

Fungal Genetics Reports

Volume 16

Article 2

Reciprocal and non-reciprocal recombination between closely linked markers

D. M. Boone

D. R. Stadler

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/fgr>



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).

Recommended Citation

Boone, D. M., and D.R. Stadler (1969) "Reciprocal and non-reciprocal recombination between closely linked markers," *Fungal Genetics Reports*: Vol. 16, Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.4148/1941-4765.1902>

This Research Note is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Fungal Genetics Reports* by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. For more information, please contact cads@k-state.edu.

Reciprocal and non-reciprocal recombination between closely linked markers

Abstract

Reciprocal and non-reciprocal recombination between closely linked markers

Boone, D. M. and D. R. Stadler. Reciprocal and

non-reciprocal recombination between closely linked markers.

suggested that the increase was mainly in the RR class, with NRR remaining constant. This report deals with a similar analysis of recombination between closely linked genes of unrelated phenotype. All crosses were $his^{+2}; col-4, arg-2$ \times $his^{+2}; pdx-1$ \times mtr A of the fwr linked loci (with approximate map distances) is $pdx-1 - (2) - mtr - (1) - col-4 - (0.2) =$ $erg-2$.

Random ascospores were plated on minimal sorbose medium supplemented with histidine, pyridoxine and an excess of arginine. This medium was selective for mtr^{+} , because the uptake of the required histidine depended upon the function of this gene. The mtr^{+} colonies were counted, and the 1-2% which were non-colonial ($col-4^{+}$) were selected visually, counted, isolated and scored for the unselected markers.

No tetrad analyses were included, but an attempt was made to distinguish RR between mtr and $col-4$ from NRR at either of these sites by the marker combinations accompanying them. It was assumed that the marked intervals were so short that progeny which were double exchange types for adjacent intervals could only arise by NRR.

The first five crosses differed only in their mtr alleles. All of these alleles had been inserted by mutation into the same $his^{+2}; pdx-1$ A strain, so the genetic background was identical in these crosses. As expected, the results show relatively constant frequencies of RR and of NRR at the $col-4$ locus. The fluctuations in NRR rates at mtr are presumed to represent the different conversion frequencies for the different mutant alleles.

From the cross involving $mtr-119$, four progeny of the genotype $hist-2; pdx-1, mtr$ A (designated 119-1, 119-2, 119-3 and 119-4 in the table below) were isolated and back-crossed to their $hist-2; col-4, arg-2$ a parent. Two $his^{+2}; pdx-1, mtr$ A progeny (designated 119-1-1 and 119-1-2 in the table below) from one of these back-crosses were back-crossed to the same parent. The results from the back-crosses do not reveal any simple trends. Some show an increase in RR, but

Reciprocal recombination (RR) may result from a different kind of event from non-reciprocal recombination (NRR; also called gene conversion). Stadler and Towe (1968 Genetics 58:327) found that inbreeding raised the frequency of recombinants between two closely linked but non-allelic cysteine mutants of Neurospora, and the marker combinations

This report deals with a similar analysis of recombination between closely linked genes of unrelated phenotype. All crosses were $his^{+2}; col-4, arg-2$ \times $his^{+2}; pdx-1$ \times mtr A of the fwr linked loci (with approximate map distances) is $pdx-1 - (2) - mtr - (1) - col-4 - (0.2) =$ $erg-2$.

one shows a pronounced decrease (although the number analyzed was small in this cross). The expected uniformity of conversion rates is not observed, and the fluctuations represent both increases and decreases.

<u>mtr</u> parent	total <u>mtr</u> ⁺ colonies	RR <u>pdx</u> ⁺ <u>mtr</u> ⁺ <u>col</u> ⁺ <u>arg</u> ⁺	NRR at <u>mtr</u> ⁺ <u>pdx</u> <u>mtr</u> ⁺ <u>col</u> ⁺ <u>arg</u> ⁺	NRR at <u>col</u> ⁺ <u>pdx</u> ⁺ <u>mtr</u> ⁺ <u>col</u> ⁺ <u>arg</u> ⁺
mtr 112	15,670	152 (9.7)	4 (0.26)	27 (1.7)
mtr 116	13,400	127 (9.5)	10 (0.75)	29* (2.2)
mtr 117	11,630	142 (12.2)	16 (1.4)	30* (2.6)
mtr 119	14,530	201 (13.8)	6 (0.41)	25* (1.7)
mtr 121	12,420	145 (11.7)	9 (0.72)	32* (2.6)
mtr 119-1	8,770	168 (19.2)	11 (1.3)	32** (3.6)
mtr 119-2	1,720	17 (9.9)	0	1 (0.58)
mtr 119-3	1,390	60 (43.1)	3 (2.2)	6 (4.3)
mtr 119-4	1,010	5 (5.0)	0	3 (3.0)
mtr 119-1-1	5,490	75 (13.7)	1 (0.18)	4 (0.73)
mtr 119-1-Z	3,540	62 (17.5)	1 (0.28)	3 (0.85)

Numbers in parentheses are frequencies per thousand mtr⁺ colonies.

• One of these was pdx mtr⁺ col⁺ arg.

** Three of these were pdx mtr⁺ col⁺ arg.

■ - Department of Plant Pathology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706 and Department of Genetics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98105.