# UNIVERSITY BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

# **Platelet count:**

Cato, Liam D; Wearn, Christopher; Bishop, Jon; Stone, M; Harrison, Paul; Moiemen, Naiem

*License:* Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Cato, LD, Wearn, C, Bishop, J, Stone, M, Harrison, P & Moiemen, N 2017, 'Platelet count: a predictor of sepsis and mortality in severe burns?', *Burns*.

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

• Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

• Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research

study or non-commercial research. • User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) • Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 Platelet count: a predictor of sepsis and mortality in severe burns?

Liam D Cato<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Christopher M Wearn<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Jonathan RB Bishop<sup>3</sup>, Michael J Stone<sup>4</sup>, Paul
 Harrison<sup>1,2</sup>, and Naiem Moiemen<sup>1,3,4</sup>.

- <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>The Scar Free Foundation Birmingham Centre for Burns Research, Birmingham UK;
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>2</sup>Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK; <sup>3</sup>NIHR
- 6 Surgical Reconstruction and Microbiology Research Centre, University Hospitals
- 7 Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, UK; <sup>4</sup>Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, University
- 8 Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Mindelsohn Way, Birmingham, B15 2WB, UK.
- 9 Corresponding Author: Professor N Moiemen, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham,
- 10 University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Mindelsohn Way, Birmingham, B15
- 11 2WB, UK. Email: <u>naiem.moiemen@uhb.nhs.uk</u>.
- 12 Keywords: Sepsis, Burn management, Platelet counts, Mortality

## 13 Abstract

14 Background: Platelet cells, or thrombocytes, have additional roles to haemostasis. Post-15 burn injury, platelet counts drop to a nadir at day 2-5 then rise to a peak between days 10-18. The nadir has previously been associated with mortality but there is currently no 16 17 thorough investigation of its potential to predict sepsis in adults. The primary objective of this 18 study is to assess whether platelet count can predict survival and sepsis in adults with 19 severe burn injuries. Methods and Findings: A retrospective cohort analysis of platelet 20 count and other blood parameters in 145 burn patients with a TBSA greater than 20%. 21 AUROC analysis revealed that the platelet count and rBaux score together produce 22 moderate discrimination for survival at less than 24 hours post-injury (AUROC = 0.848, 23 95%CI 0.765-0.930). Platelet count at day 3 combined with TBSA has a modest association 24 with sepsis (AUROC = 0.779, 95%CI 0.697-0.862). Multivariable Cox regression analysis 25 revealed platelet peak was the strongest predictor of mortality. **Conclusions**: A reduced peak platelet count is a strong predictor of 50-day mortality. Platelet count nadir may have 26 27 some association with sepsis.

### 28 Introduction

29 Platelets are known traditionally for their essential roles in haemostasis and thrombosis. 30 However, their non-haemostatic roles as sentinels of the innate immune system during 31 infection and inflammation are becoming increasingly recognised[1-3]. Several large clinical 32 studies conducted in intensive care units suggest that thrombocytopenia is predictive of 33 mortality and multiple organ failure during sepsis[4-6]. However, in burn injury, the diagnosis 34 of sepsis is often more difficult due to a profound systemic inflammatory response obscuring 35 the classical signs and diagnostic criteria. Intriguingly, platelet counts post-burn injury tend to 36 follow a distinct pattern; falling to a nadir at day 2-5, then rising to a peak value at day 10-18. 37 This has been investigated within animal models, case reports[7–9], and a number of larger scale studies[10-12]. A number of these studies have compared platelet counts and 38 39 mortality[10,11,13]. More recently, Marck et al. investigated platelet counts within a large 40 heterogeneous group (N = 244) of adult and paediatric burns patients, where 80% of the 41 cohort had burns covering less than 29% total body surface area (TBSA). They compared 42 both the nadir and peak values with mortality[14]. Both the mean nadir and peak platelet 43 counts were significantly lower in both septic and non-surviving patients with lower peak 44 counts predicting 50 day mortality (p < 0.05). However, Marck et al had very few septic 45 patients in their cohort; hence, there has not been a proportional hazards model applied to an adult dataset of burns patients to investigate platelet count and sepsis. 46

In this retrospective study of 145 patients with severe burn injuries (≥20% TBSA) we
investigate whether the classical pattern of post-burn platelet counts are able to predict
outcomes. In addition, we also examine if other routinely measured haematological
parameters are helpful to the clinician in their assessment of the patient.

### 51 Materials and Methods

### 52 Patient Cohort

53 This retrospective cohort study was conducted from January 2007 to May 2015. All burn 54 patients were screened for eligibility. Table 1 shows the inclusion and exclusion criteria for 55 the study. Clinical data were collected from the electronic patient record (EPR) and UK 56 International Burn Injury Database (IBID) including: age at injury; gender; body mass index 57 (BMI); length of stay in total (LOS) and in intensive care episodes (LOS ICU); mechanism of 58 injury; inhalation injury status and severity; TBSA%; sepsis and mortality. Each patient was 59 assessed for the presence of sepsis through appraisal of the EPR, paper records and 60 observation charts.

### 61 Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

### 62 Routine Haematological and Pathology Measurements

63 Routine haematological parameters were extracted from the EPR for 50 days post-burn 64 injury. These included: platelet count; white blood cell counts including the differential of 65 lymphocytes and neutrophils and C-Reactive protein (CRP). All cellular parameters were 66 measured in the routine cellular pathology laboratories at Queen Elizabeth Hospital 67 Birmingham (QEHB) using a Beckman Coulter UniCel DxH 800 Cellular Analysis System from 2010 - 2015, and with a Beckman Coulter LH750 from 2007-2010. Both analysers use 68 69 impedance based analysis for platelets with similar accuracy and precision[15,16]. Quality 70 control was ensured by regular measurement of internal and external quality control 71 samples.

