertraline\_nq3.txt";
\$f2 = "./Indatraline\_nq3.txt";
\$f3 = "./S-Citalopram\_nq3.txt";

# Output file

# Data output file, change the path and name as appropriate

open(OF,">msc\_3\_output.txt") || die "Unable to open file test.output for output";

```
# Error output file, change the path and name as appropriate
# If everything is going well this file will remain empty
open(EF,">test_3_molecules.error") || die "Unable to open file test.error for output";
```

```
# Open file for row loop through first file
open(FH1,"<$f1") || die "Unable to open file $f1 for input";
f1cnt = 0:
while ( <FH1> )
 {
 chop;
 (slabel1,@row_fh1) = split(",",$_);
 $f1cnt++;
 # Open file for row loop through second file
 open(FH2,"<$f2") || die "Unable to open file $f2 for input";
 f2cnt = 0;
 while (<FH2>)
  {
  chop;
  ( ($ label2, @ row_fh2) = split(",",$_);
  $f2cnt++;
  # First comparison, do entire row
  for ($cn=0; $cn<=$#row_fh2;$cn++ )
    {
    # molecule 1 compared with molecule 2
    \text{scomp1_1[$cn]} = abs(\text{srow}_fh1[$cn] - \text{srow}_fh2[$cn]) /
     (abs($row_fh1[$cn]) + abs($row_fh2[$cn])) / 2);
    }
  # Open file for row loop through third file
  open(FH3,"<$f3") || die "Unable to open file $f3 for input";
  f_{3cnt} = 0;
  while (<FH3>)
    {
    chop;
    ($label3,@row_fh3) = split(",",$_);
    $f3cnt++;
    $ocnt++;
    total_total = 0;
    $format = "%s,%s,%s";
    # Second comparison, do entire row
    for ($cn=0; $cn<=$#row_fh3;$cn++)
     {
     comp2_1[cn] = abs(row_fh1[cn] - row_fh3[cn]) /
     ((abs($row_fh1[$cn]) + abs($row_fh3[$cn])) / 2);
     comp2_2[cn] = abs(frow_fh2[cn] - frow_fh3[cn]) /
     (abs($row_fh2[$cn]) + abs($row_fh3[$cn])) / 2);
```

```
$tot_comp[$cn] = ( $comp1_1[$cn] +
$comp2_1[$cn] + $comp2_2[$cn] ) / 3;
$total_total += $tot_comp[$cn];
$format .= ",%s";
}
```

# similarity score
# Do the final new column now, we have the data for the row already
\$divisor = \$#row\_fh3 + 1; # Number of numerical columns
\$total\_total = \$total\_total / \$divisor;

\$format .= ",%s";
\$format .= "\n";
# Print out the row for the new spreadsheet
printf OF \$format,\$label1,\$label2,\$label3,@tot\_comp,\$total\_total;

} #end FH3 close FH3; } #end FH2 close FH2; } #end FH1 close FH1;

# end time
\$end = time();
\$truns = \$end - \$start;
#start time, end time, total time written to file
print TI "start run time is ", scalar localtime(\$start), "\n";
print TI "end run time is ", scalar localtime(\$end), "\n";
print TI "total run time is ", \$truns, " seconds \n";
close TI;

# A Practical Comparison of Multiprocessing Libraries Application of MPI and OpenMP

Paul A. Wilson

pwilson@cobremail.itrc.umt.edu

#### Abstract

The comparative similarity between two or more mutable entities can be determined by assigning a similarity score, based on relative difference, to every possible variant combination between the entities. In this specific case, a program was written to determine the most similar low energy conformations of four molecules which have high efficacy for selective inhibition at the serotonin transporter. The large data set, 1.3 million conformational clusters, used in this endeavor have made multithreading and multiprocessing inviting opportunities. The initial program has been rewritten to either take advantage of OpenMP or MPI. These two versions allow for the comparison of serial, OpenMP, and MPI strategies on dual processor Power Macs (Mac OS 10.2) and on a nine node, eighteen processor linux Beowulf. Introduction

Situations arise where sets of data need to be compared in order to determine subsets possessing maximum similarities or dissimilarities. When these data sets consist of comparable measurements, which are relevant to the problem at hand, one possible solution is to calculate the relative difference within each subset of similar data pairs. Typically the relative difference we are familiar with is used to compare how close an experimentally derived value is to the known value. This is calculated by taking a ratio of the difference between the experimental and known value to the known value (figure 1a). However, in situations where the known value does not exist this ratio can still be developed from two experimentally derived values of the

same measure. It becomes the ratio of the difference of the two values to the average of the two values (figure 1b). The numeric values derived from these ratios are without units and of comparable scale.

relative difference = 
$$\frac{V_{measured} - V_{known}}{V_{known}}$$

a) relative difference when the correct value is known

relative difference =  $\frac{|V_{measured} - V_{known}|}{(|V_{measured} - V_{known}|) / 2}$ b) relative difference when the correct value is unknown

Figure 1. Relative difference equations

By comparing multiple common measures between three objects, the two most similar objects can be determined. The sum of values provided by the relative difference between each pair of common measures provides an objective value to the amount of similarity between the two objects. This value solely relies on the degree to which the objects can be described by their common measures. The magnitude or units of the measures do not affect the outcome.

In the specific case where the work presented here initially took shape, four conformationally dynamic molecules were identified and compared. These four molecules, commonly known as serotonin selective reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), inhibit the reuptake of serotonin from the synaptic cleft by blocking the serotonin transporter (SERT) and are commonly employed in the treatment of depression, anxiety and

#### A Practical Comparison of Multiprocessing Libraries, page 1

Appendix 4.4 "A Practical Comparison of Multiprocessing Libraries: Application of MPI and OpenMP" presented at MacHack 2003, June 2003. obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) [Vaswani2002]. It is thought that each molecule blocks the SERT through the same mechanism and, accordingly, interacts with the transporter at the same binding domain. Therefore, if the most similar conformation of each molecule is found and subsequently compared with the most similar conformation of each individual molecule within the molecular subset, key points in transporter-ligand interaction can be identified. These established structural commonalities then facilitate mapping of the SERT-SSRI binding domain. These established structural commonalities then facilitate mapping of the SERT-SSRI binding domain and aid in the development of a SERT pharmacophore to be utilized in the design of superior SERT ligands.





number of relative difference calculatior  $n_{rdc} =$ within a conformational comparison gro

 $n_{measures} = number of compared measures$ 

 $V_k^{\alpha}$  = measure k of molecules  $\alpha$ 

Figure 2. Similarity score

Every conformation of each molecule must be compared with all of the conformations of the other molecules in order to determine the conformational subset that is most similar. The molecules are described using common measures in three-dimensional distance space. The common measurement pairs of each four conformational sets are combinatorially

compared (figure 2) using relative difference. The relative differences for each measurement subset of four conformations are then summed (figure 3). These sums can then be used as an index of similarity between subsets of four molecular conformations. The smallest sum equates to the most similar and the largest sum equates to the most dissimilar group of within the four conformations. The smallest sum equates to the most similar and the largest sum equates to the most dissimilar group within the four conformations.



 $V_k^{\alpha}$  = measure k of molecules  $\alpha$ = one relative difference calculation

number of total number of relative difference calculations

Figure 3. The increase in the number of combinatorial relative difference calculations in relation to the increase in comparison pairs, follows an arithmetic series.

### Serial Implementation

The program written to perform the relative difference and similarity index calculations reads the initial measured data from comma delimited files. The program uses command line arguments to identify three or more comma delimited data files. The other required data, such as number of columns, number of rows in each file, and output file name, are obtained through interaction with the user. Once this information is known, memory is dynamically set aside for three onedimensional arrays to store 1) the row labels from each input file, 2) numerical data from

#### input data array



output data array

Figure 4. Graphical view of data moving from the input array through the relative difference calculation and into the output array.

each input file and 3) the numerical results of the calculations.

Conceptually, the combinatorial relative difference is calculated between the matching columns of the first row of data in each input file as depicted figure 4. After completion of the first row, the last input file then increments to the second row and the other files reset to the first column of their first rows. This continues until the last data file reaches its final row. At which point the next to the last data file increments to the second row and the last data file resets to its first row. These calculations proceed until every row of each data file has been compared with every other row of the other data files. The result from each calculation is incrementally stored in the results array. Theoretically, the problem

is relatively simple with one only having to keep track of indexes for the two onedimensional input and output arrays. Following completion of all calculations, the labels from the label array and the numerical data from the results array are matched and written to a single file. Each comma delimited row in this file contains the row labels of the rows compared, the combinatorial relative difference for each column and the sum of the combinatorial relative difference.

Four comma delimited text files were produced during the initial stages of the SERT pharmacophore development. Each of these text files had 15 columns of data and one label column. The four files respectively had 12, 16, 29, and 233 rows of data, representing the low energy

```
. . .
for(ii=1; ii<=oi; ii++)</pre>
  for(i=1; i<=(nc); i++)</pre>
    for(j=1; j<=argc-2; j++)</pre>
      {
      a = index[j];
      for(k=j+1; k<=argc-1; k++)</pre>
        Ł
        b = index[k];
        // relative difference
        // calculation
        singlemeasurerd = \setminus
           (((fabs(dataarray[a] - \
           dataarray[b])) / (0.5* \
           (fabs(dataarray[a] \
           + dataarray[b]))) \
           + singlemeasurerd);
       } // end k
      } // end j
      avgSingleMeasureRD = \
      (singlemeasurerd/(divisor));
      totalmeasurerd = 
        singlemeasurerd/(divisor) \
         + totalmeasurerd;
      reldif[m]=avgSingleMeasureRD;
      m = m + 1;
      singlemeasurerd = 0.0;
      avgSingleMeasureRD = 0.0;
      for(j=1; j<=argc-1; j++)</pre>
         ł
         a = index[j];
        index[j] = a + 1;
         }
      }
         // end i
   buffer3 = totalmeasurerd / nc;
```

Listing 1. Code fragment showing the nested

end ii

. . .

} //

loop structure of the serial implementation utilized in the relative difference calculation. conformations identified for each of the four molecules. The product of the number of rows, equaling 1,297,344, represents the total number of combinatorial possibilities. Each of these combinatorial possibilities consists of 15 columns, with each column containing 6 relative difference calculations (as depicted in figure 3, n=4). Collectively, this results in 116,760,960 relative difference calculations for this specific data set. Figure 5 shows the typical amount of wall time required to complete the calculations in serial. The times indicate the amount of time required to calculate 15 columns of combinatorial relative differences and, on a row-by-row basis, the average of these 15 columns.

## **Parallel Implementation**

Demonstrable parallel code can be a key component leading to a project being granted supercomputer time. Most supercomputers follow either a distributed memory model or a shared memory model. It is important to understand these models before writing parallel code.





Figure 5. Average times required to process the SERT data set in serial. Test computers: Power Mac dual 1.25 GHz G4 Mac OS 10.2.5, dual processor 800 MHz Pentium-III linux, Power Mac dual 1 GHz G4 Mac OS 10.2.5, PowerBook 12" 867 MHz G4 Mac OS 10.2.5, Power Mac dual 800 MHz G4 Mac OS 10.2.5, PowerBook Pismo 500 MHz G3 Mac OS 10.2.5.

```
index[1] = (((numberOfRows[1] \
     / numprocs) * myid * nc) );
trackindex[1] = (((numberOfRows[1] \
     / numprocs) * myid * nc) );
 oi = (prt / numprocs);
for(ii=(myid*oi)+1; \
    ii<=((myid+1)*oi); ii++)</pre>
  for(i=1; i<=(nc); i++)</pre>
    for(j=1; j<=argc-2; j++)</pre>
      {
      a = index[j];
      for(k=j+1; k \le argc-1; k++)
        {
        b = index[k];
        // relative difference
        // calculation
        singlemeasurerd = \setminus
          (((fabs(dataarray[a] - \
          dataarray[b])) / (0.5* \
          (fabs(dataarray[a] \
          + dataarray[b]))) \
          + singlemeasurerd);
       } // end k
      } // end j
      avgSingleMeasureRD = \
      (singlemeasurerd/(divisor));
      totalmeasurerd = \
        singlemeasurerd/(divisor) \
        + totalmeasurerd;
      reldif[m]=avgSingleMeasureRD;
      m = m + 1;
      singlemeasurerd = 0.0;
      avgSingleMeasureRD = 0.0;
      for(j=1; j<=argc-1; j++)</pre>
        {
        a = index[j];
        index[j] = a + 1;
      } // end i
   buffer3 = totalmeasurerd / nc;
    } // end ii
```

Many supercomputers, including Beowulf clusters follow a distributed memory model. Each processor has its own memory and its own local data. Processors, or nodes, can communicate using common networking technology, such as ethernet. In theory, code following this model will reach completion, or process data faster by allotting more processors to the task. In the case of a cluster, this can be achieved by connecting more computers, or nodes.

In contrast, the shared memory model refers to all processors sharing common memory space. This type of common memory sharing is exemplified in dual processor computers. Adding processors to a shared memory space has been shown to be challenging and incurs prohibitive expense. In other words, unless access is granted to a supercomputer, one is limited to the two processors found in the typical multiprocessor personal computer.

Communication between processes is the slow step in parallel computing. Looking at the nested loops of the serial code (listing 1), the two inner most loops calculate the combinatorial relative difference for one column of a row. In the case of the SERT data set, every time these two loops are completed six relative difference

*Listing 2, to the left. A code fragment* showing parallelization using MPI. MPI numbers processes starting at zero and going to total number of processes minus one. The first two lines of the code fragment cause unique equidistant starting points within the data from the first data file to be assigned to each process. Each process then cycles through the outermost for loop from their unique starting point to the point which immediately precedes the starting point of the next process (or the point which is equivalent to the last row in the first data file). The obvious problem with this particular code occurs when the number of rows in the first data file are not evenly divisible by the number of processes.

calculations have been performed. Any benefit from parallelizing these two loops may not be seen until the number of files being compared approaches 50. A similar situation is present in the second most outer loop. Every cycle through this loop accounts for one row of the data sets being processed. Again, benefit would not be evident from parallelizing this loop until the input files approach the neighborhood of a thousand columns. For every cycle through the outer most loop, one row of the first data file has been compared with all rows



Figure 6. Average time required to process data in serial and in parallel. The Beowulf cluster consists nine dual 800 MHz Pentium-III nodes. The heterogeneous Mac OS X cluster consisted of: node 1) PowerBook G4 12" 867 MHz G4, node 2) Power Mac dual 1.25 GHz G4, node 3) Power Mac dual 1 GHz G4, node 4) Power Mac dual 800MHz G4. All Apple computers were running Mac OS 10.2.5. MPICH was the implementation of MPI running on both clusters. In the case of the Mac OS X cluster, a common shared file system had to be created. This was simulated by mounting the PowerBook's hard drive on each Power Mac and then creating a symbolic link from each PowerMac's root directory to the MPI program residing on the PowerBook's hard drive.

for(i=1; i<=(nc); i++)</pre> #pragma omp parallel for \ private(a, b, k) for(j=1; j<=argc-2; j++)</pre> { a = index[j]; for(k=j+1; k<=argc-1; k++)</pre> { b = index[k]; // relative difference // calculation singlemeasurerd =  $\$ (((fabs(dataarray[a] - \ dataarray[b])) / (0.5\* \ (fabs(dataarray[a] \ + dataarray[b]))) 1 + singlemeasurerd); // end k } } // end j avgSingleMeasureRD =(singlemeasurerd/(divisor)); totalmeasurerd = \ singlemeasurerd/(divisor) \ + totalmeasurerd; reldif[m]=avgSingleMeasureRD; m = m + 1;singlemeasurerd = 0.0;avgSingleMeasureRD = 0.0; for(j=1; j<=argc-1; j++)</pre> { a = index[j]; index[j] = a + 1;} // end i buffer3 = totalmeasurerd / nc: } // end ii

. . .

for(ii=1; ii<=oi; ii++)</pre>

Listing 3. Code fragment with the OpenMP directive for parallelizing a loop in bold. The loop is automatically parallelized with the number of threads used during execution defined by the environment variable OMPC\_NUM\_ PROCS. The OMP\_NESTED environment variable can be used to control parallelization of nested loops. The code was compiled with the Omni OpenMP compiler.
of the other data files. In the case of the SERT data set one cycle through the outer most loop accounts for 7,297,560 relative difference calculations. A substantial time savings could be attained through the parallelization of this loop.

#### **Message Passing Interface**

The Message Passing Interface (MPI) standard was developed, in part, to allow portability of parallel code between supercomputers. MPI is a library for enabling interprocess communication. An implementation of MPI is generally available at supercomputer centers on distributed memory machines. Code can easily be written and tested with limited computing facilities and remains highly portable to computers of greater computational capacity.

Using MPI requires consideration of how a program can efficiently be split into separate processes and what communication needs to take place between these processes. In the case of the relative difference program the data from the first input file will be divided by the number of processes. Depending on the number of rows in this input file being evenly divisible by the number of processes (processors assign to this task), the work load will be equally split amongst processors for the duration of the relative difference calculations. The variables used to keep track of which piece of data is currently being acted upon will need to be changed to indicate the start point within the data set for each process. This can be seen in the code fragment in listing 2 with the impact of this MPI parallelization on run times shown in figure 6.

## **OpenMP**

The OpenMP compiler directives and library provide a mechanism for shared memory parallel computing. As well, OpenMP can be used in conjunction with MPI to take advantage of the processing capabilities available in clusters of dual processor nodes. OpenMP provides easily accessible methods for parallelizing loops, as seen in listing 3. These methods for loop parallelization work well with simple loops. Mechanisms exist in OpenMP which allow complex sections of code and nested loops to be parallelized. In the code shown here, the loop that cycles through the calculation of the combinatorial relative difference for one data point from each input file has been parallelized. As previously mentioned this may prove to be useful with an increased number of input files. In the case of the SERT data set, there is diminished performance, probably due to initial communication overhead. The computation time increased on one, two processor Beowulf node from 16 second for serial to 80 seconds for the OpenMP version.

