brought to you by 🐰 CORE

Fungal Genetics Reports

Volume 23 Article 11

Dominance of the wild-type (sensitive) allele of cyh-1

B. C. Turner Stanford University

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/fgr



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 License.

Recommended Citation

Turner, B. C. (1976) "Dominance of the wild-type (sensitive) allele of cyh-1," *Fungal Genetics Reports*: Vol. 23, Article 11. https://doi.org/10.4148/1941-4765.1771

This Linkage Data is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Fungal Genetics Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. For more information, please contact cads@k-state.edu.

Dominance o	inance of the wild-type (sensitive) allele of cyh-1					
Abstract Dominance of wi	ld-type allele of ϵ	cyh-1				
						r/vol22/jos1/11

Turner, Barbara C. Dominance of the wild-type (sensitive) allele of cyh-1.

In carefully conducted tests reported by K. S. Hsu (1963 J. Gen. Microbiol. 32: 341) the resistant allele of gct-1 (now cyh-1) oppeared to be dominant to the sensitive (wild-type) allele in forced heterokaryons using pan-I and in in both coupling phases. In contrast, I have found that in heterozygous duplications (partial diploids) the sensitive que is dominant.

For $\frac{cyh^{\frac{N}{2}}}{cyh^{\frac{N}{2}}}$ duplications, derived from crosses of $\frac{T(1 \rightarrow V1)NM103}{T(1 \rightarrow V1)NM103}$ by normal sequence, transfers from young cultures to $\frac{cyclo-kximide}{CYH}$ medium (Vogel's medium N plus $\frac{10 \, \mu \, g}{M}$ cycloheximide) usually show little or no macroscopic growth by the time c/h-I controls hove begun to conidiate. In the genetic backgrounds studied, eventually about half of such duplication transfers grow. Analyses of the transfers that grow after a log show that the resulting cultures ore resistant, having lost the sensitive wildtype allele and become homo- or hemizygous for cyn-rand markers linked to it. This is consistent with our knowledge of the somatic instability of NM103 duplications and other heterozygous duplications (Turner 1975 Genetics 80: s81),

In order to study the apparent contradictions with Hsu's results, reciprocal heterokaryons were mode on ogor slants in 15 cm tubes using pan-2 and nit-3 as forcing markers (Table I). Heterokaryons of (pan-2; cyh-1 S) + fic-3; cyh-1 would not form on CYH medium. A heterokoryon formed on minimal medium when transferred to CYH medium grevw briefly and then stopped. consistent with the results from duplications. But with the coupling reversed (pan-2; thy-1 R) + nic-3; cyn-1-3), heterokoryons formed and grew fairly well on a CYH medium, similar to Hsu's heterokoryons. A set of conidial platings from such a heterokoryon culture suggests the reason for the difference. Only 5% of the conidia carried a pan+; cyh-IS nucleus, and almost all of these conidia were heterokaryotic. Evidently a culture con tolerate a small proportion of scattered c&alleles, and this proportion provides sufficient pan^+ alleles to relieve the pan-2 requirement of the cyh-1 R component. On the other hand, the nit-3 requirement is more stringent, and the proportion of $nic-3^+$ alleles required in a heterokaryon exceeds the tolerable proportion of cyh^5 alleles.

Table 1. Growth of forced heterokoryons involving cyh-IS ond cyh-IR

		<u> </u>		
		3-day growth on minimol with		vith
	Genot _{yp} e	no CYH	10 mg/ml C	YH
1.	cyh-1 [™] contro!	+	+	
2.	cyh-1 ^R contro! (nic-3; cyh-1 ^R) + (pan-2;	cyh-1 ^R) +	+	
3.	(nic-3; cyh-IR) + (pan-2; c (nic-3; cyh-I ^S) +	yh-IS) +	•	(only a few hyphoe)
4	. (nic-3; cyh-1 ⁵) +	(pan+2; cyh-1 ^K) +	+*	

Notes:

All cultures on no CYH grew better than did the partners on CYH. For the critical tests of No. 3 and No. 4 on CYH medium, two additional tests each were mode.

*No. 4 grew almost as well as No. 2 on CYH, although No. 4 didn't cover the medium. Neither grew as well as No. | did.

The apparent difference between the original heterokoryon results and the duplication results was not due to a difference in dominance relationships in the two systems. Rather, it is an illustration of the need for caution in drawing conclusions about dominance form heterokoryon experiments where nuclear ratios ore not known. - - Deportment of Biological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305.