### 72 Clinical Definitions

The primary outcomes were in-hospital 50-day mortality and incidence of sepsis. Sepsis was defined as a patient meeting a score of 3 or more using the 2007 American Burn Association criteria plus a temporally relevant positive microbiological culture result, (±5 days from the ABA indicated sepsis)[17]. Severity of injury was reported using the revised-Baux (rBaux) 77 score, defined by Osler et al[18]. This was preferred over other mortality scoring systems 78 such as the Abbreviated Burn Severity Index (ABSI) as previous diagnostic test accuracy 79 studies show it has greater accuracy in predicting mortality in severe burns[19,20]. Thrombocytopenia was defined as a platelet count of less than 150x10<sup>9</sup>/L, and 80 81 thrombocytosis as a platelet count of greater than 400x10<sup>9</sup>/L[21]. The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) were also calculated from routine 82 83 parameters. Inhalational injury was defined as the presence of carbonaceous deposits, 84 erythema, oedema, bronchorrhea or obstruction observed with or without the aid of 85 bronchoscopy. Severity of inhalational injury was divided into mild, moderate or severe: Mild 86 was defined as minor/patchy areas of erythema and carbonaceous deposits in the proximal 87 or distal bronchi; Moderate as erythema with carbonaceous deposits, bronchorrhea with or 88 without compromise of the bronchi; and severe was defined as any of the following: strong 89 inflammatory response with friability, copious carbonaceous deposits, bronchorrhea, or 90 bronchial obstruction.

The nadir platelet count was defined as the lowest value between days 2-5. The peak
platelet count was the highest value observed between days 11-17. These values are based
on previous figures from the literature and experimental models[7–12,14].

### 94 Statistical Methods

95 Variables were assessed for normality both graphically, using Q-Q plots, and quantitatively 96 using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The non-normal data are described by a median value with the 97 Inter Quartile Range (IQR). Normal (Gaussian) distributed data are represented with the 98 means and 95% confidence intervals. The Chi-squared test was used to test for significance 99 between categorical variables. For continuous non-Normally distributed variables the 100 Kruskal-Wallis Rank Sum Test or Mann-Whitney U test (if only 2 groups) were used to test 101 for significance. For Normal continuous variables, the one-way ANOVA or Student's t-test (if 102 only 2 groups) were implemented. All tests were two-tailed. Longitudinal modelling of 103 haematological parameters by group (both survival and sepsis) was performed using linear

104 mixed models to account for the correlation structure imposed by the within-patient 105 repeated-measures data. Graphs of model fitted values were produced with the shaded 106 envelope denoting the 95% confidence intervals. The area under the receiver operator 107 curves (AUROCs) for each parameter were calculated for days 0, 1, 3, 7, 14, 21 and 28 108 post-burn injury using logistic regression models. These models were adjusted for 109 confounding due to severity of injury through the inclusion of the rBaux score. The outcomes 110 for this analysis were survival and sepsis. All haematological variables were studied. Time to 111 event analysis was conducted using Cox Regression. These models were adjusted for peak 112 thrombocyte count and rBaux score with univariate analyses also carried out for the nadir 113 thrombocyte count. Significance was set at the p < 0.05 level. Analyses were performed 114 using the R statistical package (R version 3.3.1)[22]. All graphs were produced using R with 115 the ggplot2 package[23]. The demographics table (table 2) was created using the tableone 116 package[24].

### 117 **Results**

### 118 Patient Demographics

119 A total of 3,975 patients with burns were admitted to the Birmingham adult burns centre at 120 QEHB between 2007 and 2015. After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, a final study 121 cohort of 145 patients remained (Figure 1). The final demographics of the cohort are 122 displayed in table 2. There were a greater proportion of male patients (59.3%) and the most 123 common mechanism of injury was flame. The average burn size was 30%, with a mean 124 rBaux score of 87.74. Half of the patients had inhalation injuries with 61.1% of those being 125 moderate to severe. The observed mortality rate for the cohort was 24.8% and 41.4% of 126 patients experienced at least one episode of sepsis. Univariate analyses showed some 127 significant associations between variables and the outcomes of sepsis and survival. As 128 expected, survival was significantly lower in the sepsis group. The presence of inhalation 129 injury and LOS were significantly different between septic and non-septic patients. For both

- 130 sepsis and survival, significant differences were found in: TBSA, ABSI and rBaux scores,
- 131 and ICU admission.



132



Table 2. Demographics of study participants. BMI=body mass index; TBSA=total body surface area;

135 136 137 FT/DD=full thickness burn ABSI=abbreviated burn severity index; rBaux=revised baux score;

ICU=intensive care unit. \*Missing data is due to death or discharge at the time of platelet peak count. ns 138 139 (not shown) p > 0.05, \*  $p \le 0.05$ , \*\*  $p \le 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p \le 0.001$ , \*\*\*\*  $p \le 0.0001$ . Square brackets denote the IQR where the median value is displayed and round brackets denote the SD where the mean value is 140 displayed.

#### 141 Platelet count trajectories stratified by mortality or sepsis

142 The time course of platelet counts are shown in Figure 2 and depict a classical

- 143 thrombocytopenic nadir which is between days 2-4 with a peak of thrombocytosis on days
- 144 11-17. Analysis of when each patient's individual platelet nadir occurs shows that, on
- 145 average, the nadir occurs on day 3. 57 (39.3%). Patients had their lowest platelet count on
- 146 day 2, and 41 (28.3%) had their lowest platelet count on day 3. Interestingly, high platelet
- 147 counts continue until day 50 post-injury without any indication of decline. This may be
- 148 artefact due to the decreased frequency of platelet count results at later time-points, where
- 149 the number of observations range between 114 and 128 across days 2 to 4 and between 77

150 and 99 across days 11 to 17. However, this difference is not discernible from Figure 2.



152 153 154 Figure 2. The observed platelet counts for the total cohort of severe burns over 50 days of admission. A nadir at days 2-4 is observed and a peak at days 13-14. The platelet counts are tightly distributed at the

nadir but there is wider variability in the data at the peak. Grey points show outlier values.

To help account for the variability between patients in their patterns of platelet count, which is observable in Figure 2, a linear mixed effects modelling framework was applied to the data which included random uncorrelated effects for patient and day. The output of which can be seen in Figure 3.



