

## Journal of Applied Communications

Volume 78 | Issue 2

Article 3

# Evaluation of the Use of Water Quality Videotapes by County Extension Offices in Iowa

Julia Gamon

Roger Roe

S. Michael Campbell

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/jac



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 License.

#### **Recommended Citation**

Gamon, Julia; Roe, Roger; and Campbell, S. Michael (1994) "Evaluation of the Use of Water Quality Videotapes by County Extension Offices in Iowa," *Journal of Applied Communications*: Vol. 78: Iss. 2. https://doi.org/10.4148/1051-0834.1402

This Research is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Applied Communications by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. For more information, please contact cads@k-state.edu.

## Evaluation of the Use of Water Quality Videotapes by County Extension Offices in Iowa

#### Abstract

All of the 100 county Extension offices in Iowa have a set of six water quality videotapes available for use by clientele.

### Gamon et al.: Evaluation of the Use of Water Quality Videotapes by County Exten Evaluation of the Use of Water Quality Videotapes by County Extension Offices in Iowa

Julia Gamon Roger Roe S. Michael Campbell

All of the 100 county Extension offices in Iowa have a set of six water quality videotapes available for use by clientele. The state water quality Extension specialist designed the high quality tapes, each 20 minutes long, to be viewed at home by clients who had water questions. The question was: "Should videos be used again as an educational delivery method?" Responses to a telephone survey of county office assistants indicated a wide variation in the use of video tapes, although over 75% liked the idea of information via tapes. The counties needed assistance with publicity and displays, something that area media specialists might provide.

#### Introduction

Just as university professors have typically taught by lecture, Extension educators have typically used meetings, bulletins, and personal contacts. These delivery methods have worked well historically in transferring research from the land-grant university reservoir of knowledge to a receptive population (Rasmussen, 1989). However, current times call for current delivery methods. The last decade has witnessed a plethora of new technology, including computer programs, satellite programs, desktop publishing, and videos. Eighty percent of U.S. homes have at least one videocassette recorder, up from 65 percent in 1990 (Wall Street Journal, 3/11/93). If Extension is to survive as an educational institution, it needs to expand and enhance its use of a variety of teaching/learning strategies and find out which ones are best suited for specific topics, target audiences, and particular situations.

Julia Gamon is an Associate Professor in the department of Agricultural Education and Studies, Iowa State University, Ames. She is an ACE member. Roger Roe and Michael Campbell were graduate assistants working on their doctorates at the time of study. This paper was submitted to the American Evaluation Association for presentation at their meeting in Dallas, November, 1993.

Published by New Prairie Press, 2017

Journal of Applied Communications, Vol. 78, No. 2, 1994/13

#### Journal of Applied Communications, Vol. 78, Iss. 2 [1994], Art. 3

This study evaluated the use of a set of six water quality videotapes developed for home use by Iowa's Extension water quality specialist and found that close to half of the offices had used them at least "some" (41%), with 10 percent using them "a lot." Most of the office assistants surveyed by telephone liked the idea of putting information on videos for home use and supported developing more sets similar to the water videos. However, the number of times the videos were used was very small relative to the number of requests for water information.

The videotapes were distributed, along with a set of pamphlets, to all 100 county Extension offices in Iowa in the fall of 1991. The tapes, each 20 minutes long, were designed to be viewed at home by Extension clients who were concerned about their water. The tapes were high quality and had received a national award. The purpose of the study was to find out if the tapes were being used. The first question asked was, "Are the water videos an appropriate alternative to answering individual questions in person or over the telephone?" The second question was, "Should this educational delivery method be used again?" Finding constraints in this delivery method would help make decisions on future use of home videos in Extension's transfer of information.

This study looked at videotapes as well as water videos. A videotape on water quality was considered an appropriate educational delivery method because of the widespread concern about groundwater drinkability in agricultural areas and the popularity of videocassette players. Some problems with water are natural, for example, high iron content; others, such as coliform bacteria contamination, come from animal and human waste; whereas others, such as pesticide or nitrate contamination, are a direct result of agricultural practices. When Extension redefined its mission to focus on issues, clientele identified water quality as one of eight key issues (Rasmussen, 1989).

