# University of Montana

# ScholarWorks at University of Montana

Public and Community Health Sciences Faculty Publications

Public and Community Health Sciences

2011

# Libby Amphibole Contamination in Tree Bark Surrounding Historical Vermiculite Processing Facilities

MohamedMorgan I. Elashheb

Terry M. Spear

Julie F. Hart

James S. Webber

Tony J. Ward University of Montana - Missoula, tony.ward@mso.umt.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umt.edu/pchs\_pubs

Part of the Public Health Commons Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

#### **Recommended Citation**

Elashheb, MohamedMorgan I.; Spear, Terry M.; Hart, Julie F.; Webber, James S.; and Ward, Tony J., "Libby Amphibole Contamination in Tree Bark Surrounding Historical Vermiculite Processing Facilities" (2011). *Public and Community Health Sciences Faculty Publications*. 19. https://scholarworks.umt.edu/pchs\_pubs/19

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Public and Community Health Sciences at ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in Public and Community Health Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.



# Libby Amphibole Contamination in Tree Bark Surrounding Historical Vermiculite Processing Facilities

# Mohamed I. Elashheb<sup>1</sup>, Terry M. Spear<sup>2</sup>, Julie F. Hart<sup>2</sup>, James S. Webber<sup>3</sup>, Tony J. Ward<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Environmental Health Sciences, The University of Montana, Missoula, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Safety, Health and Industrial Hygiene, Montana Tech of the University of Montana, Butte, USA; <sup>3</sup>New York State Department of Health, Wadsworth Center, Albany, USA.

Email: \*Tony.Ward@mso.umt.edu

Received August 13<sup>th</sup>, 2011; revised September 15<sup>th</sup>, 2011; accepted October 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2011.

## ABSTRACT

Over a 70-year period, a mine near Libby, MT supplied nearly 80% of the world's vermiculite. Raw vermiculite, which was contaminated with naturally occurring amphibole in veins throughout the deposit, was shipped to processing sites throughout the United States for exfoliation. In this pilot study, tree bark samples were collected near processing facilities in Spokane, WA, Santa Ana, CA, Newark, CA, and Phoenix, AZ in an effort to determine if areas surrounding these facilities are today contaminated with Libby amphibole asbestos (AA). From areas surrounding each of the four historical processing sites, Libby AA was detected in a subset of the bark samples. At the Santa Ana, Newark and Phoenix facilities, actinolite-tremolite and other high Fe Ca-bearing amphibole were also measured from the bark samples. In addition, chrysotile was frequently measured in samples collected from each of the sites. From the results of this pilot study, it is evident that tree bark can serve as reservoirs of asbestos, and indicators of past and current contamination. These data also suggest that areas outside of these historical processing facilities may today have some level of existing contamination resulting from the operation of these facilities.

Keywords: Vermiculite, Asbestos, Amphibole, Libby, Exfoliation, Tree Bark

#### **1. Introduction**

Prior to 1990, up to 80% of the world's vermiculite was derived from a mine near Libby. Montana [1]. The vermiculite ore mined from Zonolite Mountain seven miles northeast of Libby was contaminated with fibrous and asbestiform amphibole in veins throughout the deposit [2], containing a combination of winchite (84%), richterite (11%) and tremolite (6%) [3]. As a result of this contamination, occupational exposure to Libby amphibole asbestos (AA) has led to a significant increase of serious respiratory diseases such as lung cancer, pleural cancer and asbestosis among the former mine workers [4-6]. In addition, pleural abnormalities have been defined in 17.8% of the 6668 participants who lived or worked in the Libby area prior to 1991 [7]. In October 2002, Libby was added to the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) National Priorities List, and in June 2009 the town of Libby was designated a public health emergency. This is the only time EPA has made such a

declaration.

Libby AA has been measured outside of Libby as well. Between the 1920s and 1990s, vermiculite mined from Libby (estimated in the millions of tons) was shipped by railroad to 245 facilities within the US for processing via exfoliation [13]. Exfoliation refers to a commercial process where vermiculite is rapidly heated to expand it into low-density, accordion-like nuggets [13]. At the facilities, the raw vermiculite was typically unloaded manually by workers using shovels and front loaders, and stored on site until transferred to an exfoliation furnace where it was heated to a temperature between 1500 and 2000 °F [14]. The processed vermiculite was then stored on site until packaged in various forms for commercial use such as attic insulation. In facilities such as the Western Mineral Products Site in Minneapolis, Minnesota additional products such as Monokote (a fire proofing material that combined vermiculite and chrysotile asbestos) were also produced [15].