159

Figure 3. Platelet counts over time of the cohort after application of the linear mixed effects model which
 helps to account for individual variability across the cohort. Shaded areas represent 95% confidence
 intervals.

163 The platelet counts were subsequently stratified by mortality (Figure 4). Survivors, for the 164 most part, displayed a much higher platelet count at the nadir with a significantly greater 165 platelet count at all stages post-day 5. These survivors also exhibited a significantly higher 166 peak of thrombocytosis at day 16 compared with non-survivors. The survivors were still in 167 range of thrombocytosis even at 50-days post-injury. On average, non-survivors did not 168 display thrombocytosis at any given moment within the 50 days post-injury. 169 When stratifying the cohort by sepsis (Figure 5) the sepsis group reached lower platelet 170 count values at the nadir with marginal overlapping of confidence intervals. Similarly, to the 171 groups stratified by survival, patients with sepsis exhibit a significantly lower platelet count

172 peak at 15-20 days post-injury.





Figure 4. Platelet count stratified by survival. Platelet counts stratified by survival show a significant
 difference between groups at the nadir and at the peak.

176



Figure 5. Platelet count stratified by sepsis. Platelet counts stratified by sepsis show a borderline non significant difference at the nadir, but a significant difference at the peak.

### 180 Daily models

- 181 Daily logistic regression models were conducted for the nadir (days 2-4) and peak (days 11-
- 182 17) to investigate the relationship of platelet count on survival and sepsis. Analyses were
- 183 conducted firstly with platelet count alone as a predictor, then with rBaux score added to the
- 184 model to adjust for burn injury burden.
- 185 Table 3 shows the model outcomes for survival. Survival odds ratios are significant from day
- 186 2 post-burn in the nadir period and during all of the peak platelet count period even when
- 187 adjusted for rBaux score.
- 188Table 3. Daily odds ratios for survival from logistic regression analysis for days 2-4 (platelet count nadir)189and days 11-17 (platelet count peak).
- 190 The model for sepsis is shown in Table 4. At day 3 post-injury there is a significant result
- 191 even after adjustment with rBaux score suggesting that on day 3 platelet count may have
- some relation, and hence prediction, for sepsis. There are also significant results in the peak
- 193 platelet count range in the univariate analysis.
- 194Table 4. Daily odds ratios for sepsis from logistic regression analysis for days 2-4 (platelet count nadir)195and days 11-17 (platelet count peak).

### 196 *Time to event analysis*

- 197 Using a time to event analysis (Cox regression) the patients were censored separately for
- 198 survival and sepsis. Table 5 shows the summarized results from this analysis.

199Table 5. Cox regression analysis summarized into a table. Two multivariable models are summarized for200each outcome (survival and sepsis): rBaux (a value composed from age, TBSA and inhalation injury) and201platelet count (peak or nadir) were included. rBaux was included to correct for severity of injury.202Continuous variables where categorised arbitrarily to allow the analysis to occur, hence the values for203hazard radio correspond to: rBaux (per 10 points), peak platelet count (per 50x109/L), nadir platelet count204(per 50x109/L).

- 205 Peak platelet count appears to be related to survival (HR=0.813 (95% CI 0.756-0.874)) but
- the nadir shows no significant relation (p=0.077). Neither the peak platelet count does not
- appear to be associated with the hazard of developing sepsis. However, TBSA does have an
- influence on the multivariable model (p<0.0001), with a 5 percentage point increase in TBSA
- 209 corresponding to an 18% increase in the hazard of having sepsis. However, even when

adjusted for rBaux the nadir platelet count still shows some relation to sepsis (HR=0.750
(95% CI 0.574-0.979).

### 212 AUROC analysis

- 213 Area under the receiver operator curve analysis revealed that the platelet count alone shows
- poor discriminatory power for survival post-burn injury (Day 0, AUROC=0.534, 95%CI 0.387-
- 215 0.68). However, when combined with the rBaux score there is moderate discriminatory
- power at less than 24 hours post-injury (AUROC=0.848, 95%CI 0.765-0.93).
- 217 In contrast platelet counts throughout the time course had limited power to discriminate
- 218 between septic and non-septic patients even when combined with the rBaux score (Day 0,
- AUROC=0.742, 95%CI 0.648-0.835). Interestingly, the predictive power appears stronger
- when combined with TBSA% rather than rBaux. On days 0, 3 and 14 the AUROC was 0.756
- 221 (95%CI 0.662-0.85), 0.779 (95% CI 0.697-0.862) and 0.776 (95% CI 0.676,0.876)
- 222 respectively showing poor to moderate discriminatory power for predicting sepsis.

### 223 Other variables

The graphical representation of the data for NLR, PLR, CRP, and white blood cell counts including neutrophils and lymphocyte counts did not indicate any differences between sepsis and survival groups (data not shown).

### 227 Discussion

In this single centre retrospective study of a relatively large cohort of patients with severe
burns we have, first of all, re-affirmed the classical pattern of platelet counts post-burn injury.
Thrombocytopenia usually occurs with a nadir between days 2 and 5 followed by a peak of
thrombocytosis at around day 11-17[7–12]. This early thrombocytopenia could be caused by
any number of mechanisms including: haemodilution by resuscitation fluids; platelet
activation with subsequent peripheral consumption; or by depressed bone marrow
production.

It is remarkably difficult to discern to what degree haemodilution affects platelet count postburn injury. It is possible there is some effect, however studies investigating fluid
replacement and platelet count have shown that low platelet count persists after fluid therapy
has been stopped[25,26].

239 Hence, it is reasonable to suggest that platelets are being consumed within the burn wound 240 as a result of destruction of the dermal vasculature and subsequent microthrombi formation. 241 These microthrombi form by 24-48 hours and so this may coincide with the nadir[27,28]. It is 242 also well documented that the permeability of surrounding vessels increases along with 243 development of widespread vascular hyper-permeability, and this may lead to increased 244 activation of platelets through interaction with tissue factor on the sub-endothelium and 245 activated clotting factors, leading to subsequent aggregation and consumption. Activated 246 platelets may interact with circulating neutrophils and monocytes, potentiating their ability to 247 extravasate into the sites of injury and affecting the platelet peripheral count [1,3].

