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#### Abstract

In this paper we show that Montesinos links of the form $L(1 / 2,1 / 2, p / q ; e)$, which we call $(2,2, r)$-Montesinos links, have finite involutory quandles. This generalizes an observation of Winker regarding the $(2,2, q)$-pretzel links. We also describe some properties of these quandles.


## 1 Introduction

Let $K$ be a knot or link in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$. The knot quandle $Q(K)$ is an algebraic knot invariant that can be derived from a diagram of $K$. In [3], 4], Joyce proves that the knot quandle is a complete invariant of unoriented knots. However, as with knot groups, it is easy to obtain a presentation of the quandle, but hard to determine if two presentations yield isomorphic quandles. Passing to the $n$-quandle, $Q_{n}(K)$, a certain quotient of $Q(K)$, yields a more tractable knot invariant about which much is still unknown. This paper is one piece of a larger project to tabulate all links with finite $n$-quandles and furthermore to explicitly describe those quandles. We hope to complete this project in future publications.

Combining results of Joyce [3], 4], and Winker [6], it follows that if $Q_{n}(K)$ is finite, then $\pi_{1}\left(\widetilde{M}_{n}\right)$ is finite, where $\widetilde{M}_{n}$ is the the $n$-fold cyclic branched cover of $S^{3}$, branched over $K$. In this paper, we examine the class of Montesinos links $L(1 / 2,1 / 2, p / q ; e)$, which we call $(2,2, r)$-Montesinos links, and which are known to have 2 -fold cyclic branched covers with finite fundamental group. We show that their 2-quandles, also known as involutory quandles, are in fact finite. Moreover, we carefully describe the nature of these quandles. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 If $L(1 / 2,1 / 2, p / q ; e)$ is a $(2,2, r)$-Montesinos link with $0<p<q$, $\operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1$, and $e \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the order of $Q_{2}(L)$ is $2(q+1)|(e-1) q-p|$.

In Section 2, we review basic material about involutory quandles and describe the diagramming method introduced by Winker [6] used to find a Cayley graph of a quandle. Then, in Section 3 we describe the $(2,2, r)$-Montesinos links and derive a presentation for their involutory quandles. We then employ Winker's method in Section 4 to compute the involutory quandles of these links, describing the quandle by means of its Cayley graph. Finally, in Section 5, we describe several properties of these quandles.

## 2 The Involutory Quandle of a Link

In this section we quickly review the definition of the fundamental quandle of a link and its associated involutory quandle. We refer the reader to [2], [3], [4], and [6] for more detailed information.

A quandle is a set $Q$ together with two binary operations $\triangleright$ and $\triangleright^{-1}$ which satisfies the following three axioms.

A1. $x \triangleright x=x$ for all $x \in Q$.
A2. $(x \triangleright y) \triangleright^{-1} y=x=\left(x \triangleright^{-1} y\right) \triangleright y$ for all $x, y \in Q$.
A3. $(x \triangleright y) \triangleright z=(x \triangleright z) \triangleright(y \triangleright z)$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$.
If $L$ is an oriented knot or link in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$, then a presentation of its fundamental quandle, $Q(L)$, can be derived from a regular diagram $D$ of $L$. This process mimics the Wirtinger algorithm. Namely, assign a quandle generator $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ to each arc of $D$, then at each crossing introduce a relation as follows. Suppose the overcrossing strand is labeled $x_{j}$ while the undercrossing strand to the left (using the orientation of the overcrossing strand) is labeled $x_{i}$ and the undercrossing strand to the right is labeled $x_{k}$. Then $x_{k} \triangleright x_{j}=x_{i}$. It is easy to check that the three Riedemeister moves do not change the quandle given by this presentation so that the quandle is indeed an invariant of the oriented link.

It is important to note that the operation $\triangleright$ is, in general, not associative. Throughout this paper, we will assume that any expression without parentheses is to be grouped in leftassociated form. For example, the expression $u \triangleright^{-1} v \triangleright w \triangleright x \triangleright y \triangleright^{-1} z$ should be taken to be $\left(\left(\left(\left(u \triangleright^{-1} v\right) \triangleright w\right) \triangleright x\right) \triangleright y\right) \triangleright^{-1} z$. It is an interesting exercise to show that the quandle axioms can be used to rewrite every product in left-associated form. Thus, there is no loss of generality in working entirely with left-associated products.

A quandle is called involutory if $\triangleright=\triangleright^{-1}$. In an involutory quandle axiom A2 becomes $(x \triangleright y) \triangleright y=x$ for all $x, y \in Q$. The involutory quandle for a knot or link is presented
in the same manner as its fundamental quandle except that the orientation of the link is now irrelevant. The involutory quandle of a link $L$ will be denoted by $Q_{2}(L)$. The involutory quandle of a link may be thought of as a quotient of the fundamental quandle and in general one should expect a significant loss of information in passing from $Q(L)$ to $Q_{2}(L)$. All quandles considered in this paper will be involutory quandles. Finally, we adopt the exponential notation used in [2], denoting $x \triangleright y$ as $x^{y}$. This has the advantage of further clarifying the ambiguity caused by lack of associativity. Now $x^{y z}$ will be taken to mean $\left(x^{y}\right)^{z}=(x \triangleright y) \triangleright z$ whereas $x^{y^{z}}$ will mean $x \triangleright(y \triangleright z)$.

The following lemma from [2], which describes how to re-associate a product in an involutory quandle, will be used repeatedly in this paper.

Lemma 2.1 If $x, y, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k}$ are elements of an involutory quandle, then

$$
x^{y^{z_{1} z_{2} \ldots z_{k}}}=x^{z_{k} \ldots z_{2} z_{1} y z_{1} z_{2} \ldots z_{k}} .
$$

One of the main tools we use in this paper is Winker's method to produce the Cayley graph of an involutory quandle from a given presentation [6]. The method is similar to the wellknown Todd-Coxeter method of enumerating cosets of a subgroup of a group [5]. Suppose $Q_{2}(L)$ is presented as

$$
Q_{2}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid x_{j_{1}}^{w_{1}}=x_{k_{1}}, \ldots, x_{j_{m}}^{w_{m}}=x_{k_{m}}\right\rangle
$$

where each $w_{i}$ is a word in the generators. If $y$ is any element of the quandle, then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
y^{\overline{w_{i}} x_{j_{i}} w_{i}}=y^{x_{k_{i}}}
$$

where $\bar{w}$ is the word $w$ written backwards. Winker calls this relation the secondary relation associated to the primary relation $x_{j_{i}}^{w_{i}}=x_{k_{i}}$. Winker's method now proceeds as follows.

1. Begin with one vertex for each generator $x_{i}$, labeled with the integer $i$.
2. Add a loop at each vertex $x_{i}$, labeled $x_{i}$. (This encodes Axiom A1.)
3. Using each primary relation $x_{j_{i}}^{w_{i}}=x_{k_{i}}$, in their given order, introduce vertices and edges as necessary to create the path from $x_{j_{i}}$ to $x_{k_{i}}$ given by $w_{i}$. This process is called tracing the primary relation. Vertices are labeled with consecutive integers in the order they are introduced and edges are labeled with the corresponding generator. Tracing the $i$-th relation may introduce like-labeled edges sharing a vertex which we now identify, possibly leading to other necessary identifications. This process is called collapsing and all collapsing is carried out before tracing the $(i+1)$-st relation.
4. Proceeding in order through the list of vertices, trace and collapse each secondary relation (in order). All secondary relations are traced and collapsed at vertex $i$ before proceeding to vertex $i+1$.