The six water quality tapes covered hard water, red water, coliform bacteria, nitrate pollution, inorganic chemicals, and sulfur pollution. The tapes, each of which could stand alone, were designed to be viewed by individual Extension clients with specific questions. The plan was that a client who came to a county Extension office with a water question would be encouraged by the office assistant to check out and view at home one or more of the tapes. The county Extension offices were typically open from 8:00-noon and 1:00-4:30 (or 5:00) each weekday.

#### Methodology

The method was a telephone interview study that evaluated the use of videos and the checkout process from the viewpoint of the https://newprairiepress.org/jac/vol78/iss2/3

DOI: 194148/1951499/194 Communications, Vol. 78, No. 2, 1994/14

#### Gamon et al.: Evaluation of the Use of Water Quality Videotapes by County Exten

Extension office assistants in a 50-percent random sample of county offices in Iowa (50 out of 100 counties). In two of the 50 offices, no one could be contacted about the tapes, leaving a response rate of 48/50 or 96 percent. Development of the telephone survey instrument included testing it with three offices that were not a part of the sample. The survey used a three-point rating scale, which limited the interpretation of the results. What some office assistants considered "A lot" or "Good," the highest ratings, others might consider "Some" or "Okay," the middle ratings. The descriptors for the bottom ratings were "Very little or none" and "Poor."

To eliminate interviewer bias, the same person, a graduate research assistant in the Department of Agricultural Education and Studies, conducted all of the telephone interviews, early in the mornings and within a two-month span in the late winter of 1993. The head office assistant answered the questions, except for three counties in which the office assistant either was unaware of the tapes or knew little about them. In those cases, one of the Extension professionals responded to the telephone interview. There were 21 closed-answer questions in the interviews plus an open-ended question and time for comments.

#### Other Extension Video Studies

The results of a home video pilot project (Elliot & Hamilton, 1988) with 11 counties in Iowa provided a basis for developing the telephone survey instrument used in this study. That pilot project used a variety of videos placed in three locations in each county: the Extension office, the public library, and a retail outlet. Users' reactions to the tapes and the process were measured by 337 reply cards, 773 viewers, and random sample telephone interviews with 33 users. Elliot and Hamilton (1988) reported what Extension clientele might look for in how-to videos, what use they made of such videos, and what the cost-effectiveness was for using videos as a method of disseminating information. Results indicated that only high-quality tapes had any success at all.

The videotape market was very competitive, and good promotion was a key to successful distribution of tapes. Tape usage was seasonal; fall and winter were prime viewing times. Cost seemed to be a factor because people expressed resentment paying as little as 50 cents for Extension videos at commercial outlets. The tapes most often used were those that taught a skill. Interest and enthusiasm of the staff affected the success of the program. Elliot and Hamilton (1988) recommended that program leaders work with specialists in identifying 10 to 15 topics best suited for delivery via video and then make those available statewide.

3

#### Journal of Applied Communications, Vol. 78, Iss. 2 [1994], Art. 3

At least three Extension video studies have been done in states other than lowa. Iams and Marion (1991) researched alternative delivery methods for environmental issues; Scherer (1988) made a large study (2,000 households) of the use of educational videos by people in upstate New York; and Johnson (1986) reported on experiments with videos at discount chains in Oregon. Iams and Marion (1991) found that 67 percent were willing to rent videos, with a majority of respondents willing to pay \$1.00 to \$2.99.