In 2008, ATSDR released a study in which 28 out of

245 sites were selected for detailed evaluation for on-site Libby AA contamination. At many of these facilities, Libby AA was found in exterior soil and indoor dust in areas where vermiculite and waste rock were unloaded or stored. At the present time, many of these former exfoliation sites are occupied with commercial and industrial operations not related to the original exfoliation processes. In addition to on-site contamination, we hypothesize that airborne emissions of Libby amphibole fibers from exhaust stacks and fugitive emissions from vermiculite storage sites may have been dispersed into the areas surrounding these locations.

In this manuscript, we report on a study in which tree bark samples were collected surrounding historical Libby vermiculite processing facilities located in Spokane (WA), Newark (CA), Santa Ana (CA), and Phoenix (AZ). The goal of this research project was to determine if Libby AA emanated from the industrial sites during the periods of operation of these facilities, and if trees surrounding these facilities are today contaminated with Libby AA.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

Tree bark samples were collected in areas surrounding the former vermiculite processing facility in Spokane, WA, on March 9, 2009. Between June 8 and June 10, 2010, tree bark samples were collected in areas surrounding three other former facilities in Newark, CA, Santa Ana, CA and Phoenix, AZ. Bark samples were also collected in Missoula, MT to serve as control samples. The Spokane site (located approximately 160 kilometers from Libby) was selected because it is one of the closest former processing facilities to Libby. The remaining three sites were selected based on a ranking system, considering 1) the tonnage of raw vermiculite processed, 2) the year that exfoliation work was terminated at the site, 3) the population density within one mile of the site and 4) the total duration of site operation. **Table 1** presents the characteristics of each site.

#### 2.1. Tree Bark Sampling

At each location, bark was collected from several tree species native to the area. A pry-bar or spatula was used to collect a  $\sim 200$ -gram piece (with surface area between 50 - 150 cm<sup>2</sup>) of bark from approximately four feet above the base of each tree. These were placed into labeled plastic bags. The spatula/pry-bar was wiped down after each sample collection with isopropyl alcohol and laboratory tissues. In total, 22 samples were collected from around the Spokane facility. At the Santa Ana, Newark, and Phoenix facilities, 40, 22 and 25 samples, respectively, were collected in proximity to the facilities. Because asbestos fibers can become airborne and easily dispersed, the majority of samples were collected in areas predominantly downwind of the facilities.

#### 2.2. Tree Bark Analyses

Following the MD Webber method [8], samples of approximately 1 gram (normalized to 10 cm<sup>2</sup> surface area) were weighed, dried to stable mass at 60 to 100°C, ashed at 450°C for ~16 hours, and re-weighed to determine percentage loss of organic material. Residue, typically 5% of original mass, was suspended in filtered deionized water, thoroughly mixed, and filtered through 0.4-um polycarbonate filters before being prepared for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis using carbon coating and ethylene-diamine dissolution onto TEM grids.

All 22 samples from the Spokane site were analyzed whereas funding constraints limited us to only five samples per site from the Santa Ana, Newark, and Phoenix sites. As the goal of this project was to detect Libby amphibole in the areas surrounding the historical facilities, the five samples from the latter three sites were chosen

Factor/Site	Spokane, WA <sup>A</sup>	Santa Ana, CA <sup>B</sup> Newark, CA <sup>C</sup>		Phoenix, AZ <sup>D</sup>
Years of Operation	1951-1973	1971-1993	1966-1993	1964-1992
Tons Processed	10,317 (between 1967 and 1973)	7 and 1973) 453,000 337,100		254,900
Pop. Within 1 mile	17,214 35,832 10,		10,183	12,915
Surrounding area use	Commercial and residentialLight industrial and commercial, elementary school (1950-present)Mixed commercial, i and residentia200 yards away200 yards awayAnd residentia		Mixed commercial, industrial and residential	Industrial, commercial and residential
Prevailing wind	Prevailing wind Southwest Southwest		Northwest	Variable, west in the daytime and east in the evening
Sample collection direction from facility	All directions, primarily north and northeast	All directions, primarily north and east	All directions, primarily northeast and southeast	All directions, primarily east

rubic it Description of termiculte processing ruchities in Spontancy (11) Sunta Thay only remaining on and rubering in
--

<sup>A</sup>ATSDR, Vermiculite northwest, Spokane, WA [16]; <sup>B</sup>ATSDR, Fact sheet, Santa Ana, CA [17]; <sup>C</sup>ATSDR, Fact sheet, Newark, CA [18]; <sup>D</sup>ATSDR, Fact sheet, Phoenix, CA [19].

from trees close to and downwind of the facility. For each sample, four different dilutions were prepared from the ashed bark in an effort to eliminate both over and under-loading of TEM grids.

