

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham
Research at Birmingham

Introduction

Florio, Salvatore; Linnebo, Øystein; Walsh, Sean; Welch, Philip

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Florio, S, Linnebo, Ø, Walsh, S & Welch, P 2015, 'Introduction: Set Theory and Higher-Order Logic: Foundational Issues and Mathematical Development', *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. 56, no. 1.

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Introduction

These two special issues of the Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic feature work related to the talks and presentations from the summer school “Set Theory and Higher-Order Logic: Foundational Issues and Mathematical Developments,” which took place at the Institute of Philosophy in London on August 1-6, 2011. The local organizers were part of Øystein Linnebo’s European Research Council-funded project Plurals, Predicates, and Paradox. Further organization and support was generously provided by the New Frontiers of Infinity Project (European Science Foundation), the Ideals of Proof Project (Agence nationale de la recherche), the Philosophy Department and Logic Group of the University of Notre Dame, the Munich Center for Mathematical Philosophy (Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung), and the Philosophy Department of Birkbeck, University of London.

The summer school was well-attended (circa 80 total participants), and was split into two components, with five days of introductory and advanced tutorials, followed by a two-day workshop. We’re thankful to the speakers for the large amounts of time which they devoted to the summer school, and are very happy that so many of them could contribute to these special issues. The other contributors spoke on separate occasions to the Plurals, Predicates, and Paradox project on the topic of set theory and higher-order logic. One can find the slides for the tutorials and talks for the summer school on each of the following websites:

<http://www.bbk.ac.uk/philosophy/our-research/ppp/summer-school>
<http://www.oysteinlinnebo.org/ppp/summer-school>

The topic of set theory and higher-order logic has of course been at the heart of classical developments in the foundations of set theory. For instance, there is Gödel’s famous idea that one can conceive of the cumulative hierarchy of sets as an extension of second-order logic, third-order logic, etc. into the transfinite. Likewise, there is Kreisel’s important observation that Zermelo’s quasi-categoricity theorem indicates that the continuum hypothesis is decided by certain varieties of the semantics for second-order logic, in spite of its deductive independence from the first-order axioms of set theory. Finally, there is the old idea that large cardinal axioms themselves can be motivated by so-called reflection principles, which posit that the universe can’t be distinguished from its initial segments by various first- or higher-order resources.

While ideas such as these are staples of classical foundations of set theory, it’s our hope that these special issues and the summer school help to underscore that there is still much left to say on the topic of set-theory and higher-order logic. For instance, our special issues include an introduction to large cardinals as strong axioms of infinity and sketches how they imply increasing levels of the axiom of definable determinacy. Likewise, one can consult the above websites for the slides from the tutorials and talks of Antonelli, Bagaria, Hamkins, Horsten, Incurvati,

Leitgeb and our contributors on a multitude of recent topics like Omega logic, the multiverse conception of set theory, groundedness, and formal theories of truth.

Many of the papers in this special issue — like much work in the philosophy of set theory — involves grappling in one way or another with the paradoxes. Russell, and Dummett after him, suggested that the general mechanism behind many of the paradoxes was that of indefinite extensibility. Uzquiano in his contribution proposes a new way of understanding the Russellian and Dummettian notion of indefinite extensibility. While it has long been noted that Russell's paradox is structurally similar to Cantor's theorem on the cardinality of the power set, the article by Meadows points out a similarity between the proof of Cantor's theorem and certain elements of Cohen's method of forcing. Russell originally used his paradox to demonstrate the inconsistency of Frege's *Grundgesetze*, and two of our contributions pertain to the *Grundgesetze*. Payne articulates a consistent modal rendition of a variant of Frege's system, and Cruz-Filipe and Ferreira study the strength of a version of the *Grundgesetze* in which the comprehension schema for the ambient higher-order logic is restricted to its so-called predicative instances.

Higher-order logic also features prominently in several of the other more technical papers in these special issues. Philosophical logicians and set theorists have long used logics wherein the space of truth-values is a Boolean algebra with more than just two elements, and the paper of Ikegami and Väänänen devises a related Boolean-valued semantics for second-order logic. In their joint paper, Väänänen and Wang note that the classical categoricity theorems of Dedekind and Zermelo can be done in a weak background second-order logic. Visser in his tutorial discussed the general question of how much consistency strength is added by including a layer of predicative classes on top of a theory, and in his contribution he studies another measure of the strength of a theory, namely the arithmetics of the theory. Like Visser's tutorial, the paper of Mathias and Bowler is a contribution to the study of the fine-grained properties of weak theories of classes and set. In particular, Mathias and Bowler here lay the groundwork for a weak set theory which is yet still strong enough to do some forcing.

Salvatore Florio
Øystein Linnebo
Sean Walsh
Philip Welch