University of Montana

ScholarWorks at University of Montana

Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers

Graduate School

1950

On Two-Fold Generalizations of Cauchy's Lemma

Daniel Edmund Coffey
The University of Montana

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Coffey, Daniel Edmund, "On Two-Fold Generalizations of Cauchy's Lemma" (1950). *Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers.* 9292.

https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd/9292

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.

ON TWO-POLD GENERALIZATIONS OF CAUCHY'S LEMMA

by

Daniel E. Coffey

B.B.D., Illinois State Hormal University, 1932

Presented in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Arts

Montana State University 1950

APP ROVED:

Chairman of the Board of Examiners

Chairman of Committee on Craduate Study

UMI Number: EP72601

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



UMI EP72601

Published by ProQuest LLC (2015). Copyright in the Dissertation held by the Author.

Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC. All rights reserved. This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code



ProQuest LLC. 789 East Eisenhower Parkway P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, MI 48106 - 1346

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express his appreciation to H. Chatland under whose supervision this paper was written.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																				Page
	Intro	ođu	10 f	ti	nc	٠	G	6	0	•	•	9	٠	•	•	9	•	6	9	1
	Case	1.	•	•	0	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•		9	•	•	•	6	4
	Case	2	•	•	•	9	9	•	•	٥	•	•	۵	•	ø	0	•	۰	9	4
	Case	3	•	9	٠	6	•	٠	6	٠	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	9	•	5
	Case	4	•	•	9	•	0	۰	•	0	6	•	9	٠	•	9	ø	۰	•	5
	Case	5	•	9	•	•	•		•	•	٥	o	ø	9	0	9	ø	•	•	5
	Case	6	٥	6	•	9	٥	Ð	6	Đ	•	•	•	•	•	6	•		•	5
	Case	7	•	•		•	œ	•	•	•	0	ø	•	٠	٠	•		٠	•	5
	Case	8	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	5
	Case	9	ø	•	•	•	•	•	9	•	•	•	•	8	•	•	۰	•	•	5
	Case	10)	٠	•	٥	•	•	0	•	•	•	٠	•	٥	•	•	•	•	5
	Case	11	_	•	•	•	٥	•	•	٠	•	0	٠	•	•	٠	۰	•	•	5
	Six 1	Se n	une	38	ø	•	•	•	•	•	•	o	٠	•	•	•		0	•	6-8
	Bibli	Loe	j l' e	ıpl	ŋу	ø	•	•	٠	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	9

INTRODUCTION

We investigate the conditions on a and b under which there exists integral solutions greater than -K of the pair of equations:

(1)
$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{S} c_i x_i^*$$
; $b = \sum_{i=1}^{S} c_i x_i$,

where K is an integer, while each c_i is a given positive integer. Since each solution is greater than -K, each $x_i \stackrel{>}{=} -K + 1$. For solutions $\stackrel{>}{=} 0$ we take K = 1. Denote the sum of the c_i by t.

Necessary conditions for solutions are:

(2) a, b integers,
$$b \stackrel{>}{=} 11(1 - K)$$
, a = b (mod 2).

A. L. Cauchy investigated the conditions on a and b when each $e_i = 1$. In his paper, "Two-Fold Generalizations of Cauchy's Lemma", L. E. Dickson $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}^i$ treated all cases in which

 $\sum_{i=1}^{4}$, t=8. H. Chatland [2] investigated the cases in which $\sum_{i=1}^{4}$, t=9. Emma Bravo [3] investigated the cases in which $\sum_{i=1}^{4}$, t=10. In this paper cases in which $\sum_{i=1}^{4}$, t=11, are

Theorem: Let (c₁···c₄) be one of the eleven sets below.

Let (2) hold and

(3) $ta = b^2$, $(t - 1)a < b^2 + 2bK + tK^2$.

considered.

Then there exist integral solutions greater than -K of (1) with s = 4, if the following forms are "regular", where regular is

[.] Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper.

defined as follows:

<u>Definition</u>: Let f denote a certain form. If all the positive integers not represented by f coincide with all the positive integers contained in certain arithmetical progressions, the form f is called regular.

The general $f = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2$ denoted by (a,b,c) was treated by B. W. Jones [4].

When the greatest common divisor of a,b,c is 1, he found that exactly 102 forms are regular.

The same general method may be applied in all cases.

The problem of finding the conditions on a and b has been reduced by L. E. Dickson [1] to one of finding the representations of a ternary quadratic form. He arrives at the identity:

$$(4) \quad (c_3+c_4)(ta-b^2) = (c_1+c_2)v^2 + c_1(c_3+c_4)(2vd+gd^2) + c_3c_4tw^2$$

$$g = c_2 + c_3 + c_4$$

$$t = c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4$$

$$w = x_3 - x_4$$

$$d = x_1 - x_2$$

$$v = (c_3 + c_4)x_2 - c_3x_3 - c_4x_4$$

By transforming this identity into one involving only squares, we get our ternary quadratic forms.