Once the grids were prepared, they were sent to ALS Laboratories (Cincinnati, OH) for TEM analysis. TEM analysis was performed at a screen magnification of at least 15,000× on a Philips CM-12 TEM with EDAX

Genesis System providing Energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (EDXA) capabilities. Identification and measurement of asbestos structures were conducted according to AHERA protocol [20].

#### 3. Results

Table 2 presents the summary of findings from theSpokane site, while Table 3 presents the results from

	Table 2. Summary of tree bark results from the Spokane site.									
	Asbestos Concentration (s/cm <sup>2</sup> )									
	Total asbe	stos <5 μm	Total asbe	estos >5 μm	Type of tree	Distance from Facility (meters)				
Sample ID	AA	Chrysotile	AA	Chrysotile						
SPK_1	ND	939,506	ND	ND	American Elm	122				
SPK_2	2,400,640	ND	800,213	ND	Douglas fir	61				

Note: AA: Libby Amphibole Asbestos; ND: None detected.

	Tab	ole 3	3. Summaı	y of tree	bark ana	lysis resul	ts of Santa	Ana, Nev	wark and	Phoenix sites.
--	-----	-------	-----------	-----------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------	----------	----------------

			As	bestos Concei	ntration (s/cn	n <sup>2</sup> )				
	_	Total	Asbestos <	5 µm	Total Asbestos > 5 µm		_			
Sample ID	Dilution Prep	AA	A-T	Chrysotile	AA	A-T	Chrysotile	Other Conc. (s/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Type of tree	Distance from Facility (meters)
A. Santa A	na Site									
SA_1	2 2.5 3.5	1,704,683 ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND 333,559	1,136,456 463,192 ND	568,228 ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	Eastern cottonwood	366
SA_2	6	469,716	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	Blue gum Eucalyptus	457
SA_3	1.5 2.5 3	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	744,062 428,992 713,842	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	744,062 ND ND	American Elm	610
SA_4	3 3.5	ND ND	ND ND	ND 543,881	ND ND	ND ND	649,360 ND	ND ND	American Elm	732
B. Newark	Site									
NEW_1	4	ND	ND	1,266,526	ND	ND	ND	ND	Blue gum Eucalyptus	701
NEW_2	1.5 2 2.5 3	ND ND ND ND	807,883 ND ND ND	ND 634,753 1,485,125 842,188	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND	Eastern cottonwood	152
NEW_3	2 2.5	530,103 ND	530,103 ND	2,120,412 ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND 429,191	California redwood	61
NEW_4	2.5 3 3.5	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	1,599,152 2,013,383 1,137,822	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND 671,128 ND	Australian pine	213
NEW_5	3 4 5	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND 480,088 776,296	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	645,265 ND ND	Australian pine	305
C. Phoenix	Site									
PHX_1	3 6	ND ND	ND 473,892	924,072 ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	Snow Gum eucalyptus	274
PHX_2	4	ND	ND	681,027	ND	ND	ND	681,027	Snow Gum eucalyptus	152
PHX_3	3 4	ND ND	ND ND	1,371,575 ND	ND 479,941	ND ND	ND ND	685,787 479,941	Ash-leaf Maple	610

Note: A-T: Actinolite-Tremolite; AA: Libby Amphibole Asbestos; Other fibers: refers to fibers that may be a high Fe Ca-bearing amphibole; ND: None detected.

Santa Ana, Newark, and Phoenix, respectively. Chrysotile and Libby AA were detected in samples collected from trees surrounding the Spokane facility. At the Santa Ana, Newark and Phoenix facilities, actinolite-tremolite (A-T) and other asbestos structures were identified in addition to chrysotile and Libby AA. The term "other fibers" is used in this paper to refer to fibers that appear to be a high Fe Ca-bearing amphibole.

#### 3.1. Spokane Site

Libby AA did not predominate in tree bark samples collected surrounding the Spokane site. Of the 22 bark samples collected and analyzed, only one sample yielded Libby AA (2,400,640 s/cm<sup>2</sup> < 5  $\mu$ m in length, and 800,213 s/m<sup>2</sup> > 5  $\mu$ m in length). Another sample revealed chrysotile structures, with a concentration of 939,506 s/cm<sup>2</sup> (<5  $\mu$ m in length).