## Conclusion

When putting in the effort to effectively parallelize code, it is gratifying to see the performance gains from running on four, eight, or thirty two processors. Given the opportunity, which is largely dependant on the available equipment, pursuing a MPI solution will afford a notable increase in performance. If limited to a dual processor machine or a shared memory supercomputer, putting the effort into OpenMP would be the optimum choice.

## Acknowledgements

Don Morton - The Montana Rockies Center for Computational Science (MRoCCS) at the University of Montana John Gerdes - The Molecular Computational Core Facility of the NIH-COBRE Center for Structural and Functional Neuroscience at the University of Montana Jennifer Parham - Department of Computer Science, University of Montana Melodie Weller - Department of Pharmaceutical Science, University of Montana

A Practical Comparison of Multiprocessing Libraries, page 7

## Bibliography

Chandra, Rohit, et al. Parallel Programming in OpenMP. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Francisco, CA. 2001.

Foster, Ian. Designing and Building Parallel Programs. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA. 1995.

Gropp, William, et al. Using MPI. The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA. 1999.

[Vaswani2002] Vaswani, Meera, et al. Role of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors in Psychiatric Disorders: A Comprehensive Review. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry 27, 85-102. Elsevier, New York, NY 2003

## Resources

High Performance Computing on Mac OS X http://gravity.psu.edu/~khanna/hpc.html

MPI http://www-unix.mcs.anl.gov/mpi/

MPICH http://www-unix.mcs.anl.gov/mpi/ mpich/

OpenMP http://www.openmp.org/

Omni OpenMP http://phase.etl.go.jp/Omni/

GNU Portable Threads and Multithreading Libraries http://www.gnu.org/software/pth/ related.html

A Practical Comparison of Multiprocessing Libraries, page 8

# Appendix 4.5 The compsortall program, written in C. Calculates, sorts and saves all similarity scores.

/\*

Program: compsortall version: 1.01 author: Paul A. Wilson email: pwilson@cobremail.itrc.umt.edu date of latest version: August 22, 2004 date of origination: 2003

command: program name data file 1 data file 2 data file 3 example: ./compsortall ./MCN-5652.txt ./sertraline.txt ./indatraline.txt ./s-citalopram.txt

minimum of three data files required, no limit to maximum number of data files to be compared - within reason

required data file format: comma delimited text, first field of each row is equivalent to a label, the remaining fields in each row are numbers, each field is separated by a comma, no quotes around the labels, no spaces between fields (commas only)

output file name is limited to a maximum of 64 characters

In Short: This program compares the rows in data files developing a score for each set of rows (set - one row from each data file). Then sorts the scores from low to high. Low scores represent the sets of rows which are most similar. A text file is written containing all comparisons in ascending order. Each row in the output file contains the label from each label field (first field in each data file) concatenated together followed in comma delimited form by the score for each field and finally the total score. Two text files are created containing intermediary data. These two files, called tempfile\_1 and tempfile\_2, can be deleted after the program has completed.

This program was written in response to to a project where distance space descriptions needed to be compared in order to determine the conformations of four molecules which were the most similar. (see SFN 2003 Annual Meeting, Poster Presentation 371.4 and SFN 2004 Annual Meeting, Poster Presentation 922.1, also see Machack 18, Wilson, P.A. "A Practical Comparison of Multiprocessing Libraries", MacHack 2003, June 2003, the code is different but a lot of the ideas remain) I am willing to share manuscript versions of these posters in pdf format.

Comparisons are carried out combinatorially using relative difference. The relative difference equation was modified slightly to enable creating and weighting the comparison of a positive and negative measurement as less similar. Each row in each data file is compared against each row of every other data file. Within each row, each field is compared against the corresponding field from the rows being compared in the other data files. If there are 4 data files than there are 6 comparisons between each field of the four rows being compared. The relative difference score from each field is added together and divided by the number of fields to produce a similarity score for the for the rows being compared.

similarity score = (summation from measure 1 to total number of measures (
summation of from data file 1 to total number of data files ( (IVab - Vac| /
((IVabl + IVacl)/2)) / number of combinatorial )))
Vab = measure a of datafile b
Vac = measure a of datafile c

The program programs structure has a legacy stemming from a personal interest in out-of-core sorting, dynamic memory allocation, and parallel computing. There are three versions of this program. This one is sorts all scores using an out of core sorting mechanism. The other two versions of the program 1) does not sort, and 2) one sorts all but only keeps a user determined portion of the scores. The out of core version, here, sorts a group of calculated scores to a file, calculates and sorts the next group of score, reads part of the sorted file back into memory, merges the two sets of sorted scores together maintaining ascending order, and writes out to a new temporary file. The process is repeated until all of the first temporary file is read in and all of the scores have been written out to the second file. The algorithm works well and is fast, except the file I/O step. It would be interesting at some point to look into this again, using a parallel file system (or at least a high speed file system).

Pointers are used extensively as port of some of my original notions on dynamically allocating memory and sorting.

The program was written in C to provide maximum portability. I have not tried compiling this code on a Linux, or any other, machine. I have noticed this code runs great under Mac OS 10.3 and failed when running under Mac OS 10.2.

\*/

//#include <dirent.h>
#include <fcntl.h>
#include <fcntl.h>
#include <math.h>
#include <stddef.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdib.h>
#include <stdib.h>
#include <stdib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <sys/errno.h>
#include <sys/file.h>
#include <sys/file.h>
#include <sys/time.h>
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/uio.h>

// structure for holding data read from files, label and numerical descriptors
struct descriptions
{

char \* labels;

double \* descriptivedata;
};

// structure for holding concatenated label and score for each descriptor
// and a total score value
struct labelscores
{

char \* label; double \* reldif; };

// from here to main are a set of function used for sorting
// the sorting algorithm is a quicksort, with a mean of 3 used for determining
// the first pivot point - see Data Structures and Algorithm
// Analysis in C by Mark Allen Weiss

// swap redirects pointers - u will point to v and v will point to u
// Swap - needs to be compiled inline for efficiency

```
void Swap(struct labelscores ** u, struct labelscores ** v)
{
  struct labelscores temp;
  temp = **u;
  **u = **v;
  **v = temp;
}
```

// insertion sort - used as part of quicksort

 $/\!/$  scoreisort - struct of labels cores containing scores to be sorted by the insertion sort method  $/\!/$  n is the upper edge of of the chunk data the insertion sort is occurring on

// numdecriptsis - number of description insertion sort,

// is equal to the number of descriptive fields in the data files

// used for for malloc of temporary insertion sort struct of labelscores

// totallabelelngthis - total label length insertion sort,

// is equal to the maximum number of characters in concatenated labels

// used for for malloc of temporary insertion sort struct of labelscores

void InsertionSort(struct labelscores \*\* scoreisort, int n, int numdescriptsis, int totlablengthis)
{

int j, p; // j and p are indexes used in the insertion sort

int descriptindexis; // an index for descriptive field

char \* nullstring = "0"; // nullstring needed at the end of strings

struct labelscores tempisort; // temporary labelscore struct used in insertion sort

```
tempisort.label = (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * (totlablengthis)); // allocate memory
tempisort.reldif = (double *) malloc((numdescriptsis + 1) *sizeof(double));
(char *)tempisort.label = strcpy(tempisort.label, nullstring);
for(descriptindexis=0; descriptindexis < numdescriptsis+1; descriptindexis++)
{
tempisort.reldif[descriptindexis] = 0.0;
}
```

```
// insertion - copy one struct out, move the rest, then put the struct back were it goes
for(p=1; p<n; p++)</pre>
```

```
{
tempisort.label = strcpy(tempisort.label, scoreisort[p]->label);
for(descriptindexis=0; descriptindexis <= numdescriptsis; descriptindexis++)
  tempisort.reldif[descriptindexis] = scoreisort[p]->reldif[descriptindexis];
for(j=p; ((j > 0) && (scoreisort[j-1]->reldif[numdescriptsis] > tempisort.reldif[numdescriptsis])); j--)
  ł
  scoreisort[j]->label = strcpy(scoreisort[j]->label, scoreisort[j-1]->label);
  for(descriptindexis=0; descriptindexis <= numdescriptsis; descriptindexis++)
   scoreisort[j]->reldif[descriptindexis] = scoreisort[j-1]->reldif[descriptindexis];
   }
  }
  scoreisort[j]->label = strcpy(scoreisort[j]->label, tempisort.label);
  for(descriptindexis=0; descriptindexis <= numdescriptsis; descriptindexis++)
   scoreisort[j]->reldif[descriptindexis] = tempisort.reldif[descriptindexis];
   }
 }
free(tempisort.label); // free memory previously malloced
 free(tempisort.reldif);
}
```

```
// median of three - selecting the pivot for the quicksort
//
```

// scoremedian - struct of labelscores, postion of left(first) score, postion of right(last) score
// numdescriptsm - number of descripts median of 3, the last descript field - the total similarity score
// the median value of the three sampled values is determined and return to the quicksort routine
// to be used as the pivot point

float Median3(struct labelscores \*\*scoremedian, int left, int right, int numdescriptsm, int totlablengthm) {

int center; center = (left + right) / 2; // position of the center score - median position

if (scoremedian[left]->reldif[numdescriptsm] > scoremedian[center]->reldif[numdescriptsm])

Swap(&scoremedian[left], &scoremedian[center]);

}

ł

ł

if (scoremedian[left]->reldif[numdescriptsm] > scoremedian[right]->reldif[numdescriptsm])

Swap(&scoremedian[left], &scoremedian[right]);

if (scoremedian[center]->reldif[numdescriptsm] > scoremedian[right]->reldif[numdescriptsm])

Swap(&scoremedian[center], &scoremedian[right]);

Swap(&scoremedian[center], &scoremedian[right-1]);

return scoremedian[right-1]->reldif[numdescriptsm];

}

// quicksort

// scoregsort - the struct of labelscores to be sorted by quicksort

// leftqs, rightqs - the lower and upper boundaries of the quicksort - quicksort is recursive

- // numdescriptsqs number of descriptive fields quicksort used for allocating necessary memory
- // totlablength total label length quick sort maximum number of characters in a label

// used for allocating necessary memory

void Qsort(struct labelscores \*\*scoreqsort, int leftqs, int rightqs, int numdescriptsqs, int totlablengthqs) {

int i, j; // indexes used in quicksort

struct labelscores pivot; // labelscores struct which hold the pivot

pivot.label = (char \*) malloc(sizeof(char) \* (totlablengthqs)); // allocating memory for pivot
pivot.reldif = (double \*) malloc((numdescriptsqs+1) \*sizeof(double));

// heart of quicksort, pick pivot swap appropriate values to either side of pivot
if ((leftqs + 3) <= rightqs)</pre>

```
{
pivot.reldif[numdescriptsqs] = Median3(scoreqsort, leftqs, rightqs, numdescriptsqs, totlablengthqs);
i = leftqs;
j = rightqs-1;
for(;;)
{
while(scoreqsort[++i]->reldif[numdescriptsqs] < pivot.reldif[numdescriptsqs] && i < rightqs-1){}
while(scoreqsort[--j]->reldif[numdescriptsqs] > pivot.reldif[numdescriptsqs] && j > 0){}
if(i < j)
Swap(&scoreqsort[i], &scoreqsort[j]);
else
break;
}</pre>
```

Swap(&scoreqsort[i], &scoreqsort[rightqs-1]);

```
Qsort(scoreqsort, leftqs, i-1, numdescriptsqs, totlablengthqs);
Qsort(scoreqsort, i+1, rightqs, numdescriptsqs, totlablengthqs);
}
else // if left and right a close together do an insertion sort
{
InsertionSort(scoreqsort+leftqs, rightqs-leftqs+1, numdescriptsqs, totlablengthqs);
}
free(pivot.label); // freeing allocated memory
free(pivot.reldif);
}
```

// start of main

```
int main (int argc, const char * argv[])
{
```

int bufferidx; // used when determining if end of array reached int compindex; // comparison index - which comparison set is being compared int compindex2; // index used for resetting score array int compstart; // comparison start - the starting point for each set of comparisons int confindex; // conformation index int descriptindex; // desciptor index - data file columns int descriptindex2; // index used for resetting score array int entityindex; // id of entity one in the comparison int entityindex2; // id of entity two in the comparison int entityidxbuff; // entity index buffer int fileinidx; // file in index, index for reading temp file int fileoutidx; // file out idx, index for writing temp out int fileindex=1; // index used to indicate which data file is open int i; // simple index used in loop calculating the divisor int lastarraysz; // the size of the last array, the remainder of calculations int lseekbuffer; // buffer for file position int lseekposition; // file position in data file being read int maxarraysz; // maximum array size = numlinescalc + numbestscore int maxfinsz; // max file in size, size of array to be read from temporary file int maxfoutsz; // max file out size, size of array to be filled before writing to file int maxscoreidx; // the last comparison in a comparison set int numdescripts; // total number of descriptions = number of columns in each data file int numcomps; // total number of comparison sets int numentities; // the total number of entities to be compared = number of data files int numcalcsets; // the number of times temporary files need to be created int reldifidx; // relative diference index int tmpfileflag; // used to write to the correct temporary file int calcsetidx; // index for the number of times temporary file created int totlabel; // total length, number of characters, of concatenated label int \* conformationidx[argc-1]; // index of conformations int \* filedescript[argc-1]; // array of file descriptors - data files from command line int \* labellength[argc-1]; // array of the label lengths for each data file int \* maxlabellength[argc-1]; // array of the maximum label lengths for each data file int \* numcolumns; // number of columns int \* numconfs[argc-1]; // array of the number of rows in each data file int \* tmpfile1fdp; // temp file 1 file descriptor int \* tmpfile2fdp; // temp file 2 file descriptor int \* tmpfile1pos; // temporarily holds file position in temp file 1 int \* tmpfile2pos; // temporarily holds file position in temp file 2 char \* nullstring = "\0"; // nullstring for adding the end of lines and initialization char \* readbuffer; // read buffer for reading data files char \* strnumber: // numerical data read from file stored as string char \* tmpfile1; // stores name of temporary file 1 - tempfile\_1 char \* tmpfile2; // stores name of temporary file 2 - tempfile\_2

char outfilename[64]; // character array for output file name - max 65 character name

double descriptscore = 0.0; // the relative difference score for one combinatorial double divisor = 0.0; // the divisor used in calculating the single measure relative difference double doublenumber = 0.0; // numerical string read from data file is converted to double number double singlemeasure RD = 0.0; // the Relative Difference score for a single set of description

double totalmeasure RD = 0.0; // the Relative Difference score for a comparison set double total time =0.0; // the total time in seconds used for timing sorts and run time double total time calc = 0.0; // the total time in seconds used for timing calculation time double total time erge = 0.0; // the total time in seconds used for timing merge sort time double total time read = 0.0; // the total time in seconds used for timing quick sort time double total time read = 0.0; // the total time in seconds used for timing initial file read time double total time read = 0.0; // the total time in seconds used for timing post initial file read time double total time read = 0.0; // the total time in seconds used for timing post initial file read time double total time read = 0.0; // the total time in seconds used for timing post initial file read time double total time read = 0.0; // the total time in seconds used for timing file write time double total time occore = 0.0; // the total time in seconds used for timing file write time

```
FILE *outfilefd; // the output file descriptor
FILE *tmpfile1fd; // temp file 1 file descriptor
FILE *tmpfile2fd; // temp file 2 file descriptor
```

time\_t starttimecalc; // start time for set of calculations time\_t endtimecalc; // end time for set of calculations time\_t starttimemerge; // start time for merge sort time\_t endtimemerge; // end time for out of core sort time\_t starttimeoocsort; // start time for out of core sort time\_t endtimeoocsort; // end time for a temporary file read time\_t starttimeread; // start time for a temporary file read time\_t starttimeqsort; // start time for quicksort time\_t starttimeqsort; // end time for quicksort time\_t starttimetotal; // start time for total run time time\_t starttimewrite; // start time for a temporary file write time\_t starttimewrite; // start time for a temporary file write

struct descriptions \*\*\* conformation; // struct which stores all the data from the data files

struct labelscores \*\*score; // struct which stores concatenated labels and scores struct labelscores \*\*filein; // struct which stores labels and scores from temp file read struct labelscores \*\*fileout; // struct which stores labels and scores to write to temp file struct labelscores scorebuffer; // a buffer to temporarily store scores in

tmpfile1 = malloc(10 \* sizeof(char)); // 10 characters - tempfile\_1
tmpfile2 = malloc(10 \* sizeof(char)); // 10 characters - tempfile\_2
tmpfile1 = "tempfile\_1";
tmpfile2 = "tempfile\_2";
tmpfileflag = 1;

tmpfile1fdp = (int \*) malloc(sizeof(int)); tmpfile2fdp = (int \*) malloc(sizeof(int));

tmpfile1fd = (FILE \*) malloc(sizeof(int)); tmpfile2fd = (FILE \*) malloc(sizeof(int));

numcolumns = (int \*) malloc(sizeof(int));

\*filedescript = (int \*) malloc(sizeof(int) \* (argc - 1));

tmpfile1pos = (int \*) malloc(sizeof(int)); tmpfile2pos = (int \*) malloc(sizeof(int));

numentities = argc - 1; confindex = 0; numcomps = 1; totlabel = 1;