If the method terminates in a finite graph, then this is the Cayley graph of the quandle. However, the method may not terminate. The reader is referred to Winker [6] for more details.

For example, let $K$ be the the standard 3 -crossing diagram of the right-handed trefoil knot. If the arcs are labeled $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$ in order as we traverse the knot, then we obtain the presentation

$$
\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \mid x_{1}^{x_{3}}=x_{2}, x_{2}^{x_{1}}=x_{3}, x_{3}^{x_{2}}=x_{1}\right\rangle
$$

of its involutory quandle. As explained in [2], presentations can be changed by the analog of Tietze transformations. Here we can eliminate $x_{3}$ obtaining

$$
\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2} \mid x_{1}^{x_{2}}=x_{2}^{x_{1}}\right\rangle
$$

to which we now apply Winker's method. After the first two steps, we arrive at the graph shown in Figure 1. Tracing the single secondary relation, $q^{x_{1} x_{2} x_{1} x_{2} x_{1} x_{2}}=q$ at each of the vertices (in order) introduces no additional vertices and requires no collapsing. Therefore, this is the Cayley graph of the involutory quandle of the right-handed trefoil knot.


Figure 1: The Cayley graph of the involutory quandle of the right-handed trefoil knot.

## 3 The (2, 2, r)-Montesinos Links

Consider the unoriented Montesinos link $L\left(p_{1} / q_{1}, p_{2} / q_{2}, p_{3} / q_{3} ; e\right)$, shown in Figure 2, where $q_{i}>0, \operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)=1$, and $e \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here the box labelled $p_{i} / q_{i}$ denotes the rational tangle determined by the fraction $p_{i} / q_{i}$ and $e$ is the number of right-handed half-twists. By making use of flypes, we may change each numerator $p_{i}$ by multiples of $q_{i}$ at the expense of changing $e$. In particular, if some $p_{i}$ is replaced with $p_{i} \pm q_{i}$, then we replace $e$ with $e \pm 1$. Hence by allowing arbitrary values for $e$, we may assume that $0<p_{i}<q_{i}$ for each tangle. In addition, cyclic permutation of the tangles together with rotation around a vertical axis allows us to assume $0<q_{1} \leq q_{2} \leq q_{3}$. The reader is directed to [1] for more information on Montesinos links. For the remainder of the paper we consider the link $L=L(1 / 2,1 / 2, p / q ; e)$. We begin by deriving a presentation for the involutory quandle $Q_{2}(L)$.


Figure 2: The Montesinos link $L\left(p_{1} / q_{1}, p_{2} / q_{2}, p_{3} / q_{3} ; e\right)$

We illustrate this process with the link $L(1 / 2,1 / 2,3 / 5 ; 3)$ shown in Figure 3. Label the arcs of the diagram with quandle elements 1,2 , and 3 as shown in the figure. (These might more properly be called $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$, but we simply write $1,2,3$.) The elements 1,2 , and 3 generate the quandle. Notice that for all $p / q$, we obtain the relation $2^{1}=2^{3}$. However, there are two more relations that depend on both $e$ and $p / q$. First note that by moving from left to right through the $e$ right-handed half-twists in the upper part of the diagram, the two arcs to the right receive labels of $1^{A}$ and $1^{B}$ where $A$ and $B$ are some words in the generators 1 and 2 that depend on $e$. Next, if we follow the strand labeled $3^{2}$ into the $p / q$ tangle, we will come out at one of the other four endpoints of the tangle and obtain a second relation. Similarly, following the other string in this 2-string tangle gives the third relation.


Figure 3: The Montesinos link $L(1 / 2,1 / 2,3 / 5 ; e)$
We begin by determining $A$ and $B$, which are easily found by induction on $e$. In the following lemma, and throughout the paper, if $X$ is a word in the generators, then we use the notation $\bar{X}$ to denote $X$ written backwards. Additionally, we use $[X]^{n}$ to stand for $X$ repeated $n$ times when $n>0$, to stand for the empty string when $n=0$, and to stand for $[\bar{X}]^{-n}$ when
$n<0$.

Lemma 3.1 The words $A$ and $B$ depend on $e$ and are given as, $A=\bar{A}=[21]^{e-2} 2$ and $B=\bar{B}=[21]^{e-1} 2$.

We now consider the $p / q$ tangle. There are three different cases: $q$ even and $p$ odd, $q$ odd and $p$ even, and finally, $q$ odd and $p$ odd. The Montesinos link has a total of three components if $q$ is even and two if $q$ is odd. Moreover, the parity of $p$ and $q$ determine which pairs of endpoints are connected by the two strings of the tangle. If $q$ is even, then the lower left endpoint is connected to the upper left endpoint. If $q$ is odd, and $p$ is even, then the lower left endpoint is connected to the lower right endpoint. Finally, if both are odd, the lower left endpoint is connected to the upper right endpoint.


Figure 4: The case $p$ and $q$ both odd, shown with $p / q=3 / 11$.

We describe the case with $p$ and $q$ both odd. After isotopy, the tangle can be represented as shown in Figure 4. Consider the lower left endpoint of the tangle. This arc is labeled $3^{2}$. As we move along this arc, we alternately pass under arcs labelled $1^{A}$ or $1^{B}$ and $3^{2}$. We pass under the arc labelled $3^{2}$ a total of $(q-1) / 2$ times, under $1^{A}$ a total of $(p-1) / 2$ times, and under $1^{B}$ a total of $(q-p) / 2$ times. This path ends at $1^{A}$ yielding a relation of the form $3^{2 X}=1^{A}$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1, $X$ is an alternating product of the words $A 1 A$ or $B 1 B$ and 232. For example, with $p / q=3 / 11$ as shown in Figure 4 we obtain the relation

$$
3^{2 B 1 B 232 A 1 A 232 B 1 B 232 B 1 B 232 B 1 B 232}=1^{A}
$$

For any $p / q$, we can simplify the relation using the following result, the proof of which we postpone to the next section.

Lemma 3.2 For all $x \in Q_{2}(L), x^{A 1 A 232 B 1 B}=x^{B 1 B 232 A 1 A}$.

Repeated application of this lemma allows us to collect all $A 1 A 232$ and $B 1 B 232$ expressions together and so the relation $3^{2 X}=1^{A}$ can be rewritten as

$$
3^{2[A 1 A 232]^{(p-1) / 2}[B 1 B 232]^{(q-p) / 2}}=1^{A} .
$$

Now applying this same process starting at the arc labelled 3 gives a second relation

$$
3^{[A 1 A 232]^{(p+1) / 2}[B 1 B 232]^{(q-p-2) / 2}}=1^{B} .
$$

Finally, a similar analysis for the other parities of $p$ and $q$ gives the following presentation for the involutory quandle. The reader is warned that, in an effort to make the relations appear more uniform across the cases, we introduce some canceling generators to rewrite the last case.