#### 3.2. Santa Ana Site

Four of the 40 samples collected were analyzed, on the basis of their location predominantly downwind and near the facility. Two samples yielded AA structures with concentrations ranging from 463,192 to 1,704,683 s/cm<sup>2</sup>. Actinolite-tremolite structures (568,228 s/cm<sup>2</sup>) were detected in a third sample, while another sample contained Fe Ca-bearing amphibole (concentration of 744,062 s/cm<sup>2</sup>). In addition to AA, chrysotile structures were found in three of the four samples with concentrations ranging from 333,559 s/cm<sup>2</sup> to 744,062 s/cm<sup>2</sup> (**Table 3**).

#### 3.3. Newark Site

One of the five samples revealed Libby AA with a concentration of  $530,103 \text{ s/cm}^2$  (**Table 3**). The majority of samples yielded chrysotile structures with concentrations ranging between 480,088 s/cm<sup>2</sup> and 2,120,412 s/cm<sup>2</sup>. Actinolite-tremolite structures were detected in two samples with concentrations of 807,883 s/cm<sup>2</sup> and 530,103 s/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Fe Ca-bearing amphibole fibers were detected in three samples with concentrations ranging between 429,191 s/cm<sup>2</sup> to 671,128 s/cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.4. Phoenix Site

Only one of the three samples analyzed from the Phoenix site indicated the presence of Libby AA (479,941 s/cm<sup>2</sup>), while another sample revealed actinolite-tremolite fibers with a concentration of 473,892 s/cm<sup>2</sup> (**Table 3**). Chrysotile was detected in all three samples that were analyzed from the Phoenix site, with concentrations ranging from 681,027 s/cm<sup>2</sup> to 1,371,575 s/cm<sup>2</sup>. Fe Ca-bearing amphibole fibers were identified in two samples, with concentrations from 479,941 to 685,787 s/cm<sup>2</sup>.

## 3.5. Control Samples

All 11 control samples used for this study were collected

from Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) trees at The University of Montana campus in Missoula. Control samples were treated with the same analytical protocol as the actual samples. For the Spokane bark analytical program, two control bark samples were analyzed in an effort to detect any potential sources of contamination. No Libby AA were detected in any of the nine control samples that were analyzed when processing the samples from the Santa Ana, Newark and Phoenix sites. However, it should be noted that one chrysotile fiber was measured in one of the control bark samples. This chrysotile fiber could have actually been on the control sample (given the historical ubiquity of chrysotile in the 20<sup>th</sup> century), or it could have been contamination that occurred either during the sample preparation or during lab analysis. At any rate, we are confident that this single chrysotile fiber does not indicate a contamination problem with the analytical program.

## 4. Discussion

Tree bark has been used since the late 1980s as biomonitors for both inorganic and organic pollutants [21]. Specifically, polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans [22], polyaromatic hydrocarbons [23], polychlorinated bipheynls [24-26], organochlorine pesticides [27-28], radioactive analytes [29-30], trace metals [31-39], and persistent organic pollutants [40] have all been studied.

In the present study, Libby AA was detected at each of the four sites in a subset of the trees surrounding the historical processing facilities. Meeker et al. [3] conducted the first comprehensive study on Libby asbestos to determine the mineralogy and morphology of both fibrous and non-fibrous amphiboles, supporting the earlier results of Wylie and Verkouteren [41] and Gunter et al. [42]. They described the Libby AA as winchite, richterite, tremolite, and magnesioriebeckite, with the majority of structures displaying a gradient of morphologies between prismatic crystals and asbestiform fibers. Libby amphibole has a standard elemental composition of Si > Mg > Ca > Fe > Na > K, with fibers having a mean length of 4.9 µm, and mean aspect ratio of 17. These characteristics were all used when identifying the Libby AA in bark samples collected surrounding the four historical processing facilities.

The non-Libby AA asbestos structures included actinolite-tremolite (EDXA spectra with just Mg-Ca-Fe-Si peaks present, and occasionally minimal Al) and amphibole fibers that were high in iron and calcium were identified in the bark samples. Chrysotile fibers were also detected in tree bark samples collected around the processing facilities at each of four sites. This finding is not surprising, as chrysotile was widely used in thousands of commercial products from the 1930s through the 1970s, and is still used in asbestos cement, friction materials, roof coatings and gaskets [43]. It is possible that chrysotile's wide spread usage in industry could contribute to its ubiquity in the ambient environment.