// print information to the screen which will help the user answer the // first three questions they are asked by the program printf("\n\n\nThis program is going to calculate X number of scores, "); printf("\nsort those scores, and writes them to a temporary file, and then "); printf("\ncalculates the next X number of scores. This latest set of X"); printf("\nscores are sorted together with X scores read from the temporary "); printf("\nfile, and as soon as X scores have been sorted they are written to "); printf("\na new temporary file. This continues until all X calculated scores "); printf("\nthe second temporary file. This process continues calculating, "); printf("\nreading from, and writing to two temporary files until all scores "); printf("\nhave been calculated, sorted and written to an output file in "); printf("\nascending order.\n");

printf("\nThe answers given to the next three question will determine "); printf("\nhow much memory is allocated. Remember there are limits "); printf("\nto how much memory can be allocated to a single application "); printf("\nand virtual memory is slower than physical memory. "); printf("\nYou are about to be asked for the number of scores to be "); printf("\ncalculated between writes to a temporary file. This is also "); printf("\nthe number of scores to read from the temporary file at a time, "); printf("\nand the number of scores to write to the second temporary file "); printf("\nat a time.\n");

printf("\nThe product of 3X accounts for a majority of the memory used "); printf("\nby this program. Calculating X number of scores, reading X"); printf("\nnumber of scores, and writing X number of scores at a time"); printf("\n allows for the program to remain within a limited memory"); printf("\nfootprint. An example value that has proven useful to the"); printf("\nauthor is: X = 500000. ");

printf("\nThis values should be changed according to your data set,");
printf("\nneeds, and physical memory.\n");

// ask for and obtain the number of conformational sets to calculate
// between the "sort and store" steps
printf("\nHow many scores do you want to calculate before sorting, ");
printf("\nreading from, and writing to temporary files? ");
scanf("%i", &maxarraysz);

// allocating memory for input data array conformation = (struct descriptions \*\*\*) malloc (sizeof(struct \ descriptions **\*\***) **\*** numentities);

```
// determined from arguments on comand line
printf("\nThe number of data files = %i\n", numentities);
```

```
// asking for the number of columns per data file
printf("\nWhat is the total number of columns in each file? ");
scanf("%i", (int *)&numcolumns);
```

numdescripts = (int)numcolumns - 1; printf("\nEach file has %i columns, 1 label column and %i description columns.\n", \ (int)numcolumns, numdescripts);

// allocating memory and loading the data arrays

```
for (entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities; entityindex++)
 ł
 maxlabellength[entityindex] = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
 *maxlabellength[entityindex] = 0;
 if (((int)filedescript[fileindex] = (open(argv[fileindex], O_RDONLY))) < 0)
  perror(argv[fileindex]);
  exit(EXIT_FAILURE);
  }
 // asking for the number of rows in the current data file being read
 printf("\n\nfile %s is open\n", argv[fileindex]);
 printf("How many rows are in this file? ");
 scanf("%i", (int *)&numconfs[entityindex]);
 printf("There are %i rows representing %i conformations in this file.\n", \
 (int)numconfs[entityindex], (int)numconfs[entityindex]);
 // allocating memory for the array of pointers to conformations
 conformation[entityindex] = (struct descriptions **) malloc \
 (( sizeof(struct descriptions *) * (int)numconfs[entityindex]));
 lseekposition = 0;
```

```
// allocating memory for a conformation s structure and descriptors
for(confindex=0; confindex < (int)numconfs[entityindex]; confindex++)
{</pre>
```

conformation[entityindex][confindex] = (struct descriptions \*) malloc \
 (( sizeof(struct descriptions)));

conformation[entityindex][confindex]->descriptivedata = (double \*) \
malloc(sizeof(double) \* numdescripts);

```
// allocating memory for a conformation s structure label
labellength[entityindex] = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
fflush(NULL);
*readbuffer = '\0;
*labellength[entityindex] = 0;
lseekbuffer=lseekposition;
```

// counting number of characters in label
// fscan would be faster and was used for file I/O in the

```
// out-of-core sortng program
while(*readbuffer != ' ' && *readbuffer != ', )
 lseek((int)filedescript[fileindex], lseekposition, SEEK_SET);
 read((int)filedescript[fileindex], readbuffer, 1);
 *labellength[entityindex] = *labellength[entityindex] + 1;
 lseekposition++;
 }
if (*maxlabellength[entityindex] < *labellength[entityindex])
 *maxlabellength[entityindex] = *labellength[entityindex];
 // printf("\nmaximum label length = %i\n", *maxlabellength[entityindex]);
 }
// allocating memory for label - depends on label maximum length
conformation[entityindex][confindex]->labels = \
(char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * *labellength[entityindex]);
// reading, concatenating, and storing label
fflush(NULL);
*readbuffer = (0;
lseekposition = lseekbuffer;
descriptindex = 0;
while(*readbuffer != ' ' && *readbuffer != ', )
 {
 lseek((int)filedescript[fileindex], lseekposition, SEEK_SET);
 read((int)filedescript[fileindex], readbuffer, 1);
 if(*readbuffer != ', )
  (char *)conformation[entityindex][confindex]->labels = \
  strncat( conformation[entityindex][confindex]->labels, readbuffer, 1);
  ł
 lseekposition++;
 }
// resetting and reading numerical descriptor data from data files
// data read as string and converted to double - strtod
while (*readbuffer != '\n & & *readbuffer != '\0 )
 fflush(NULL);
 *readbuffer = \0;
 *strnumber = (0;
 while (*readbuffer != ', && *readbuffer != ' ' && *readbuffer != '\n )
  lseek((int)filedescript[fileindex], lseekposition, SEEK_SET);
  read((int)filedescript[fileindex], readbuffer, 1);
  if (*readbuffer != ', && *readbuffer != ' ' && *readbuffer != '\n )
    ł
    strnumber = strncat(strnumber, readbuffer, 1);
   lseekposition++;
    }
 doublenumber = strtod(strnumber, NULL);
```

```
conformation[entityindex][confindex]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]=\
   doublenumber;
   descriptindex++;
   lseekposition++;
   }
  }
 fileindex = fileindex + 1; // finished reading from data file move to next file
 }
// asking for and obtaining name of output file
fflush(NULL);
printf("\n\nEnter file name for results to be stored in: ");
scanf("%s", (char *)&outfilename);
printf("The results will be saved in %s\n", outfilename);
starttimetotal = time(NULL); // start time for total time
// calculating total number of comparisons and maximimum total label length
for (entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities; entityindex++)
 numcomps = numcomps * (int)numconfs[entityindex];
 totlabel = totlabel + *maxlabellength[entityindex];
 ł
numcalcsets = (numcomps/maxarraysz); // number of sets of calculations
                     // number of out of core sorts
// if number of comparisons is less than the size of a set of calculations
if (maxarraysz > numcomps)
 {
 maxarraysz = numcomps;
 maxfinsz = numcomps;
 maxfoutsz = numcomps;
 numcalcsets = 0;
 tmpfileflag = 3;
 }
// calculate the size of the last set of comparisons
lastarraysz = numcomps - (numcalcsets * maxarraysz);
// allocate memory for score array and initialize
score = (struct labelscores **) malloc (sizeof(struct labelscores *) \
* (int)maxarraysz);
for(compindex=0; compindex <= maxarraysz; compindex++)</pre>
 ł
 score[compindex] = (struct labelscores *) malloc(sizeof(struct))
 labelscores));
 score[compindex]->label = (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * (totlabel + numentities));
 score[compindex]->reldif = (double *) malloc((numdescripts+1) * sizeof(double));
 for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts+1; descriptindex++)
  {
  score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex] = 0.0;
  }
```

```
}
```

```
// allocate memory for file in array and initialize
filein = (struct labelscores **) malloc (sizeof(struct labelscores *) \
* (int)maxfinsz);
for(compindex=0; compindex <= maxfinsz; compindex++)</pre>
 filein[compindex] = (struct labelscores *) malloc(sizeof(struct\
 labelscores));
 filein[compindex]->label = (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * (totlabel + numentities));
 filein[compindex]->reldif = (double *) malloc((numdescripts+1) * sizeof(double));
 for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts+1; descriptindex++)
   ł
  filein[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex] = 0.0;
  }
 }
// allocate memory for file out array and initialize
fileout = (struct labelscores **) malloc (sizeof(struct labelscores *) \
* (int)maxfoutsz);
for(compindex=0; compindex <= maxfoutsz; compindex++)
 ł
 fileout[compindex] = (struct labelscores *) malloc(sizeof(struct)
 labelscores));
 fileout[compindex]->label = (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * (totlabel + numentities));
 fileout[compindex]->reldif = (double *) malloc((numdescripts+1) * sizeof(double));
 for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts+1; descriptindex++)
   {
  fileout[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex] = 0.0;
  }
 }
// allocating memory for scorebuffer
scorebuffer.label = (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * (totlabel + numentities));
scorebuffer.reldif = (double *) malloc((numdescripts+1) *sizeof(double));
// memory allocation for main complete
printf("\nmalloc complete - memory allocated\n");
// calculate and print the number of combinatorial comparisons per each set of
// desriptors in a comparison set
divisor = 3:
for(i=3; i<numentities; i++)
 ł
 divisor = divisor + i;
 }
```

printf("\n\nThere are %.0f possible comparisons for each descriptive field", divisor); printf("\nin each comparison set\n\n");

// initializing variables before relative difference calculation

```
entityindex = 1; // first entity
entityindex2 = 0; // second entity
descriptscore = 0.0;
descriptindex = 1; // description being compared
singlemeasure RD = 0.0;
totalmeasureRD = 0.0;
compstart = 0;
(int)conformationidx[entityindex] = 0; // conformation of entity one
(int)conformationidx[entityindex2] = 0; // conformation of entity two
for(entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities; entityindex++)
 (int)conformationidx[entityindex] = 0;
 }
// loop through number of calculation sets appropriate amount of times
for(calcsetidx=0; calcsetidx < numcalcsets; calcsetidx++)</pre>
 ł
 starttimecalc = time(NULL); // start time for calculation set
 // loop through the appropriate space in the score array for
 // storing newly calculated relative difference score
 for(compindex=0; compindex < maxarraysz; compindex++)</pre>
  // loop through descriptions being compared
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
    ł
   // loop through entity x
   for (entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities - 1; entityindex++)
     // loop through entity y
     for (entityindex2=entityindex+1; entityindex2 < numentities; entityindex2++)
      // calculate relative difference score for each combinatorial
      // descriptor comparison
      descriptscore = \
       (fabs((float)conformation[entityindex]\
       [(int)conformationidx[entityindex]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex] - \
       (float)conformation[entityindex2]
       [(int)conformationidx[entityindex2]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex])) / \
       (0.5 * \
       (fabs((float)conformation[entityindex])
       [(int)conformationidx[entityindex]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]) + \
       fabs((float)conformation[entityindex2]\
       [(int)conformationidx[entityindex2]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]))) + \
       descriptscore:
      } // end entity y
     } // end entity x
    // calculate and store single measure relative difference score
    singlemeasureRD = descriptscore / divisor;
    scorebuffer.reldif[descriptindex] = singlemeasureRD;
```

singlemeasure RD = 0.0;

```
// keep running total for total measure relative difference score
```

```
totalmeasureRD = (descriptscore / divisor) + totalmeasureRD;
descriptscore = 0.0;
} // end description loop
```

```
// calculate and store total measure relative difference score
// this is the similarity score
scorebuffer.reldif[numdescripts] = totalmeasureRD / numdescripts;
totalmeasureRD = 0.0;
```

```
// concatenate and store the label of the comparison set aka comparison group
(char *)scorebuffer.label = strcpy(scorebuffer.label, nullstring);
for (entityidxbuff = (entityindex - (numentities - 1)); \
    entityidxbuff <= entityindex; entityidxbuff++)
    {
      (char *)scorebuffer.label = \
      strcat(scorebuffer.label, \
      conformation[entityidxbuff][(int)conformationidx[entityidxbuff]]->labels);
    if (entityidxbuff < entityindex)
      {
      strcat(scorebuffer.label, "_");
      }
    }
}</pre>
```

```
// heart of keeping the indexes pointing to the right place in the
// conformation data - loop through conformations of last entity
// until the last conformation of the last entity is reached. Then
// increment the second to the last entity to the next conformation
// and decrement the last entity to its first conformation. Following
// this through all of the entities will cause every conformation to
// be compared with every conformation of the other entities.
// This is some what analogous to a mechanical odometer (or counter)
(int)conformationidx[argc-2] = (int)conformationidx[argc-2] + 1;
```

```
if((int)conformationidx[argc-2] >= (int)numconfs[argc-2])
{
for (confindex = (argc-2); confindex > 0; confindex--)
{
if((int)conformationidx[confindex] >= (int)numconfs[confindex])
{
(int)conformationidx[confindex] = 0;
(int)conformationidx[confindex-1] = (int)conformationidx[confindex-1] + 1;
}
}
```

```
// putting a label with the scores
```

score[compindex]->label = strcpy(score[compindex]->label, scorebuffer.label);
for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)</pre>

```
{
score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex] = scorebuffer.reldif[descriptindex];
```

}

} // end of numcomps computational group loop

endtimecalc = time(NULL); // end time for set of comparison claculations

totaltimecalc = endtimecalc - starttimecalc; // total time for calculations
printf("\n\n%i comparisons have been completed", maxarraysz \* (calcsetidx+1));

```
starttimeqsort = time(NULL); // start time for quicksort
```

// quicksort

```
Qsort(score, 0, maxarraysz-1, numdescripts, totlabel + numentities);
endtimeqsort = time(NULL); // end time for quicksort
totaltimeqsort = endtimeqsort - starttimeqsort; // total time for quicksort
printf("\n%i comparisons of been calculated in %.0f seconds and sorted in %.0f seconds", \
maxarraysz, totaltimecalc, totaltimeqsort);
```

starttimewrite = time(NULL); // start time for first temp file write

```
// the results of the first set of comparisons are written to tempfile_1
if (calcsetidx == 0)
 {
 if ((tmpfile1fd = fopen(tmpfile1, "wt")) == NULL)
  printf("\ncan not open %s\n", tmpfile2);
  exit(2);
  }
 fflush(NULL);
 for(compindex=0; compindex < maxarraysz; compindex++)</pre>
  {
  fprintf(tmpfile1fd, "%s\n", score[compindex]->label);
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)</pre>
    fprintf(tmpfile1fd, "%.12f\n", score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex]);
    }
  }
 tmpfileflag = 2;
 fclose(tmpfile1fd);
```

```
endtimewrite = time(NULL); // end time for first tempfile_1 write
totaltimewrite = endtimewrite - starttimewrite; // total time for write
printf("\n%i lines written to tempfile_1 in %.0f seconds", maxarraysz, totaltimewrite);
```