Bone marrow suppression as an explanation is less likely. Hampson et al showed that
neutrophil and immature granulocyte counts are elevated significantly within 24 hours of
injury[29]. Hence, there is a response profile suggesting active bone marrow post-burn
injury. Previous autopsy studies in severe burns support this assertion as thrombocytopenia
has been shown to have no association with fewer bone marrow megakaryocytes[30].

There are various other factors that may affect platelet count. Drugs such as heparin can cause a thrombocytopenia (Heparin Induced Thrombocytopenia (HIT)) but this is typically later than we have seen in our cohort of patients, starting 5-10 days after the use of heparin and hence is unlikely to contribute dramatically, if at all, to our observations[31]. Observations in published case reports have also suggested that in some patients piperacillin-tazobactam, a commonly prescribed antibiotic, can cause thrombocytopenia but these cases are very rare[32,33].

The peak in platelet count for burns patients may be explained by an elevation of circulating Thrombopoeitin (TPO) levels following a fall in overall platelet mass early post-injury. This would stimulate platelet production from the bone marrow and may explain the rebound thrombocytosis that is seen in our cohort. This may also be exacerbated by inflammatory cytokines (e.g IL-6) during the SIRS response post injury.

265 In our cohort there is an statistically significant difference in the platelet counts between 266 survivors and non-survivors in days 3-4 and indeed also in the peak platelet count, even 267 when corrected for the severity of injury using the rBaux score (OR=0.187 (95% CI 1.11-268 3.15) and OR=0.175 (95% CI 1.10-2.80) respectively) (Error! Reference source not 269 found.). Indeed, this is also apparent from the Cox regression analysis (HR=0.813 (95% CI 270 0.756-0.874)). From previous burns literature, platelet count does appear to have some 271 relationship with mortality. Wang et al studied massive burns (>70% TBSA) in 102 adults, 272 and found that severe thrombocytopenia (platelet count  $< 20 \times 10^{9}$ /L) was an independent 273 predictor of mortality (p < 0.05)[11]. However, this is guite a substantial thrombocytopenia 274 and such a substantial drop in platelet count is not frequently observed. Guo et al have also 275 demonstrated that a reduction in platelet count of greater than 65% from baseline is 276 predictive of 30-day mortality in burns patients (p = 0.028)[10]. It may be possible that the 277 bone marrow response to the initial platelet count drop is different in survivors and non-278 survivors. This could be due to an enhanced inflammatory response in these individuals 279 stimulating bone marrow activity. Hence, measurement of both TPO and IL-6 levels over 280 time might also be very informative of the status of the megakaryocyte/platelet axis. 281 There is also a distinct difference between peak platelet count in septic patients compared to 282 non-septic in the daily model analysis on days 11-17, but this difference is only found on 283 days 11 and 12 when combined with rBaux to help correct for disease burden. This is not 284 apparent in the multivariable Cox regression analysis (p=0.445). The effect may be 285 explained due to a reduced platelet lifespan. Pathogenic E.coli and S.aureus have been

shown to induce apoptotic mechanisms in platelets, through the degradation of Bcl-x<sub>L</sub> an

essential mediator of survival in platelets[34]. In addition, peptidoglycan a major constituent
of gram positive bacterial cell walls, has been shown to induce mitochondrial depolarisation
and caspase 3 activation, leading to platelet apoptosis[35]. Hence there are numerous
mechanisms to suggest a reduced platelet life span in sepsis that may explain the reduced
platelet peak observed in septic patients.

292 The platelet nadir also appears to have some association with sepsis. The Cox regression 293 analysis shows significant values for platelet nadir with sepsis as the outcome (HR=0.750 294 (95% CI 0.574-0.979)), though data from the daily models adjusted with rBaux suggests this 295 effect is predominantly on day 3 (OR=0.58 (95% CI 0.39-0.85)). However, the AUROC data 296 suggests that this is a poor to moderate predictor. The mechanisms behind this are largely 297 unknown however as discussed earlier, platelets have an important role in immunity. A lower 298 platelet count could lead to a compromised immune response to infection and increase 299 susceptibility to sepsis[36,37]. This is more likely than the converse, primarily due to the 300 early occurrence of the nadir; sepsis is more likely to develop later post-burn injury[38].

301 Our data shows that both NLR and PLR values do not vary significantly across the time 302 course between the sepsis and survival outcome groups studied. It was therefore not 303 surprising that they showed poor discriminatory power for these outcomes as assessed by 304 AUROC. This contrasts with the findings in the non-burn critical care literature for predicting 305 mortality, sepsis and length of hospitalisation. In one prospective cohort study NLR was 306 shown by multivariable Cox regression to predict in-hospital and 6-month mortality to a 307 reasonable degree (HR=1.63 (1.110-2.415) and 1.58 (1.136-2.213) respectively)[39]. NLR 308 has also been shown to predict mortality in septic patients admitted to critical care 309 (HR=1.043 (1.012-1.083))[40]. PLR has been shown to be associated with mortality and 310 length of stay in critically ill diabetic ketoacidosis patients[41]. This is perhaps another 311 example of the differences in pathophysiology between burn injury and other critical illnesses 312 and the importance of studying burn injury as a discrete entity.

313 The Beckman Coulter analysers used during this study also measure platelet counts by the 314 Coulter principle (or impedance analysis). There have been reported difficulties with the 315 measurement of platelet counts in burns patients through impedance. This is due to the 316 formation of circulating microspherocytes from the uncontrolled destruction of red blood cells 317 (RBC) during the initial insult of thermal injury[42,43]. It has been previously shown that 318 these RBC derived fragments can potentially interfere with impedance counts as they tend 319 towards the same size range as platelets [42]. This could therefore produce spuriously 320 elevated results and affect the statistical analysis of platelet counts in this and other studies. 321 However, we now feel that this is unlikely due to our recent data directly guantifying these 322 fragments along with 3 different platelet counts (including impedance and fluorescence 323 measurements) post-injury. The results suggest that this interference effect is only significant 324 immediately at day 1 post-injury (Dinsdale et al, 2017. Manuscript submitted).