**Table 4** shows a comparison between asbestos fiber dimensions measured from the bark samples collected in Libby, Spokane, Santa Ana, Newark, and Phoenix. From the tree bark samples collected surrounding the abandoned vermiculite mine in Libby, the majority of the AA measured are less than 5 micrometers in length (mean =  $3.4 \mu m$ ), with a mean diameter of  $0.39 \mu m$ [8]. Libby AA fibers measured in the Spokane, Santa Ana, Newark, and Phoenix samples were comparable to what was measured in Libby, with mean diameters of  $0.37 - 0.50 \mu m$  and mean lengths of  $2.8 - 5.6 \mu m$ . Chrysotile fibers that were measured at each of the sites had mean diameters of  $\sim 0.1 \mu m$  and mean lengths of  $\sim 1.6 \mu m$ .

There were limitations to this investigative pilot study. We encountered a problem in determining the correct loading for the grids prior to the TEM analysis of the Santa Ana, Newark, and Phoenix bark samples. The protocol we had developed previously for coniferous trees in northwest Montana [8] did not work as well for trees from other parts of the country. Samples collected from the Santa Ana, Newark and Phoenix sites were from several different regional species of trees [Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii), American elm (Ulmus Americana), Eastern cottonwood (Populus deltoids), Blue gum Eucalyptus (Eucalyptus globulus), California redwood (Sequoia sempervirens), Australian pine (Casuarina equisetifolia), Snow Gum eucalyptus (Eucalyptus pauciflora) and Ash-leaf Maple (Acer negundo)]. Hence a series of dilutions were used (for each sample) in preparing the TEM grids before the correct loading was achieved. Overall, this impacted the number of samples we could analyze from each of the sites due to funding constraints.

#### **5.** Conclusions

An EPA assessment published in 2009 showed that Libby amphibole was detected in the soil and indoor dust at the Newark, Santa Ana, and Phoenix historical Libby vermiculite processing facilities [44]. The results from tree bark analyses collected near these same areas (also including the Spokane facility) indicate that trees in the residential/commercial areas surrounding these facilities can serve as reservoirs for asbestos fibers. In addition to amphibole asbestos, chrysotile structures were also detected from the tree bark samples. While amphibole asbestos is most likely associated with the historical Libby vermiculite processing facilities, it is difficult to determine the source of chrysotile structures.

Ewing [45] discusses concentrations of surface dust found in a variety of settings and suggests that a concentration of 1,000 s/cm<sup>2</sup> may be considered clean, whereas concentrations >100,000 fibers indicate contamination. Results from the present study revealed concentrations of chrysotile up to 2 million s/cm<sup>2</sup>, concentrations of Libby AA from ND to 2.8 million s/cm<sup>2</sup>, actinolite-tremolite from ND to 800,000 s/cm<sup>2</sup>, and other fibers ranging from ND to 700,000 s/cm<sup>2</sup>. Many of these samples were collected in areas near residential areas, and in some cases near schools. For comparison, the levels measured in Libby were a great deal higher than what was measured in the present study. Specifically, bark samples collected in proximity to the abandoned vermiculite mine in Libby measured over 100 million s/cm<sup>2</sup> bark surface.

Adgate *et al.* (2011) estimated potential cumulative asbestos exposures to non-occupational individuals in areas surrounding a historical Libby vermiculite processing facility in Minneapolis, Minnesota [46]. In addition to these findings, the results from our study suggest a potential fiber exposure to persons who perform work activities associated with contaminated trees surrounding these facilities. Surfaces other than trees, such as soil, building structures, etc., may be contaminated in these areas as well. Recommendations for future studies include determining the risk of exposure to persons performing work activities on trees in these areas, as well as determining if there is an elevated health risk to the general public when amphibole-contaminated trees are disturbed.

Table 4. Summary of the average dimensions of asbestos fibers measured from the bark samples in Libby, Spokane, Santa Ana, Newark, and Phoenix.

		Amphibole			Chrysotile	
Site	Avg. diameter (µm)	Avg. Length (µm)	Avg. aspect ratio (AR)	Avg. diameter (µm)	Avg. Length (µm)	Avg. aspect ratio (AR)
Libby, MT	0.39	3.4	11.5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spokane, WA	0.37	3.6	9.42	N/A	N/A	N/A
Santa Ana, CA	0.49	5.6	11.2	0.10	1.7	16.0
Newark, CA	0.44	2.8	6.52	0.08	1.5	21.6
Phoenix, AZ	0.50	3.7	7.21	0.11	1.6	17.6

Note: Amphibole represents Libby amphibole and actinolite-tremolite fibers.