Lemma 3.3 If $L$ is the Montesinos link $L(1 / 2,1 / 2, p / q ; e)$ with $0<p<q$, then the involutory quandle of $L$ can be presented as $Q_{2}(L)=\left\langle 1,2,3 \mid R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}\right\rangle$, where $R_{1}$ is the relation $2^{1}=2^{3}$. The relations $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ depend on the parity of $p$ and $q$ as follows:

| $p$ | $q$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| odd | odd | $3=1^{A[232 B 1 B]^{(q-p) / 2}[232 A 1 A]^{(p-1) / 2} 2}$ | $3=1^{B[232 B 1 B]^{(q-p-2) / 2}[232 A 1 A]^{(p+1) / 2}}$ |
| even | odd | $3=1^{B[232 B 1 B]^{(q-p-1) / 2}[232 A 1 A]^{p / 2} 2}$ | $3=1^{A[232 B 1 B]^{(q-p-1) / 2}[232 A 1 A]^{p / 2}}$ |
| odd | even | $3=3^{2[232 B 1 B]^{(q-p-1) / 2}[232 A 1 A]^{(p+1) / 2}}$ | $1=1^{A[232 B 1 B]^{(q-p+1) / 2}[232 A 1 A]^{(p-1) / 2} B}$ |

## 4 The Cayley Graph of $Q_{2}(L)$

In this section we explicitly construct the Cayley graph of $Q_{2}(L(1 / 2,1 / 2, p / q ; e))$ with respect to the generators 1,2 , and 3, given in Lemma 3.3. Let $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ be the component of the Cayley graph containing the generator $i$. Each component $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ is best described as the quotient of an infinite, labeled, planar graph $\mathcal{T}_{i}$ which we now describe. Start with a single rectangular tile one unit wide and four units tall with its lower left corner located at the point $(0,1)$. Consider this rectangle as a graph with six edges and six vertices located at $(0,1),(0,3),(0,5),(1,5)$, $(1,3),(1,1)$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the union of all translates of this tile obtained by shifting $m$ units horizontally and $n$ units vertically, where $m$ and $n$ are integers with $n \equiv 2 m(\bmod 4)$. Label all horizontal edges with 2 , and all vertical edges whose upper endpoint is located at $(x, y)$ with 3 if $y \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and with 1 if $y \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Finally, let $\mathcal{T}_{i}$ be obtained from $\mathcal{T}$ by labeling the vertex $(0,1)$ with generator $i$.

The graph $\mathcal{T}$ admits a number of label-preserving symmetries that we will use to describe $Q_{2}(L)$. For any integers $n$ and $m$, let $\rho_{(n, 2 m)}$ denote the $\pi$-radian rotation centered at $(n, 2 m)$,
let $\tau_{(2 n, 4 m)}$ denote the translation $(x, y) \rightarrow(x+2 n, y+4 m)$, let $\phi_{n+1 / 2}$ denote reflection across the line $x=n+1 / 2$, and let $\gamma_{(4 n, m+1 / 2)}$ denote the glide reflection $\tau_{(0,4 n)} \circ \phi_{m+1 / 2}$. It is easy to check that each of these transformations is a label-preserving automorphism of $\mathcal{T}$. If $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \ldots, \psi_{k}$ are label-preserving automorphisms of $\mathcal{T}$ we denote by $\left\langle\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \ldots, \psi_{k}\right\rangle$ the group of automorphism which they generate and by $\mathcal{T} /\left\langle\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \ldots, \psi_{k}\right\rangle$ the quotient graph.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose $L=L(1 / 2,1 / 2, p / q ; e)$ and let $w=(e-1) q-p$. Then the components of the Cayley graph of $Q_{2}(L)$ are:
(a) If $q$ is odd, then $\mathcal{C}_{1}=\mathcal{C}_{3}=\mathcal{T}_{1} /\left\langle\rho_{(0,0)}, \rho_{(w, 0)}, \rho_{(0,2 q)}\right\rangle$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{2} /\left\langle\tau_{(0,4)}, \phi_{1 / 2}, \phi_{w+1 / 2}\right\rangle$.
(b) If $q$ is even, then $\mathcal{C}_{1}=\mathcal{T}_{1} /\left\langle\rho_{(0,0)}, \rho_{(0,2 q)}, \gamma_{(2 q, w / 2)}\right\rangle, \mathcal{C}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{2} /\left\langle\tau_{(0,4)}, \phi_{1 / 2}, \phi_{w+1 / 2}\right\rangle$, and $\mathcal{C}_{3}=\mathcal{T}_{3} /\left\langle\rho_{(0,2)}, \rho_{(0,2+2 q)}, \gamma_{(2 q, w / 2)}\right\rangle$. The component $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ is obtained by trading all labels of 1 and 3 in $\mathcal{C}_{1}$.

Remark: It follows from Theorem 4.1 that a fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ for $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ can be taken to be the subset of $\mathcal{T}_{1}$ contained in $[0,|w|] \times[0,4 q]$ if $q$ is odd and contained in $[-|w| / 2,|w| / 2] \times$ $[0,2 q]$ if $q$ is even. If $q$ is odd, $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is not quite a fundamental domain, as pairs of vertices symmetric with respect to $(0,2 q)$ or with respect to $(|w|, 2 q)$ still need to be identified. A fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ for $\mathcal{C}_{2}$, in either case, is the subset of $\mathcal{T}_{2}$ contained in $[1 / 2,|w|+$ $1 / 2] \times[0,4]$. Counting the number of vertices in $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ gives the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2 The orders of the components of the Cayley graph of $Q_{2}(L)$ are:
(a) If $q$ is odd, then $\left|\mathcal{C}_{1}\right|=2 q|w|$ and $\left|\mathcal{C}_{2}\right|=2|w|$.
(b) If $q$ is even, then $\left|\mathcal{C}_{1}\right|=\left|\mathcal{C}_{3}\right|=q|w|$ and $\left|\mathcal{C}_{2}\right|=2|w|$.

In both cases, $\left|Q_{2}(L)\right|=2(q+1)|w|$.

Notice that Theorem 1.1 now follows immediately from Theorem 4.1 and its corollary.
For example, in the case where $p / q=3 / 5$ and $e=3, \mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathcal{C}_{1}$, and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ are shown in Figures 5 , 6, and 7. In Figure 8, we illustrate the case of $p / q=3 / 4$ with $e=4$.

Our overall strategy for proving these theorems is to apply the diagramming method of Winker [6] to a presentation of the quandle $Q_{2}(L)$. In order to simplify the application of Winker's method, we will first pass to an equivalent presentation of the quandle. Our approach is motivated by first considering the involutory quandle $\widetilde{Q}_{2}=\left\langle 1,2,3 \mid 2^{1}=2^{3}\right\rangle$. Imposing additional relations on $\widetilde{Q}_{2}$ cause vertices in its Cayley graph to be identified, thus leading to the Cayley graph of $Q_{2}(L)$.