We shall then show the x_i's to be integers. It then happens that the eleven ternary quadratics forms of this paper are all shown to be irregular by comparison with the table of B. W. Jones [5].

Case I:

$$s = 4$$
, $t = 11$, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = 1$, $c_4 = 8$. We have by (4)

$$9(11a - b^{2}) = 2v^{2} + 18vd + 90d^{2} + 88w^{2}$$

$$18(11a - b^{2}) = 4v^{2} + 36vd + 180d^{2} + 176w^{2}$$

$$= (2v + 9d)^{2} + 99d^{2} + 176w^{2}$$
Set $\xi = (2v + 9d)$
Set $\theta = 11a - b^{2}$

Then
$$f = 180 = \xi^2 + 990^2 + 176w^2$$

We have
$$b = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + 8x_4$$

 $v = 9x_2 - x_3 - 8x_4$
 $d = x_1 - x_2$
 $w = x_3 - x_4$

Solving these we find that

$$11x_{1} = b + v + 10d$$

$$11x_{2} = b + v - d$$

$$99x_{3} = 9b - 9d - 2v + 88w$$

$$99x_{4} = 9b - 9d - 2v - 11w$$

Our problem here is to show that the x's are integers.

Proof: By hypothesis as b (mod 2). Then $\xi^* + 99d^* \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\xi^* + d^* \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\xi \equiv d \pmod{2}$. Therefore v is an integer. From 70 we have $\xi^* \equiv 70 \pmod{11}$ and $\xi^* \equiv -7b^* \pmod{11}$ and $\xi^* \equiv 4b^* \pmod{11}$. $\xi \equiv 2b \pmod{11}$. By choice of signs $\xi \equiv -2b \pmod{11}$. Then $2v + 2b + 9d \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ and $2v + 2b + 20d \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$, and $2(v + b + 10d) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$; also, $v + b + 10d \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$, and $v + b - d \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ and x_1 and x_2 are integers.

Let b + v - d = n, and 9b - 9d - 2v = m. Then $9n \equiv m \pmod{11}$, also -9n + m + 88w = 11(8w - v). By 9θ , $88w^* + 2v^* \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$. Therefore $8w - v \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$, or $8w + v \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$. Choose the sign of v so that $8w - v \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$. Then $11(8w-v) \equiv 0 \pmod{99}$. also 9n - m + 44w = 11(4w + v). Since $8w - v \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$, $v - 8w \equiv v + w \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$. Therefore $11(v + w) \equiv 0 \pmod{99}$ and the x, s are integers.

There are eleven possible combinations of the sum of four integers t, t = 11, as follows:

$$(1,1,1,8)$$
, $(1,1,2,7)$, $(1,1,3,6)$, $(1,1,4,5)$, $(1,2,2,6)$, $(1,2,3,5)$, $(1,2,4,4)$, $(1,3,3,4)$, $(2,2,2,5)$, $(2,2,3,4)$, $(2,3,3,3)$.

Using the identity (4) we get the following ternary quadratic forms:

Case 1:
$$t = 11$$
, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = 1$, $c_4 = 8$.

3y (4)

9(11a - b*) = 2v* + 18vd + 90d* + 88w*

18(11a - b*) = 4v* + 36vd + 180d* + 176w*

= $(2v + 9d)^2 + 99d^2 + 176w^4$

Set $\xi^2 = (2v + 9d)^*$

Then $18(11a - b^*) = \xi^* + 99d^* + 176w^*$

Case 2:
$$t = 11$$
, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = 2$, $c_4 = 7$.

By (4)

9(11a - b²) = 2v² + 18vd + 90d² + 154w²

18(11a - b²) = 4v² + 36vd + 180d² + 308w²

= (2v + 9d)² + 99d² + 308w²

Set } = (2v + 9d)*

Then $18(11a - b^2) = 5^2 + 990^2 + 308w^2$

By (4) the remaining ternary quadratic forms are:

Case 3:
$$t = 11$$
, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = 3$, $c_4 = 6$.

 $18(11a - b^*) = 5^* + 990^* + 396w^*$

Case 4:
$$t = 11$$
, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = 4$, $c_4 = 5$.
 $18(11a - b^2) = 3^2 + 996^2 + 440w^2$

Case 5:
$$t = 11$$
, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 2$, $c_3 = 2$, $c_4 = 6$.
 $24(11a - b^*) = \xi^* + 176d^* + 396w^*$

Case 6:
$$t = 11$$
, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 2$, $c_3 = 3$, $c_4 = 5$.
 $24(11a - b^*) = \xi^* + 176a^* + 495w^*$

Case 7:
$$t = 11$$
, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 2$, $c_3 = 4$, $c_4 = 4$.
 $24(11a - b^*) = 5^* + 176d^* + 528w^*$

Case 8:
$$t = 11$$
, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 3$, $c_3 = 3$, $c_4 = 4$.
 $28(11a - b^*) = 5^* + 2314^* + 528w^*$

Case 9: t = 11,
$$c_1 = 2$$
, $c_2 = 2$, $c_3 = 2$, $c_4 = 5$.