#### 6. Acknowledgements

Special thanks to Anna Ristich at ALS Laboratories in Cincinnati, OH. The majority of the work was supported by an NIH COBRE grant p20-RR017670.

#### REFERENCES

[1] U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), "Libby Asbestos Background," 2006.

http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/libby/lbybkgd.html

- [2] J. T. Pardee and E. S. Larsen, "Deposits of Vermiculite and Other Minerals in the Rainy Creek District, Near Libby, Montana," USGS Bull, Vol. 805, 1929, pp. 17-28.
- [3] G. P. Meeker, A. M. Bern, I. K. Brownsfield, H. A. Lowers, S. J. Sutley, T. M. Hoefen, *et al.*, "The Composition and Morphology of Amphiboles from the Rainy Creek Complex, near Libby, Montana," *American Mineralogist*, Vol. 88, No. 2, 2003, pp. 1955-1969.
- [4] J. C. McDonald, A. D. McDonald, B. Armstrong and P. Sebastien, "Cohort study of Mortality of Vermiculite Miners Exposed to Tremolite," *British Journal of Industrial Medicine*, Vol. 43, 1986, pp. 436-444.
- [5] H. E. Amandus and R. Wheeler, "The Morbidity and Mortality of Vermiculite Miners and Millers Exposed to Yremolite-Actinolite: Part II. Mortality," *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, Vol. 11, No. 1, 1987, pp. 15-26. doi:10.1002/ajim.4700110103
- [6] H. E. Amandus, P. E. Wheeler, J. Jankovic and J. Tucker, "The Morbidity and Mortality of Vermiculite Miners and Millers Exposed to Tremolite-Actinolite: Part I. Exposure Estimates," *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, Vol. 11, No. 1, 1987, pp. 1-14. doi:10.1002/ajim.4700110102
- [7] L. A. Peipins, "Radiographic Abnormalities and Exposure to Asbestos Contaminated Vermiculite in the Community of Libby, Montana, USA," *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Vol. 111, No. 14, 2003, pp. 1753-1759. doi:10.1289/ehp.6346
- [8] T. Ward, T. Spear, J. Hart, C. Noonan, A. Holian, M. Getman and J. Webber, "Trees as Reservoirs for Amphibole Fibers in Libby, Montana," *Science of the Total Environment*, Vol. 367, No. 1, 2006, pp. 460-465. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.03.041
- [9] U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), "Asbestos Levels in Tree Bark," Project Number: 0100-008-900, 2008.
- [10] J. F. Hart, T. J. Ward, T. M. Spear, K. Crispen and T. R. Zolnikov, "Evaluation of Amphibole Exposures during Firewood-Harvesting Simulations in Libby, MT, USA— Preliminary Data," *The Annals of Occupational Hygiene*, Vol. 51, No. 8, 2007, pp. 1-7.
- [11] T. J. Ward, J. F. Hart, T. M. Spear, J. B. Meyer and S. J. Webber, "Fate of Libby Amphibole Fibers When Burning Contaminated Firewood," *Environmental Science Technology*, Vol. 43, No. 8, 2009, pp. 2878-2883. <u>doi:10.1021/es802817w</u>
- [12] J. F. Hart, T. M. Spear, T. J. Ward, et al., "An Evaluation of Potential Occupational Exposure to Asbestiform Am-

phiboles near a Former Vermiculite Mine," *Journal of Environment and Public Health*, Vol. 2009, 2009, Article ID: 189509.