Figure 5: The fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ of $Q_{2}(L)$ for $p=3, q=5$, and $e=3$.


Figure 6: The component $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ of $Q_{2}(L)$ for $p=3, q=5$, and $e=3$. Here blue, black, and red correspond to the generators 1,2 , and 3 , respectively.


Figure 7: The component $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ of $Q_{2}(L)$ for $p=3, q=5$, and $e=3$. Here blue, black, and red correspond to the generators 1,2 , and 3 , respectively.


Figure 8: The component $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ of $Q_{2}(L)$ for $p=3, q=4$, and $e=4$. Here blue, black, and red correspond to the generators 1,2 , and 3 , respectively. Letters indicate how half-edges at the right and left join.

Applying Winker's method to $\widetilde{Q}_{2}$, the graph $\mathcal{T}$ arises in a natural way because the primary relation $2^{1}=2^{3}$ gives rise to the secondary relation $x^{121}=x^{323}$ for every element $x$ in $\widetilde{Q}_{2}$. Thus it is not surprising to see the hexagons of $\mathcal{T}$ appearing in the end result. In fact, Winker's method results in three components:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{1}=\mathcal{T}_{1} /\left\langle\rho_{(0,0)}\right\rangle \\
& \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{2}=\mathcal{T}_{2} /\left\langle\tau_{(0,4)}, \phi_{1 / 2}\right\rangle \\
& \widetilde{\mathcal{C}_{3}}=\mathcal{T}_{3} /\left\langle\rho_{(0,2)}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Starting with the graphs $\mathcal{T}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2}$, and applying the relations $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ in Lemma 3.3, we were led to Theorem 4.1. However, we will now treat this approach as simply providing guidance to our formal proof of the theorem. Using $\mathcal{T}$, we will see how to rewrite the presentation of $Q_{2}(L)$ in such a way that applying Winker's method to the new presentation will produce the Cayley graphs described in Theorem 4.1 after tracing and collapsing the primary relations. Each of the secondary relations will then hold without further modification of the graph.

We begin with some general observations about tracing a word in the generators starting at various vertices in $\mathcal{T}$. First notice that there are four types of vertices $(x, y)$ in $\mathcal{T}$ determined by the residues $x(\bmod 2)$ and $y(\bmod 4)$. We denote these four vertex types in the obvious way as $01,03,11$, and 13 .

Given a word $X$ in the generators 1,2 , and 3 , we can start at any vertex $a$ in $\mathcal{T}$, trace the word $X$, and arrive at a vertex $b$. It is easy to see that the vertex type of $b$ depends only on $X$ and the type of $a$. Thus, each word $X$ determines a permutation of the set of four types of vertices. Let $\sigma(X)$ denote this permutation. For example, $\sigma(2)$ is the product of two transpositions, written in cycle notation as $\sigma(2)=(0111)(0313)$.

If tracing the word $X$ starting at vertex $a$ in $\mathcal{T}$ ends in vertex $b$, then let $\Delta_{a} X$ denote the vector $b-a$ in the plane. We call $\Delta_{a} X$ the displacement of $X$ starting at $a$. Because of the translational symmetry taking any vertex to another one of the same type, it follows that $\Delta_{a} X$ depends only on $X$ and the type of $a$. Therefore, we will often write one of $\mathbf{0 1}, \mathbf{0 3}$, 11, or 13 in place of $a$. The following Lemma will be helpful in determining displacements. The proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 4.3 Let $X$ be any word in the generators 1, 2, and 3. If $\Delta_{01} X=\langle x, y\rangle$, then
(a) $\Delta_{03} X=\langle-x,-y\rangle, \Delta_{11} X=\langle-x, y\rangle$, and $\Delta_{13} X=\langle x,-y\rangle$.
(b) $\Delta_{01} \bar{X}$ equals $\langle-x,-y\rangle,\langle x, y\rangle,\langle x,-y\rangle$, or $\langle-x, y\rangle$, if $\sigma(X)$ equals id, $\sigma(1), \sigma(2)$, or $\sigma(12)$, respectively.

Lemma 4.3 implies that if the words $X$ and $Y$ have the same displacement starting at some vertex $a$, then they have the same displacement starting at any vertex. If this is the case,
we say that $X$ and $Y$ have the same displacement. Using Lemma 4.3, we may compute the permutations and displacements of the words that appear in the relations $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$. Again, details are left to the reader.

Lemma 4.4 The permutation and displacement of $A, B, 232 A 1 A$, and $232 B 1 B$ are:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlrl}
\sigma(A) & =\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\sigma(2), & \text { if } e \text { is even } \\
\sigma(1), & \text { if } e \text { is odd }
\end{array}\right. & \Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}}(A) & = \begin{cases}\langle e-1,0\rangle, & \text { if } e \text { is even } \\
\langle e-1,-2\rangle, & \text { if } e \text { is odd }\end{cases} \\
\sigma(B) & =\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\sigma(1), & \text { if } e \text { is even } \\
\sigma(2), & \text { if } e \text { is odd }
\end{array}\right. & \Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}}(B) & = \begin{cases}\langle e,-2\rangle, & \text { if } e \text { is even } \\
\langle e, 0\rangle, & \text { if } e \text { is odd }\end{cases} \\
\sigma(232 A 1 A) & =\text { id } & \Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}}(232 A 1 A) & =\langle 4-2 e, 4\rangle
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Let us now focus on the case where both $p$ and $q$ are odd. The component $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}_{1}}$ is the quotient $\mathcal{T}_{1} /\left\langle\rho_{(0,0)}\right\rangle$. The pair of vertices $(0,1)$ and $(0,-1)$ both represent the generator 1 . The relation $R_{2}$ is of the form $1^{\alpha}=3$ where $\alpha=A[232 B 1 B]^{(q-p) / 2}[232 A 1 A]^{(p-1) / 2} 2$. Thus, if we start at the vertex $(0,1)$ and trace the word $\alpha$, we will arrive at a vertex $b$ that is equal to the generator 3. To determine the coordinates of the vertex $b$, we use Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 to calculate the displacement of $\alpha$ starting at $(0,1)$. Assume that $e$ is even. Then, by Lemma 4.4, we have $\sigma(A)=\sigma(2)$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} A=\langle e-1,0\rangle$. So, starting at $(0,1)$ and following $A$, we end at vertex $(e-1,1)$ which has type 11 . This observation together with the fact that $\sigma(232 A 1 A)$ and $\sigma(232 B 1 B)$ are the identity then gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} \alpha & =\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} A+\frac{q-p}{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{1 1}} 232 B 1 B+\frac{p-1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{1 1}} 232 A 1 A+\Delta_{\mathbf{1 1}} 2 \\
& =\langle e-1,0\rangle+\frac{q-p}{2}\langle 2 e-2,4\rangle+\frac{p-1}{2}\langle 2 e-4,4\rangle+\langle-1,0\rangle \\
& =\langle(e-1) q-p, 2 q-2\rangle \\
& =\langle w, 2 q-2\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the vertex $(w, 2 q-1)$ is equal to the generator 3 . Since $p$ and $q$ are odd and $e$ is even this point is of type 01. Moving from this vertex along the edge labelled 3 we arrive at the type 03 vertex $(w, 2 q+1)$. However, because $3^{3}=3$, it follows that the vertex $(w, 2 q+1)$ also represents the generator 3 . If $e$ is odd, then a similar calculation shows that $\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} \alpha=\langle w,-2 q\rangle$ and that the vertices $(w,-2 q \pm 1)$ represent the generator 3.