325 Diagnosis of sepsis is challenging in patients with severe burn injury because the systemic 326 inflammatory response can mask the classical diagnostic criteria. A limitation of this study, 327 and the other retrospective studies in this area, is in accurately identifying the occurrence of 328 sepsis using clinical criteria. In this study, we used the ABA 2007 Consensus sepsis trigger 329 criteria as these are widely used and burns specific. In 2016, new definitions for sepsis and 330 septic shock were developed and published by a task force from the Society for Critical Care 331 Medicine (SCCM) and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM)[44]. The 332 task force recommends the use of the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score 333 in ICU patients and the quick-SOFA (qSOFA) score in ward based or emergency department 334 patients. This criterion has not yet been applied in a burns population and warrants 335 evaluation of its discriminatory performance in this setting before it can be applied to the 336 evaluation of potential laboratory diagnostic markers.

Many of the variables we have measured are quantitative laboratory based values and these
values are measured less regularly towards the end of a patient's hospital episode. This is
demonstrated by the broader confidence intervals towards day 50 post-injury indicating

340 lower precision in the graphs of the model based fitted values. Additionally, there are no
341 children included in our sample of adult major burns. This was to remove any confounding
342 effects from different platelet kinetic responses, but a disadvantage is that the results may
343 not be generalizable to the paediatric population. Inherently the study design is also
344 problematic when determining causality. Considering this, it is important to highlight that we
345 are establishing the discriminatory power of these haematological parameters and not
346 whether there is a causal link to the outcome of interest.

### 347 **Conclusions**

348 In conclusion, we have confirmed the kinetics of platelet counts in a large adult cohort of 349 severe burns. With the exclusion of small burns (<20% TBSA) and children, we have 350 removed potential confounders from different kinetic profiles. Platelet count and rBaux score 351 together produce moderate discriminatory power for survival at less than 24hrs post-injury. 352 Additionally, the platelet count at the nadir combined with TBSA has a modest association 353 with sepsis. It was peak platelet count that showed strong predictive power for mortality 354 when in a multivariable model with TBSA, age, rBaux score in the Cox regression model. 355 In concert with clinical variables and a larger biomarker panel, platelet count may have 356 diagnostic utility and aid the earlier diagnosis of sepsis in patients with severe burns. It

357 appears peak platelet count has an association with mortality, further investigation should

focus on why this might be. Together, these findings with future work may highlight patients

359 with a more significant systemic inflammatory response that need tailored care to prevent 360 and monitor for sepsis. Investigation into the mechanism of these platelet kinetics would be 361 valuable for the understanding of physiology following burn injury.

362

358

# 363 Acknowledgements

- 364 The authors would like to thank Mr A Gummaraju and Mr O Goulden for their work
- 365 contributing to the data collection and Ms A Bamford for her organisational work on the
- 366 study.
- 367 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
- 368 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

### 369 **References**

- 370 [1] Smyth SS, Mcever RP, Weyrich AS, Morrell CN, Hoffman MR, Arepally GM, et al.
- 371 Platelet functions beyond hemostasis. J Thromb Haemost 2009;7:1759–66.
- 372 doi:10.1111/j.1538-7836.2009.03586.x.
- 373 [2] Li Z, Yang F, Dunn S, Gross AK, Smyth SS. Platelets as immune mediators: their role
- in host defense responses and sepsis. Thromb Res 2011;127:184–8.
- 375 doi:10.1016/j.thromres.2010.10.010.
- 376 [3] Lam FW, Vijayan KV, Rumbaut RE. Platelets and Their Interactions with Other
- 377 Immune Cells. Compr Physiol 2015;5:1265–80. doi:10.1002/cphy.c140074.
- 378 [4] Thiery-Antier N, Binquet C, Vinault S, Meziani F, Boisramé-Helms J, Quenot J-P, et
- al. Is Thrombocytopenia an Early Prognostic Marker in Septic Shock? Crit Care Med
  2016;44:764–72. doi:10.1097/CCM.0000000001520.
- 381 [5] Venkata C, Kashyap R, Farmer JC, Afessa B. Thrombocytopenia in adult patients
- 382 with sepsis: incidence, risk factors, and its association with clinical outcome. J
- 383 Intensive Care 2013;1:9. doi:10.1186/2052-0492-1-9.
- Akca S, Haji-Michael P, de Mendonça A, Suter P, Levi M, Vincent JL. Time course of
   platelet counts in critically ill patients. Crit Care Med 2002;30:753–6.
- Takashima Y. Blood platelets in severely injured burned patients. Burns 1997;23:591–
  5. doi:10.1016/S0305-4179(97)00031-4.
- 388 [8] George A, Bang RL, Lari A-R, Gang RK. Acute thrombocytopenic crisis following
- burns complicated by staphylococcal septicaemia. Burns 2001;27:84–8.
- doi:10.1016/S0305-4179(00)00065-6.
- 391 [9] Pavic M, Milevoj L. Platelet count monitoring in burn patients. Biochem Medica
  392 2007;17:212–9. doi:10.11613/BM.2007.021.
- 393 [10] Guo F, Wang X, Huan J, Liang X, Chen B, Tang J, et al. Association of platelet counts

- decline and mortality in severely burnt patients. J Crit Care 2012;27:529.e1-529.e7.
  doi:10.1016/j.jcrc.2011.12.006.
- Wang Y, Tang H-T, Xia Z-F, Zhu S-H, Ma B, Wei W, et al. Factors affecting survival in
  adult patients with massive burns. Burns 2010;36:57–64.
- 398 doi:10.1016/j.burns.2009.04.014.
- 399 [12] Warner P, Fields AL, Braun LC, James LE, Bailey JK, Yakuboff KP, et al.
- 400 Thrombocytopenia in the pediatric burn patient. J Burn Care Res 2011;32:410–414
  401 5p. doi:10.1097/BCR.0b013e318217f91b.
- 402 [13] Gajbhiye AS, Meshram MM, Kathod AP. Platelet count as a prognostic indicator in
  403 burn septicemia. Indian J Surg 2013;75:444–8. doi:10.1007/s12262-012-0532-6.
- 404 [14] Marck RE, Montagne HL, Tuinebreijer WE, Breederveld RS. Time course of
- 405 thrombocytes in burn patients and its predictive value for outcome. Burns

406 2013;39:714–22. doi:10.1016/j.burns.2013.01.015.