- [13] Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), "Exposure to Asbestos-Containing Vermiculite from Libby, Montana," *Summary Report*, 29 October 2008, accessed 3 May 2010. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/asbestos/sites/national\_map/Su mmary Report 102908.pdf
- [14] U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), "Vermiculite Processing," *Clearinghouse for Inventories and Emission Factors, Chapter* 11: *Mineral Products Industry*, 5th Edition, Vol. 1, 2006.
- [15] Health Consultation Exposure Assessment, "Western Mineral Products Site, City of Minneapolis, Minnesota," The Minnesota Department of Health, 2003.
- [16] Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), "Vermiculite Northwest—Spokane WA," accessed 7 May 2010. http://yosemite.epa.gov/R10/cleanup.nsf/0/717834e601dc e8cd8825762d0072baad?
- [17] Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), "Fact Sheet," Santa Ana, accessed 10 May 2010. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/asbestos/sites/national\_map/fac t sheets/santaanaca.html
- [18] Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), "Fact Sheet," Newark, accessed 12 May 2010. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/asbestos/sites/national\_map/fac t\_sheets/newarkca.html
- [19] Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), "Fact Sheet," Phoenix, accessed 14 May 2010. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/asbestos/sites/national\_map/fac t\_sheets/pdf/WR%20%20Grace%20--%20Solomon%27s %20Mines\_HC\_FINAL.pdf
- [20] U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), "40 Code of Federal Regulations: Part 763," *The Federal Register*, Vol. 52, 1987, pp. 41826-41905.
- [21] H. Schulz, P. Popp, G. Huhn, H.-J. Stark and G. Schuurmann, "Biomonitoring of Airborne Inorganic and Organic Pollutants by Means of Pine Tree Barks. I. Temporal and Spatial Variations," *Science of the Total Environment*, Vol. 232, No. 1-2, 1999, pp. 49-58. doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(99)00109-6
- [22] P. J. Clarkson, D. Larrazabal-Moya, I. Staton, C. W. Mc-Leod, D. B. Ward, V. N. Sharifi and J. Swithenbank, "The Use of Tree Bark as a Passive Sampler for Polychlorinated Dibenzo-P-Dioxins and Furans," *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 82, No. 11-12, 2002, pp. 843-850. doi:10.1080/0306731021000102301
- [23] A. Sturaro, G. Parvoli and L. Doretti, "Plane Tree Bark as a Passive Sampler of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in an Urban Environment," *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 643, No. 11-12, 1993, pp. 435-438. doi:10.1016/0021-9673(93)80580-2
- [24] M. L. Meredith and R. A. Hites, "Polychlorinated Biphenyl Accumulation in Tree Bark and Wood Growth

1068 Libby Amphibole Contamination in Tree Bark Surrounding Historical Vermiculite Processing Facilities

Rings," *Environmental Science Technology*, Vol. 21, No. 7, 1987, pp. 709-712. doi:10.1021/es00161a013

- [25] M. H. Hermanson and R. A. Hites, "Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Tree Bark," *Environmental Science Technol*ogy, Vol. 24, No. 1, 1990, pp. 666-671. <u>doi:10.1021/es00075a008</u>
- [26] M. H. Hermanson and G. W. Johnson, "Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Tree Bark near a Former Manufacturing Plant in Anniston, Alabama," *Chemosphere.*, Vol. 68, No. 1, 2007, pp. 191-198. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.11.068
- [27] S. L. Simonich and R. A. Hites, "Relationships between Socioeconomic Indicators and Concentrations of Organochlorine Pesticides in Tree Bark," *Environmental Science Technology*, Vol. 31, No. 4, 1997, pp. 999-1003. doi:10.1021/es9604020
- [28] J. C. McDonald and R. A. Hites, "Radial Dilution Model for the Distribution ofToxaphene in the United States and Canada on the Basis of Measured Concentrations in Tree Bark," *Environmental Science Technology*, Vol. 37, No. 3, 2003, pp. 475-481. doi:10.1021/es020707g
- [29] M. Belivermis, O. Kilic, Y. Cotuk, S. Topcuoglu, G. Kalayci and D. Pestreli, "The Usability of Tree Barks as Long Term Biomonitors of Atmospheric Radionuclide Deposition," *Appled Radiation and Isotopes*, Vol. 68, No. 12, 2010, pp. 2433-2437. doi:10.1016/j.apradiso.2010.07.010
- [30] M. Zhiyanski, M. Sokolovska, J. Bech, A. Clouvas, I. Penev and V. Badulin, "Cesium-137 Contamination of Oak (Quercus Petrae Liebl.) from Sub-Mediterranean Zone in South Bulgaria," *J. of Environmental Radioactivity*, Vol. 101, No. 10, 2010, pp. 864-868. doi:10.1016/j.jenvrad.2010.05.011
- [31] E. I. H. Siwik, L. M. Campbell and G. Mierle, "Distribution and Trends of Mercury in Deciduous Tree Cores," *Environmental Pollution*, Vol. 158, No. 6, 2010, pp. 2067-2073. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2010.03.002
- [32] S. Celik, E. Yucel, S. Celik, S. Gucel and M. Ozturk, "Carolina Poplar (Populus x Canadensis Moench) as a Biomonitor of Trace Elements in Black Sea Region of Turkey," *Journal of Environmental Biology*, Vol. 31, No. 1-2, 2010, pp. 225-232.
- [33] A. M. G. Pacheco and M. D. Freitas, "Trace-Element Enrichment in Epiphytic Lichens and Tree Bark at Pico Island, Azores, Portugal," *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, Vol. 59, No. 4, 2009, pp. 411-418.
- [34] H. N. Sedumedi, K. L. Mandiwana, P. Ngobeni and N. Panichev, "Speciation of Cr (VI) in Environmental Samples in the Vicinity of the Ferrochrome Smelter," *Journal* of Hazardous Materials, Vol. 172, No. 2-3, 2009, pp. 1686-1689. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.07.111
- [35] M. Catinon, S. Ayrault, R. Clocchiatti, O. Boudouma, J. Asta, M. Tissut and P. Ravanel, "The Anthropogenic Atomspheric Elements Fraction: A New Interpretation of Elemental Deposits on Tree Barks," *Atmospheric Envi-*