Over three-fourths of their respondents were concerned about safe water supplies, the highest-rated environmental and public policy issue in their study. Another finding was that willingness to rent environmental education videotapes was directly related to education level and inversely related to age. Iams and Marion (1991) concluded, "Extension faculty need to hone their skills in teaching about critical environmental issues like water quality by both live and taped television programming" (p. 15). Scherer (1988) discovered that the people who rent science and how-to videos are different from those who watch these same kinds of shows on television. He then asked:

If these findings are true, in that there appears to be a potential in the need for educational and, especially, "how-to" TV fare by some segments of the TV audience—what is that gap? If a gap exists, can Extension video fill at least part of the demand? (Scherer, 1988, p. 25)

Scherer (1988) claimed that the true potential audience for informational tapes is relatively small and "the total number of cassettes (the Extension clientele) are likely to use may be extremely limited" (p. 26). He recommended that Extension be careful in developing and using videos as an educational delivery method.

Johnson (1986), an Extension media specialist, reported on two experiments he had done, placing Extension videos on food preservation and weatherization at Bi-Mart, a variety-store discount chain in Oregon. He reported being "moderately pleased" with food preservation videos and "disappointed" with tapes on weatherization.

#### Findings

Findings from this study were that 10 percent of the offices had used the water videotapes "a lot," and another 31 percent had used them "some," which means more than 40 percent of the offices had used the tapes, whereas more than half had used them little or none (Table 1). Use of the water videos was less than that of videos in general. There was a wide variation in their total usage since their distribution about a year ago. Seven of the offices had no usage.

https://newprairiepress.org/jac/vol78/iss2/3 DOI:404448/10514083494020mmunications, Vol. 78, No. 2, 1994/16 Seven @finonstaladival@ation.of.dieUpeof@ater@mality&ideot@esby@finityExported that they had been used 105 times. The median usage was four times (Figure 1). Office assistants were asked about the approximate number of water inquiries last year. One office reported none; the others ranged from 2 to 312 inquiries. A typical office received between 35 and 50 water inquiries a year (Figure 1). These numbers were estimates by the office assistants because only a few offices kept track of inquiries or use of tapes.

Table 1: Perceptions of Use of Home-Study Videotapes. (N=48 offices)				
Category	N	%		
Water video	W.335			
A lot	5	10		
Some	15	31		
Very little	20	42		
None	8	17		
Videos in general				
A lot	11	23		
Some	22	46		
Very little or none	15	31		

Number of inquiries last year:	
Mean	
with anomalies	55.3
without anomalies	46
Median	35
Mode	48
Distribution 0234610121215	15 15 20 20 24 24 25 30 30(35 35) 36 40 40 40 48
48 48 48 50 50 60 72 84 120 144 150 30	0312 Median
Distribution example: 1 person us times	sed info 0 times, 1 person used info 2
Number of times used:	
Mean	
with anomaly	6.5
without anomaly	4.4
Median	4
Mode	3.7
Distribution 000000011122	22233333334445555556666668
10 10 10 12 12 25 105	Median

5

## Use and Promotion of Videotapes in General Art. 3

The number of videotapes available for use by clientele in each county in the spring of 1993 ranged from 20 to 200 with a mean of 67 and a median of 55. Only two offices had no video equipment; most had one to three video cassette recorders (VCRs), and half had a satellite downlink. Only eight percent reported that clients watched videos at the Extension office at least some of the time; most people took them home to watch. However, a majority of offices (88 percent) reported that they did have equipment and space available for clients to watch tapes on site.

All but one of the offices had used newspaper articles to promote the use of videotapes (Table 2). More than half had used radio, brochures, and newsletters. The office assistants wanted a standard display to present tapes (23 percent had problems with storing the tapes) and help with publicity. The need for more promotion was evident in the comments. Typical comments were "most people don't know we have them," "don't have time to promote ourselves," and "need better labels on tapes to promote and display better."