## }

ł

 $\prime\prime$  after the first set of comparisons temp files are read from and written to else

```
starttimeoocsort = time(NULL); // start time for out of core sort
starttimeread = time(NULL); // start time for out of core sort
fflush(NULL);
```

```
// if temp file flag == 1 write to tempfile_1 and read from tempfile_2
    if (tmpfileflag == 1)
      {
      // open tempfile_1 for writing
      if ((tmpfile1fd = fopen(tmpfile1, "wt")) == NULL)
```

```
{
printf("\ncan not open %s\n", tmpfile1);
exit(2);
 }
//open tempfile_2 for reading
if (((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp = (fopen(tmpfile2, "r"))) < 0)
 Ł
 perror(tmpfile2);
 exit(EXIT_FAILURE);
// initialize variables and rewind tempfile_2
bufferidx = 0;
rewind ((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp);
bufferidx = 0;
// read maxfinsz lines from tempfile_2 into filein array
for(fileinidx = 0; fileinidx < maxfinsz; fileinidx++)</pre>
 ł
 reldifidx = 0;
 fflush(NULL);
 *readbuffer = (0 :
 *filein[fileinidx]->label = '\0;
 fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, "%s", filein[fileinidx]->label);
 for(reldifidx=0; reldifidx <= numdescripts; reldifidx++)</pre>
  {
  fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, "%s", strnumber);
  doublenumber = strtod(strnumber, NULL);
  filein[fileinidx]->reldif[reldifidx] = doublenumber;
  }
 }
if (fgetpos ((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, (fpos_t *)tmpfile2pos) != 0)
 perror("fgetpos error");
 }
endtimeread = time(NULL); // end file read time
totaltimereada = endtimeread - starttimeread; // total time for initial file read
printf("\n%i lines read from tempfile_2 in %.0f seconds", maxfinsz, totaltimereada);
fileinidx = 0;
// read, merge, and write until all scores calculated to this point
for(compindex=0; compindex <= calcsetidx; compindex++)</pre>
 ł
 starttimemerge = time(NULL);
 for(fileoutidx=0; fileoutidx < maxfoutsz; fileoutidx++)
  // if the calculated scores have all been put into the
  // fileout array, then write the data left in the
  // filein array into the fileout array
  if (bufferidx >= maxarraysz)
    ł
   fileout[fileoutidx]->label = filein[fileinidx]->label;
   for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
```

```
{
  fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = filein[fileinidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
  }
 if (fileinidx < maxfinsz-1)
  {
  fileinidx++;
  }
 }
// if the data in the filein array has been written to the file out array
// then read more data from the temp file into the filein array
else if (fileinidx >= maxfinsz)
 ł
 // if there is still new data to be read from the temporary file
 // read it into the filein array. if the compindex is less than
 // the calcsetidx then this is true
 if (compindex < calcsetidx)
  {
  starttimeread = time(NULL); // start time for reading from tempfile
  if (fsetpos ((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, (fpos_t *)tmpfile2pos) != 0)
    ł
   perror ("fsetpos error");
  for(fileinidx = 0; fileinidx < maxfinsz; fileinidx++)</pre>
    {
    reldifidx = 0;
    fflush(NULL):
    *readbuffer = (0;
    *filein[fileinidx]->label = '0;
    fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, "%s", filein[fileinidx]->label);
    for(reldifidx=0; reldifidx <= numdescripts; reldifidx++)
     fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, "%s", strnumber);
     doublenumber = strtod(strnumber, NULL);
     filein[fileinidx]->reldif[reldifidx] = doublenumber;
     }
    }
  if (fgetpos ((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, (fpos_t *)tmpfile2pos) != 0)
    perror("fgetpos error");
   fileinidx = 0;
   fileoutidx--;
  endtimeread = time(NULL); // end time for reading
   totaltimereadb = endtimeread - starttimeread; // total read time
   printf("\n%i lines read from tempfile_2 in %.0f seconds", maxfinsz, totaltimereadb);
   }
 // if all of the data has been read from the temporary file then
 // put what is left of the calculated data into the fileout array
 else
   ł
  fileout[fileoutidx]->label = score[bufferidx]->label;
```

```
for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)</pre>
```

```
ł
    fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = score[bufferidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
    }
    bufferidx = bufferidx + 1;
   }
  }
// if score is less than filein then write it to fileout
else if (score[bufferidx]->reldif[numdescripts] < filein[fileinidx]->reldif[numdescripts])
  Ł
  // printf("\n less than");
  fileout[fileoutidx]->label = score[bufferidx]->label;
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
   fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = score[bufferidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
    ł
   bufferidx = bufferidx + 1;
  }
 // if score is greater the filein then write filein to fileout
 else if (filein[fileinidx]->reldif[numdescripts] <= score[bufferidx]->reldif[numdescripts])
  ł
  // printf("\n greater than or equal");
  fileout[fileoutidx]->label = filein[fileinidx]->label;
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
    ł
    fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = filein[fileinidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
    }
   fileinidx++;
  }
 }
endtimemerge = time(NULL); // end merge time
totaltimemerge = endtimemerge - starttimemerge; // total merge time
if (totaltimereadb > 0)
 ł
 // if read occurred in middle of merge then subtract read time from
 // merge time to produce time for just the merging activity
 totaltimemerge = totaltimemerge - totaltimereadb;
 totaltimereadb = 0;
printf("\nmerge sorting %i scores complete in %.0f seconds", maxfoutsz, totaltimemerge);
starttimewrite = time(NULL); // start time for temp file write
for(fileoutidx=0; fileoutidx < maxfoutsz; fileoutidx++) // write to temp file
 fprintf(tmpfile1fd, "%s\n", fileout[fileoutidx]->label);
 for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
  fprintf(tmpfile1fd, "%.12f\n", fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex]);
  }
 }
endtimewrite = time(NULL); // end time for temp file write
totaltimewrite = endtimewrite - starttimewrite; // total writing time
printf("\n%i lines written to tempfile_1 in %.0f seconds", maxfoutsz, totaltimewrite);
```

```
tmpfileflag = 2;
```

```
fclose(tmpfile1fd); // close tempfile_1
    fclose(tmpfile2fd); // close tempfile_2
    endtimeoocsort = time(NULL); // end out of core sort time
     totaltimeoocsort = endtimeoocsort - starttimeoocsort; // total ooc sort tome
    printf("\n%i similarity scores sorted out of core in %.0f seconds", \
     maxarraysz * (calcsetidx+1), totaltimeoocsort);
     }
// if temp file flag == 2 write to tempfile_2 and read from tempfile_1
   else if (tmpfileflag == 2)
     ł
     // open tempfile_2 for writing
     if ((tmpfile2fd = fopen(tmpfile2, "wt")) == NULL)
      printf("\ncan not open %s\n", tmpfile2);
      exit(2);
      }
     // open tempfile_1 for reading
     if (((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp = (fopen(tmpfile1, "r"))) < 0)
      perror(tmpfile1);
      exit(EXIT_FAILURE);
      }
     // initialize variables and rewind tempfile_2
     rewind ((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp);
     bufferidx = 0;
     // read maxfinsz lines from tempfile_1 into filein array
     for(fileinidx = 0; fileinidx < maxfinsz; fileinidx++)</pre>
      ł
      reldifidx = 0;
      fflush(NULL);
      *readbuffer = 10;
      *filein[fileinidx]->label = (0;
      fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, "%s", filein[fileinidx]->label);
      for(reldifidx=0; reldifidx <= numdescripts; reldifidx++)
       Ł
       fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, "%s", strnumber);
       doublenumber = strtod(strnumber, NULL);
       filein[fileinidx]->reldif[reldifidx] = doublenumber;
       }
      }
     if (fgetpos ((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, (fpos_t *)tmpfile1pos) != 0)
      perror("fgetpos error");
     endtimeread = time(NULL); // end file read time
```

```
totaltimereada = endtimeread - starttimeread; // total time for initial file read
printf("\n%i lines read from tempfile_1 in %.0f seconds", maxfinsz, totaltimereada);
```

```
fileinidx = 0:
// read, merge, and write until all scores calculated to this point
for(compindex=0; compindex <= calcsetidx; compindex++)</pre>
 ł
 starttimemerge = time(NULL);
 for(fileoutidx=0; fileoutidx < maxfoutsz; fileoutidx++)</pre>
  ł
  // if the calculated scores have all been put into the
  // fileout array, then write the data left in the
  // filein array into the fileout array
  if (bufferidx >= maxarraysz)
   fileout[fileoutidx]->label = filein[fileinidx]->label;
   for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
     fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = filein[fileinidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
     ł
   if (fileinidx < maxfinsz-1)
     ł
     fileinidx++;
     }
  // if the data in the filein array has been written to the file out array
  // then read more data from the temp file into the filein array
  else if (fileinidx >= maxfinsz)
    {
   // if there is still new data to be read from the temporary file
   // read it into the filein array. if the compindex is less than
    // the calcsetidx then this is true
    if (compindex < calcsetidx)
     starttimeread = time(NULL); // start time for reading from tempfile
     if (fsetpos ((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, (fpos_t *)tmpfile1pos) != 0)
      perror ("fsetpos error");
     for(fileinidx = 0; fileinidx < maxfinsz; fileinidx++)
      ł
      reldifidx = 0;
      fflush(NULL);
      *readbuffer = (0:
      *filein[fileinidx]->label = (0;
      fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, "%s", filein[fileinidx]->label);
      for(reldifidx=0; reldifidx <= numdescripts; reldifidx++)
        ł
       fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, "%s", strnumber);
       doublenumber = strtod(strnumber, NULL);
       filein[fileinidx]->reldif[reldifidx] = doublenumber;
       }
     if (fgetpos ((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, (fpos_t *)tmpfile1pos) != 0)
      perror("fgetpos error");
```

```
}
   fileinidx = 0;
   fileoutidx --;
   endtimeread = time(NULL); // end time for reading
   totaltimereadb = endtimeread - starttimeread; // total read time
   printf("\n%i lines read from tempfile_1 in %.0f seconds", maxfinsz, totaltimereadb);
  // if all of the data has been read from the temporary file then
  // put what is left of the calculated data into the fileout array
  else
   fileout[fileoutidx]->label = score[bufferidx]->label;
   for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
    fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = score[bufferidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
    }
   bufferidx = bufferidx + 1;
   }
  }
 // if score is less than filein then write it to fileout
 else if (score[bufferidx]->reldif[numdescripts] < filein[fileinidx]->reldif[numdescripts])
  ł
  // printf("\n less than");
  fileout[fileoutidx]->label = score[bufferidx]->label;
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
    ł
    fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = score[bufferidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
    }
   bufferidx = bufferidx + 1;
  }
 // if score is greater the filein then write filein to fileout
 else if (filein[fileinidx]->reldif[numdescripts] <= score[bufferidx]->reldif[numdescripts])
  ł
  // printf("\n greater than or equal");
  fileout[fileoutidx]->label = filein[fileinidx]->label;
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
    ł
   fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = filein[fileinidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
    }
   fileinidx++;
  }
 }
endtimemerge = time(NULL); // end merge time
totaltimemerge = endtimemerge - starttimemerge; // total merge time
if (totaltimereadb > 0)
 ł
 // if read occurred in middle of merge then subtract read time from
 // merge time to produce time for just the merging activity
 totaltimemerge = totaltimemerge - totaltimereadb;
 totaltimereadb = 0;
printf("\nmerge sorting %i scores complete in %.0f seconds", maxfoutsz, totaltimemerge);
```

```
starttimewrite = time(NULL); // start time for temp file write
```

```
152
```

```
for(fileoutidx=0; fileoutidx < maxfoutsz; fileoutidx++) // write to temp file
    fprintf(tmpfile2fd, "%s\n", fileout[fileoutidx]->label);
    for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
     fprintf(tmpfile2fd, "%.12f\n", fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex]);
      }
    }
   endtimewrite = time(NULL); // end time for temp file write
   totaltime = endtimewrite - starttimewrite; // total writing time
   printf("\n%i lines written to tempfile_2 in %.0f seconds", maxfoutsz, totaltime);
   }
  tmpfileflag = 1;
  fclose(tmpfile2fd); // close tempfile_2
  fclose(tmpfile1fd); // close tempfile_1
  endtimeoocsort = time(NULL); // end out of core sort time
  totaltimeoocsort = endtimeoocsort - starttimeoocsort; // total ooc sort tome
  printf("\n%i similarity scores sorted out of core in %.0f seconds", \
  maxarraysz * (calcsetidx+1), totaltimeoocsort);
  }
 }
// reset the combinatorial calculation array
compstart = compstart + maxarraysz;
for(compindex2=0; compindex2 < maxarraysz; compindex2++)
 ł
 for(descriptindex2=0; descriptindex2 < numdescripts + 1; descriptindex2++)
  ł
  score[compindex2]->reldif[descriptindex2] = 0.0;
  }
 }
// printf("\narray reset");
}
```

endtimetotal = time(NULL); // end time before last array totaltime = endtimetotal - starttimetotal; // total time before last array printf("\n\ntotal time before last array %.0f seconds", totaltime);

// start of last array
printf("\nstarting last set of %i calculations", lastarraysz);

starttimecalc = time(NULL); // start time for last array calculation time

// loop through the appropriate space in the score array for
// storing newly calculated relative difference score
for(compindex=0; compindex < numcomps-compstart; compindex++)
{
// loop through descriptions being compared
for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
{</pre>

```
// loop through entity x
for (entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities - 1; entityindex++)
 // loop through entity y
 for (entityindex2=entityindex+1; entityindex2 < numentities; entityindex2++)
  {
  // calculate relative difference score for each combinatorial
  // descriptor comparison
  descriptscore = \
   (fabs((float)conformation[entityindex])
   [(int)conformationidx[entityindex]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex] - \
   (float)conformation[entityindex2]\
   [(int)conformationidx[entityindex2]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex])) / \
   (0.5 * \
   (fabs((float)conformation[entityindex]\
   [(int)conformationidx[entityindex]] -> descriptivedata[descriptindex]) + \
   fabs((float)conformation[entityindex2]\
   [(int)conformationidx[entityindex2]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]))) + \
   descriptscore;
  } // end entity y
 } // end entity x
```

```
// calculate and store single measure relative difference score
singlemeasureRD = descriptscore / divisor;
scorebuffer.reldif[descriptindex] = singlemeasureRD;
singlemeasureRD = 0.0;
```

```
// keep running total for total measure relative difference score
totalmeasureRD = (descriptscore / divisor) + totalmeasureRD;
descriptscore = 0.0;
} // end of description loop
```

```
// calculate and store total measure relative difference score
// this is the similarity score
scorebuffer.reldif[numdescripts] = totalmeasureRD / numdescripts;
totalmeasureRD = 0.0;
```

```
// concatenate and store the label of the comparison set aka comparison group
(char *)scorebuffer.label = strcpy(scorebuffer.label, nullstring);
for (entityidxbuff = (entityindex - (numentities - 1)); \
entityidxbuff <= entityindex; entityidxbuff++)
{
    (char *)scorebuffer.label = \
    strcat(scorebuffer.label, \
    conformation[entityidxbuff][(int)conformationidx[entityidxbuff]]->labels);
    if (entityidxbuff < entityindex)
    {
      strcat(scorebuffer.label, "_");
    }
}</pre>
```

// heart of keeping the indexes pointing to the right place in the
// conformation data - loop through conformations of last entity

```
// until the last conformation of the last entity is reached. Then
// increment the second to the last entity to the next conformation
// and decrement the last entity to its first conformation. Following
// this through all of the entities will cause every conformation to
// be compared with every conformation of the other entities.
// This is some what analogous to a mechanical odometer (or counter)
(int)conformationidx[argc-2] = (int)conformationidx[argc-2] + 1;
if((int)conformationidx[argc-2] >= (int)numconfs[argc-2])
 for (confindex = (argc-2); confindex > 0; confindex--)
  if((int)conformationidx[confindex] >= (int)numconfs[confindex])
   ł
   (int)conformationidx[confindex] = 0;
   (int)conformationidx[confindex-1] = (int)conformationidx[confindex-1] + 1;
   -}
  }
 }
// putting a label with the scores
```

score[compindex]->label = strcpy(score[compindex]->label, scorebuffer.label);
for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)</pre>

{
score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex] = scorebuffer.reldif[descriptindex];
}

} // end of compindex computational group loop

```
endtimecalc = time(NULL); // end time for final set of calculations
totaltimecalc = endtimecalc - starttimecalc; // total time final set of calcs
```

// all combinatorial combination of conformations have been compared at this point
printf("\n\n%i comparisons have been completed", numcomps);

starttimeqsort = time(NULL); // start time for quicksort

#### // quicksort

```
Qsort(score, 0, numcomps-compstart-1, numdescripts, totlabel + numentities);
endtimeqsort = time(NULL); // end time for quicksort
totaltimeqsort = endtimeqsort - starttimeqsort; // total time for quicksort
printf("\n%i comparisons of been calculated in %.0f seconds and sorted in %.0f seconds", \
lastarraysz, totaltimecalc, totaltimeqsort);
```

maxscoreidx = numcomps - compstart; // redefining maxscoreidx to be representative of // the smaller last array size

```
starttimeoocsort = time(NULL); // start time for out of core sort
starttimeread = time(NULL); // start time for out of core sort
fflush(NULL);
```

```
// if temp file flag == 1 write to outfile and read from tempfile_2
    if (tmpfileflag == 1)
    {
```

```
// open outfile for writing
if ((outfilefd = fopen(outfilename, "wt")) == NULL)
 printf("\ncan not open %s\n", outfilename);
 exit(2);
 }
//open tempfile_2 for reading
if (((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp = (fopen(tmpfile2, "r"))) < 0)
 perror(tmpfile2);
 exit(EXIT_FAILURE);
 }
// initialize variables and rewind tempfile_2
bufferidx = 0;
reldifidx = 0:
*readbuffer = (0;
rewind ((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp);
bufferidx = 0;
// read maxfinsz lines from tempfile_2 into filein array
for(fileinidx = 0; fileinidx < maxfinsz; fileinidx++)</pre>
 ł
 reldifidx = 0;
 fflush(NULL);
 *readbuffer = '0;
 *filein[fileinidx]->label = '\0;
 fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, "%s", filein[fileinidx]->label);
 for(reldifidx=0; reldifidx <= numdescripts; reldifidx++)</pre>
  fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, "%s", strnumber);
  doublenumber = strtod(strnumber, NULL);
  filein[fileinidx]->reldif[reldifidx] = doublenumber;
  }
 }
if (fgetpos ((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, (fpos_t *)tmpfile2pos) != 0)
 ł
 perror("fgetpos error");
 }
endtimeread = time(NULL); // end file read time
totaltimereada = endtimeread - starttimeread; // total time for initial file read
printf("\n%i lines read from tempfile_2 in %.0f seconds", maxfinsz, totaltimereada);
fileinidx = 0;
// read, merge, and write until all scores calculated to this point
for(compindex=0; compindex <= calcsetidx; compindex++)</pre>
 {
 starttimemerge = time(NULL);
 for(fileoutidx=0; fileoutidx < maxfoutsz; fileoutidx++)</pre>
  // if the calculated scores have all been put into the
```

```
// fileout array, then write the data left in the
// filein array into the fileout array
if (bufferidx \geq maxscoreidx)
 ł
fileout[fileoutidx]->label = filein[fileinidx]->label;
 for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
  fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = filein[fileinidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
 if (fileinidx < maxfinsz-1)
  fileinidx++;
  }
 }
// if the data in the filein array has been written to the file out array
// then read more data from the temp file into the filein array
else if (fileinidx >= maxfinsz)
 {
 // if there is still new data to be read from the temporary file
 // read it into the filein array. if the compindex is less than
 // the calcsetidx then this is true
 if (compindex < calcsetidx)
  ł
  starttimeread = time(NULL); // start time for reading from tempfile
  if (fsetpos ((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, (fpos_t *)tmpfile2pos) != 0)
    ł
    perror ("fsetpos error");
  for(fileinidx = 0; fileinidx < maxfinsz; fileinidx++)</pre>
    ł
    reldifidx = 0;
    fflush(NULL);
    *readbuffer = (0;
    *filein[fileinidx]->label = (0;
    fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, "%s", filein[fileinidx]->label);
    for(reldifidx=0; reldifidx <= numdescripts; reldifidx++)
     {
     fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, "%s", strnumber);
     doublenumber = strtod(strnumber, NULL);
     filein[fileinidx]->reldif[reldifidx] = doublenumber;
     }
    }
  if (fgetpos ((FILE *)tmpfile2fdp, (fpos_t *)tmpfile2pos) != 0)
    perror("fgetpos error");
   fileinidx = 0;
   fileoutidx--;
  endtimeread = time(NULL); // end time for reading
   totaltimereadb = endtimeread - starttimeread; // total read time
   printf("\n%i lines read from tempfile_2 in %.0f seconds", maxfinsz, totaltimereadb);
```