*ronment*, Vol. 43, No. 5, 2009, pp. 1124-1130. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.11.004

- [36] M. Conkova and J. Kubiznakova, "Lead Isotope Ratios in Tree Bark Pockets: An Indicator of Past Air Pollution in the Czech Republic," *Science of the Total Environment*, Vol. 404, No. 2-3, 2008, pp. 440-445. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.04.025
- [37] M. Batarseh, A. Ziadat, M. Al-Alawi, B. Berdanier and A. Jiries, "The Use of Cypress Tree Bark as an Environmental Indicator of Heavy Metals Deposition in Fuheis City, Jordan," *Inter. J. of Environ. And Pollution*, Vol. 33, No. 2-3, 2008, pp. 207-217. doi:10.1504/IJEP.2008.019394
- [38] A. M. G. Pacheco, M. C. Freitas, M. S. Baptista, M. T. S. D. Vasconcelos and J. P. Cabral, "Elemental Levels in Tree Bark and Epiphytic-Lichen Transplants at a Mixed Environment in Mainland Portugal, and Comparisons with an in Situ Lichen," *Environmental Pollution*, Vol. 151, No. 2, 2008, pp. 326-333. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2007.06.038
- [39] M. Al-Alawl, M. Batarseh, H. Carreras, M. Alawi, A. Jiries and S. M. Charlesworth, "Aleppo Pine Bark as a Blomonitor of Atmospheric Pollution in the Arid Environment of Jordan," *Clean-Soil Air Water*, Vol. 35, No. 5, 2007, pp. 438-443. doi:10.1002/clen.200720017
- [40] Y. L. Zhao, L. M. Yang and Q. Q. Wang, "Modeling Persistent Organic Pollutant (POP) Partitioning between Tree Bark and Air and Its Application to Spatial Monitoring of Atmospheric POPs in Mainland China," *Environmental Science Technology*, Vol. 42, No. 16, 2008, pp. 6046-6051.
- [41] A. G. Wylie and J. R. Verkouteren, "Amphibole Asbestos from Libby, Montana, Aspects of Nomenclature," *American Mineralogist*, Vol. 85, No. 10, 2000, pp. 1540-1542.
- [42] M. E. Gunter, D. M. Dyar, B. Twamley, F. F. Foit Jr and S. Cornelius, "Composition, Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe, and Crystal Structure of Non-Asbestiform and Asbestiform Amphiboles from Libby, Montana, USA," *American Mineralogist*, Vol. 89, No. 10, 2003, pp. 1579-1579.
- [43] R. F. Dodson and S. P. Hammar, "Asbestos: Risk Assessment, Epidemiology, and Health Effects," Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, 2006.
- [44] U.S. Government Accountability Office (USGAO), "EPA Assessment of Sites that may have Received Asbestos Contaminated Ore from Libby, Montana," GAO-09-7SP, March 2009, accessed 10 May 2011. http://www.gao.gov/special.pubs/gao-09-7sp/#scope
- [45] W. M. Ewing, "Further Observations of Settled Asbestos Dust in Buildings," In: M. E. Beard and H. L. Rook, Eds., Advances in Environmental Methods for Asbestos, ASTM STP 1342, Philadelphia, 2000.
- [46] J. L. Adgate, "Modeling Community Asbestos Exposure near a Vermiculite Processing Facility: Impact of human Activities on Cumulative Exposure," *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology*, Vol. 21, 2011, pp. 529-535.<u>doi:10.1038/jes.2011.8</u>