Table 2:	Methods Gused by County Offices to Promote Videotapes. (N=48)			
	Method	N	%	
	News article	47	96%	
	Radio	39	80%	
	Newsletter	28	57%	
	Brochure	26	53%	
	Other	14	29%	
	In-office display	12	24%	
	Library	3	6%	
	Video stores	1	2%	

#### Assessment of the Delivery Process

Because the county office assistant is the initial contact with the client, his/her perceptions of the ease of the information delivery process is important to its success. Therefore, the researcher listened to the concerns expressed about the use of videotapes. His perception was that there was a vast difference between attitudes of the various office assistants toward the use of videotapes. Some obviously liked videotapes and spoke highly of the process. It was clear that they promoted the tapes to clientele who came to the office. Other counties were clearly not using videotapes; some even responded that tapes were not useful. It was obvious that the feeling and conceptions of the office assistants were affecting the use of the https://newprairiepress.org/jac/vol78/iss2/3

DOL 40 4148/051 085 14 Communications, Vol. 78, No. 2, 1994/18

tapes Gamon call Evaluation of the Use of Water Quality Videotapes by County Exten procedures were at least okay (Table 3), but they were not as satisfied with the check-in of tapes or the storage of the tapes. Eightyeight percent rated the process of providing educational videotapes okay or good from their viewpoints, and 81 percent thought it was okay or good from the clients' views.

Table 3: / of using vi	Asse	ssments by office apes. (N=48)	assistants	of th	e process
Process	N	%	Process	Ν	%
Check-out	pro	cedures	Storage s	pace	6
Good	32	65%	Good	22	45%
Okay	16	32.5%	Okay	15	30.5%
Poor	0	0%	Poor	11	22.5%
Check-in a	and a	ewinding of tapes	Overall pro	oces	s—office
Okau	16	22.6%	Good	20	41%
Baar	5	10%	Okau	20	41%
Colored h	obne	10%	Poor	6	12%
Good	21	43%	Overall pr	oces	s-client's view
Okay	20	41%	Good	24	49%
Boor	7	1.4%	Okay	15	30.5%
POOL	'	1470	Poor	9	18.5%

#### Perceptions of the Future for Educational Videotapes

Little has been done to evaluate either the process or the content of educational videotapes. This study found that only 15 percent of the offices were using evaluation cards with their videos, although 69 percent reported oral comments as videos were returned. Typical comments from the water tapes were: "Every person thought they were excellent," "Really answers questions, clears up questions on test results," and "Very good, very satisfied with knowledge, likes tapes sorted by problem." More than 90 percent recommended (some, 36 percent; a lot, 60 percent) developing similar sets, and two respondents identified a specific topic—the need for a tape on plugging wells. The respondents were even more positive about the general idea of delivering information on videos, with 98 percent liking the idea some (19 percent) or a lot (79 percent).

#### Conclusions and Recommendations

The number of tapes out in the county offices was large (20 to 200); however, counties differed in their use and promotion of tapes.

Published by New Prairie Press, 2017al of Applied Communications, Vol. 78, No. 2, 1994/19 7

The number operated in the second sec

Recommendations were for the halftime Extension media specialists to coordinate the promotion of videotapes as educational tools. Each of Iowa's seven areas has a new halftime media specialist who might be able to assist counties with displays and publicity items.

#### References

Elliot, E.A., & Hamilton, J. (1988). Home video project evaluation report. Extension Report, Iowa State University, Ames.

Johnson, B.L. (1986). Bi-Mart—New outlet for Extension videos. Extension Review, 57 (3), 14-15, Fall.

Iams, D.R., & Marion, M.H. (Summer, 1991). Reactions to alternative delivery methods. Journal of Extension, 29, (2), 12-14.

Rasmussen, W.D. (1989). Taking the university to the people. Ames, Iowa: Iowa State University Press.

Scherer, C.W. (1988). Video cassette recorders: An educational opportunity? Evidence from the field. ACE Quarterly, <u>71</u> (1): 21-27.

#### **Evaluation: Water Quality Tapes**

County Office\_\_\_\_\_

Date and Time\_\_\_\_\_

Telephone\_\_\_\_\_

Hello. Is this \_\_\_\_\_(person's name)? This is \_\_\_\_\_, calling from the Agricultural Education and Studies Department at lowa State University. We are calling a sample of the Extension offices with a set of questions about your use of video tapes.