157

```
}
  // if all of the data has been read from the temporary file then
  // put what is left of the calculated data into the fileout array
  else
   ł
   fileout[fileoutidx]->label = score[bufferidx]->label;
   for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
    fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = score[bufferidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
   bufferidx = bufferidx + 1:
   }
  }
// if score is less than filein then write it to fileout
else if (score[bufferidx]->reldif[numdescripts] < filein[fileinidx]->reldif[numdescripts])
  ł
  // printf("\n less than");
  fileout[fileoutidx]->label = score[bufferidx]->label;
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
   fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = score[bufferidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
   bufferidx = bufferidx + 1;
  }
// if score is greater the filein then write filein to fileout
else if (filein[fileinidx]->reldif[numdescripts] <= score[bufferidx]->reldif[numdescripts])
  {
  // printf("\n greater than or equal");
  fileout[fileoutidx]->label = filein[fileinidx]->label;
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
   fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = filein[fileinidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
   }
   fileinidx++;
  }
 }
endtimemerge = time(NULL); // end merge time
totaltimemerge = endtimemerge - starttimemerge; // total merge time
if (totaltimereadb > 0)
 ł
// if read occurred in middle of merge then subtract read time from
 // merge time to produce time for just the merging activity
 totaltimemerge = totaltimemerge - totaltimereadb;
 totaltimereadb = 0;
 }
if (compindex < calcsetidx) // maxfoutsz merge time not last merge cycle
 ł
 printf("\nmerge sorting %i scores complete in %.0f seconds", maxfoutsz, totaltimemerge);
if (compindex == calcsetidx) // lastarraysz merge time last merge cycle
 printf("\nmerge sorting %i scores complete in %.0f seconds", lastarraysz, totaltimemerge);
 }
```

```
starttimewrite = time(NULL); // start time for temp file write
     if (compindex < calcsetidx) // write all fileout if not last write cycle
      for(fileoutidx=0; fileoutidx < maxfoutsz; fileoutidx++) // write to temp file loop
       {
       fprintf(outfilefd, "%s,", fileout[fileoutidx]->label);
       for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
        fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f,", fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex]);
       fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f\n", fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[numdescripts]);
      endtimewrite = time(NULL); // end time for temp file write
      totaltime = endtimewrite - starttimewrite; // total writing time
      printf("\n%i lines written to output file in %.0f seconds", maxfoutsz, totaltime);
     else if (compindex == calcsetidx) // write part of fileout on last write cycle
      ł
      for(fileoutidx=0; fileoutidx < lastarraysz; fileoutidx++) // write to temp file loop
       fprintf(outfilefd, "%s,", fileout[fileoutidx]->label);
       for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
        fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f,", fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex]);
       fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f\n", fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[numdescripts]);
      endtimewrite = time(NULL); // end time for temp file write
      totaltime = endtimewrite - starttimewrite; // total writing time
      printf("\n%i lines written to output file in %.0f seconds", lastarraysz, totaltime);
      }
     }
    fclose(outfilefd); // close outfile
    fclose(tmpfile2fd); // close tempfile_2
    endtimeoocsort = time(NULL); // end out of core sort time
    totaltimeoocsort = endtimeoocsort - starttimeoocsort; // total ooc sort tome
    printf("\n%i similarity scores sorted out of core in %.0f seconds", \
    lastarraysz, totaltimeoocsort);
    }
// if temp file flag == 2 write to outfile and read from tempfile_1
  else if (tmpfileflag == 2)
    // open outfile for writing
    if ((outfilefd = fopen(outfilename, "wt")) == NULL)
     printf("\ncan not open %s\n", outfilename);
     exit(2);
     }
    // open tempfile_1 for reading
```

```
if (((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp = (fopen(tmpfile1, "r"))) < 0) {
```

```
perror(tmpfile1);
exit(EXIT_FAILURE);
 }
// initialize variables and rewind tempfile_1
bufferidx = 0;
reldifidx = 0;
*readbuffer = (0;
rewind ((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp);
bufferidx = 0:
// read maxfinsz lines from tempfile_1 into filein array
for(fileinidx = 0; fileinidx < maxfinsz; fileinidx++)</pre>
 {
 reldifidx = 0;
 fflush(NULL):
 *readbuffer = '0;
 *filein[fileinidx]->label = '\0;
 fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, "%s", filein[fileinidx]->label);
 for(reldifidx=0; reldifidx <= numdescripts; reldifidx++)</pre>
  {
  fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, "%s", strnumber);
  doublenumber = strtod(strnumber, NULL);
  filein[fileinidx]->reldif[reldifidx] = doublenumber;
  }
 }
if (fgetpos ((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, (fpos_t *)tmpfile1pos) != 0)
 perror("fgetpos error");
 }
endtimeread = time(NULL); // end file read time
totaltimereada = endtimeread - starttimeread; // total time for initial file read
printf("\n%i lines read from tempfile_1 in %.0f seconds", maxfinsz, totaltimereada);
fileinidx = 0;
// read, merge, and write until all scores calculated to this point
for(compindex=0; compindex <= calcsetidx; compindex++)
 starttimemerge = time(NULL);
 for(fileoutidx=0; fileoutidx < maxfoutsz; fileoutidx++)</pre>
  // if the calculated scores have all been put into the
  // fileout array, then write the data left in the
  // filein array into the fileout array
  if (bufferidx >= maxscoreidx)
    {
   fileout[fileoutidx]->label = filein[fileinidx]->label;
    for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
     fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = filein[fileinidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
    if (fileinidx < maxfinsz-1)
```

```
{
  fileinidx++;
  }
 }
// if the data in the filein array has been written to the file out array
// then read more data from the temp file into the filein array
else if (fileinidx >= maxfinsz)
 ł
 // if there is still new data to be read from the temporary file
 // read it into the filein array. if the compindex is less than
 // the calcsetidx then this is true
 if (compindex < calcsetidx)
  {
  starttimeread = time(NULL); // start time for reading from tempfile
  if (fsetpos ((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, (fpos_t *)tmpfile1pos) != 0)
    ł
    perror ("fsetpos error");
  for(fileinidx = 0; fileinidx < maxfinsz; fileinidx++)
    reldifidx = 0;
    fflush(NULL):
    *readbuffer = (0;
    *filein[fileinidx]->label = '0;
    fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, "%s", filein[fileinidx]->label);
    for(reldifidx=0; reldifidx <= numdescripts; reldifidx++)</pre>
     {
     fscanf((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, "%s", strnumber);
     doublenumber = strtod(strnumber, NULL);
     filein[fileinidx]->reldif[reldifidx] = doublenumber;
     }
  if (fgetpos ((FILE *)tmpfile1fdp, (fpos_t *)tmpfile1pos) != 0)
    perror("fgetpos error");
  fileinidx = 0:
  fileoutidx--:
  endtimeread = time(NULL); // end time for reading
  totaltimereadb = endtimeread - starttimeread; // total read time
  printf("\n%i lines read from tempfile_1 in %.0f seconds", maxfinsz, totaltimereadb);
 // if all of the data has been read from the temporary file then
 // put what is left of the calculated data into the fileout array
 else
   ł
  fileout[fileoutidx]->label = score[bufferidx]->label;
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
    ł
    fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = score[bufferidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
   bufferidx = bufferidx + 1;
```

```
}
  }
 // if score is less than filein then write it to fileout
 else if (score[bufferidx]->reldif[numdescripts] < filein[fileinidx]->reldif[numdescripts])
  // printf("\n less than");
  fileout[fileoutidx]->label = score[bufferidx]->label;
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
   fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = score[bufferidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
   ł
   bufferidx = bufferidx + 1;
  }
 // if score is greater the filein then write filein to fileout
 else if (filein[fileinidx]->reldif[numdescripts] <= score[bufferidx]->reldif[numdescripts])
  // printf("\n greater than or equal");
  fileout[fileoutidx]->label = filein[fileinidx]->label;
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
   fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex] = filein[fileinidx]->reldif[descriptindex];
   fileinidx++;
  }
 }
endtimemerge = time(NULL); // end merge time
totaltimemerge = endtimemerge - starttimemerge; // total merge time
if (totaltimereadb > 0)
 {
 // if read occurred in middle of merge then subtract read time from
 // merge time to produce time for just the merging activity
 totaltimemerge = totaltimemerge - totaltimereadb;
 totaltimereadb = 0;
 }
if (compindex < calcsetidx) // maxfoutsz merge time not last merge cycle
 ł
 printf("\nmerge sorting %i scores complete in %.0f seconds", maxfoutsz, totaltimemerge);
if (compindex == calcsetidx) // lastarraysz merge time last merge cycle
 printf("\nmerge sorting %i scores complete in %.0f seconds", lastarraysz, totaltimemerge);
 ł
starttimewrite = time(NULL); // start time for temp file write
if (compindex < calcsetidx) // write all fileout if not last write cycle
 {
 for(fileoutidx=0; fileoutidx < maxfoutsz; fileoutidx++) // write to temp file loop
  ł
  fprintf(outfilefd, "%s,", fileout[fileoutidx]->label);
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
   fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f,", fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex]);
  fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f\n", fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[numdescripts]);
```

```
}
      endtimewrite = time(NULL); // end time for temp file write
      totaltime = endtimewrite - starttimewrite; // total writing time
      printf("\n%i lines written to output file in %.0f seconds", maxfoutsz, totaltime);
     else if (compindex == calcsetidx) // write part of fileout on last write cycle
      ł
      for(fileoutidx=0; fileoutidx < lastarraysz; fileoutidx++) // write to temp file loop
       fprintf(outfilefd, "%s,", fileout[fileoutidx]->label);
       for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
        fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f,", fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[descriptindex]);
        }
       fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f\n", fileout[fileoutidx]->reldif[numdescripts]);
      endtimewrite = time(NULL); // end time for temp file write
      totaltime = endtimewrite - starttimewrite; // total writing time
      printf("\n%i lines written to output file in %.0f seconds", lastarraysz, totaltime);
      }
     }
    fclose(outfilefd); // close outfile
    fclose(tmpfile1fd); // close tempfile_2
    endtimeoocsort = time(NULL); // end out of core sort time
    totaltimeoocsort = endtimeoocsort - starttimeoocsort; // total ooc sort tome
    printf("\n%i similarity scores sorted out of core in %.0f seconds", \
    lastarraysz, totaltimeoocsort);
    }
// if tmpfileflag == 3 then the number of calculations are less than the size
// of the score array - all of the out of core stuff is skipped and this
// section writes out the scores to the outfiles - outfile is opened for writing
  else if (tmpfileflag == 3)
    printf("\ntemp files not used\n");
    // open outfile for writing
    if ((outfilefd = fopen(outfilename, "wt")) == NULL)
     printf("\ncan not open %s\n", tmpfile2);
     exit(2);
     ł
    // loop writes all calculations to outfile
    for(compindex=0; compindex < maxfoutsz; compindex++)
     fprintf(outfilefd, "%s,", score[compindex]->label);
     for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
      ł
      fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f,", score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex]);
     fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f\n", score[compindex]->reldif[numdescripts]);
```

fclose(outfilefd); // close outfile

}

```
endtimetotal = time(NULL); // end total time
```

// total run time minus user input part of the program and time to free memory
totaltime = endtimetotal - starttimetotal; // total run time

printf("\n\ntotal time %.0f seconds\n\n", totaltime);

```
// freeing memory
```

```
printf("\nstarting to free memory\n");
for(entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities; entityindex++)
{
for(confindex=0; confindex < (int)numconfs[entityindex]; confindex++)
{
free(conformation[entityindex][confindex]->labels);
free(conformation[entityindex][confindex]->descriptivedata);
free(conformation[entityindex][confindex]);
}
free(conformation[entityindex]);
}
free(conformation[entityindex]);
}
free(conformation[entityindex]);
```

for(compindex=0; compindex < maxarraysz; compindex++)</pre>

```
{
free(score[compindex]->label);
free(score[compindex]->reldif);
free(score[compindex]);
}
```

free(score);

```
free(scorebuffer.reldif);
free(scorebuffer.label);
```

```
printf("\nmemory free\n\n");
```

return(0);
}

Appendix 4.6 The compsort program, written in C. Calculates and sorts all similarity scores. Saves a user determined number of best, most similar, scores.

/\*

program: compsort version: 1.01 author: Paul A. Wilson email: pwilson@cobremail.itrc.umt.edu date of latest version: August 11, 2004 date of origination: 2003

command: program name data file 1 data file 2 data file 3 example: ./compsort ./MCN-5652.txt ./sertraline.txt ./indatraline.txt ./s-citalopram.txt

minimum of three data files required, no limit to maximum number of data files to be compared - within reason

required data file format: comma delimited text, first field of each row is equivalent to a label, the remaining fields in each row are numbers, each field is separated by a comma, no quotes around the labels, no spaces between fields (commas only)

output file name is limited to a maximum of 64 characters

In Short: This program compares the rows in data files developing a score for each set of rows (set - one row from each data file). Then sorts the scores from low to high. Low scores represent the sets of rows which are most similar. A text file is written containing X number of the lowest scoring sets of rows. The number of rows X is determine by the user. Each row in the output file contains the label from each label field (first field in each data file) concatenated together followed in comma delimited form by the score for each field and finally the total score.

This program was written in response to to a project where distance space descriptions needed to be compared in order to determine the conformations of four molecules which were the most similar. (see SFN 2003 Annual Meeting, Poster Presentation 371.4 and SFN 2004 Annual Meeting, Poster Presentation 922.1, also see Machack 18, Wilson, P.A. "A Practical Comparison of Multiprocessing Libraries", MacHack 2003, June 2003, the code is different but a lot of the ideas remain) I am willing to share manuscript versions of these posters in pdf format.

Comparisons are carried out combinatorially using relative difference. The relative difference equation was modified slightly to enable creating and weighting the comparison of a positive and negative measurement as less similar. Each row in each data file is compared against each row of every other data file. Within each row, each field is compared against the corresponding field from the rows being compared in the other data files. If there are 4 data files than there are 6 comparisons between each field of the four rows being compared. The relative difference score from each field is added together and divided by the number of fields to produce a similarity score for the for the rows being compared.
similarity score = (summation from measure 1 to total number of measures (
summation of from data file 1 to total number of data files ( (IVab - Vacl /
((IVabl + IVacl)/2)) / number of combinatorial )))
Vab = measure a of datafile b
Vac = measure a of datafile c

The program programs structure has a legacy stemming from a personal interest in out-of-core sorting, dynamic memory allocation, and parallel computing. The program was originally written to produce an output file containing all scores in sorted order from low to high (copies of this program can be made available, the algorithm was interesting, fast (except the file I/O step)). Due to the out-of-core mechanism I used, though fast, was not fast enough to be useful for large data sets. It would be interesting at some point to look into this again, using a parallel file system (or at least a high speed file system). The version here is a compromise which calculates a set number of scores, sorts the scores and retains a set number of the lowest scores, calculate the next set number of scores, sorts the new score with the saved scores and again only retains a set number of the lowest scores.

Pointers are used extensively as port of some of my original notions on dynamically allocating memory and sorting.

The program was written in C to provide maximum portability. I have not tried compiling this code on a Linux, or any other, machine. I have noticed this code only runs under Mac OS 10.3 and failed when running under Mac OS 10.2.

\*/

//#include <dirent.h>
#include <fcntl.h>
#include <fcntl.h>
#include <math.h>
#include <stddef.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdib.h>
#include <stdib.h>
#include <stdib.h>
#include <stys/errno.h>
#include <sys/file.h>
#include <sys/time.h>
#include <sys/time.h>
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/uio.h>

// structure for holding data read from files, label and numerical descriptors
struct descriptions
{
 char \* labels;
}

double \* descriptivedata;
};

// structure for holding concatenated label and score for each descriptor

```
// and a total score value
struct labelscores
{
    char * label;
    double * reldif;
};
```

// from here to main are a set of function used for sorting
// the sorting algorithm is a quicksort, with a mean of 3 used for determining
// the first pivot point - see Data Structures and Algorithm
// Analysis in C by Mark Allen Weiss

// swap redirects pointers - u will point to v and v will point to u
// Swap - needs to be compiled inline for efficiency

```
void Swap(struct labelscores ** u, struct labelscores ** v)
{
  struct labelscores temp;
  temp = **u;
  **u = **v;
  **v = temp;
}
```

```
// insertion sort - used as part of quicksort
```

// scoreisort - struct of labelscores containing scores to be sorted by the insertion sort method
// n is the upper edge of of the chunk data the insertion sort is occurring on

// numdecriptsis - number of description insertion sort,

// is equal to the number of descriptive fields in the data files

// used for for malloc of temporary insertion sort struct of labelscores

// totallabelelngthis - total label length insertion sort,

// is equal to the maximum number of characters in concatenated labels

// used for for malloc of temporary insertion sort struct of labelscores

void InsertionSort(struct labelscores \*\* scoreisort, int n, int numdescriptsis, int totlablengthis) {

int j, p; // j and p are indexes used in the insertion sort int descriptindexis; // an index for descriptive field char \* nullstring = "0"; // nullstring needed at the end of strings

struct labelscores tempisort; // temporary labelscore struct used in insertion sort

```
tempisort.label = (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * (totlablengthis)); // allocate memory
tempisort.reldif = (double *) malloc((numdescriptsis + 1) *sizeof(double));
```

```
// initialize tempisort
(char *)tempisort.label = strcpy(tempisort.label, nullstring);
for(descriptindexis=0; descriptindexis < numdescriptsis+1; descriptindexis++)
{
    tempisort.reldif[descriptindexis] = 0.0;
}</pre>
```

```
// insertion - copy one struct out, move the rest, then put the struct back were it goes
 for(p=1; p<n; p++)
  {
  tempisort.label = strcpy(tempisort.label, scoreisort[p]->label);
  for(descriptindexis=0; descriptindexis <= numdescriptsis; descriptindexis++)
   1
   tempisort.reldif[descriptindexis] = scoreisort[p]->reldif[descriptindexis];
   }
  for(j=p; ((j > 0) && (scoreisort[j-1]->reldif[numdescriptsis] > tempisort.reldif[numdescriptsis])); j--)
   scoreisort[j]->label = strcpy(scoreisort[j]->label, scoreisort[j-1]->label);
   for(descriptindexis=0; descriptindexis <= numdescriptsis; descriptindexis++)
     scoreisort[j]->reldif[descriptindexis] = scoreisort[j-1]->reldif[descriptindexis];
     }
    }
   scoreisort[j]->label = strcpy(scoreisort[j]->label, tempisort.label);
   for(descriptindexis=0; descriptindexis <= numdescriptsis; descriptindexis++)
     scoreisort[j]->reldif[descriptindexis] = tempisort.reldif[descriptindexis];
     ł
  }
  free(tempisort.label); // free memory previously malloced
  free(tempisort.reldif);
}
// median of three - selecting the pivot for the quicksort
11
// scoremedian - struct of labelscores, postion of left(first) score, postion of right(last) score
// numdescriptsm - number of descripts median of 3, the last descript field - the total similarity score
// the median value of the three sampled values is determined and return to the quicksort routine
// to be used as the pivot point
float Median3(struct labelscores **scoremedian, int left, int right, int numdescriptsm)
ł
 int center;
 center = (left + right) / 2; // position of the center score - median position
 if (scoremedian[left]->reldif[numdescriptsm] > scoremedian[center]->reldif[numdescriptsm])
  Swap(&scoremedian[left], &scoremedian[center]);
 if (scoremedian[left]->reldif[numdescriptsm] > scoremedian[right]->reldif[numdescriptsm])
  Swap(&scoremedian[left], &scoremedian[right]);
 if (scoremedian[center]->reldif[numdescriptsm] > scoremedian[right]->reldif[numdescriptsm])
  Swap(&scoremedian[center], &scoremedian[right]);
   }
 Swap(&scoremedian[center], &scoremedian[right-1]);
```

```
167
```

return scoremedian[right-1]->reldif[numdescriptsm];