The relation $R_{3}$ is of the form $1^{\beta}=3$ where $\beta=B[232 B 1 B]^{(q-p-2) / 2}[232 A 1 A]^{(p+1) / 2}$. Calculating the displacement of $\beta$ yields $\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} \beta=\langle w,-2 q\rangle$ if $e$ is even and $\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} \beta=\langle w, 2 q-2\rangle$ if $e$ is odd. Therefore, regardless of the parity of $e$, we have that the vertices $(w, 2 q \pm 1)$ and $(w,-2 q \pm 1)$ all represent the generator 3 . It now follows that any two vertices that are symmetric with respect to either $(w, 2 q)$ or $(w,-2 q)$ represent equivalent elements in $Q_{2}(L)$. Thus these points become rotational centers of symmetry.

Table 1: Displacements related to $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ given in Lemma 3.3. Here $w=(e-1) q-p$.

| $p$ | $q$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $\Delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| odd | odd | $1^{\alpha}=3$ | $1^{\beta}=3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} \alpha= \begin{cases}\langle w, 2 q-2\rangle & e \text { even } \\ \langle w,-2 q\rangle & e \text { odd }\end{cases} \\ & \Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} \beta= \begin{cases}\langle w,-2 q\rangle & e \text { even } \\ \langle w, 2 q-2\rangle & e \text { odd }\end{cases} \end{aligned}$ |
| even | odd | $1^{\alpha}=3$ | $1^{\beta}=3$ | $\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} \alpha & = \begin{cases}\langle w,-2 q\rangle & e \text { even } \\ \langle w, 2 q-2\rangle & e \text { odd }\end{cases} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} \beta & = \begin{cases}\langle w, 2 q-2\rangle & e \text { even } \\ \langle w,-2 q\rangle & e \text { odd }\end{cases} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $3^{\alpha}=3$ | $1^{\beta}=1$ | $\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} \alpha & =\langle w, 2 q\rangle \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} \beta & = \begin{cases}\langle w, 2 q-2\rangle & e \text { even } \\ \langle w,-2 q-2\rangle & e \text { odd }\end{cases} \end{aligned}$ |

Similar calculations give the displacements of the words $\alpha$ and $\beta$ that appear in relations $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ for the other parity cases. We summarize the results in Table 1.

As already mentioned, we plan to change the presentation of $Q_{2}(L)$. Finding an equivalent presentation for $Q_{2}(L)$ will depend heavily on the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5 If $X$ and $Y$ are words in the generators $\{1,2,3\}$ having the same displacement, then the relation $R_{1}$ implies that $a^{X}=a^{Y}$ for all $a \in \widetilde{Q}_{2}$.

Proof: Because $2^{1}=2^{3}$, it follows that $x^{2^{1}}=x^{2^{3}}$ or equivalently that $x^{121}=x^{323}$ for any element $x$ in $\widetilde{Q}_{2}$. More generally, suppose $s$ and $t$ are words in the generators 1,2 , and 3 such that their concatenation st is a cyclic permutation of 121323 or its reverse. Then, for all $x$ and all words $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the relations $x^{\alpha s \beta}=y$ and $x^{\alpha \bar{t} \beta}=y$ are equivalent. This last statement can be interpreted in terms of the graph $\mathcal{T}_{i}$ by seeing that we are moving the path $\alpha s \beta$ across one of the hexagonal faces to reach the path $\alpha \bar{t} \beta$. Call such a move an hexagonal move. Because $X$ and $Y$ have the same displacement, the paths starting at $x$ that they each determine clearly end at the same vertex $y$. Moreover, we may move one path to the other by a sequence of hexagonal moves.

It is not difficult to show that $A 1 A 232 B 1 B$ and $B 1 B 232 B 1 B$ have the same displacement. Thus, Lemma 3.2, the proof of which we had postponed, now follows easily from Lemma 4.5 .

We are now in a position to derive a new presentation of $Q_{2}(L)$ using Lemma 4.5. The
new presentation will be defined in terms of the following sets of relations. Recall that $w=(e-1) q-p$ and notice that $R_{1}$ is equivalent to $\iota_{0}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma: 1 & =1^{[23]^{|w|}[13]^{(q-2) / 2}} & \gamma^{\prime}: 3 & =3^{[12]^{|w|}[31]^{(q-2) / 2}} \\
\delta_{i}: 1 & =1^{[21]^{i}[31]^{q}[12]^{i}} & \delta^{\prime}: 3 & =3^{[13]^{q}} \\
\epsilon_{i}: 1 & =1^{[23]^{i}[13]^{ \pm q / 2}[23]^{|w|-i} 3} & \epsilon_{i}^{\prime}: 3 & =3^{[12]^{i}[13]^{ \pm q / 2}[12]^{w \mid-i} 1} \\
\zeta_{i k}: 1 & =1^{[23]^{i}[31]^{k} 21[31]^{k-1}[32]^{i+1}} & \zeta_{i k}^{\prime}: 3 & =3^{[12]^{i}[31]^{k} k 2[331]^{k+1}[21]^{i+1}} \\
\eta_{k}: 1 & =1^{[23]^{[|w|-1) / 2}[31]^{k} 2[13]^{k+q / 2}[32]^{[|w|-1) / 2}} & \eta_{k}^{\prime}: 3 & =3^{[12]^{\mid(w \mid-1) / 2}[13]^{k} 121[31]^{k+q / 2}[21]^{(|w|-1) / 2}} \\
\theta_{i k}: 1 & =1^{[21]^{i}[31]^{k} 21[31]^{k}[122]^{i+1}} & \mu: 3 & =1^{[21]^{|w|}[31]^{(q-1) / 2}} \\
\iota_{i}: 2 & =2^{[12]^{i} 31[211]^{i}} & \kappa: 2 & =2^{[12]^{|2 w|}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.6 Let $w=(e-1) q-p$. Then
$Q_{2}(L) \cong \begin{cases}\left\langle 1,2,3 \mid \delta_{0}, \delta^{\prime}, \gamma, \gamma^{\prime},\left\{\epsilon_{i}, \epsilon_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I_{2}},\left\{\zeta_{i k}, \zeta_{i k}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I_{3}, k \in I_{4}},\left\{\eta_{k}, \eta_{k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k \in I_{5}},\left\{\iota_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{1}}, \kappa\right\rangle & \text { q even, } \\ \left\langle 1,2,3 \mid\left\{\delta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{1}}, \delta^{\prime},\left\{\theta_{i k}\right\}_{i \in I_{1}, k \in I_{4}},\left\{\iota_{i}\right\}_{i \in I_{1}}, \kappa, \mu\right\rangle & q \text { odd },\end{cases}$
where $I_{1}=\left\{i|0 \leq i<|w|\}, I_{2}=\{i \mid 0<i \leq(|w|-1) / 2\}, I_{3}=\{i \mid 0 \leq i<(|w|-1) / 2\}\right.$, $I_{4}=\{i \mid 0 \leq i<q\}$, and $I_{5}=\{i \mid 0 \leq i<q / 2\}$.