- 407 [15] Hedley BD, Keeney M, Chin-Yee I, Brown W. Initial performance evaluation of the
  408 UniCel® DxH 800 Coulter® cellular analysis system. Int J Lab Hematol 2011;33:45–
  409 56. doi:10.1111/j.1751-553X.2010.01239.x.
- 410 [16] Aulesa C, Pastor I, Naranjo D, Piqueras J, Galimany R. Validation of the Coulter LH
  411 750 in a hospital reference laboratory. Lab Hematol 2003;9:15–28.
- 412 [17] Greenhalgh DG, Saffle JR, Holmes JH, Gamelli RL, Palmieri TL, Horton JW, et al.
- 413 American Burn Association consensus conference to define sepsis and infection in
- 414 burns. J Burn Care Res n.d.;28:776–90. doi:10.1097/BCR.0b013e3181599bc9.
- 415 [18] Osler T, Glance LG, Hosmer DW. Simplified Estimates of the Probability of Death
  416 After Burn Injuries: Extending and Updating the Baux Score. J Trauma Inj Infect Crit
  417 Care 2010;68:690–7. doi:10.1097/TA.0b013e3181c453b3.
- 418 [19] Tsurumi A, Que Y-A, Yan S, Tompkins RG, Rahme LG, Ryan CM. Do standard burn

- 419 mortality formulae work on a population of severely burned children and adults? Burns
  420 2015;41:935–45. doi:10.1016/j.burns.2015.03.017.
- 421 [20] Woods JFC, Quinlan CS, Shelley OP. Predicting Mortality in Severe Burns What Is
  422 the Score? Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2016;4:e606.
- 423 doi:10.1097/GOX.00000000000584.
- Harrison P, Briggs C, Machin SJ. Platelet Counting. In: Gibbins JM, Mahaut-Smith
  MP, editors. Platelets Megakaryocytes. Vol 1. 1st ed., New York: Humana Press;
  2004, p. 29–46.
- 427 [22] R Foundation for Statistical Computing. R Core Team (2016). R: A Language and
  428 Environment for Statistical Computing. 2013. doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6800737.
- 429 [23] Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. 2009.
- 430 [24] Yoshida K, Bohn J. tableone: Create "Table 1" to Describe Baseline Characteristics431 2015.
- 432 [25] Bartlett R, Fong S, Marrujo G, Hardeman J, Anderson W. Coagulation and platelet
  433 changes after thermal injury in man. Burns 1981;7:370–7.
- 434 [26] Zhou J, Xing N, Chen J, Shi J, Su G. Effect of artificial colloids on blood coagulation
  435 during shock stage of severe burn injury. Chin Med J 2013;126:3334–9.
- 436 doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.20123241.

437 [27] Milner SM, Bhat S, Gulati S, Gherardini G, Smith CE, Bick RJ. Observations on the
438 microcirculation of the human burn wound using orthogonal polarization spectral
439 imaging. Burns 2005;31:316–9. doi:10.1016/j.burns.2004.10.014.

- 440 [28] Bohr S, Patel SJ, Shen K, Vitalo AG, Brines M, Cerami A, et al. Alternative
- 441 erythropoietin-mediated signaling prevents secondary microvascular thrombosis and
- inflammation within cutaneous burns. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2013;110:3513–8.
- 443 doi:10.1073/pnas.1214099110.

- 444 [29] Hampson P, Dinsdale R, Wearn C, Bamford A, Bishop JB, Hazeldine J, et al.
- 445 Neutrophil Dysfunction, Immature Granulocytes, and Cellfree DNA are Early
- Biomarkers of Sepsis in Burninjured Patients A Prospective Observational Cohort
- 447 Study. Ann Surg 2016. doi:10.1097/SLA.000000000001807.
- Wallner SF, Warren GH. The haematopoietic response to burning: an autopsy study.
  Burns 1985;12:22–7. doi:10.1016/0305-4179(85)90179-2.
- 450 [31] Warkentin TE. Clinical picture of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) and its
  451 differentiation from non-HIT thrombocytopenia. Thromb Haemost 2016;116:[Epub
  452 ahead of print]. doi:10.1160/TH16-06-0435.
- 453 [32] Chen H, Fan Z, Guo F, Yang Y, Li J, Zhang J, et al. Tazobactam and piperacillin-
- 454 induced thrombocytopenia: A case report. Exp Ther Med 2016;11:1223–6.
- 455 doi:10.3892/etm.2016.3062.
- 456 [33] Shaik S, Kazi HA, Ender PT. Rapid-Onset Piperacillin-tazobactam Induced
- 457 Thrombocytopenia. J Pharm Pract 2015;28:204–6. doi:10.1177/0897190014566302.
- 458 [34] Kraemer BF, Campbell RA, Schwertz H, Franks ZG, Vieira de Abreu A, Grundler K, et
- 459 al. Bacteria differentially induce degradation of Bcl-xL, a survival protein, by human
  460 platelets. Blood 2012;120:5014–20. doi:10.1182/blood-2012-04-420661.
- 461 [35] Towhid ST, Nega M, Schmidt E-M, Schmid E, Albrecht T, Münzer P, et al. Stimulation
  462 of platelet apoptosis by peptidoglycan from Staphylococcus aureus 113. Apoptosis
  463 2012;17:998–1008. doi:10.1007/s10495-012-0718-1.
- 464 [36] Speth C, Löffler J, Krappmann S, Lass-Flörl C, Rambach G. Platelets as immune cells
  465 in infectious diseases. Future Microbiol 2013;8:1431–51. doi:10.2217/fmb.13.104.
- 466 [37] Deppermann C, Kubes P. Platelets and infection. Semin Immunol 2016;28:536–45.
  467 doi:10.1016/j.smim.2016.10.005.
- 468 [38] Ward NS, Casserly B, Ayala A. The compensatory anti-inflammatory response