// quicksort

}

// scoreqsort - the struct of labelscores to be sorted by quicksort

// leftqs, rightqs - the lower and upper boundaries of the quicksort - quicksort is recursive

// numdescriptsqs - number of descriptive fields quicksort - used for allocating necessary memory

// totlablength - total label length quick sort - maximum number of characters in a label

// used for allocating necessary memory

void Qsort(struct labelscores \*\*scoreqsort, int leftqs, int rightqs, int numdescriptsqs, int totlablengthqs) {

int i, j; // indexes used in quicksort

struct labelscores pivot; // labelscores struct which hold the pivot

pivot.label = (char \*) malloc(sizeof(char) \* (totlablengthqs)); // allocating memory for pivot pivot.reldif = (double \*) malloc((numdescriptsqs+1) \*sizeof(double));

// heart of quicksort, pick pivot swap appropriate values to either side of pivot
if ((leftqs + 3) <= rightqs)</pre>

```
ł
  pivot.reldif[numdescriptsqs] = Median3(scoreqsort, leftqs, rightqs, numdescriptsqs);
  i = leftqs;
 j = rightqs-1;
  for(;;)
   ł
   while(scoreqsort[++i]->reldif[numdescriptsqs] < pivot.reldif[numdescriptsqs] && i < rightqs-1){}
   while(scoreqsort[--j]->reldif[numdescriptsqs] > pivot.reldif[numdescriptsqs] && j > 0) {}
   if(i < j)
    Swap(&scoreqsort[i], &scoreqsort[j]);
   else
    break;
   }
  Swap(&scoreqsort[i], &scoreqsort[rightqs-1]);
  Qsort(scoreqsort, leftqs, i-1, numdescriptsqs, totlablengthqs);
  Qsort(scoreqsort, i+1, rightqs, numdescriptsqs, totlablengthqs);
  }
else // if left and right a close together do an insertion sort
  ł
  InsertionSort(scoreqsort+leftqs, rightqs-leftqs+1, numdescriptsqs, totlablengthqs);
  }
 free(pivot.label); // freeing allocated memory
 free(pivot.reldif);
}
// start of main
int main (int argc, const char * argv[])
 {
```

int calcsetidx; // index for which set of calculation (comparisons) is current int compindex; // comparison index - which comparison set is being compared int compstart; // comparison start - the starting point for each set of comparisons int confindex; // conformation index int descriptindex; // desciptor index - data file columns int entityidxbuff; // entity index buffer int entityindex; // id of entity one in the comparison int entityindex2; // id of entity two in the comparison int fileindex=1; // index used to indicate which data file is open int i; // simple index used in loop calculating the divisor int lastarraysz; // the size of the last array, the remainder of calculations int lseekbuffer; // buffer for file position int lseekposition; // file position in data file being read int maxarraysz; // maximum array size = numlinescalc + numbestscore int numbestscore; // the number of best scores to keep after sorting int numcalcsets; // the number of sets of calculations int numcomps; // total number of comparison sets int numdescripts; // total number of descriptions = number of columns in each data file int numentities; // the total number of entities to be compared = number of data files int numlinescalc; // the number of scores to calculate before sorting int totlabel; // total length, number of characters, of concatenated label int \* conformationidx[argc-1]; // index of conformations

```
int * filedescript[argc-1]; // array of file descriptors - data files from command line
int * labellength[argc-1]; // array of the label lengths for each data file
int * maxlabellength[argc-1]; // array of the maximum label lengths for each data file
int * numcolumns; // number of columns
int * numconfs[argc-1]; // array of the number of rows in each data file
```

```
char * nullstring = "\0"; // nullstring for adding the end of lines and initialization
char * readbuffer; // read buffer for reading data files
char * strnumber; // numerical data read from file stored as string
```

char outfilename[64]; // character array for output file name - max 65 character name

double descriptscore; // the relative difference score for one combinatorial double divisor; // the divisor used in calculating the single measure relative difference double doublenumber; // numerical string read from data file is converted to double number double singlemeasureRD; // the Relative Difference score for a single set of description double totalmeasureRD; // the Relative Difference score for a comparison set double totaltime; // the total time in seconds used for timing run time double totaltimecalc; // the total time in seconds used for timing calculation time double totaltimesort; // the total time in seconds used for timing sorts time

FILE \*outfilefd; // the output file descriptor

```
time_t starttimeintcalc; // marks start time of combinatorial calculations
time_t endtimeintcalc; // marks end time of combinatorial calculations
time_t starttimeintsort; // marks start time of sort
time_t endtimeintsort; // marks end time of sort
time_t starttimeinttot; // marks start time before calculations being
time_t endtimeinttot; // marks end time after output file is written
```

struct descriptions \*\*\* conformation; // struct which stores all the data from the data files

struct labelscores **\*\***score; // struct which stores concatenated labels and scores struct labelscores scorebuffer; // a buffer to temporarily store scores in

// some initial memory allocation and variable initialization
readbuffer = malloc(sizeof(char));
strnumber = malloc(sizeof(char) \* 16);

numcolumns = (int \*) malloc(sizeof(int)); \*filedescript = (int \*) malloc(sizeof(int) \* (argc - 1));

numentities = argc - 1; confindex = 0; numcomps = 1; totlabel = 1;

// print information to the screen which will help the user answer the
// first two questions they are asked by the program
printf("\n\n\nThis program is going to calculate X number of scores, ");
printf("\nsort those scores, save the best Y scores and then ");
printf("\ncalculates the next X number of scores.\n");

printf("\nThe answers given to the next two question will determine "); printf("\nhow much memory is allocated. Remember there are limits "); printf("\nto how much memory can be allocated to a single application "); printf("\nand virtual memory is slower than physical memory. "); printf("\nYou are about to be asked for the number of scores to be "); printf("\nSaved and the the number of scores to calculate between sorts "); printf("\nThese two number added together will account for a majority "); printf("\nof the memory used by this program. Calculating only X many "); printf("\nscores at a time allows for the program top remain within a "); printf("\nlimited memory footprint. Values that have proven useful to "); printf("\nshould be changed according to your data set, needs, and "); printf("\nphysical memory.\n");

// ask for and obtain the number of conformational sets to calculate
// between the "sort and store" steps
printf("\nHow many scores do you want ");
printf("\nto calculate between sorts? ");
scanf("%i", &numlinescalc);

// ask for and obtain the number of lowest scores to keep
printf("\nHow many of the lowest (best) ");
printf("\nscores would you like to save? ");
scanf("%i", &numbestscore);

maxarraysz = numbestscore + numlinescalc; // maximum size of array of structs

// allocating memory for struct conformation conformation = (struct descriptions \*\*\*) malloc (sizeof(struct \ descriptions \*\*) \* numentities); printf("\n\nThe number of data files = %i\n", numentities);

```
// asking for the number of columns per data file
printf("\n\nWhat is the total number of columns in each file? ");
scanf("%i", (int *)&numcolumns);
printf("\nThere are %i columns.\n", (int)numcolumns);
```

```
numdescripts = (int)numcolumns - 1;
printf("\nEach file has 1 lable column and %i description columns.", \
numdescripts);
```

// allocating memory and loading the data arrays

```
for (entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities; entityindex++)
{
    maxlabellength[entityindex] = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    *maxlabellength[entityindex] = 0;
    if (((int)filedescript[fileindex] = (open(argv[fileindex], O_RDONLY))) < 0)
    {
        perror(argv[fileindex]);
        exit(EXIT_FAILURE);
    }
}</pre>
```

```
// asking for the number of rows in the current data file being read
printf("\n\n\nfile %s is open", argv[fileindex]);
printf("\nHow many rows are in this file? ");
scanf("%i", (int *)&numconfs[entityindex]);
```

printf("\nloading %i rows of data from file", (int)numconfs[entityindex]);

```
// allocating memory for the array of pointers to conformations
conformation[entityindex] = (struct descriptions **) malloc \
 (( sizeof(struct descriptions *) * (int)numconfs[entityindex]));
```

lseekposition = 0;

// allocating memory for a conformation s structure and descriptors
for(confindex=0; confindex < (int)numconfs[entityindex]; confindex++)
{</pre>

conformation[entityindex][confindex] = (struct descriptions \*) malloc \
 (( sizeof(struct descriptions)));

conformation[entityindex][confindex]->descriptivedata = (double \*) \
malloc(sizeof(double) \* numdescripts);

// allocating memory for a conformation s structure label labellength[entityindex] = (int \*)malloc(sizeof(int)); fflush(NULL); \*readbuffer = '\0; \*labellength[entityindex] = 0; lseekbuffer=lseekposition;

// counting number of characters in label

```
// fscan would be faster and was used for file I/O in the
   // out-of-core sortng program
   while(*readbuffer != ' ' && *readbuffer != ', )
    {
    lseek((int)filedescript[fileindex], lseekposition, SEEK_SET);
    read((int)filedescript[fileindex], readbuffer, 1);
    *labellength[entityindex] = *labellength[entityindex] + 1;
    lseekposition++;
     }
   if (*maxlabellength[entityindex] < *labellength[entityindex])
     *maxlabellength[entityindex] = *labellength[entityindex];
      printf("\nmaximum label length = %i\n", *maxlabellength[entityindex]);
//
     }
   // allocating memory for label - depends on label maximum length
   conformation[entityindex][confindex]->labels = \
   (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * *labellength[entityindex]);
   // reading, concatenating, and storing label
   fflush(NULL);
   *readbuffer = (0;
   lseekposition = lseekbuffer;
   descriptindex = 0;
   while(*readbuffer != ' ' && *readbuffer != ', )
     lseek((int)filedescript[fileindex], lseekposition, SEEK_SET);
     read((int)filedescript[fileindex], readbuffer, 1);
     if(*readbuffer != ', )
      (char *)conformation[entityindex][confindex]->labels = \
      strncat( conformation[entityindex][confindex]->labels, readbuffer, 1);
      ł
     lseekposition++;
     }
   // resetting and reading numerical descriptor data from data files
   // data read as string and converted to double - strtod
   while (*readbuffer != \cdot n \&\& *readbuffer != \cdot 0)
     {
     fflush(NULL);
     *readbuffer = \0;
     *strnumber = '0;
     while (*readbuffer != ', && *readbuffer != ' ' && *readbuffer != '\n )
      ł
      lseek((int)filedescript[fileindex], lseekposition, SEEK_SET);
      read((int)filedescript[fileindex], readbuffer, 1);
      if (*readbuffer != ', && *readbuffer != ' ' && *readbuffer != '\n )
       ł
       strnumber = strncat(strnumber, readbuffer, 1);
       lseekposition++;
       }
      }
```

```
doublenumber = strtod(strnumber, NULL);
   conformation[entityindex][confindex]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]=\
   doublenumber;
   descriptindex++;
   lseekposition++;
   }
  }
 fileindex = fileindex + 1; // finished reading from data file move to next file
 }
// asking for and obtaining name of output file
fflush(NULL);
printf("\n\n\nEnter file name for results to be stored in: ");
scanf("%s", (char *)&outfilename);
printf("\nThe results will be saved in %s\n", outfilename);
starttimeinttot = time(NULL); // start time for total time
// calculating total number of comparisons and maximimum total label length
for (entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities; entityindex++)
 numcomps = numcomps * (int)numconfs[entityindex];
 totlabel = totlabel + *maxlabellength[entityindex];
 }
numcalcsets = (numcomps/numlinescalc); // number of sets of calculations
// if number of comparisons is less than the size of a set of calculations
if (numlinescalc > numcomps)
 ł
 maxarraysz = numbestscore + numcomps;
 numcalcsets = 0;
 compstart = numcomps;
// calculate and print the size of the last set of comparisons
lastarraysz = numcomps - (numcalcsets * numlinescalc);
printf("\n\n%i sets of %i calculations and", numcalcsets, numlinescalc);
printf("\none last set of %i calculations", lastarraysz);
printf("\nwill be done");
// allocate memory for score array and initialize
score = (struct labelscores **) malloc (sizeof(struct labelscores *) \
* (int)maxarraysz);
for(compindex=0; compindex < numbestscore; compindex++)
 ł
 score[compindex] = (struct labelscores *) malloc(sizeof(struct\
  labelscores));
 score[compindex]->label = (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * (totlabel + numentities));
 score[compindex]->reldif = (double *) malloc((numdescripts+1) * sizeof(double));
 for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts+1; descriptindex++)
```

```
{
  // initializing with a big number helps guarantee low scores move into this
  // part of the array where the lowest scores are kept
  score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex] = 1000000.0;
  }
 }
for(compindex=numbestscore; compindex <= maxarraysz; compindex++)
 score[compindex] = (struct labelscores *) malloc(sizeof(struct\
 labelscores)):
 score[compindex]->label = (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * (totlabel + numentities));
 score[compindex]->reldif = (double *) malloc((numdescripts+1) * sizeof(double));
 for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts+1; descriptindex++)
  {
  score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex] = 0.0;
  }
 }
// allocating memory for scorebuffer
scorebuffer.label = (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * (totlabel + numentities));
scorebuffer.reldif = (double *) malloc((numdescripts+1) *sizeof(double));
```

// memory allocation for main complete
printf("\n\n\nmalloc complete - memory allocated");

```
// calculate and print the number of combinatorial comparisons per each set of
// desriptors in a comparison set
divisor = 3;
for(i=3; i<numentities; i++)
{
    divisor = divisor + i;
    }
}</pre>
```

printf("\n\n\nThere are %.0f possible comparisons for each descriptive field", divisor); printf("\nin each comparison set\n\n");

```
// initializing variables before relative difference calcualtion
entityindex = 1; // first entity
entityindex2 = 0; // second entity
descriptscore = 0.0;
descriptindex = 1; // description being compared
singlemeasureRD = 0.0;
totalmeasureRD = 0.0;
compstart = 0;
(int)conformationidx[entityindex] = 0; // conformation of entity one
(int)conformationidx[entityindex2] = 0; // conformation of entity two
for(entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities; entityindex++)
{
(int)conformationidx[entityindex] = 0;
```

}

// loop through number of calculation sets appropriate amount of times

```
for(calcsetidx=0; calcsetidx < numcalcsets; calcsetidx++)</pre>
 starttimeintcalc = time(NULL); // start time for calculation set
 lseekposition = 0;
 // loop through the appropriate space in the score array for
 // storing newly calculated relative difference score
 for(compindex=numbestscore; compindex < maxarraysz; compindex++)
  ł
  // loop through descriptions being compared
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)</pre>
   // loop through entity x
   for (entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities - 1; entityindex++)
     ł
     // loop through entity y
     for (entityindex2=entityindex+1; entityindex2 < numentities; entityindex2++)
      ł
      // calculate relative difference score for each combinatorial
      // descriptor comparison
      descriptscore = \
       (fabs((float)conformation[entityindex])
       [(int)conformationidx[entityindex]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex] - \
       (float)conformation[entityindex2]
       [(int)conformationidx[entityindex2]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex])) / \
       (0.5 * \
       (fabs((float)conformation[entityindex]\
       [(int)conformationidx[entityindex]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]) + \
       fabs((float)conformation[entityindex2]\
       [(int)conformationidx[entityindex2]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]))) + \
       descriptscore;
      } // end entity y
     } // end entity x
```

```
// calculate and store single measure relative difference score
singlemeasureRD = descriptscore / divisor;
scorebuffer.reldif[descriptindex] = singlemeasureRD;
singlemeasureRD = 0.0;
```

// keep running total for total measure relative difference score
totalmeasureRD = (descriptscore / divisor) + totalmeasureRD;
descriptscore = 0.0;
} // end description loop

```
// calculate and store total measure relative difference score
// this is the similarity score
scorebuffer.reldif[numdescripts] = totalmeasureRD / numdescripts;
totalmeasureRD = 0.0;
```

```
// concatenate and store the label of the comparison set aka comparison group
(char *)scorebuffer.label = strcpy(scorebuffer.label, nullstring);
for (entityidxbuff = (entityindex - (numentities - 1)); \
entityidxbuff <= entityindex; entityidxbuff++)
{</pre>
```

```
(char *)scorebuffer.label = \
```

```
strcat(scorebuffer.label, \
conformation[entityidxbuff][(int)conformationidx[entityidxbuff]]->labels);
if (entityidxbuff < entityindex)
{
strcat(scorebuffer.label, "_");
}
</pre>
```

// heart of keeping the indexes pointing to the right place in the // conformation data - loop through conformations of last entity // until the last conformation of the last entity is reached. Then // increment the second to the last entity to the next conformation // and decrement the last entity to its first conformation. Following // this through all of the entities will cause every conformation to // be compared with every conformation of the other entities. // This is some what analogous to a mechanical odometer (or counter) (int)conformationidx[argc-2] = (int)conformationidx[argc-2] + 1;

```
if((int)conformationidx[argc-2] >= (int)numconfs[argc-2])
{
for (confindex = (argc-2); confindex > 0; confindex--)
{
    if((int)conformationidx[confindex] >= (int)numconfs[confindex])
    {
      (int)conformationidx[confindex] = 0;
      (int)conformationidx[confindex-1] = (int)conformationidx[confindex-1] + 1;
    }
}
```

```
// putting a label with the scores
```