 $7(11a - b^*) = \xi^* + 77d^* + 110w^*$

Case 10:
$$t = 11$$
, $c_1 = 2$, $c_2 = 2$, $c_3 = 3$, $c_4 = 4$.

$$7(11a - b^*) = \xi^* + 77d^* + 132w^*$$

Case 11:
$$t = 11$$
, $c_1 = 2$, $c_2 = 3$, $c_3 = 3$, $c_4 = 3$.

30(11a - b*) = $5^2 + 3964^2 + 495 w^2$

By comparing the eleven ternary quadratic forms above with the table of B. W. Jones [5] we find all of these forms to be irregular.

<u>Tefinition</u>: Given a form f. If there does not exist an arithmetical progression containing the positive integer K, not represented by f, all of whose positive terms are not represented by f, f is called irregular.

Six Lemmas useful in obtaining integral solutions for irregular forms [5].

Lemma 1: If p is an odd prime dividing neither a nor b and if K is any integer, ax* + by* = K (mod p) is solvable.

Proof: x^* takes $1 + \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ values incongruent modulo p. The same is therefore true of $ax^2 - K$ and of -by*. One of the values of the former is congruent to one of the values of the latter, since otherwise there would be p+1 integers incongruent modulo p, which is absurd.

Write f = ax + by + cz =

Lemma 2: If abc is not divisible by the odd prime p, and if K is any integer, f = K (mod p) has solutions with x and y not both divisible by p.

Proof: According as K is not or is divisible by p, take $z \equiv 0$, or $z \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then $\ell = K - cz^*$ is not divisible by p. By Lemma 1, $ax^* + by^* \equiv \ell \pmod{p}$ has integral solutions x,y, which are evidently not both divisible by p.

Lemma 3: If abc is not divisible by the odd prime p, and if K and n are arbitrary integers, $n \ge 1$, $f = K \pmod{p^n}$ has solutions with x and y not both divisible by p.

Proof: This is true when n=1 by Lemma 2. To proceed by induction from $n=m \ge 1$ to n=m+1, let $f \le X$ (mod p^m) have solutions ξ, η, \mathcal{T} , such that ξ and η are not both divisible by p. Then $a\xi^a + b\eta^a + c\mathcal{T}^a = K + p^mq$, where q is an integer. Take $x = \xi + p^mX$, $y = \eta + p^mY$, and $z = \mathcal{T} + p^mZ$.

Then $f = K + p^{mq} + 2p^{m}L \pmod{p^{m+1}}$ $L = a\xi X + b\eta Y + e\jmath Z.$

We can choose X, Y, Z as follows so that $q + 2L \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. If ξ is not divisible by p, take Y = Z = 0, $2a\xi X \equiv -q \pmod{p}$. If η is not divisible by p, take X = Z = 0. In either case, $f \equiv K \pmod{p}$ and x and y are not both divisible by p. The induction is complete.

Lemma 4: If an odd prime p divides c, but not abk, $f \in K \pmod{p^R}$ has solutions with x and y not both divisible by p.

Proof: This is true when n = 1 by Lemma 1. It follows for any n by induction as in the proof of Lemma 3.

Lemma 5: If an odd prime p divides c and K, but not ab, and if -ab is a quadratic residue of p, $f = K \pmod{p^n}$ has solutions with x and y both prime to p.

Proof: There exist integers P and R, prime to p, such that -ab $\equiv P^a$, P $\equiv aR$, whence $aR^a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Hence $f \equiv K \pmod{p}$ has the solutions x = R, y = 1, z arbitrary. The proof for any n by induction is like that of Lemma 3 with Y = Z = 0.

Lemma 6: If K is odd and if $f \in K \pmod{8}$ is solvable, then $f \in K \pmod{2^n}$ is solvable when n is arbitrary.

<u>Proof:</u> To proceed by induction, let $f = K \pmod{2^n}$ have solutions ξ, η, γ , for m = 3. Then $ax^2 + by^2 + cy^3 = K + 2^mq$.

Take $x = \xi + 2^{m-1}x$, $y = \eta + 2^{m-1}x$, and $z = f + 2^{m-1}z$.

Then $f = K + 2^m q + 2^m l \pmod{2^{m+1}}$,

 $L = a\xi X + b\eta Y + cyZ.$

Since K is odd, as, by, cy, are not all even. Hence there exist

integral solutions X, Y, Z, of $q + L \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Hence $f \equiv K \pmod{2^{m+1}}$ and the induction is complete.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 56, p. 513
- 2. Harold Chatland, (Unpublished Masters Thesis), Chicago, Ill., December, 1935.
- 3. Emma Bravo, (Masters Thesis), Montana State University, Missoula, Montana, 1939.
- 4. B. W. Jones, (Unpublished dissertation), University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, 1928.
- 5. L. E. Dickson, Modern Elementary Theory of Numbers, Chicago, The University of Chicago Press, 1939.
- 6. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 33(1931) pp. 92, 111.