- 469 syndrome (CARS) in critically ill patients. Clin Chest Med 2008;29:617–25, viii.
- 470 doi:10.1016/j.ccm.2008.06.010.
- 471 [39] Akilli NB, Yortanlı M, Mutlu H, Günaydın YK, Koylu R, Akca HS, et al. Prognostic
- 472 importance of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in critically ill patients: short- and long-term
- 473 outcomes. Am J Emerg Med 2014;32:1476–80. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2014.09.001.
- 474 [40] Liu X, Shen Y, Wang H, Ge Q, Fei A, Pan S. Prognostic Significance of Neutrophil-to-
- 475 Lymphocyte Ratio in Patients with Sepsis: A Prospective Observational Study.
- 476 Mediators Inflamm 2016;2016:1–8. doi:10.1155/2016/8191254.
- 477 [41] Liu W-Y, Lin S-G, Wang L-R, Fang C-C, Lin Y-Q, Braddock M, et al. Platelet-to-
- 478 Lymphocyte Ratio. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e2596.
- 479 doi:10.1097/MD.00000000002596.
- 480 [42] Siegenthaler M, Spertini O. Images in clinical medicine. Spurious platelet count. N
  481 Engl J Med 2006;354:1936. doi:10.1056/NEJMicm050107.
- 482 [43] Akwari AM, Ross DW, Stass SA. Spuriously elevated platelet counts due to
- 483 microspherocytosis. Am J Clin Pathol 1982;77:220–1.
- 484 [44] Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al.
- 485 The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-
- 486 3). JAMA 2016;315:801. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.0287.
- 487
- 488

# 489 **Tables**

# Included

## Excluded

- Aged 16-99
- Total body surface area percentage (TBSA%) is greater than or equal to 20%
- At least one platelet count within
  48 hours of injury
- A minimum of 4 platelet counts within the first 7 days of admission

- Non-acute burn injury
- Diagnosed with platelet disorders.
- Patients diagnosed with skin blistering conditions (such as TENS)
- Chemical burn injury
- Patients admitted for comfort care (where a decision is made within the first 24 hours)
- Incomplete data or unable to obtain medical notes
- 490 Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

	Overall	Se	psis	Survival		
	Overall	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Ν	145	60	85	109	36	
Gender male (%)	86 (59.3)	31 (51.7)	55 (64.7)	66 (60.6)	20 (55.6)	
Age	39.00 [28.00,	39.00 [30.75,	39.00 [28.00,	36.00 [28.00,	49.00 [37.75,	***
	53.00]	49.00]	54.00]	47.00]	65.00]	
BMI	25.86 [22.00,	25.39 [22.49,	26.12 [23.44,	25.39 [22.89,	27.44 [24.01,	
	28.65]	28.41]	29.24]	28.24]	29.39]	
Mechanism of injury (%)						
Contact	3 (2.1)	0 (0.0)	3 (3.5)	3 (2.8)	0 (0.0)	
Electrical	7 (4.8)	2 (3.3)	5 (5.9)	6 (5.5)	1 (2.8)	
Flame	109 (75.2)	50 (83.3)	59 (69.4)	77 (70.6)	32 (88.9)	
Flash	7 (4.8)	2 (3.3)	5 (5.9)	6 (5.5)	1 (2.8)	
Mixed	6 (4.1)	3 (5)	3 (3.5)	6 (5.5)	0 (0.0)	
Scald	13 (9.0)	3 (5.0)	10 (11.8)	11 (10.1)	2 (5.6)	
TBSA	30.00 [23.00,	45.50 [30.00,	25.00 [22.00, ***	28.00 [22.00,	45.50 [30.00,	***
	48.50]	59.25]	31.50]	43.00]	55.75]	
FT/DD%	15.00 [4.00,	24.75 [10.75,	10.00 [2.00, **	10.00 [2.00,	33.75 [20.19,	***
	33.50]	50.50]	23.50]	24.00]	50.50]	
ABSI	8.00 [7.00,	10.00 [9.00,	7.00 [6.00, 🗮	8.00 [6.00,	10.00 [9.00,	***
	10.00]	11.00]	9.00]	9.00]	11.00]	
rBaux score	87.74 (25.24)	100.33	78.85 **	80.72	108.99	***
		(23.22)	(22.81)	(22.94)	(19.51)	
Inhalation (%)	72 (49.7)	40 (66.7)	32 (37.6) ***	50 (45.9)	22 (61.1)	
Inhalation severity (%)						
Mild	28 (38.9)	11 (27.5)	17 (53.1)	24 (48.0)	4 (18.2)	
Moderate	25 (34.7)	15 (37.5)	10 (31.2)	15 (30.0)	10 (45.5)	
Severe	19 (26.4)	14 (35.0)	5 (15.6)	11 (22.0)	8 (36.4)	
Nadir platelet	114.00 [82.00,	96.50 [71.75,	126.00 *	122.00	85.00 [68.50,	***
count (x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	149.00]	125.75]	[88.00, 164.00]	[88.00, 163.00]	99.50]	
Peak platelet	662.68	578.24	719.34 *	722.63	418.08	***

count (x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	(283.11)	(301.63)	(256.71)		(261.58)	(235.02)	
LOS	34.00 [21.00,	45.50 [25.00,	28.00 [19.00,	**	39.00 [22.00,	25.00 [11.75,	**
	56.00]	76.25]	44.00]		57.00]	35.50]	
ICU admission (%)	97 (66.9)	57 (95.0)	40 (47.1)	***	64 (58.7)	33 (91.7)	***
ICU LOS	19.00 [7.50,	22.01 [9.25,	17.00 [7.00,		22.01 [8.25,	15.00 [7.00,	
	30.00]	34.75]	25.00]		34.75]	25.00]	
Survived (%)	109 (75.2)	38 (63.3)	71 (83.5)	*			
Septic (%)	60 (41.4)				38 (34.9)	22 (61.1)	*