ł

}

score[compindex]->label = strcpy(score[compindex]->label, scorebuffer.label);
for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)</pre>

score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex] = scorebuffer.reldif[descriptindex];

} // end of numcomps computational group loop

// tracking the correct starting place in the data after each calculation set compstart = compstart + (maxarraysz-numbestscore);

printf("\n%i total comparisons have been completed", compstart);

```
endtimeintcalc = time(NULL); // end calculation time
totaltimecalc = difftime(endtimeintcalc, starttimeintcalc); // calculation time
starttimeintsort = time(NULL); // start time for sort
```

// call quick sort - sort all of the low scores to the beginning of the array
Qsort(score, 0, maxarraysz-1, numdescripts, totlabel + numentities);

// end time of sort, subtract from start time and print total time of sort
endtimeintsort = time(NULL); // end time for sort

```
totaltimesort = difftime(endtimeintsort, starttimeintsort); // sort time
printf("\n\ncalculation time = %.0f seconds, sort time = %.0f seconds\n\n", \
totaltimecalc, totaltimesort);
```

} // end of calcset calculation set loop

```
// start of last array
 printf("\nstarting last set of %i calculations", lastarraysz);
 starttimeintcalc = time(NULL); // start time for last array calculation time
  // loop through the appropriate space in the score array for
  // storing newly calculated relative difference score
  for(compindex=numbestscore; compindex < numcomps-compstart+numbestscore; compindex++)
   // loop through descriptions being compared
   for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
     ł
     // loop through entity x
     for (entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities - 1; entityindex++)
      ł
     // loop through entity y
      for (entityindex2=entityindex+1; entityindex2 < numentities; entityindex2++)
       // calculate relative difference score for each combinatorial
       // descriptor comparison
       descriptscore = \
        (fabs((float)conformation[entityindex])
        [(int)conformationidx[entityindex]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex] - \
        (float)conformation[entityindex2]\
        [(int)conformationidx[entityindex2]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex])) /\
        (0.5 * \
        (fabs((float)conformation[entityindex])
        [(int)conformationidx[entityindex]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]) + \
        fabs((float)conformation[entityindex2]\
        [(int)conformationidx[entityindex2]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]))) + \
        descriptscore;
       } // end entity 2
      } // end entity
     // calculate and store single measure relative difference score
```

```
singlemeasureRD = descriptscore / divisor;
scorebuffer.reldif[descriptindex] = singlemeasureRD;
singlemeasureRD = 0.0;
```

```
// keep running total for total measure relative difference score
totalmeasureRD = (descriptscore / divisor) + totalmeasureRD;
descriptscore = 0.0;
} // end of description loop
```

```
// calculate and store total measure relative difference score
// this is the similarity score
scorebuffer.reldif[numdescripts] = totalmeasureRD / numdescripts;
```

## totalmeasureRD = 0.0;

```
// concatenate and store the label of the comparison set aka comparison group
(char *)scorebuffer.label = strcpy(scorebuffer.label, nullstring);
for (entityidxbuff = (entityindex - (numentities - 1)); \
entityidxbuff <= entityindex; entityidxbuff++)
 ł
 (char *)scorebuffer.label = \
 strcat(scorebuffer.label, \
 conformation[entityidxbuff][(int)conformationidx[entityidxbuff]]->labels);
 if (entityidxbuff < entityindex)
  ł
  strcat(scorebuffer.label, "_");
  }
 }
// heart of keeping the indexes pointing to the right place in the
// conformation data - loop through conformations of last entity
// until the last conformation of the last entity is reached. Then
// increment the second to the last entity to the next conformation
// and decrement the last entity to its first conformation. Following
// this through all of the entities will cause every conformation to
// be compared with every conformation of the other entities.
// This is some what analogous to a mechanical odometer (or counter)
(int)conformationidx[argc-2] = (int)conformationidx[argc-2] + 1;
if((int)conformationidx[argc-2] >= (int)numconfs[argc-2])
 {
 for (confindex = (argc-2); confindex > 0; confindex--)
  if((int)conformationidx[confindex] >= (int)numconfs[confindex])
   (int)conformationidx[confindex] = 0;
    (int)conformationidx[confindex-1] = (int)conformationidx[confindex-1] + 1;
   }
  }
 }
// putting a label with the scores
score[compindex]->label = strcpy(score[compindex]->label, scorebuffer.label);
for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)
```

score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex] = scorebuffer.reldif[descriptindex];
}

} // end of compindex computational group loop

// all combinatorial combination of conformations have been compared at this point
printf("\n\n%i total comparisons have been completed", compstart + lastarraysz);

endtimeintcalc = time(NULL); // end last array calculation time totaltimecalc = difftime(endtimeintcalc, starttimeintcalc); // calculation time starttimeintsort = time(NULL); // start time for sort // call quick sort - sort all of the low scores to the beginning of the array
Qsort(score, 0, numcomps-compstart-1+numbestscore, numdescripts, totlabel + numentities);

```
// end time of sort, subtract from start time and print total time of sort
endtimeintsort = time(NULL); // end time for sort
totaltimesort = difftime(endtimeintsort, starttimeintsort); // sort time
printf("\n\ncalculation time = %.0f seconds, sort time = %.0f seconds\n\n", \
totaltimecalc, totaltimesort);
```

```
// writing lowest scores and corresponding labels to output file
printf("\n\n\nwriting %i lowest scores to file %s", numbestscore, outfilename);
if ((outfilefd = fopen(outfilename, "wt")) == NULL)
{
    printf("\ncan not open %s\n", outfilename);
    exit(2);
    }
    for(compindex=0; compindex < numbestscore; compindex++)
    {
        fprintf(outfilefd, "%s,", score[compindex]->label);
        for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
        {
        fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f\n", score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex]);
        }
        fclose(outfilefd);
    }
```

```
// end time for all calculation, sorting, and writing output file
endtimeinttot = time(NULL);
totaltime = difftime(endtimeinttot, starttimeinttot);
printf("\n\n\ntotal time to calculate, sort and write output file = %.0f seconds\n\n", totaltime);
```

// freeing memory

```
printf("\nstarting to free memory\n");
for(entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities; entityindex++)
{
  for(confindex=0; confindex < (int)numconfs[entityindex]; confindex++)
    {
    free(conformation[entityindex][confindex]->labels);
    free(conformation[entityindex][confindex]->descriptivedata);
    free(conformation[entityindex][confindex]);
    }
  free(conformation[entityindex]);
  }
  free(conformation[entityindex]);
  }
  for(compindex=0; compindex < maxarraysz; compindex++)
  {
  }
}</pre>
```

```
free(score[compindex]->label);
```

free(score[compindex]->reldif);
free(score[compindex]);
}
free(score);

free(scorebuffer.reldif);
free(scorebuffer.label);

printf("\nmemory free\n");

return(0);
}

## Appendix 4.7 The comp program, written in C. Calculates and saves all similarity scores.

/\*

Program: comp author: Paul A. Wilson email: pwilson@cobremail.itrc.umt.edu date of latest version: August 15, 2004 date of origination: 2003

command: program name data file 1 data file 2 data file 3 example: ./comp ./MCN-5652.txt ./sertraline.txt ./indatraline.txt ./s-citalopram.txt

minimum of three data files required, no limit to maximum number of data files to be compared - within reason

required data file format: comma delimited text, first field of each row is equivalent to a label, the remaining fields in each row are numbers, each field is separated by a comma, no quotes around the labels, no spaces between fields (commas only)

output file name is limited to a maximum of 64 characters

In Short: This program compares the rows in data files developing a score for each set of rows (set - one row from each data file). A text file is written containing all comparisons. Each row in the output file contains the label from each label field (first field in each data file) concatenated together followed in comma delimited form by the score for each field and finally the total score. This version of the program does not sort the similarity scores. See compsort and compsortall for score sorting in ascending order.

This program was written in response to to a project where distance space descriptions needed to be compared in order to determine the conformations of four molecules which were the most similar. (see SFN 2003 Annual Meeting, Poster Presentation 371.4 and SFN 2004 Annual Meeting, Poster Presentation 922.1, also see Machack 18, Wilson, P.A. "A Practical Comparison of Multiprocessing Libraries", MacHack 2003, June 2003, the code is different but a lot of the ideas remain) I am willing to share manuscript versions of these posters in pdf format.

Comparisons are carried out combinatorially using relative difference. The relative difference equation was modified slightly to enable creating and weighting the comparison of a positive and negative measurement as less similar. Each row in each data file is compared against each row of every other data file. Within each row, each field is compared against the corresponding field from the rows being compared in the other data files. If there are 4 data files than there are 6 comparisons between each field of the four rows being compared. The relative difference score from each field is added together and divided by the number of fields to produce a similarity score for the for the rows being compared. similarity score = (summation from measure 1 to total number of measures (
summation of from data file 1 to total number of data files ( (IVab - Vacl /
((IVabl + IVacl)/2)) / number of combinatorial )))
Vab = measure a of datafile b
Vac = measure a of datafile c

The program programs structure has a legacy stemming from a personal interest in out-of-core sorting, dynamic memory allocation, and parallel computing. There are three versions of this program. This one does not sort similarity scores. The other two versions of the program 1) sorts all scores using an out of core sorting mechanism, and 2) one sorts all but only keeps a user determined portion of the scores.

Pointers are used extensively as port of some of my original notions on dynamically allocating memory and sorting.

The program was written in C to provide maximum portability. I have not tried compiling this code on a Linux, or any other, machine. I have noticed this code runs great under Mac OS 10.3 and failed when running under Mac OS 10.2.

\*/

```
//#include <dirent.h>
#include <fcntl.h>
#include <math.h>
#include <stddef.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <unistd.h>
#include <sys/errno.h>
#include <sys/file.h>
#include <sys/stat.h>
#include <sys/time.h>
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/uio.h>
// start of main
int main (int argc, const char * argv[])
 {
// structure for holding data read from files, label and numerical descriptors
 struct descriptions
   ł
  char * labels:
  double * descriptivedata;
  };
// structure for holding concatenated label and score for each descriptor
// and a total score value
 struct labelscores
   ł
  char * label;
```

int calcsetidx; // index for which set of calculation (comparisons) is current int confindex; // comparison index - which comparison set is being compared int compindex; // comparison index - which comparison set is being compared int compindex2; // index used for resetting score array int compstart; // comparison start - the starting point for each set of comparisons int descriptindex; // desciptor index - data file columns int descriptindex2; // index used for resetting score array int entityindex; // id of entity one in the comparison int entityindex2; // id of entity two in the comparison int entityidxbuff; // entity index buffer int fileindex=1; // index used to indicate which data file is open int i; // simple index used in loop calculating the divisor int lastarraysz; // the size of the last array, the remainder of calculations int lseekposition; // file position in data file being read int lseekbuffer; // buffer for file position int maxarraysz; // maximum array size = numlinescalc + numbestscore int numcalcsets; // the number of sets of calculations int numcomps; // total number of comparison sets int numdescripts; // total number of descriptions = number of columns in each data file int numentities; // the total number of entities to be compared = number of data files int totlabel; // total length, number of characters, of concatenated label int \* conformationidx[argc-1]; // index of conformations int \* filedescript[argc-1]; // array of file descriptors - data files from command line int \* labellength[argc-1]; // array of the label lengths for each data file int \* maxlabellength[argc-1]; // array of the maximum label lengths for each data file int \* numcolumns; // number of columns int \* numconfs[argc-1]; // array of the number of rows in each data file char \* nullstring = "\0"; // nullstring for adding the end of lines and initialization char \* readbuffer; // read buffer for reading data files char \* strnumber; // numerical data read from file stored as string char outfilename[64]; // character array for output file name - max 65 character name double descriptscore; // the relative difference score for one combinatorial double divisor; // the divisor used in calculating the single measure relative difference double doublenumber; // numerical string read from data file is converted to double number double singlemeasureRD; // the Relative Difference score for a single set of description double totalmeasureRD; // the Relative Difference score for a comparison set double totaltime; // the total time in seconds used for timing sorts and run time

FILE \*outfilefd; // the output file descriptor

time\_t starttimecalc; // start time for set of calculations time\_t endtimecalc; // end time for set of calculations time\_t starttimetotal; // start time for total run time time\_t endtimetotal; // end time for total run timet time\_t starttimewrite; // start time for a temporary file write time\_t endtimewrite; // end time for a temporary file write struct descriptions \*\*\* conformation; // struct which stores all the data from the data files

struct labelscores **\*\***score; // struct which stores concatenated labels and scores struct labelscores scorebuffer; // a buffer to temporarily store scores in

numcolumns = (int \*) malloc(sizeof(int)); \*filedescript = (int \*) malloc(sizeof(int) \* (argc - 1));

numentities = argc - 1; confindex = 0; numcomps = 1; totlabel = 1;

// print information to the screen which will help the user answer the // first three questions they are asked by the program printf("\n\n\nThis program is going to calculate X number of scores, "); printf("\nwrite the results to the output file, and then calculate the "); printf("\nsthe next X number of scores. This latest set of X scores are"); printf("\nappended to the end of the output file. This repeats until all "); printf("\nscores are calculated and written to the output file.\n");

printf("\nThe answer given for the next question will determine "); printf("\nhow much memory is allocated. Remember there are limits "); printf("\nto how much memory can be allocated to a single application "); printf("\nand virtual memory is slower than physical memory. "); printf("\nYou are about to be asked for the number of scores to be "); printf("\ncalculated between writes to the output file.\n");

printf("\nThe number of scores (X) calculated between writes to the output "); printf("\nfile accounts for a majority of the memory used by this program."); printf("\nCalculating X number of scores at a time allows the program "); printf("\nallows for the program to remain within a limited memory"); printf("\nfootprint. An example value that has proven useful to the"); printf("\nauthor is: X = 500000. This value should be changed according"); printf("\nto your data set, needs, and physical memory\n");

// ask for and obtain the number of conformational sets to calculate
// between writes to the output file
printf("\nHow many scores do you want to calculate before ");
printf("\nwriting to the output file? ");
scanf("%i", &maxarraysz);

// allocating memory for input data array conformation = (struct descriptions \*\*\*) malloc (sizeof(struct \ descriptions \*\*) \* numentities);

// determined from arguments on comand line
printf("\nThe number of data files = %i\n", numentities);

```
// asking for the number of columns per data file
printf("\nWhat is the total number of columns in each file? ");
scanf("%i", (int *)&numcolumns);
printf("\nThere are %i columns.\n in each file", (int)numcolumns);
```

```
numdescripts = (int)numcolumns - 1;
printf("\nEach file has 1 lable column and %i description columns.\n", \
numdescripts);
```

// allocating memory and loading the data arrays

```
for (entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities; entityindex++)
{
    maxlabellength[entityindex] = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
    *maxlabellength[entityindex] = 0;
    if (((int)filedescript[fileindex] = (open(argv[fileindex], O_RDONLY))) < 0)
    {
        perror(argv[fileindex]);
        exit(EXIT_FAILURE);
        }
    // asking for the number of rows in the current data file being read
        printf("\n\nfile %s is open\n", argv[fileindex]);
        printf("How many rows are in this file? ");
        scanf("%i", (int *)&numconfs[entityindex]);
        printf("There are %i rows representing %i conformations in this file.\n", \
        (int)numconfs[entityindex], (int)numconfs[entityindex]);
</pre>
```

```
// allocating memory for the array of pointers to conformations
conformation[entityindex] = (struct descriptions **) malloc \
(( sizeof(struct descriptions *) * (int)numconfs[entityindex]));
```

```
Iseekposition = 0;
```

```
// allocating memory for a conformation s structure and descriptors
for(confindex=0; confindex < (int)numconfs[entityindex]; confindex++)
{</pre>
```

conformation[entityindex][confindex] = (struct descriptions \*) malloc \
 (( sizeof(struct descriptions)));

conformation[entityindex][confindex]->descriptivedata = (double \*) \
malloc(sizeof(double) \* numdescripts);

```
// allocating memory for a conformation s structure label
labellength[entityindex] = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int));
fflush(NULL);
*readbuffer = '\0;
*labellength[entityindex] = 0;
lseekbuffer=lseekposition;
```

```
// counting number of characters in label
// fscan would be faster and was used for file I/O in the
// out-of-core sortng program
while(*readbuffer != ' ' && *readbuffer != ', )
{
```

```
lseek((int)filedescript[fileindex], lseekposition, SEEK_SET);
read((int)filedescript[fileindex], readbuffer, 1);
 *labellength[entityindex] = *labellength[entityindex] + 1;
lseekposition++;
if (*maxlabellength[entityindex] < *labellength[entityindex])
 *maxlabellength[entityindex] = *labellength[entityindex];
// printf("\nmaximum label length = %i\n", *maxlabellength[entityindex]);
 }
// allocating memory for label - depends on label maximum length
conformation[entityindex][confindex]->labels = \
(char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * *labellength[entityindex]);
// reading, concatenating, and storing label
fflush(NULL);
*readbuffer = 10;
lseekposition = lseekbuffer;
descriptindex = 0;
while(*readbuffer != ' ' && *readbuffer != ', )
 ł
 lseek((int)filedescript[fileindex], lseekposition, SEEK_SET);
 read((int)filedescript[fileindex], readbuffer, 1);
 if(*readbuffer != ', )
  (char *)conformation[entityindex][confindex]->labels = \
  strncat(conformation[entityindex][confindex]->labels, readbuffer, 1);
  }
 lseekposition++;
 }
// resetting and reading numerical descriptor data from data files
// data read as string and converted to double - strtod
while (* readbuffer != \cdot n \&\& * readbuffer != \cdot 0)
 ł
 fflush(NULL);
 *readbuffer = \0;
 *strnumber = (0;
 while(*readbuffer != ', && *readbuffer != ' ' && *readbuffer != '\n )
   ł
  lseek((int)filedescript[fileindex], lseekposition, SEEK_SET);
  read((int)filedescript[fileindex], readbuffer, 1);
  if (*readbuffer != ', && *readbuffer != ' ' && *readbuffer != '\n )
    {
    strnumber = strncat(strnumber, readbuffer, 1);
    lseekposition++;
    }
   }
 doublenumber = strtod(strnumber, NULL);
 conformation[entityindex][confindex]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]=\
 doublenumber;
 descriptindex++;
```

```
lseekposition++;
   }
  }
 fileindex = fileindex + 1; // finished reading from data file move to next file
 }
// asking for and obtaining name of output file
fflush(NULL);
printf("\n\nEnter file name for results to be stored in: ");
scanf("%s", (char *)&outfilename);
printf("\nThe results will be saved in %s\n", outfilename);
starttimetotal = time(NULL); // start time for total time
// calculating total number of comparisons and maximimum total label length
for (entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities; entityindex++)
 {
 numcomps = numcomps * (int)numconfs[entityindex];
 totlabel = totlabel + *maxlabellength[entityindex];
 }
numcalcsets = (numcomps/maxarraysz);
// if number of comparisons is less than the size of a set of calculations
if (maxarraysz > numcomps)
 {
 maxarraysz = numcomps;
 numcalcsets = 0;
 }
// calculate the size of the last set of comparisons
lastarraysz = numcomps - (numcalcsets * maxarraysz);
// allocate memory for score array and initialize
score = (struct labelscores **) malloc (sizeof(struct labelscores *) \
* (int)maxarraysz);
for(compindex=0; compindex < maxarraysz; compindex++)</pre>
 {
 score[compindex] = (struct labelscores *) malloc(sizeof(struct)
 labelscores)):
 score[compindex]->label = (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * (totlabel + numentities));
 score[compindex]->reldif = (double *) malloc((numdescripts+1) * sizeof(double));
 for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts+1; descriptindex++)</pre>
  {
  score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex] = 0.0;
  }
 }
// allocating memory for scorebuffer
scorebuffer.label = (char *) malloc(sizeof(char) * (totlabel + numentities));
```

```
scorebuffer.reldif = (double *) malloc((numdescripts+1) *sizeof(double));
```