Proof: There are several cases to consider. Assume first that $q$ is odd and $w>0$. We first show that that $R_{1}, \delta^{\prime}$ and $\mu$ can be derived from $R_{1}, R_{2}$, and $R_{3}$ and vice versa. From Table 1, we have the relations $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ are $1^{\alpha}=3$ and $1^{\beta}=3$, respectively. Regardless of the parity of $p$ and $e$, using Lemma 4.3 one can show that the displacement of [13] ${ }^{q}$ is the same as that of $\bar{\beta} \alpha 3$ and that the displacement of $[21]^{|w|}[31]^{(q-1) / 2}$ is the same as that of $\alpha$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.5 we have $3^{[13]^{q}}=3^{\bar{\beta} \alpha 3}$ and $1^{[21]^{[w]}[31]^{(q-1) / 2}}=1^{\alpha}$. Note that these two relations only depend on relation $R_{1}$. Therefore, we have:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
3 \stackrel{R_{2}}{=} 1^{\alpha} \stackrel{R_{1}}{=} 1^{[21]^{|w|}[31]^{(q-1) / 2}} & \mu \text { is a consequence of } R_{1} \text { and } R_{2} \\
3=3^{3} \stackrel{R_{2}}{=} 1^{\alpha 3} \stackrel{R_{3}}{=} 3^{\bar{\beta} \alpha 3} \stackrel{R_{1}}{=} 3^{[13]^{q}} & \delta^{\prime} \text { is a consequence of } R_{1}, R_{2}, \text { and } R_{3} \\
1^{\alpha} \stackrel{R_{1}}{=} 1^{[21]^{|w|}[31]^{(q-1) / 2}} \stackrel{\mu}{=} 3 & R_{2} \text { is a consequence of } R_{1} \text { and } \mu \\
1^{\beta} \stackrel{R_{2}}{=} 3^{\bar{\alpha} \beta}=3^{3 \bar{\alpha} \beta} \stackrel{\delta^{\prime}}{=} 3^{[13]^{q} 3 \bar{\alpha} \beta} \stackrel{R_{1}}{=} 3 & R_{3} \text { is a consequence of } R_{1}, \mu, \text { and } \delta^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

Assume next that $q$ is odd and $w<0$. In this case, the displacement of $[13]^{q}$ is still the same as that of $\bar{\beta} \alpha 3$ but the displacement of $[21]^{]^{w \mid}}[31]^{(q-1) / 2}$ is now the same as that of $1 \beta$. So, in this case, we use the consequences $3^{[13]^{q}}=3^{\bar{\beta} \alpha 3}$ and $1^{[21]^{|w|}[31]^{(q-1) / 2}}=1^{\beta}$ of $R_{1}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
3 \stackrel{R_{3}}{=} 1^{\beta} \stackrel{R_{1}}{=} 1^{[21]^{|w|}[31]^{(q-1) / 2}} & \mu \text { is a consequence of } R_{1} \text { and } R_{3} \\
3=3^{3} \stackrel{R_{2}}{=} 1^{\alpha 3} \stackrel{R_{3}}{=} 3^{\bar{\beta} \alpha 3} \stackrel{R_{1}}{=} 3^{[13]^{q}} & \delta^{\prime} \text { is a consequence of } R_{1}, R_{2}, \text { and } R_{3} \\
1^{\beta} \stackrel{R_{1}}{=} 1^{[21]^{w \mid}[31]^{(q-1) / 2}} \stackrel{\mu}{=} 3 & R_{3} \text { is a consequence of } R_{1} \text { and } \mu \\
1^{\alpha} \stackrel{R_{3}}{=} 3^{\bar{\beta} \alpha} \stackrel{R_{1}}{=} 3^{[13]^{q} 3} \stackrel{\delta^{\prime}}{=} 3^{3}=3 & R_{2} \text { is a consequence of } R_{1}, \mu, \text { and } \delta^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

Next we will show that $\left\{\delta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I},\left\{\theta_{i, j}\right\}_{i \in I, j \in J},\left\{\iota_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, and $\kappa$ can all be derived from $R_{1}, \mu$, and $\delta^{\prime}$. Because $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ can be derived from $R_{1}, \mu$, and $\delta^{\prime}$, we are free to use these relations as well.

To derive the relations $\left\{\delta_{i}\right\}$, first note that $[21]^{i}[31]^{q}[12]^{i}$ and $\alpha 3 \bar{\beta}$ have the same displacement if $i$ is even. Thus $1^{[21]^{i}[31]^{q}[12]^{i}}=1^{\alpha 3 \bar{\beta}}=3^{3 \bar{\beta}}=3^{\bar{\beta}}=1$. If $i$ is odd, then $[21]^{i}[31]^{q}[12]^{i}$ and $\beta 3 \bar{\alpha}$ have the same displacement and a similar argument shows that $\delta_{i}$ is a consequence of $R_{1}, R_{2}$, and $R_{3}$.

It is not hard to show that for all $i \in I$ and all $j \in J$, the displacement of $[21]^{i}[31]^{j} 21[31]^{j}[12]^{i+1}$ is $\langle 0,0\rangle$. Thus the relations $\theta_{i, j}$ all follow from $R_{1}$.

The word $[12]^{i} 31[21]^{i}$ has the same displacement as 31 if $i$ is even and as 13 if $i$ is odd. Thus, when $i$ is even, we have $2=2^{31}=2^{[12]^{i} 31[21]^{i}}$, and when $i$ is odd, $2=2^{13}=2^{[12]^{i} 31[21]^{i}}$. Therefore the relations $\iota_{i}$ are all consequences of $R_{1}$. A similar argument actually shows that if $2^{X}$ is any vertex in $\mathcal{T}_{2}$, then $2^{X 13}=2^{X}$ in $\widetilde{Q}_{2}$. To see this, note that for all $X, \bar{X} 31 X$ has the same displacement as either 13 or 31 . So, either

$$
2^{X 13}=2^{X \bar{X} 31 X}=2^{31 X}=2^{X} \quad \text { or } \quad 2^{X 31}=2^{X \bar{X} 31 X}=2^{31 X}=2^{X}
$$

Thus any two vertices in $\mathcal{T}_{2}$ separated by a vertical translation of $4 l$ units for any integer $l$ represent equal elements in $\widetilde{Q}_{2}$.

