

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0
Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day (1993-2014)*

Article 1307

1976

Flushing cows

R.R. Schalles

G. Kiracofe

E.F. Smith

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

 Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Schalles, R.R.; Kiracofe, G.; and Smith, E.F. (1976) "Flushing cows," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.2710>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1976 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Flushing cows

Abstract

Starting to flush cows 95 days before the breeding season began significantly increase conception rate and required less total feed.

Keywords

Cattlemen's Day, 1976; Report of progress (Kansas State University. Agricultural Experiment Station); 262; Beef; Flushing; Conception rate; Feed

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

K**S****U**

Flushing Cows

R. R. Schalles, Guy Kiracofe, E. F. Smith

Summary

Starting to flush cows 95 days before the breeding season began significantly increased conception rate and required less total feed.

Introduction

Flushing ewes and sows before breeding has been a standard practice for years. It increases twinning in sheep and litter size in swine. Flushing cows has the same effect by increasing conception rate. Work reported in the 1974 Cattlemen's Day Report (Kansas Ag. Expt. St. Report of Progress 210) indicated flushing cows gives an economic advantage.

Experimental Procedure

We used spring-calving Polled Hereford cows in a two-year flushing study involving 120 cow years. One group received 3 lbs. alfalfa hay and 3 lbs. cracked sorghum grain from early November to late April. The other, 3 lbs. of a range cube that was half dehydrated alfalfa and half cracked sorghum grain the first part of the winter. February 15 (95 days before the breeding season began) the cubed ration was doubled to 6 lbs. per head daily. Breeding season was 66 days.

Cows were pastured on native Bluestem all year. Conception was determined by rectal palpation and daily estrus checks during the breeding season. Cows and calves were weighed monthly and calves were weaned in early October.

Results and Discussion

Cow weight changes, calf birth and weaning weights and average date of conception were similar in both groups (table 3.1). However, flushed cows had 15% higher conception rate on 34 lbs. less crude protein and 183 lbs. less TDN during the wintering period. Flushing decreased the percentage of open cows regardless of how early the cows calved. However, flushing's greatest contribution was improving conception rate of cows calving late.

Table 3.1 Effects of flushing on reproduction of beef cows (1974-75)

Indicated factor	Continuous feeding	Flushed
No. observations	51	78
Ration Nov. to Feb. 15		
CP per day (lb.)	0.83	0.46
TDN per day (lb.)	4.05	2.17
Ration Feb. 15 to April 20 (64 days)		
CP per day (lb.)	0.83	0.92
TDN per day (lb.)	4.05	4.34
Total feed per cow		
Alfalfa hay (lb.)	513	
Dehydrated Alfalfa (lb.)		352.5
Sorghum grain (lb.)	513	352.5
CP (lb.)	142.1	108.1
TDN (lb.)	693	510
<u>Cows¹</u>		
Oct. wt. (lb.)	989	987
Dec. wt. (lb.)	938	970
Feb. wt. (lb.)	928	925
May wt. (lb.)	845	789
Sept. wt. (lb.)	1012	991
<u>Calves¹</u>		
Birth wt. (lb.)	74	76
Weaning wt. (lb.)	451	461
<u>Cows¹</u>		
Conception rate (%)	78.4	93.6
Conception date	June 17	June 17