Fungal Genetics Reports

Volume 11 Article 11

Ultrastructural studies of microconidium formation

R. J. Lowry

T. L. Durkee

A. S. Sussman

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/fgr



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 License.

Recommended Citation

Lowry, R. J., T.L. Durkee, and A.S. Sussman (1967) "Ultrastructural studies of microconidium formation," *Fungal Genetics Reports*: Vol. 11, Article 11. https://doi.org/10.4148/1941-4765.1976

This Spores is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Fungal Genetics Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. For more information, please contact cads@k-state.edu.

Ultrastructural studies of microconidium formation
Abstract Ultrastructural studies of microconidium formation
This spores is available in Fundal Genetics Reports: https://newprairiepress.org/fgr/vol11/iss1/11

Ultrastructural studier of microconidium formation.

Botany, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104.

Lowry, R. J., T. L. Durkee and A. S. Sussman.

Hyphae from which microconidio form are markedly vacualated and show a much more extensive system of rough endoplasmic reticulum than do young vegetative hyphoe. A bulge in the hypho presages the start of microconidium formation, followed by the rupture of the outermost wall layers. A thick collar forms wound the protruding microconidiophore due to extensive thickening of the inner wall layer of the parent hypho. At this stage the cytoplasm of the developing microconidiophore is still continuous with that of the hyphol cell from which it arises and is contained by

Microconidiating cultures of N. crassa strain peach-fluffy (Y8743m, L) (FGSC#569) were fixed at various times after the

initiation of growth and examined with the electron microscope.

a wall which is derived from the thickened collar. The microconidium is finally isolated from the cytoplasm of the microconidiophore by a centripetal extension of its wall, the material of which seems to be derived from the collar. The present data suggest that microconidia differ from macroconidia in their smaller size, denser array of ribosomes, more extensive endoplasmic reticulum, more conspicuously layered wall, fewer mitochondria, and single nucleus. There results confirm

ond extend those of Dodge (1932 Bull. Torrey Botan, Club 59: 347) and Moregu and Moregu (1939 Bull. Soc. Botan, France 86: 12) whore observations with the light microscope were the principal sources of information on the subject. - - Department of