Finally, we can easily compute that $\Delta_{01}[12]^{2 w \mid}=\langle-| 2 w|, 0\rangle$. Moreover, the primary relation $1^{\alpha}=3$ implies that $2^{\bar{\alpha} 1 \alpha 3}=2$. Using Lemma 4.3, it follows that $\Delta_{01} \bar{\alpha} 1 \alpha 3=-\left\langle(-1)^{w} 2 w, 4 q\right\rangle$. Hence, letting $X$ be either $\bar{\alpha} 1 \alpha 3$ or its reverse $3 \bar{\alpha} 1 \alpha$, we may assume $\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} X=\langle-| 2 w|, \pm 4 q\rangle$ and hence $\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}} X[13]^{ \pm q}=\langle-| 2 w|, 0\rangle$. Therefore, $2^{[12]^{|2 w|}}=2^{X[13]^{ \pm q}}=2^{[13]^{ \pm q}}=2$ because $[13]^{q}$ represents vertical translation by a multiple of 4 . Thus $\kappa$ is a consequence of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$.

This proves the Lemma in the case where $q$ is odd. We leave the proof in the case where $q$ is even to the reader.

Proof of Theorem 4.1: We will now apply Winker's method to the presentation in Lemma 4.6, focussing first on the case where $q$ is odd. As we follow the method, imagine filling in vertices and edges in the graphs $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ already described in Figures 6 and 7 . We start with the introduction of three vertices representing the generators 1,2 , and 3 , and each with a loop attached due to the idempotentcy relations. Next, the primary relations are used to add additional vertices and edges, if necessary. Moreover, we apply these in the following order: $\mu, \delta^{\prime}, \delta_{i}, \theta_{i k}, \kappa$, and $\iota_{i}$. Tracing $\mu$ connects the generator 1 to the generator 3 along a horizontal section (a path of edges [21] ${ }^{|w|}$ ) followed by the right vertical side. Next, tracing $\delta^{\prime}$ closes the loop at the lower right corner. The relation $\delta_{0}$ fills in the left vertical side. The relations $\delta_{i}$, for $i>0$, now leave the horizontal section, fill in the vertical sections, and then return to the horizontal section. Finally, the relations $\theta_{i k}$ fill in all the remaining horizontal edges labeled 2 . The component $\mathcal{C}_{1}=\mathcal{C}_{3}$ is now complete. The relation $\kappa$ traces out the horizontal section of $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ and finally, the relations $\iota_{i}$ fill in the vertical sections, thus completing $\mathcal{C}_{2}$.

The next step in Winker's method is to apply the secondary relations to each already existing vertex. In general, when checking a secondary relation for a given vertex $x$, it may be necessary to introduce more vertices and edges or cause collapsing to take place. However, in our case, we will see that each secondary relation is already satisfied at each vertex. We chose this presentation of $Q_{2}(L)$ precisely for this reason.

The secondary relations, which must be true for all $x$, are listed below. We label each with the associated name of the primary relation preceded by " s ", for secondary. The indices range over the same index sets as the corresponding primary relation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \mu: x & =x^{[13]^{(q-1) / 2}[12]^{2 w} \mid[13]^{(q+1) / 2}} \\
s \delta^{\prime}: x & =x^{[31]^{2 q}} \\
s \delta_{i}: x & =x^{[21]^{i}[13]^{q}[12]^{2 i}[13]^{q}[21]^{i}} \\
s \theta_{i k}: x & =x^{[21]^{i+1}[13]^{k} 12[13]^{k}[12]^{2 i}[13]^{k} 12[13]^{k}[21]^{i+1}} \\
s \kappa: x & =x^{[21]^{14 w \mid}} \\
s \iota_{i}: x & =x^{[12]^{i} 13[21]^{2 i} 23[12]^{i+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

To examine the effect of the secondary relations at every element $x$ we compute the following displacements:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}}[13]^{(q-1) / 2}[12]^{|2 w|}[13]^{(q+1) / 2} & =-\langle 2| w|, 4 q\rangle \\
\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}}[31]^{2 q} & =\langle 0,8 q\rangle \\
\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}}[21]^{i}[13]^{q}[12]^{2 i}[13]^{q}[21]^{i} & =(-1)^{i}\langle 0,-8 q\rangle \\
\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}}[21]^{i+1}[13]^{k} 12[13]^{k}[12]^{2 i}[13]^{k} 12[13]^{k}[21]^{i+1} & =\langle 0,0\rangle \\
\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}}[21]^{|4 w|} & =\langle 4| w|, 0\rangle \\
\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}}[12]^{i} 13[21]^{2 i} 23[12]^{i+1} & =\langle 0,0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Because horizontal translation by $2|w|$ and vertical translation by $4 q$ preserve elements of the quandle in both $\mathcal{T}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{2}$, it follows that the secondary relations hold at every vertex in $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1 in the case where $q$ is odd. We leave the case when $q$ is even to the reader. In working through the details, notice that the construction of $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ run in parallel fashion and that the construction of $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ is the same as in the case where $q$ is odd.

## 5 Some Properties of $Q_{2}(L)$

Two-bridge links are not distinguished by their involutory quandles because $Q_{2}\left(K_{p / q}\right) \cong$ $\operatorname{Core}(\mathbb{Z} / q \mathbb{Z})$, see [4]. However, for $(2,2, r)$-Montesinos links we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1 If $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are both (2,2,r)-Montesinos links with isomorphic involutory quandles, then $L$ is either $L^{\prime}$ or its mirror image.

Proof: Let $L=L(1 / 2,1 / 2, p / q ; e)$ and $L^{\prime}=L\left(1 / 2,1 / 2, p^{\prime} / q^{\prime} ; e^{\prime}\right)$. Assume that $Q_{2}\left(L^{\prime}\right) \cong$ $Q_{2}(L), w=(e-1) q-p$, and $w^{\prime}=\left(e^{\prime}-1\right) q^{\prime}-p^{\prime}$. Using Corollary 4.2 to compute the number of components of the quandles, as well as their sizes we conclude that $|w|=\left|w^{\prime}\right|$ and $q=q^{\prime}$. If $(e-1) q-p=\left(e^{\prime}-1\right) q-p^{\prime}$, then $q$ divides $p-p^{\prime}$ from which it follows that $p=p^{\prime}$ and $e=e^{\prime}$. Hence the links are equivalent. On the other hand, if $(e-1) q-p=-\left(e^{\prime}-1\right) q+p^{\prime}$, then $q$ divides $p+p^{\prime}$ and we must have $p+p^{\prime}=q$. This gives that $e=-e^{\prime}+3$. But these conditions now imply that $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are mirror images of each other.

In any involutory quandle $Q$, the subquandle generated by two elements $x$ and $y$ is called the geodesic through $x$ and $y$, which we denote $g(x, y)$. A maximal geodesic is one that is not a proper subset of any other geodesic. More details regarding geodesics are given in 3], [4]. The following theorem describes the set of maximal geodesics in any (2, 2, r)-Montesinos link.