// memory allocation for main complete
printf("\nmalloc complete - memory allocated\n");

```
// calculate and print the number of combinatorial comparisons per each set of
// desriptors in a comparison set
divisor = 3;
for(i=3; i<numentities; i++)
{
    divisor = divisor + i;
    }
printf("\n\nThere are %.0f possible comparisons for each descriptive field", divisor);</pre>
```

printf("\n\n1 here are %.0f possible comparisons for each descriptive field", divisor) printf("\nin each comparison set\n\n");

```
// initializing variables before relative difference calculation
entityindex = 1; // first entity
entityindex2 = 0; // second entity
descriptscore = 0.0;
descriptindex = 1; // description being compared
singlemeasureRD = 0.0;
totalmeasureRD = 0.0;
compstart = 0;
(int)conformationidx[entityindex] = 0; // conformation of entity one
(int)conformationidx[entityindex2] = 0; // conformation of entity two
```

```
for(entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities; entityindex++)</pre>
```

```
(int)conformationidx[entityindex] = 0;
}
```

ł

```
// loop through number of calculation sets appropriate amount of times
for(calcsetidx=0; calcsetidx < numcalcsets; calcsetidx++)</pre>
 {
 starttimecalc = time(NULL); // start time for calculation set
 // loop through the appropriate space in the score array for
 // storing newly calculated relative difference score
 for(compindex=compstart; compindex < maxarraysz+compstart; compindex++)
   ł
  // loop through descriptions being compared
  for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
   // loop through entity x
   for (entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities - 1; entityindex++)
     ł
     // loop through entity y
     for (entityindex2=entityindex+1; entityindex2 < numentities; entityindex2++)
      ł
      // calculate relative difference score for each combinatorial
      // descriptor comparison
      descriptscore = \
       (fabs((float)conformation[entityindex])
       [(int)conformationidx[entityindex]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex] - \
       (float)conformation[entityindex2]\
       [(int)conformationidx[entityindex2]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex])) / \
```

```
(0.5 * \
 (fabs((float)conformation[entityindex]\
 [(int)conformationidx[entityindex]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]) + \
 fabs((float)conformation[entityindex2]\
 [(int)conformationidx[entityindex2]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]))) + \
 descriptscore;
 } // end entity 2
} // end entity
```

```
// calculate and store single measure relative difference score
singlemeasureRD = descriptscore / divisor;
scorebuffer.reldif[descriptindex] = singlemeasureRD;
singlemeasureRD = 0.0;
```

```
// keep running total for total measure relative difference score
totalmeasureRD = (descriptscore / divisor) + totalmeasureRD;
descriptscore = 0.0;
} // end descriptindex
```

```
// calculate and store total measure relative difference score
// this is the similarity score
scorebuffer.reldif[numdescripts] = totalmeasureRD / numdescripts;
totalmeasureRD = 0.0;
```

```
// concatenate and store the label of the comparison set aka comparison group
(char *)scorebuffer.label = strcpy(scorebuffer.label, nullstring);
for (entityidxbuff = (entityindex - (numentities - 1)); \
entityidxbuff <= entityindex; entityidxbuff++)
{
    (char *)scorebuffer.label = \
    strcat(scorebuffer.label, \
    conformation[entityidxbuff][(int)conformationidx[entityidxbuff]]->labels);
if (entityidxbuff < entityindex)
    {
    strcat(scorebuffer.label, "_");
    }
}</pre>
```

// heart of keeping the indexes pointing to the right place in the // conformation data - loop through conformations of last entity // until the last conformation of the last entity is reached. Then // increment the second to the last entity to the next conformation // and decrement the last entity to its first conformation. Following // this through all of the entities will cause every conformation to // be compared with every conformation of the other entities. // This is some what analogous to a mechanical odometer (or counter) (int)conformationidx[argc-2] = (int)conformationidx[argc-2] + 1;

```
if((int)conformationidx[argc-2] >= (int)numconfs[argc-2])
```

ł

```
for (confindex = (argc-2); confindex > 0; confindex--)
{
    if((int)conformationidx[confindex] >= (int)numconfs[confindex])
    {
}
```

```
(int)conformationidx[confindex] = 0;
(int)conformationidx[confindex-1] = (int)conformationidx[confindex-1] + 1;
}
}
```

// putting a label with the scores

score[compindex-compstart]->label = strcpy(score[compindex-compstart]->label, scorebuffer.label);
for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)</pre>

score[compindex-compstart]->reldif[descriptindex] = scorebuffer.reldif[descriptindex];
}

} // end of numcomps computational group loop

```
endtimecalc = time(NULL); // end time for set of comparison claculations
totaltime = endtimecalc - starttimecalc; // total time for calculations
printf("\n\n%i total comparisons have been completed", maxarraysz * (calcsetidx+1));
printf("\n%i comparisons of been calculated in %.0f seconds", \
maxarraysz, totaltime);
```

starttimewrite = time(NULL); // start time for write to output file

```
// open outfile for writing
if ((outfilefd = fopen(outfilename, "a")) == NULL)
{
    printf("\ncan not open %s\n", outfilename);
    exit(2);
}
```

```
// loop writes/appends calculations to outfile
for(compindex=0; compindex < maxarraysz; compindex++)
{
    fprintf(outfilefd, "%s,", score[compindex]->label);
    for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
    {
      fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f,", score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex]);
    }
    fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f\n", score[compindex]->reldif[numdescripts]);
}
```

fclose(outfilefd); // close outfile

```
endtimewrite = time(NULL); // end time for write to output file
totaltime = endtimewrite - starttimewrite; // total time for file write
printf("\n%i lines written to the output file in %.0f seconds", \
maxarraysz, totaltime);
```

```
// reset the combinatorial calculation array
compstart = compstart + maxarraysz;
for(compindex2=0; compindex2 < maxarraysz; compindex2++)
{
    for(descriptindex2=0; descriptindex2 < numdescripts+1; descriptindex2++)
    {
      score[compindex2]->reldif[descriptindex2] = 0.0;
    }
}
```

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

```
}
// printf("\narray reset");
}
```

```
endtimetotal = time(NULL); // end time before last array
totaltime = endtimetotal - starttimetotal; // total time before last array
printf("\n\ntotal time before last array %.0f seconds", totaltime);
```

starttimecalc = time(NULL); // start time for last array calculation time

```
// start of last array
printf("\nstarting last set of %i calculations", lastarraysz);
```

```
// loop through the appropriate space in the score array for
// storing newly calculated relative difference score
for(compindex=compstart; compindex < compstart+lastarraysz; compindex++)
// loop through descriptions being compared
 for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
  // loop through entity x
  for (entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities - 1; entityindex++)
   ł
   // loop through entity y
   for (entityindex2=entityindex+1; entityindex2 < numentities; entityindex2++)
     ł
    // calculate relative difference score for each combinatorial
    // descriptor comparison
    descriptscore = \
     (fabs((float)conformation[entityindex])
     [(int)conformationidx[entityindex]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex] - \
     (float)conformation[entityindex2]
     [(int)conformationidx[entityindex2]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex])) / \
     (0.5 * \
     (fabs((float)conformation[entityindex])
     [(int)conformationidx[entityindex]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]) + \
     fabs((float)conformation[entityindex2]\
     [(int)conformationidx[entityindex2]]->descriptivedata[descriptindex]))) + \
     descriptscore;
     } // end entity 2
   } // end entity
```

```
// calculate and store single measure relative difference score
singlemeasureRD = descriptscore / divisor;
scorebuffer.reldif[descriptindex] = singlemeasureRD;
singlemeasureRD = 0.0;
```

```
// keep running total for total measure relative difference score
totalmeasureRD = (descriptscore / divisor) + totalmeasureRD;
descriptscore = 0.0;
} // end of description loop
```

```
// calculate and store total measure relative difference score
// this is the similarity score
scorebuffer.reldif[numdescripts] = totalmeasureRD / numdescripts;
totalmeasureRD = 0.0;
```

```
// concatenate and store the label of the comparison set aka comparison group
(char *)scorebuffer.label = strcpy(scorebuffer.label, nullstring);
for (entityidxbuff = (entityindex - (numentities - 1)); \
entityidxbuff <= entityindex; entityidxbuff++)
{
  (char *)scorebuffer.label = \
  strcat(scorebuffer.label, \
     conformation[entityidxbuff][(int)conformationidx[entityidxbuff]]->labels);
  if (entityidxbuff < entityindex)
     {
     strcat(scorebuffer.label, "_");
     }
  }
```

```
// heart of keeping the indexes pointing to the right place in the
// conformation data - loop through conformations of last entity
// until the last conformation of the last entity is reached. Then
// increment the second to the last entity to the next conformation
// and decrement the last entity to its first conformation. Following
// this through all of the entities will cause every conformation to
// be compared with every conformation of the other entities.
// This is some what analogous to a mechanical odometer (or counter)
(int) conformationidx [argc-2] = (int) conformationidx [argc-2] + 1;
if((int)conformationidx[argc-2] >= (int)numconfs[argc-2])
 for (confindex = (argc-2); confindex > 0; confindex--)
  if((int)conformationidx[confindex] >= (int)numconfs[confindex])
   (int)conformationidx[confindex] = 0;
   (int)conformationidx[confindex-1] = (int)conformationidx[confindex-1] + 1;
   ł
  }
 }
```

// putting a label with the scores

ł

```
score[compindex-compstart]->label = strcpy(score[compindex-compstart]->label, scorebuffer.label);
for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex <= numdescripts; descriptindex++)</pre>
```

```
score[compindex-compstart]->reldif[descriptindex] = scorebuffer.reldif[descriptindex];
}
```

} // end of compindex computational group loop endtimecalc = time(NULL); totaltime = endtimecalc - starttimecalc; printf("\n\n%i comparisons have been completed", numcomps); printf("\n%i comparisons of been calculated in %.0f seconds", \

## lastarraysz, totaltime);

starttimewrite = time(NULL); // start output file write time
// open outfile for writing
if ((outfilefd = fopen(outfilename, "a")) == NULL)
{
 printf("\ncan not open %s\n", outfilename);
 exit(2);
 }
fflush(NULL);
// loop appends last array calculations to outfile
for(compindex=0; compindex < lastarraysz; compindex++)
 {
 fprintf(outfilefd, "%s,", score[compindex]->label);
 for(descriptindex=0; descriptindex < numdescripts; descriptindex++)
 {
 fprintf(outfilefd, "%.12f,", score[compindex]->reldif[descriptindex]);
 }
 fclose(outfilefd); // close outfile
endtimewrite = time(NULL); // end output file write time
totaltime = endtimewrite - starttimewrite; // total file write time

```
totaltime = endtimewrite - starttimewrite; // total file write time
printf("\n%i lines written to the output file in %.0f seconds", \
lastarraysz, totaltime);
```

```
endtimetotal = time(NULL); // end total time
totaltime = difftime(endtimetotal, starttimetotal);
printf("\n\ntotal time %.0f seconds\n\n", totaltime); // total run time
```

// freeing memory

```
printf("\nstarting to free memory\n");
for(entityindex=0; entityindex < numentities; entityindex++)
 ł
 for(confindex=0; confindex < (int)numconfs[entityindex]; confindex++)</pre>
  ł
  free(conformation[entityindex][confindex]->labels);
  free(conformation[entityindex][confindex]->descriptivedata);
  free(conformation[entityindex][confindex]);
  }
 free(conformation[entityindex]);
free(conformation);
for(compindex=0; compindex < lastarraysz; compindex++)
 ł
 free(score[compindex]->label);
 free(score[compindex]->reldif);
 free(score[compindex]);
```

free(score);
free(scorebuffer.reldif);
free(scorebuffer.label);

printf("\nmemory free\n");

printf("\nDone!\n");

return(0);
}

}

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

## **Bibliography**

Accelrys, Inc., San Diego, California, www.accelrys.com

AESOP developed by B.B. Masek, Zeneca, Wilmington, Delaware.

- Baumann, B.H., Pable, J.P., Ali, S.F., Rothman, R.B., and Mash, D.C. Noribogaine (12-hydroxyibogaine0: a biologically active metabolite of the antiaddicitive drug ibogaine. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 2000, **914**, 354-368.
- Baase, S., Van Gelder, A. Computer Algorithms Introduction to Design and Analysis. Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, CA, 2000
- Blumenthal, L.M. *Theory and applications of distance geometry*. Chelsea Publishing, Bronx, 1970.
- Crippen, G.M. A novel approach to calculations of conformation: distance geometry. *J. Comp. Phys.* 1997, **24**, 96-107.
- Dean, P.M., and Perkins, T.D.J. Calculation of three-dimensional similarity. In:
   Designing bioactive molecules: three-dimensional techniques and applications,
   Martin, Y.C., and Willet, P., Eds., ACS, Washington DC, 1998, pp. 199-218.

- Gerdes, J.M., DeFina, S.C., Wilson, P.A., and Taylor, S.E. Serotonin transporter inhibitors: synthesis and binding potency of 2'-methyl- and 3'-methyl-6nitroquipazine. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2000, **10**, 2643-2646.
- Greco, G., Novellino, E., and Martin, Y.C. 3D-QSAR methods. In: *Designing bioactive molecules: three-dimensional techniques and applications*, Martin, Y.C., and Willet, P., Eds., ACS, Washington DC, 1998, pp. 219-252.
- Gundertofte, K., Bøgesø, K.P., and Liljefors, T. A stereoselective pharmacophore model of the serotonin re-uptake site. In: *Computer-assisted lead finding and optimization*, Waterbeemd, H., Testa, B., and Folkers, G., Eds., VHCA, Basil, and Wiley-VHC, Weinheim, 1997, pp. 445-459.
- Jin, B., and Hopfinger, A.J. A proposed common spatial pharmacophore and the corresponding active conformations of some TxA<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* 1994, **34**, 1014-1021.
- Martin, Y.C. Pharmacophore Mapping. In: Designing bioactive molecules: threedimensional techniques and applications, Martin, Y.C., and Willet, P., Eds., ACS, Washington DC, 1998, pp. 121-148.

Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, www.microsoft.com

- Mottola, D.M., Laiter, S., Watts, V.J., Tropsha, A., Wyrick, S.D., Nichols, D.E., and Mailman, R.B. Conformational analysis of D<sub>1</sub> dopamine receptor agonists: pharmacophore assessment and receptor mapping. *J. Med Chem.* 1996, **39**, 285-296.
- Nicklaus, M.C., Wang, S., Driscoll, J.S., and Milne, G.W. Conformational changes of small molecules binding to proteins. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 1995, **3**, 411-428.
- Papadopoulos, M.C., and Dean, P.M. Molecular structure matching by simulated annealing. IV. Classification of atom correspondences in sets of dissimilar molecules. J. Comput.-Aided Mol. Design 1991, 4, 119-133.
- Perkins, T.D.J., and Dean, P.M. Molecular partial similarity using surfacevolume comparisons. In: *Computer-assisted lead finding and optimization*, Waterbeemd, H., Testa, B., and Folkers, G., Eds., VHCA, Basil, and Wiley-VHC, Weinheim, 1997, pp. 421-432.
- Rupp, A., Kovar, K., Beuerle, G., Ruf, C., and Folkers, G. A new pharmacophoric model for 5HT reuptake-inhibitors: differentiation of amphetamine analogues. *Pharma. Acta Helv.* 1994, **68**, 235-244.

Tripos, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri, www.tripos.com

197

Vaswani, M., Linda, F.K., and Ramesh, S. Role of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors in Psychiatric Disorders: a Comprehensive Review. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry. 2002, 27, 85-102

WaveMetrics, Inc., Lake Oswego, Oregon, www.wavemetrics.com

Weiss, M.A. Data Structures and Algorithm Analysis in C. Menlo Park, CA, 1997.

Wilson, J.D. Physics Laboratory Experiments. D.C. Heath and Company,

Lexington, 1986, pp. 9-10.