We want some information about how your office is using the set of six water quality video tapes that were sent to you a year ago.

#### Would you have about ten minutes to answer some questions about your video tapes?

(Mark one of the following, based on their answer) unaware of tapes

Gam	ware of t	alpation of the Use apes, keeps to	of Water Quality Vi rack of their u	ideotapes by County	y Exten
lf answere in your of	d by firs fice who	t or second re could better	esponse, ask: answer my q	Is there som uestions?	conc else
		_Time/Date/	Person		
If reluctan	ce is ser	nsed, go to:			1.2.1
"Would it yes say, "	be bette Thank y	er if we bypas ou. Maybe w	sed your office e'll try your o	ce this time?" ffice another t	' (lf ime.")
Question: To your k A Sc Ve Ap	s About nowledg lot proxima proxima proxima proxima	Usage: e, how often or none ate number of ate number of ate number of ate number of	have these ta times they h times they h water quality	ave been use ave been used ave been used inquiries last	d? I in total. I/month. year.
Where has At the Ext	ve they t ension o lot	office Some	Very li	ttle or none	
Check out	to watc	h at home Some	Very li	ttle or none	
At meetin A	gs lot _	Some	Very li	ttle or none	
Number o	f meetin	gs on water q	uality		
How has y to watch o (Check all Ra Br In- Lit Vic	your office that approved the second dio ochure office di orary deo-store wsletter	ce promoted own either at ply) le splay es	the use of vid home or at t	leo tapes for i he Extension	ndividuals office?
01	ner		- X - 1	1.0	
How would individuals	d you rai	te your office	's use of vide	os for	
A	lot _	Some	Little o	rnone	
How would tapes in th	d you rai ne office	te your set-uj ?	o for clients to	o view	$x \in \mathbb{I}$

Published by New Prairie Press, 2017 of Applied Communications, Vol. 78, No. 2, 1994/21 9

	Gool/Vergels/Annlied Sand Okay equipment and Poor or non-existent e	nupleations, Vol. 78, Iss. 2 [19 space equipment and spac	94], Art. 3 e
How ma	ny tapes do you have	e in your office?	a average the second
How wor Storage Check-o Check-ir	ald you rate the follo space for tapes food ut procedures for tap food and rewinding of tap	wing: Okay es Okay pes Okay	Poor Poor
Erom vo	ur standnoint how y	would you rate the r	FOOI
of provid	ling clients with educ	cational video tapes Okay	Poor
From the process	e standpoint of the c of providing clients bood	lient, how would yo with educational vid Okay	u rate the leo tapes? Poor
What events the cont	aluation procedures ent of videos? Evaluation cards with Dral comments as vid Dther	have you used to ev each video deos are returned	valuate
Do you l If so, wh	nave some ratings or at?	n the Water Quality	tapes?
What co	mments do you have	about the Water G	auality tapes?
How mu	ch have you liked th	e colored handouts	provided
	lot	Some	Very little
How mu	ch do you like in ger ion on videos for cli Vot	neral the idea of put ents to watch? Some	ting Very little
How mu similar t	ch would you recom o the Water Quality Vot	mend developing m videos? Some	ore sets Very little
What via	leo equipment does Kind	your office have?	umber
What ot	her comments do yo	u have?	
Thank y	ou very much. We a	ppreciate your help	sloving blook w

Gamon et al : Evaluation of the Use of Water Quality Videotapes by County Exten



Photo by Wolfgang Hoffman

Using a T-Max 400, Leica R at 1/30 second exposure with a 21 mm. Super Angulon lens, Wolfgang Hoffman captures the sweetness underlying an overcast spring day in this Critique & Award Program, Class 24 Black and White Photo Series Silver Award winner.

Published by New Prairie Press, 2017 of Applied Communications, Vol. 78, No. 2, 1994/23 11