Theorem 5.2 Let $L=L(1 / 2,1 / 2, p / q ; e)$ and $w=(e-1) q-p$. The involutory quandle $Q_{2}(L)$ has $q+1$ maximal geodesics.
(a) If $q$ is odd, then one maximal geodesic is $g\left(1,1^{32}\right)$ of length $2 q|w|$ and the remaining $q$ maximal geodesics are $g(2,3), g\left(2,3^{1}\right), \ldots, g\left(2,3^{[13]^{(q-1) / 2}}\right)$, each of length $4|w|$. The geodesic $g\left(1,1^{32}\right)$ is equal to $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and the intersection of any two of the other geodesics is $\mathcal{C}_{2}$.
(b) If $q$ is even, then one maximal geodesic is $g\left(1,3^{2}\right)$ of length $2 q|w|$ and the remaining $q$ maximal geodesics are $g(2,1), g(2,3), g\left(2,1^{3}\right), g\left(2,3^{1}\right), g\left(2,1^{31}\right) \ldots$, each of length $4|w|$. The geodesic $g\left(1,3^{2}\right)$ is equal to $\mathcal{C}_{1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{3}$ and the intersection of any two of the other geodesics is $\mathcal{C}_{2}$.

Proof: Suppose $q$ is odd and consider the geodesic generated by 1 and $1^{32}$. Traveling only "forward" on this geodesic, we generate the sequence

$$
1,1^{32}, 1^{123132}, 1^{32123132}, \ldots, 1^{[123132]^{k}}, 1^{32[123132]^{k}}, \ldots
$$

Note that $\sigma(123132)=$ id and that $\Delta_{\mathbf{0 1}}[123132]^{k}=-2 k\langle 1,4\rangle$. Thus, the element $1^{[123132]^{k}}$ is represented by the vertex $(-2 k,-8 k+1)$ in $\mathcal{T}_{1}$. This is a vertex of type 01. Similarly, the element $1^{32[123132]^{k}}$ is represented by the vertex $(-2 k-1,8 k+3)$, a vertex of type 13 .

Now consider moving any vertex $P$ of $\mathcal{T}_{1}$ to an equivalent vertex which lies in the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_{1}$. We can do this by first translating $P$ to $P^{\prime}$, a vertex in the domain $[0,2 w) \times(0,4 q)$, by using translation in the horizontal direction by a multiple of $2 w$ and in the vertical direction by a multiple of $4 q$. If $P^{\prime} \notin \mathcal{F}_{1}$, then we may reflect $P^{\prime}$ through the point $(w, 2 q)$ to obtain the point $P^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$.

If $k=q|w|$, then the element $1^{[123132]^{q|w|}}$ located at $(-2 q|w|,-8 q|w|+1)$ is equivalent to the vertex $(0,1)$ which is the element 1 . Similarly, the element $1^{32[123132]^{q|w|}}$ located at $(-2 q|w|-$ $1,8 q|w|+3)$ is equivalent to the vertex $(1,4 q-3)$ which is the element $1^{32}$. Thus the geodesic has returned to the beginning after traversing $2 q|w|$ points. We want to show that all $2 q|w|$ of these points are distinct in $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, and therefore make up all of the component $\mathcal{C}_{1}$. If not, then there are two distinct points $P$ and $Q$ on the geodesic when thought of as points in $\mathcal{T}_{1}$ that project to the same point in $\mathcal{F}_{1}$. One possibility is that $P$ and $Q$ translate to the same point $P^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}$ in $[0,2 w) \times(0,4 q)$. Because such a translation preserves the type of a vertex, we see that $P$ and $Q$ must both be of type $\mathbf{0 1}$ or $\mathbf{1 3}$. If this were true, there would exist integers $j$ and $k$ with $0 \leq j<k<q|w|$ such that $P=(-2 j,-8 j+1)$ and $Q=(-2 k,-8 k+1)$ or $P=(-2 j-1,8 j+3)$ and $Q=(-2 k-1,8 k+3)$. In either case, $P^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}$ implies that $j \equiv k(\bmod |w|)$ and $j \equiv k(\bmod q)$ because $q$ is odd. Thus there exists $n$ and $m$ such that $k=j+n|w|$ and $k=j+m q$. Furthermore, $0 \leq n<q$ and $0 \leq m<|w|$. From this we obtain that $m q=n|w|= \pm n[(e-1) q-p]$ and so $q$ divides $n p$. Because $p$ and $q$ are co-prime and $n<q$, it follows that $n=0$ and $j=k$. Another possibility is that $P^{\prime} \neq Q^{\prime}$ but instead $P^{\prime}$ and $Q^{\prime}$ are symmetric with respect to either the point $(0,2 q)$ or $(|w|, 2 q)$. But any pair of such symmetric points must have types 01 and $\mathbf{0 3}$ or types $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$. This is impossible because $P$ and $Q$ have types $\mathbf{0 1}$ or $\mathbf{1 3}$ and these types are preserved by the translations. Thus, the geodesic contains $2 q|w|$ distinct points, filling out all of $\mathcal{C}_{1}$.

A similar argument can be used to show that the geodesic generated by 2 and $3{ }^{[13]^{k}}$, where $0 \leq k \leq(q-1) / 2$ alternates between $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ traversing every point of $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ exactly once and every point of $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ with $y$-coordinate equal to $2 q \pm(4 k+1)$ exactly once. This is a total of $2|w|$ points in $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $2|w|$ points in $\mathcal{C}_{2}$. We leave this case, as well as the case of the geodesic generated by 2 and $33^{[13]^{k} 1}$ to the reader.

If $Q$ is a quandle an automorphism of $Q$ is a bijection $\phi: Q \rightarrow Q$ such that $\phi(x \triangleright y)=$ $\phi(x) \triangleright \phi(y)$ for all $x$ and $y$ in $Q$. The set of automorphism forms a group, $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$, under composition in the obvious way. any automorphism must take a maximal geodesic in an involutory quandle to a maximal geodesic. Using this idea we may prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3 The order of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{2}(L)\right)$ is at most $24 w^{2} \Phi(4|w|)$ if $q=2$ and $4 q w^{2} \Phi(2 q|w|)$ if $q>2$, where $\Phi$ is the Euler $\Phi$-function.

Proof: First note that any automorphism must preserve both maximal geodesics and components. For simplicity, we consider the case where $q$ is odd. The even case is similar. If
$q>2$, there is one maximal geodesic of length $2 q|w|$ which must be taken to itself and $q-1$ shorter geodesics of length $4|w|$ that must be permuted among themselves. Since 1 and $1^{32}$ generate the long geodesic, we must have that this pair of elements is sent to another pair of generators of this geodesic and knowing where they are sent is sufficient to determine the image of any other element of this geodesic. Because the geodesic contains $2 q|w|$ elements, there are $2 q|w|$ possible choices for $\phi(1)$. In order for $\phi(1)$ and $\phi\left(1^{32}\right)$ to generate the geodesic, we need these two points located a distance $d$ apart with $d$ prime to $2 q|w|$. Thus there are $\Phi(2 q|w|)$ possibilities for $\phi\left(1^{32}\right)$, where $\Phi$ is the Euler $\Phi$-function. We also know that 2 must be taken to another point of $\mathcal{C}_{2}$. Thus there are $2|w|$ possibilities for its image. Once this is determined, the image of every point is determined because each point lies on a maximal geodesic containing 2 . Thus there are at most $4 q w^{2} \Phi(2 q|w|)$ elements in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{2}(L)\right)$. The proof for $q=2$ is similar.

Experimental evidence suggests that the order of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{2}(L)\right)$ exactly equals the upper bound given in Theorem 5.3.
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