492

493 Table 2. Demographics of study participants. BMI=body mass index; TBSA=total body

494 surface area; FT/DD=full thickness burn ABSI=abbreviated burn severity index;

495 rBaux=revised baux score; ICU=intensive care unit. \*Missing data is due to death or

496 discharge at the time of platelet peak count. ns (not shown) p > 0.05, \*  $p \le 0.05$ , \*\*  $p \le 0.01$ ,

497 \*\*\*  $p \le 0.001$ , \*\*\*\*  $p \le 0.0001$ . Square brackets denote the IQR where the median value is

displayed and round brackets denote the SD where the mean value is displayed.

		Univariate Analysis			Adjusted for rBaux			
	Day	Survival OR	95% CI	p-value	Survival OR	95% CI	p-	
							value	
	2	1.28	(0.88, 1.88)	0.1999	1.25	(0.83, 1.89)	0.279	
Nadir	3	2.20	(1.37, 3.52)	0.0010	1.87	(1.11, 3.15)	0.018	
	4	2.21	(1.42, 3.45)	0.0005	1.75	(1.10, 2.80)	0.019	
	11	1.30	(1.11, 1.53)	0.0010	1.22	(1.04, 1.44)	0.018	
	12	1.30	(1.13, 1.50)	0.0004	1.21	(1.04, 1.41)	0.016	
	13	1.35	(1.16, 1.57)	0.0001	1.28	(1.08, 1.51)	0.004	
Peak	14	1.29	(1.12, 1.48)	0.0004	1.24	(1.07, 1.44)	0.005	
	15	1.34	(1.14, 1.56)	0.0003	1.29	(1.08, 1.53)	0.004	
	16	1.24	(1.09, 1.41)	0.0011	1.21	(1.05, 1.39)	0.008	
	17	1.20	(1.06, 1.35)	0.0038	1.17	(1.02, 1.34)	0.030	

500 Table 3. Daily odds ratios for survival from logistic regression analysis for days 2-4 (platelet

501 count nadir) and days 11-17 (platelet count peak).

		U	nivariate Analy	/sis	Adjusted for rBaux			
	Day	Sepsis OR	95% CI	p-value	Sepsis OR	95% Cl	p-value	
	2	0.83	(0.61, 1.13)	0.2428	0.86	(0.61, 1.19)	0.359	
Nadir	3	0.52	(0.36, 0.75)	0.0005	0.58	(0.39, 0.85)	0.005	
	4	0.71	(0.53, 0.95)	0.0230	0.88	(0.64, 1.20)	0.406	
	11	0.83	(0.74, 0.93)	0.0018	0.87	(0.77, 0.98)	0.024	
	12	0.84	(0.75, 0.93)	0.0011	0.88	(0.79, 0.98)	0.025	
	13	0.91	(0.84, 0.99)	0.0220	0.95	(0.87, 1.03)	0.211	
Peak	14	0.90	(0.82, 0.98)	0.0175	0.92	(0.84, 1.01)	0.081	
	15	0.91	(0.84, 0.99)	0.0318	0.93	(0.86, 1.02)	0.113	
	16	0.92	(0.85, 1.00)	0.0464	0.95	(0.87, 1.03)	0.190	
	17	0.88	(0.81, 0.97)	0.0085	0.91	(0.82, 1.00)	0.059	

502 Table 4. Daily odds ratios for sepsis from logistic regression analysis for days 2-4 (platelet

503 count nadir) and days 11-17 (platelet count peak).

		Hazard Ratio	95% CI	p-value
Survival				-
Model 1	rBaux	1.124	(0.963, 1.311)	0.137
	Peak platelet count	0.813	(0.756, 0.874)	< 0.0001
Model 2	rBaux	1.251	(1.085, 1.442)	0.002
	Nadir platelet count	0.601	(0.410, 0.881)	0.077
Sepsis		I	I	I
Model 3	rBaux	1.223	(1.094, 1.366)	0.0004
	Peak platelet count	0.983	(0.941, 1.027)	0.445
Model 4	rBaux	1.186	(1.066, 1.320)	0.002
	Nadir platelet count	0.750	(0.574, 0.979)	0.035

Table 5. Cox regression analysis summarized into a table. Two multivariable models are summarized for each outcome (survival and sepsis): rBaux (a value composed from age, TBSA and inhalation injury) and platelet count (peak or nadir) were included. rBaux was included to correct for severity of injury. Continuous variables where categorised arbitrarily to allow the analysis to occur, hence the values for hazard radio correspond to: rBaux (per 10 points), peak platelet count (per 50x109/L), nadir platelet count (per 50x109/L).

# 510 Legends for Illustrations

- 511 Figure 1. Participant flowchart showing application of exclusion and inclusion criteria.
- 512 **REQUIRES COLOUR** Figure 2. The observed platelet counts for the total cohort of severe
- 513 burns over 50 days of admission. A nadir at days 2-4 is observed and a peak at days 13-14.
- 514 The platelet counts are tightly distributed at the nadir but there is wider variability in the data
- 515 at the peak. Grey points show outlier values.
- 516 Figure 3. Platelet counts over time of the cohort after application of the linear mixed effects
- 517 model which helps to account for individual variability across the cohort. Shaded areas
- 518 represent 95% confidence intervals
- 519 **REQUIRES COLOUR** Figure 4. Platelet count stratified by survival. Platelet counts stratified
- 520 by survival show a significant difference between groups at the nadir and at the peak.
- 521 **REQUIRES COLOUR** Figure 5. Platelet count stratified by sepsis. Platelet counts stratified
- 522 by sepsis show a borderline non-significant difference at the nadir, but a significant
- 523 difference at the peak.